Methods for studying synaptosomal copper release
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Abstract

Cu is thought to play an important role in the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases, such as Wilson’s, Alzheimer’s, and probably in prion protein diseases like Creutzfeld–Jakob’s disease. Until now, no method existed to determine the concentration of this cation in vivo. Here, we present two possible approaches combined with a critical comparison of the results. The successful use of fluorescent ligands for the determination of Ca 2+-concentrations in recent years encouraged us to seek a fluorophore which specifically reacts to Cu 2+ and to characterize it for our purposes. We found that the emission of TSPP (tetrakis-(4-sulfophenyl)porphine) at an emission wavelength of 645 nm is in vitro highly specific to Cu 2+ (apparent dissociation constant \( K_d = 0.43 \pm 0.07 \) µM at pH 7.4). It does not react with the most common divalent cations in the brain, Ca 2+ and Mg 2+, unlike most of the other dyes examined. In addition, Zn 2+ quenches TSPP fluorescence at a different emission wavelength (605 nm) with a \( K_d \) of 50 ± 2.5 µM (pH 7.0). With these findings, we applied the measurement of Cu with TSPP to a biological system, showing for the first time in vivo that there is release of copper by synaptosomes upon depolarisation. Our findings were validated with a completely independent analytical approach based on ICP-MS (inductively-coupled-plasma mass-spectrometry).
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1. Introduction

The divalent copper ion is an essential trace metal, which plays a fundamental role in the biochemistry of the human nervous system. Inherited disorders of the copper metabolism such as Menkes’ and Wilson’s diseases have dramatic neurodegenerative phenotypes and underscore this essential role of copper in the brain (DiDonato and Sarkar, 1997). Also neuronal injury in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer disease, Parkinson disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD) are thought to be at least in part due to alterations in copper metabolism (Waggoner et al., 1999). Copper is known for the catalytic activity of enzymes which probably play a role in these diseases, including tyrosinase for melanin synthesis, cytochrome c oxidase for the electron transport in the mitochondrial respiratory chain, Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase for antioxidant defense, and dopamine β-hydroxylase for catecholamine biosynthesis. In Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease the pathogenetic link is due to the copper-binding ability of the cellular prion protein (PrP c) (Brown et al., 1997), a protein which accumulates in its conformational altered isoform (PrP Sc) in diseased brains. Considering the importance of copper, it is astonishing that there is yet no method to determine Cu-concentrations in vivo.

Evaluating a group of fluorescent ligands in vitro, we found tetrakis-(4-sulfophenyl)-porphine (TSPP, see inlay of Fig. 2A) to be a possible Cu 2+-specific indicator. Other fluorophores, such as tetrakis-(4-carboxyphenyl)-porphine (TCPP), Phen Green, and most of the common
calcium indicators (Fura Red, Fluo 3, Ca Green 1, Ca Crimson) either didn’t react with copper at all or were also influenced by other metal ions tested (Na⁺, K⁺, Cu⁺₂, Zn⁺², Mg⁺², Cd⁺², Co⁺², Cd⁺²⁺, Mn⁺², and Fe⁺³). The corresponding apparent dissociation constants (K_d) were determined (Table 1) as described below.

After acquiring the results in vitro, we applied the dye to a biological system by studying depolarization of synaptosomes. We finally made a crosscheck of our results by analyzing the total copper concentration in the surplus of synaptosomal solutions with another independent analytical method, inductively-coupled-plasma mass-spectrometry (ICP-MS). Regarding the findings we are able to present here a method to study Cu⁺²-concentrations in vivo using TSPP as a Cu⁺²-specific fluorophore. With this method, we were able to show for the first time qualitatively and quantitatively a depolarization-induced release of copper by synaptosomes.

2. Methods

All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (Millipore, Eschborn, FRG) with a minimal resistance of 18 MΩ all reagents were analytical grade. The water was additionally filtered with Chelex-100 (Sigma–Aldrich, Deisenhofen, GER) before use. All dyes were purchased from Molecular Probes (Leiden, NL). After each measurement the cuvette was washed with Hellmanex II (Hellma, Essex), with a solution of 10 mM EGTA (Sigma–Aldrich, Deisenhofen, GER), then three times with Chelex water and finally with ethanol. It was dried with N₂.

