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ABSTRACT We present a statistical mechanical description of biomolecular hydration that accurately describes the hydrophobic and hydrophilic hydration of a model \(\alpha\)-helical peptide. The local density of water molecules around a biomolecule is obtained by means of a potential of mean force (PMF) expansion in terms of pair- and triplet-correlation functions of bulk water and dilute solutions of nonpolar atoms. The accuracy of the method is verified by comparing PMF results with the local density and site-site correlation functions obtained by molecular dynamics simulations of a model \(\alpha\)-helix in solution. The PMF approach quantitatively reproduces all features of the peptide hydration determined from the molecular dynamics simulation. Regions of hydrophobic hydration near the \(C_a\) and \(C_b\) atoms along the helix are well reproduced. The hydration of exposed polar groups at the N- and C-termini of the helix are also well described by the theory. A detailed comparison of the local hydration by means of site-site radial distribution functions evaluated with the PMF theory shows agreement with the molecular dynamics simulations. The formulation of this theory is general and can be applied to any biomolecular system. The accuracy, speed of computation, and local character of this theory make it especially suitable for studying large biomolecular systems. Proteins 27:471–480, 1997.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the role of water in determining the thermodynamic stability and function of proteins has been the subject of many theoretical and experimental studies over the last 30 years.1 Hydrophobic interactions, for instance, are known to be of paramount importance in protein folding and allostery effects. Much effort has been devoted toward studying the water structure at the surface and in the interior of proteins, nucleic acids, and their complexes. Binding of water molecules at specific sites plays a determinant role in the specificity and function of proteins as catalysts and regulatory proteins.2 The subject of protein structural hydration has been extensively reviewed in the literature.3–10 Experimentally, X-ray8 and neutron diffraction,11 and multidimensional nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)12,13 are the most reliable methods of studying biomolecular hydration structure. The geometry of water interactions with main- and side-chain structural elements in high-resolution crystal structures has been investigated by Thanki et al.14–16 and Teeter.17 General patterns of interactions have emerged from such analysis.18 Theoretically, molecular simulations are the preferred method to study biomolecular hydration.19–28 Molecular dynamics (MD) and Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of biomolecular systems in aqueous solution require long computations of large systems. In trying to overcome this limitation, alternative approaches, based both on single-water-molecule hydrogen bonding29 and on methods30 derived from the known hydration in high-resolution crystal structures, have been developed.

We present a statistical mechanical approach for calculating the local density of water around a biomolecule (or any inhomogeneity). This method is based on a potential of mean force (PMF) expansion of the N-point correlation function of water in an inhomogeneous water-solute system in terms of a hierarchy of approximations involving pair- and triplet-correlation functions of water molecules in bulk solution. This method has previously been applied to study the local water density at a water-ice interface31 and to explore hydration patterns of ideal32–35 and high-resolution single crystals of DNA, RNA, and DNA-drug complexes.36 These studies of the hydration of nucleic acids proved that the method can reproduce the hydration patterns obtained by MD simulations37 and high-resolution X-ray crystal and neutron fiber data.38 To facilitate a meaningful comparison of theoretical predictions with molecular simulation results, extensive configurational averages are required to obtain adequate density statis-
tistics even in a coarse (i.e., 1 Å³) grid. The poor statistics are a consequence of the open liquid water structure. This article describes the application of the PMF approach to determine the hydration structure of a model α-helix, Ala₁₉. The water density obtained by the PMF approach is found to agree with the density obtained by 1.125 ns MD simulations of the peptide in aqueous solution. Information on both the pair- and triplet-correlations is necessary in the PMF expansion to describe the tetrahedral features of the water structure.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Local Density of Water in Terms of N-Point Correlation Functions

We are interested in studying a system consisting of a biological macromolecule and water molecules. The biomolecule will be treated as a source of inhomogeneity in an otherwise homogeneous (bulk) water bath. The local density of water near a biomolecule can be described by a position-dependent one-particle density of water \( \rho(s) \). Our approach toward describing the local density of water around a biomolecule consists of deriving an approximate expression for \( \rho(s) \) in terms of known structural properties of bulk water.