2.1. Cell permeability of TSPP

We used a LSM 410 (Zeiss, Jena, GER) confocal microscope to image the autofluorescence of bovine chromaffin cells, which was maximal if imaged with a bandpass filter of 510–525 nm (Zeiss, Jena, GER). The preparation of bovine chromaffin cells was similar to the method of Smith (1999). After adding TSPP to the physiological buffer to a final concentration of 10 µM, we took images of the autofluorescence and the fluorescence of TSPP (filter 700±40 nm, Omega Optical, Brattleboro, USA) every 10 min, exciting the dye with a 488 nm Ar-ion laser. TSPP fluorescence was acquired with a red-sensitive photomultiplier (R3896, Hamamatsu, Munich, GER).

2.2. Spectrum of the dyes

All fluorescence spectra were recorded with a LS50 spectrofluorometer (Perkin–Elmer, Norwalk, USA) at (37±0.5) ºC, with exception of one experiment determining the influence of the temperature on the dissociation constant of TSPP with Cu⁺². The temperature was determined before and after every experimental proce-

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Ca-Green-1 Ex. 488 nm</th>
<th>Ca-Crimson Ex. 570 nm</th>
<th>Fura Red Ex. 488 nm</th>
<th>Fluo-3 Ex. 488 nm</th>
<th>TSP Ex. 414 nm</th>
<th>TCP Ex. 414 nm</th>
<th>Phen Green Ex. 488 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca⁺²⁺</td>
<td>529 nm ↑</td>
<td>607 nm ↑</td>
<td>620 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↑</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>645 nm ↑</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg⁺²⁺</td>
<td>529 nm ↓</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn⁺²⁺</td>
<td>607 nm ↑</td>
<td>605 nm ↑</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>605 nm ↑</td>
<td>9.00 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu⁺²⁺</td>
<td>529 nm ↓</td>
<td>607 nm ↓</td>
<td>620 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co⁺²⁺</td>
<td>529 nm ↓</td>
<td>607 nm ↓</td>
<td>620 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd⁺⁺⁺</td>
<td>620 nm ↓</td>
<td>605 nm ↓</td>
<td>605 nm ↓</td>
<td>605 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe⁺³⁺</td>
<td>529 nm ↓</td>
<td>607 nm ↓</td>
<td>620 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>645 nm ↓</td>
<td>525 nm ↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of the complexation of several metal ions with the fluorescent ligands under study. The maximal emission wavelength is given for the utilized excitation wavelength. The arrow indicates, if the fluorescence increases, or decreases due to quenching. Co⁺²⁺ shows only a very faint decrease in fluorescence. The apparent dissociations constants, K_d, were determined via linear and non-linear regression, no entry means that no significant change in the fluorescence of the dye was observed with the corresponding ion. If not noted in the table, the experimental standard deviation of the mean is below the estimated error of 5%.
dure by a digital thermoelement, which was put into the cuvette. Scan speed was 1500 mm/min; the excitation and emission slit were adjusted to a spectral bandpass of 5 nm. We used a magnetic stirrer (position ‘high’ in FLWinlab) to ensure a fast mixing of the solutions added. All UV–Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a dual wavelength photometer (Aminco-Bowman DW 200).

We prepared stock solutions of the dyes, either in water (Ca Green, Fura Red, Phen Green) or in anhydrous DMSO (TSPP, TCPP, Fluo-3, Ca Crimson). Then, parts of the stock solutions were further diluted in water and sonificated for 2 min. For optimization of the dye concentration, we recorded an intensity–concentration plot by adding small amounts of dye to water and measuring the intensity of the peaks at the characteristic emission wavelengths for each dye (see Table 1). In the range above 20 μM, it was more exact and more convenient to change this procedure and add small amounts of water to a concentrated dye solution. The excitation wavelengths used are also summarized in Table 1 for each dye. To avoid changes in fluorescence due to changes in the pH, we added 10 mM HEPES (Sigma–Aldrich, Deisenhofen, GER) and adjusted the solutions to pH 7.0 with 1 M NaOH. Na⁺ and HEPES do not change the fluorescence of TSPP even at high concentrations, if the pH is held constant (> 200 mM Na⁺, > 50 mM HEPES).

2.3. Measurement of the dissociation constants

By adding small amounts of a stock solution of the different metals (CuCl₂, CoSO₄, CdCl₂, FeCl₃, ZnSO₄, CaCl₂, MgCl₂, MnCl₂) to 2 ml of the known Ca²⁺ dyes, Ca Green-1 (0.5 μM), Fluo-3 (2.5 μM), Fura Red (20 μM), Ca Crimson (2.5 μM) as well as the new fluorescence probes TSPP (10, 2, 0.5 μM), TCPP (10 μM), Phen Green (2 μM), we could determine the relation between the fluorescence, measured as an intensity at a characteristic emission wavelength (summarized in Table 1), and the concentration of the added metal. We did not add more than 20 μl volume to the cuvette to avoid errors caused simply by concentration effects. After the addition of every small portion of the metal solutions, we waited before continuing to measure until there was no change in fluorescence for several minutes. Using the law of mass action, we were thus able to determine the apparent dissociation constant K_D from the measured fluorescence intensity–concentration-plot (see below) via linear and non-linear least-squares fits. To evaluate the dynamics of the Cu²⁺-binding, we added 0.5, 0.6, and 10 μM Cu²⁺ to a solution of 10 μM TSPP under the same conditions as above and measured every 6 s the intensity at 645 nm.

To estimate the influence of changes in the physiological pH range in vivo on the K_D of TSPP, we measured the dissociation constants at pH values between 5 and 7.4. After each measurement the pH of the solutions was verified (10 mM HEPES at pH values of 6.5–7.4, 10 mM MES (Sigma–Aldrich, Deisenhofen, GER) at pH values of 5.0–6.5). In addition, we determined the apparent K_D of TSPP for different temperatures (20–40 °C). Sufficient data on these relationships have already been published for all the calcium indicators (Lattanzio, 1989; Minta et al., 1989). To calculate the amount of inner filtering of TSPP and Phen Green, we measured the absorption of these dyes at the characteristic excitation wavelength and at the emission wavelength for concentrations between 0.4 and 25 μM, 0.5 and 10 μM, respectively. Further, we studied changes in the absorption when Cu²⁺ is added or pH is changed.

2.4. Preparation of synaptosomes, measurement of the release with glutamate and Ca²⁺

Synaptosomes were prepared according to the method of McMahon and Nicholls (1991). We used a sodium buffer with (in mM) 10 glucose, 5 KCl, 140 NaCl, 5 NaHCO₃, 1 MgCl₂, 1.2 Na₂HPO₄, 20 HEPES, pH 7.4. After preparation, we checked the vitality of the synaptosomes by measuring the release of glutamate (McMahon and Nicholls, 1991). We took up one pellet (1.25 mg protein) in 1 ml buffer. After incubation in a water bath (37 °C) for 10 min while stirring with a magnetic stirrer, we put the solution in a dual wavelength photometer (Aminco DW 200). We added 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM NADP, 60 U glutamate dehydrogenase. While recording the absorption simultaneously at 360 and 380 nm, we depolarized the synaptosomes with 50 mM KCl. After reaching equilibrium, we added 40 μl of 20% Triton X-100 (Sigma–Aldrich, Deisenhofen, GER), which destroys the synaptosomes and liberates huge amounts of glutamate. The crosscheck was carried out by adding 0.5 mM EGTA instead of CaCl₂ to make synaptic vesicle release impossible.

Secretion by the synaptosomes was also demonstrated by measuring intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations with Fura-2-AM (Adamson et al., 1990; Whatley et al., 1995). Placing two pellets (= 2.5 mg protein) in 2 ml buffer solution, we incubated it with 4 μM Fura-2-AM for 20 min at 37 °C while stirring with a magnetic stirrer. According to Whatley et al. (1995) Fura-2-AM has diffused into the cell after this period of time. However, to avoid disturbing background fluorescence, we removed the part of Fura-2-AM, which might not have diffused into the synaptosomes until then, by centrifuging for 5 min at 14 000 rpm (3000 g) and redissolving the pellet in 37 °C buffer thereafter. In the spectrofluorometer we acquired the fluorescence excitation spectra at 340 and 380 nm simultaneously (emission wavelength 510 nm). After recording the fluorescence blank, we added CaCl₂ (1.3 mM), then we depolarized...
the synaptosomes with KCl (50 mM). Between each measurement, we waited some minutes for the fluorescence signal to stabilize. For a crosscheck, we used EGTA (0.5 mM) instead of CaCl₂. Control experiments were performed in the presence of botulinus toxin, which blocks synaptic vesicle release (Bruns et al., 1997).