The equilibrium density of water at a point \( s \) near a biomolecule consisting of \( n \) atoms of various chemical identities \( (a₁,...,aₙ) \) at positions \( (r₁,...,rₙ) \) is given by the conditional probability density

\[
\rho_{a₁,...,aₙ,w}(s|r₁,...,rₙ) = \int d_{s₂}...d_{s_{N_w}} \exp\left[-\beta U(r₁, ..., rₙ, s₁, ..., s_{N_w})\right] / \int dr₁...d_{s_{N_w}} \exp\left[-\beta U(r₁, ..., s_{N_w})\right].
\] (1)

The KSA approximation is accurate for most \( (r,s,t) \) triplets in bulk water and is a good approximation for monoatomic molecules and ions. A PMF approach based on the KSA has been used to calculate differences in the ionic free energy of DNA oligomers in monovalent ionic solution. This simple PMF has been shown to describe well all known structural transitions driven by changes of monovalent salt concentrations, specially at high ionic concentrations \( (c_s \approx 0.1 \text{ M}) \). The use of this approximation for describing the structure of water around polar groups cannot be expected to be as accurate, since two-point correlation functions are not sufficient to describe the tetrahedral character of liquid-water organization.

Recent calculations of triplet-correlation functions for water and water-methane solutions have motivated the use of approximations of higher-order correlation functions in terms of pair- and triplet-correlation functions. In these cases pair- and triplet-correlation functions can be used in the Fisher-Kopeliovich superposition approximation (FKSA) to approximate the local water density by

\[
\rho_{a₁,...,aₙ,w}(s|r₁,...,rₙ) = \rho_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} g^{(2)}(r_{i0}) \cdot \frac{g^{(3)}(r_{i0},r_{j0},r_{k0})}{g^{(2)}(r_{i0}) g^{(2)}(r_{j0}) g^{(2)}(r_{k0})} = \rho_{KSA} \prod_{i,j>|i|} \Gamma(r_{i,j},r_{j,k}),
\] (4)

where \( r_{i,j} = |r_k - r_i| \), and \( r_{j,k} = |r_k - s| \). \( \rho_{KSA} \) is defined in Eq. (3), and

\[
\Gamma(r,s,t) = \frac{g^{(3)}(r,s,t)}{g^{(2)}(r) g^{(2)}(s) g^{(2)}(t)}.
\] (5)
The local density calculations that follow are based on Eq. (4). Triplet-correlation functions between each kind of atom pair \((a_i, a_j, a_k)\) and water must be known to calculate \(p_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\). These functions are calculated from MC or MD simulations of bulk water. The fact that the calculation of pair- and triplet-correlation functions is done only once makes the theory powerful and efficient. The correlation functions are tabulated and used to calculate the local density, as defined by Eq. (4), for any biomolecule in any fixed configuration. At this point Eq. (4) represents a general theory and does not rely on any specific model for the solute or the solvent.

**Atom Classes—Polar and Nonpolar**

The indices \(a_i, \ldots, a_n\) account for different atom types in the biomolecule. A large number of correlation functions would be required to describe the hydration structure of a solvated macromolecule using Eq. (4) directly. The calculation of three-particle correlation functions for all possible triplets of particle types (i.e., \(g^{(3)}(a_i, a_j, a_k)\)) is not realistic. It is important to simplify the theoretical description with regard to the number of different atom types used to model the macromolecule. To accomplish this we will only label atoms as polar and nonpolar.

In previous work it has been shown that the PMF expansion predicts the inhomogeneous water structure around nonpolar molecular solutes. For solutes consisting of bonded sites there is a combinatorial explosion of terms in the PMF expansion in the limit of complete site overlap, indicating that higher order \((n > 3)\) correlation functions are necessary to describe the inhomogeneous hydration of nonpolar solutes correctly. However, it was found that proximity approximations can represent well the local structure of water near nonpolar solutes. The proximity approximation assumes that water organization near nonpolar solutes is only locally sensitive to the structural details of the nonpolar molecular solute. In the simplest of the proximity approximations the local structure of water due to nonpolar solutes is determined by water correlations with only the nearest nonpolar solute site. For the sake of simplicity we assume that the hydration structure of nonpolar atoms in the biomolecule is represented by the hydration structure of a methane molecule in water.

Another simplification consists of assuming that the local water densities surrounding various electronegative atoms (e.g., N, O) are similar. Electronegative oxygen and nitrogen atoms exhibit a strong tendency to form hydrogen bonds with vicinal water molecules. The geometric features of these hydrogen bonds such as bond length and bond angle are similar to those formed between water molecules. Therefore, the correlation functions between electronegative atoms and water molecules are represented by the correlation functions between water molecules in bulk water.