2.5. Measurement of exocytosis with FM 1-43

The measurement of exocytosis was achieved with FM 1-43, a styrryl dye (Smith and Betz, 1996). We added 2 μM FM 1-43 to the preincubated solution of two pellets (2.5 mg protein) in 2 ml sodium buffer. We excited the fluorescence at 510 nm and measured the fluorescence emission at 626 nm. After adding CaCl₂ or EGTA, we depolarized the synaptosomes with KCl as previously in the Ca²⁺ measurement.

2.6. Cu, Zn, Fe release with TSPP

TSPP was added to preincubated synaptosomes (two pellets or 2.5 mg protein in 2 ml sodium buffer) in a concentration of 10 μM. We added the same solutions as before, but excited them at 414 nm and acquired the fluorescence at 405 and 445 nm for measuring Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ release, respectively. The crosscheck was performed with EGTA and botulinus toxin instead of CaCl₂, as in the experiments before.

2.7. Validation of metal ion release by ICP-MS

Synaptosomes were prepared and their vitality was checked by measuring the release of glutamate as described above. After depolarization (KCl, 50 mM) synaptosomes were separated from the supernatant by centrifugation (14 000 × g) and the content of Cu, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Zn in the solution in comparison to the control condition without depolarization was determined.

The solutions were diluted with millipore water 1:5 and spiked with an internal ¹⁰³Rh standard (final concentration 10 μg/l). The system was calibrated via serial dilutions of appropriate single and multielement standards (Merck, Darmstadt, FRG). The reported concentrations were corrected for reagent blanks. The isotopes selected were: ⁶⁵Cu, ⁵⁵Mn, ⁶⁰Fe, and ⁶⁶Zn. Isobaric interferences, i.e. ³⁷Cl¹⁸O for ⁵⁵Mn and ³⁷Cl¹⁴N₂ for ⁶⁵Cu, originating from the buffer and depolarization limited the detection limit and accuracy below 1 μg/l. However, preliminary studies revealed negligible Cu blanks in all reagents.

An Elan 6000 ICP-MS (Perkin–Elmer, Sciex, Toronto, Canada) was employed in combination with a conventional cross flow nebulizer, a peristaltic pump for sample introduction, and an AS-91 autosampler. We used a Rf power of 1100 W, a sample uptake of 1 ml/min and wash time of 4 min after each sample, a nebulizer gas flow of 0.8 l/min, and a dwell time per mass of 100 ms with 40 scans per sample and five replicates. Quality control was established by evaluation of aqueous solutions of multielement standards and matrix matched (see above) aqueous solutions of these standards.

2.8. Estimation of Kᵩ

The dissociation of a complex with a dye and n metal ions [dye—metalₙ] is given by

\[ \text{[dye—metalₙ]} \leftrightarrow \text{dye} + n \cdot \text{metal} \]  

(1)

According to the law of mass action the corresponding dissociation constant Kᵩ is determined by

\[ Kᵩ = \frac{[\text{dye}][\text{metal}^n]}{[\text{dye} - \text{metal}^n]} \]  

(2)

where [dye], [metal], [dye—metalₙ] are the concentrations of the dye, the metal ion, and the complex, respectively. Kᵩ refers to the formation of an (association) complex with quenched or enhanced fluorescence, the measured constants are therefore apparent dissociation constants under the experimental conditions not the thermodynamic equilibrium values. Given the total concentration of the dye [dye]₀ = [dye]₀+[dye—metalₙ], we can calculate the fraction of complexed dye f:

\[ f = \frac{[\text{dye—metal}]}{[\text{dye}]} = \frac{[\text{metal}^n]}{Kᵩ + [\text{metal}^n]} \]  

(3)

For TSPP, the fluorescence F decreases by adding copper from its maximum value Fₘₐₓ when [Cu²⁺] = 0, down to Fₘᵢₙ = 0. With n = 1, we get:

\[ F = Fₘₐₓ - (Fₘₐₓ - Fₘᵢₙ) \frac{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}] + Kᵩ} \]  