To differentiate between hydrogen bond donor and acceptor atoms, we keep the triplet-correlation function for covalently bonded O-H (or N-H) groups and a hydrogen bond acceptor in Eq. (4). This triplet-correlation function is different from the others since it includes the correlation between atoms that are covalently bonded. The contribution of this term, which is not already included in the KSA for polar groups, is given by

\[
\chi_{O-H...O} = g^{(3)}(r_{OO}, r_{HO}, r_{O-H}) / g^{(3)}(r_{OO}). \tag{6}
\]

The term \(\chi_{O-H...O}\) represents the correction to the local density due to hydrogen orientation in polar groups. These approximations allow us to simplify Eq. (4) to

\[
p(s|r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \rho_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n} g^{(2)}(r_{i0}) \times \prod_{i>j} g^{(3)}(r_{ij}, r_{i0}, r_{j0}) \times \prod_{i \geq 1} \chi_{O-H...O}(r_{i0}, r_{j0}, s), \tag{7}
\]

where \(g^{(3)}(r_{i0})\) is the methane-water oxygen pair-correlation function and \(r_{i0}\) is the distance between \(s\) and the closest nonpolar atom. \(\chi_{O-H...O}\) is the three-particle correction to the local density for OH...O atoms where an O-H (or N-H) pair is bonded (i.e., at a fixed distance), and the other O belongs to an oxygen atom in the water solvent. Explicit triplet correlations involving water, a polar site, and a nonpolar site have not been included. However, these terms are implicitly included within the proximity approximation for nonpolar atoms and the KSA for polar atoms. This simplified expression will be used to describe the local density of water around a model peptide. The assumptions introduced above can be easily relaxed by calculating triplet-correlation functions for various molecular groups in water solutions. At this point we have reduced the local density of water around a biomolecule to an expression that only depends on the pair- and triplet-correlation functions of bulk water and of methane in water. These correlation functions are obtained from MD simulations.
METHODS
Calculation of Water Pair- and Triplet-Correlation Functions

The triplet-correlation functions for water were calculated for a TIP3P water model.\textsuperscript{30,53} We used the OPLS force field parameters for methane. Correlation functions were calculated by averaging over configurations sampled by 1.5 ns MD simulations of 216 water molecules immersed in a cubic box with sides $L = 18.78$ Å ($\rho_0 = 1$ g/cm$^3$), at $T = 300$ K. The Coulomb interactions were modeled by a generalized reaction field model (GRF) (with cut-off distance, $R_c = 7.5$ Å, and a reaction field dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{RF} = 65.0$).\textsuperscript{31,52} Histograms of the occurrences of triangles with sides $r$, $s$, $t$ formed by all possible triplets of particles were collected in a cubic grid for triangles with sides up to 6.2 Å, with a resolution of 0.2 Å. The proper normalization factors were used to obtain $g_{rst}(r, s, t)$. In addition, triplet-correlation values for triads including a pair of bonded polar atoms in the biomolecule that are closer than non-bonded pairs in the solvent are needed for the calculation of the local density of water. Constrained MD simulations were performed to determine the triplet-correlation functions for triangles with any side shorter than 2.6 Å. In these calculations, one pair of water molecules was forced to maintain a fixed distance between oxygen atoms (from 1.9 to 2.8 Å), while all other 214 water molecules were not constrained. A typical constrained simulation extended from 0.25 to 1.0 ns. Pair-correlation functions were calculated in a 0.02 Å grid for distances up to 8.0 Å.

Local Density of Water Around a Rigid Peptide

The local density of water around an ideal $\alpha$-helix is calculated using Eq. (7), with two- and three-point correlation functions obtained for a TIP3P water model. A tri-linear interpolation function was used to interpolate the values of $g_{rst}(r, s, t)$ on the grid points. A linear interpolation was used for $g_{rst}(r)$. A grid size of 0.25 Å was used to evaluate $\rho(x, y, z)$ on a cubic box containing the model peptide. To get a quantitative description of the local density of water we also calculated the radial distribution function $g(r)$ around each atom in the $\alpha$-helix. $g(r)$ is defined as the average water oxygen density (in units of the bulk density $\rho_0$) on a sphere with radius $r$ around a given atom in the peptide. This density was calculated by choosing 10$^6$ points randomly distributed on spheres with radii $r$ at intervals of 0.1 Å.

We also performed MD simulations of the ideal, rigid $\alpha$-helix in aqueous solution to calculate the configurational averages described in Eq. (1). The local density of water around the polypeptide was determined by averaging the occupancy numbers of water molecules in a uniformly spaced three-dimensional grid covering the simulation volume. Constant (N, V, T) simulations have been extended for 1.0 ns, after an equilibration period of 125 ps. The Verlet algorithm with an integration step of 0.002 ps was used to integrate the equations of motion of the system. All water molecules were simulated as rigid molecules during the simulation. An exact Lagrange multiplier scheme was used to maintain the molecules rigid.\textsuperscript{54} The OPLS force field and a TIP3P water model were used for the simulations.

The polypeptide CH$_3$-Ala$_{18}$-NHCH$_3$ was constructed in an ideal $\alpha$-helical conformation (indexed as Ala$_2$ to Ala$_{18}$). The $(\phi, \psi)$ angles were taken as $(-48^\circ, -57^\circ)$. A united atom representation of the molecule was adopted, with uncharged N and C terminal residues. The peptide Ala$_{18}$ contains 112 atoms (including united atoms and hydrogen atoms forming part of polar groups). This system was immersed in a previously equilibrated cubic box with sides $L = 21.7275$ Å containing 343 water molecules ($\rho_0 = 1$ g/cm$^3$). The peptide was held fixed in an ideal $\alpha$-helical conformation with its helix axes aligned along the cube's principal diagonal. Atoms from different unit cells are at least 9 Å apart. All water molecules in this box that were within a distance of 2.7 Å to a non-hydrogen atom in the peptide were deleted from the system. The resulting system contains 266 water molecules. The Coulomb interactions were modeled by the GRF with a cut-off of 9.5 Å. The system was equilibrated for 125 ps at $T = 300$ K. Configurations were saved at a rate of 10 configurations/ps of simulation. The local water density was calculated in a cubic grid with $\sim 1$ Å (21.7275 Å/22) grid spacing. The local density of water was calculated for configurations obtained during 1.0 ns of simulations, and for eight subsets of 125 ps simulation segments.

RESULTS

Description of Triplet-Correlation Functions for Water

The triplet-correlation functions are easily interpreted in terms of the ratio of the probabilities of forming triangles with sides $(r, s, t)$ in a liquid and the probability of forming the same triangle by a non-interacting gas. Figure 1 shows the triplet-correlation functions, $g_{ooo}$, $g_{ooo}^{(3)\text{KSA}}$, and $t_{odo} = g_{odo}^{(3)\text{KSA}}$, corresponding to isosceles triangles $(r, s, t)$. The KSA differs from the calculated $g_{rst}^{(3)}$ at significant places. The KSA approximation overestimates the probability of forming equilateral triangles at hydrogen-bond distance $r = s = t = 2.8$ Å, while $g_{odo}^{(3)}(r, s, t)$ shows a maximum probability for $r = 2.8$ Å, $s = 2.8$ Å, $t = 4.5$ Å (and permutations of $r$, $s$, and $t$). The most probable configuration by the KSA $(r = s = t = 2.8$ Å) corresponds to three water molecules arranged in an equilateral triangle, with sides corresponding to the most probable distance.
between a pair of water molecules forming hydrogen bonds. In \( g_{OOO}(r, s, t) \) forming isosceles triangles with sides \( r \) and base \( t \), the most probable configuration \((r = s = 2.8 \, \text{Å}, t = 4.5 \, \text{Å})\) corresponds to an isosceles triangle where the base is formed by a segment between two water molecules in van der Waals contact but without forming hydrogen bonds between them, with the other two sides corresponding to the distance between two water molecules forming hydrogen bonds. The large discrepancy between the KSA and the calculated triplet-correlation functions shown above is a definite proof that the inclusion of triplet-correlation functions is necessary to describe the tetrahedral character of the water structure. The correction to the local water density due to triplet-correlation functions is given by \( \Gamma'(r, s, t) \). A value of \( \Gamma'(r, s, t) = 1 \) implies that the KSA approximation is satisfied. Large corrections are obtained for small values of \( (r, s) \) while \( \Gamma'(r, s, s) \rightarrow 1 \) for larger values of \( (r, s) \) (Fig. 1). The largest correction to the KSA by the FKSA is found for isosceles triangles with sides near 2.7–2.9 Å, as discussed above. For isosceles triangles with equal sides near 2.8 Å and base between 3.1 and 3.5 Å, the KSA underestimates the actual triplet-correlation function. The largest \( \Gamma' \) is 1.54 for \((r, s, t) = (2.9, 3.1, 3.3) \, \text{Å}\).