(4a)

and

\[ [\text{Cu}^{2+}] = Kᵩ \frac{(1 - r)}{r} \text{ with } r = \frac{F}{Fₘₐₓ} \]  

(4b)

and [Cu²⁺] the concentration of copper ions. Eq. (4a) is equivalent to the well known Stern–Volmer (SV) Eq. (4b) for dynamic or static quenching of a fluorophore. The quencher concentration [Q] is here equivalent to [metal] and whereas Kᵩ⁻¹ corresponds to the SV constant. Similarly, we can calculate the concentration of zinc ions [Zn²⁺], taking into account that the fluorescence of TSPP increases this time:
with about 0.6 μm for $d_{\text{synaptosomes}}$, 0.06 μm for $d_{\text{vesicle}}$ (Dunkley et al., 1986, 1988) and $V = 2$ ml. In total, the concentration of copper ions in synaptic vesicles is proportional to the measured concentration [Cu] in the cuvette after release divided by the increase of fluorescence $f - 1$ of FM 1-43. We assume the protein content p.c. to be proportional to $n_{\text{synaptosomes}}$, connected by the constant $\rho_{\text{synaptosomes}}$ which represents the mean protein weight per synaptosome. Thus we find, using

$$n_{\text{synaptosome}} = \frac{\text{p.c.} \cdot V}{\rho_{\text{synaptosome}}},$$

for the copper concentration in the synaptic vesicle:

$$[\text{Cu}]_{\text{vesicle}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{synaptosomes}}}{\text{p.c.}} \frac{[\text{Cu}]}{(1 - f_{\text{FM}})} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{\text{synaptosomes}} \cdot d_{\text{vesicle}} \cdot (1/6) \cdot \pi}.$$

2.11. Estimation of copper binding to proteins

Normally, most of the copper is bound to proteins, like ceruloplasmin (Brown et al., 1997). Thus, the equilibrium after addition of TSPP is represented by

$$\text{TSPP} + \text{Cu} + \text{Protein} \leftrightarrow \text{Protein} + \text{Cu} + \text{TSPP}.$$ 

As TSPP is only sensitive to Cu$^{2+}$, while copper is mostly bound to proteins as Cu$^+$, we have to assume a fast oxidation step of Cu$^+$ after release before binding to TSPP. Then, the simplified dissociation constant $K_d$ of this reaction is

$$K_d = \frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}] \cdot [\text{TSPP}]}{[\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}] \cdot [\text{Protein}]}.$$

This gives with the dissociation constants of the partial reactions, $K_{\text{Protein}}$ and $K_{\text{TSPP}}$:

$$\text{Protein} - \text{Cu} \leftrightarrow \text{Protein} + \text{Cu} \rightarrow K_{\text{protein}}$$

$$= \frac{[\text{Protein}] \cdot [\text{Cu}]}{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]} \quad (13\text{a})$$

$$\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu} \leftrightarrow \text{TSPP} + \text{Cu} \rightarrow K_{\text{TSPP}}$$

$$= \frac{[\text{TSPP}] \cdot [\text{Cu}]}{[\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}]} \quad (13\text{b})$$

so $K_D = K_{\text{TSPP}}/K_{\text{protein}}$. Using $f_{\text{TSPP}} = [\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}]/[\text{TSPP}]$, this yields:

$$K_{\text{TSPP}} = \frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}] \cdot ([\text{TSPP}]_0 - [\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}])}{[\text{Protein}] \cdot [\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}]}$$

$$K_{\text{protein}} = \frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}] \cdot [\text{TSPP}]}{[\text{Protein}] \cdot [\text{TSPP} - \text{Cu}]}.$$
\[
\frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]}{[\text{Protein}]} = f_{\text{TSPP}} \frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]}{[\text{Protein}]} = \frac{[\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]}{[\text{Protein}]} \left( \frac{1}{f_{\text{TSPP}}} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{f_{\text{Protein}}} - 1 \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{f_{\text{TSPP}}} - 1 \right)
\]