**Fluctuations in the Local Water Density**

The local water density around the \( \alpha \)-helix was calculated by means of the PMF approach and by MD simulations. Before proceeding to discuss the similarities between the PMF and MD simulation results we must set the basis for comparison. As a consequence of the open liquid water structure, simulation methods suffer from poor density statistics. That is, the number of counts in the local density calculation is extremely small and subject to large fluctuations. Averages over a large set of independent configurations are required to obtain ad-
equate density statistics in a coarse (i.e., 1 Å³) grid. To understand the origin of these fluctuations we consider the fluctuations $\sigma^2_N = \langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2$ in the number of particles $N$ in a volume $\Delta V$. These quantities are related to the isothermal compressibility $\chi_T$ through $\sigma^2_N = \langle N \rangle \rho_0 k_B T \chi_T$ where $\langle N \rangle = \rho_0 \Delta V$, for large volumes. For water under normal conditions, $\chi_T \sim 4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ N$^{-1}$, and $\rho_0 k_B T \chi_T \sim 0.06$. If we assume that the local water density varies considerably within 1.0 Å³, we obtain $\langle N \rangle \sim 0.0334$ and $\sigma^2_N / \langle N \rangle \sim 1$.

The size of the fluctuations in the local water density around the model peptide studied here was determined from 125 ps block averages over saved MD configurations. Figure 2 shows a plot of the calculated average water density at all points (in a 1 Å³ grid) during blocks of 125 ps of MD configurations as a function of the corresponding density averaged over the entire simulation. Density values below 3 $\rho_0$ are not shown. A straight line with slope 1 and intercept 0 indicates perfect correlation. We have also plotted lines with slope 1 but with intercepts at 2 and −2 to illustrate that variations of ±2 $\rho_0$ are common. MD water densities in a 1.0 Å³ grid give densities as high as 15 $\rho_0$. The local water density fluctuates by up to 6 bulk density units.

**Comparison of PMF and Molecular Dynamics Densities**

To compare the local water densities obtained from PMF and MD we label grid points of high density with spheres of various sizes (cyan for PMF results and magenta for MD results). We divide the local densities into three classes, $(3 < \rho / \rho_0 < 5)$; $(5 < \rho / \rho_0 < 7)$; and $(\rho / \rho_0 > 7)$. These grid points are illustrated by using spheres of sizes 0.3, 0.5, and 0.7 Å, respectively. Figure 3 shows a stereo plot of the local water density around the ideal α-helix. Water molecules are localized around the peptide bonds. The local water densities calculated by both methods are in good agreement. Quantitative agreement is better appreciated when we compare radial distribution functions, $g(r)$. Figure 4 shows the radial distribution functions of water around polar (N and O) and nonpolar atoms ($C_{\alpha}$, $C_{\beta}$, and C) in amino acids located at the N-terminus, at the center of the helix, and at the C-terminus. Water localization is mainly determined by polar groups. The strong correlations between the methyl groups of the peptide and water seen in Figure 4 are similar to those of methane in water where water molecules are correlated in the radial direction but do not show any angular preference. On the other hand, O
and N atoms show an angular preference of interaction with water molecules resulting in the water density pattern seen in Figure 3.

The PMF calculation reproduces well the correlation functions \( g(r) \) over the distance range \( 0 < r \leq 6 \) Å, including peak positions and heights. The agreement is best for the fully exposed C-terminal end and the central part of the helix. At the N-terminal side, MD gives somewhat higher densities (about 20%) than the PMF calculation. The correlation functions \( g(r) \) of atoms in the central part of the helix (Ala9 in Fig. 4) show little structure because the excluded volume dominates the spherical averages. The correlation functions of solvent-exposed atoms are more structured (atoms of Ala2 and Ala19 as well as \( C_\beta \) atoms).

**DISCUSSION**

We have described a method of studying the structural hydration of biomolecules. This method is based on a statistical mechanical description of the local water density in terms of particle correlation functions. Our approach involves four main approximations: 1) in the expansion of the local water density in terms of lower order correlation functions it is sufficient to include two- and three-particle correlations; 2) the hydration near nonpolar solutes is local and is determined by the hydration of the nearest nonpolar atom and all nonpolar atoms are represented by methane; 3) inter-particle correlations involving one or two polar atoms in the solute and the solvent are well represented by bulk water oxygen and hydrogen correlation functions; and 4) the role of ions and dynamical fluctuations in the biomolecule’s hydration structure is neglected.

The effects of each of these approximations in the local density can be assessed. Approximation 1 has been tested by comparing calculated water densities with extensive Monte Carlo simulations of a water-ice interface. The grid size is 0.25 Å for the PMF and 1.0 Å for the MD calculations. Larger radii are used for the MD results since there are 64 times more sampled grid points for the PMF than for the MD calculations. Atoms in the peptide are colored according to atom type: gray for C, blue for N, red for O, and white for H.
Inclusion of three-particle correlation functions the local density of the region closest to the interface was well reproduced by the PMF approach. The local density at regions farther from the water-ice interface showed slight variations, indicating that higher order correlations might be important for larger distances. However, the contribution due to higher order correlations is significantly smaller than errors introduced by other approximations. Approximation 2 has been tested by comparing extensive Monte Carlo simulations of ideal nonpolar solutes composed of nonpolar (methane) atoms within bonding distance. We found that the proximity approximation introduced by Ashbaugh and Paulatis reproduced the radial and angular distribution of water around these solutes. Two proximity approximations, proximity-1 (where only the nearest nonpolar neighbor determines the contribution from all nonpolar atoms) and proximity-2 (where two nearest nonpolar neighbors determine the contribution to the local hydration from all nonpolar atoms) gave similar results. Proximity-1 is the simplest of these approximations and was used in our PMF calculations.

The PMF description of the structural hydration and radial distribution functions was accurate. The PMF overestimates the extent of local water density near the C-terminus of the α-helix. However, the radial distribution functions are qualitatively and quantitatively in agreement with the MD simulation results. In the MD simulations the carbonyl group (CO) localizes water over a much narrower solid angle around the CO bond that can be accounted for by the bulk water correlation function. This discrepancy in the local water densities can be attributed to approximations 2 and 3 concerning the number of atom-types considered in the calculations. Both approximations can, in principle, be removed by including correlations between more nonpolar groups or by explicitly including the C-O multiple point correlation functions.

The effects of dynamical fluctuations and ions, approximation 4, have been avoided by studying rigid systems without ions. When comparing PMF results with crystallographic data, the effect of hydrogen delocalization due to bond rotations can be accounted for by neglecting the directional O-H and N-H contributions to the local density, contributed by the $\chi_{\text{H-O}}$ correlation function in Eq. (7). Large-scale atomic fluctuations involving large groups of atoms, characteristic of proteins, cannot be easily included. However, the local structure (atoms within 5–7 Å) determines most of the hydration structure. On this scale, positional fluctuations of protein atoms is mostly limited to a fraction of an Ångström. Thus, the structural hydration is, in most cases, only slightly affected by protein dynamics.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented a robust and accurate method of describing the local density of water around any biomolecule. This method is based on the statistical mechanics of liquids. The accuracy of the method has been tested directly by studying a model peptide. The PMF approach takes as input pair- and triplet-correlation functions of bulk water and a simple nonpolar solute in water. Although the calculation of these correlation functions requires extensive simulations of bulk water or dilute solute-water systems, these functions are calculated only once. Thereafter, they are tabulated and used for all subsequent calculations. Additional correlation functions can be added when necessary. The PMF approach truncated to triplet-correlation functions involves O(N) opera-
tions per density point, where N is the number of atoms in the biomolecule. The PMF method is also local, i.e., we can calculate the water density at a point of interest without calculating the density elsewhere since the correlations among various points on a biomolecule’s surface are included in the correlation functions used to calculate the local density. Simulation methods involve thousands of O(N²) iterations, and the correlations among water molecules are included by way of explicit configurational averaging. These features make the PMF computation dramatically more efficient. The PMF method is particularly useful for calculations of large biomolecular systems (i.e., t-RNA, photosynthetic reaction center, antigen-antibody complexes, protein-DNA complexes) where high-resolution structures are available but the extensive simulations required to obtain moderate accuracy in the local water density are not yet possible. The efficiency of the PMF method also allows for testing the role of single point mutations in the localization of water molecules and for studying the hydration of the active sites of large proteins.
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