TSPP is characterized by a considerable molar extinction coefficient, which is typical for the phorphyrins in general (Falk, 1975; Biesaga et al., 2000 and references therein). At the utilized excitation wavelength \( \lambda = 414 \) nm (Soret band) we found an extinction coefficient of \( \varepsilon_{414\text{nm}} = 427\,000 \pm 42\,000 \) at the emission wavelength \( \lambda = 645 \) nm \( \varepsilon_{645\text{nm}} = 470\,00 \pm 2700 \) (Fig. 1A with nine measurements), so that the inner filtering effect is probably the reason why the fluorescence intensity vs. concentration plot shown in Fig. 1B shows a non-linear behavior above [TSPP] = 0.8 \( \mu \)M. With the value of \( \varepsilon \) it is possible to calculate a correction factor compensating for the inner filtering. However, absorbance at \( \lambda = 414 \) and 645 nm is only dependent on the pH, while the dependence upon the small shift in the absorption spectrum (see Fig. 2C) with the addition of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) can be neglected. Thus, the influence of inner filtering is the same for \( F \) as for \( F_{\text{max}} \) and can be neglected, if ratios are used for calculating \( [\text{Cu}^{2+}] \). Phen Green is excited best at 488 nm. Although the absorbance at the excitation wavelength for a concentration of 2 \( \mu \)M is already \( A = 0.125 \) according to Fig. 1C, the inner filter effect is not very significant and the correction factor is calculated to be \( k = 1.10 \).

3. Results

First, we analyzed the characteristics of the dyes, starting with the excitation, respectively the absorption of TSPP and Phen Green. The corresponding values of the \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) indicators are already sufficiently described in the literature (Kao, 1994; Haughland, 1996).

3.1. Absorption of TSPP and Phen Green

The fluorescence emission spectra of the most promising copper ligands are summarized in Fig. 2A. TSPP and TCPP have similar excitation and emission spectra, both are excited best at 414 nm and have a fluorescence peak at 645 nm with a shoulder at around 700 nm. Phen Green displayed at the emission maximum of 525 nm a very bright fluorescence compared to similar concentrations of TSPP (Fig. 2A).
3.3. Dissociation constants, dynamics, pH- and temperature-dependence of fluorescence

The apparent dissociation constants were determined as described above via linear and non-linear regression analysis and are summarized in Table 1. TSPP did not react to even high amounts of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$. Taking into account the thermodynamic equilibrium constants reported by Jimenez et al. (1991), we can safely assume that the reaction is probably too slow under the experimental conditions (pH-value and aqueous system).

Further, difficulties can arise by geometric considerations as only cations with a ionic radius between 60 and 70 pm can fit into the porphyrin ring. Larger cations such as Cd$^{2+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$ can only form an out-of-plane complex on top of the molecule (see Falk, 1975; Tanaka, 1983; Turay and Hambright, 1980). There was a comparably weak interaction with Fe$^{3+}$, decreasing the fluorescence at 645 nm ($K_D = 12 \pm 0.6$ $\mu$M, Fig. 3B). The increase caused by addition of Co$^{2+}$ ($K_D = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ $\mu$M) was almost negligible (Fig. 3C) and—contrary to the information of Molecular Probes (Haughland, 1996)—no changes were to be seen with Cd$^{2+}$ (Fig. 3D) and Mn$^{2+}$, probably again due to the slow formation of the sterically not favorable complexes. Zn$^{2+}$ caused a strong increase in fluorescence at 605 nm ($K_D = 50 \pm 2.5$ $\mu$M), while Cu$^{2+}$ quenched its fluorescence at 645 nm completely with a $K_D$ of $0.43 \pm 0.07$ $\mu$M (Fig. 3A). The linear regression of our data (taken from Fig. 3A) to Eq. (4a), which is equivalent to a Stern–Volmer plot (Fig. 3B), was highly linear ($r^2 = 0.94$) and indicated a pure dynamic or static quench process. The quenching of the fluorescence by Cu$^{2+}$ is related to its paramagnetic electronic configuration ([Ar]3d9), which increases triplet transitions and results in a reduction of fluorescence from the TSPP molecule. The ionic radius of Zn$^{2+}$ (73 pm) permits also a fit into the porphyrin nucleus, the increase in fluorescence is probably due to the reduction of internal conversion processes by the gain in structural rigidity of TSPP upon complexation. The increase at 605 nm, though, had no effect on the fluorescence at 645 nm (Fig. 2B). The size of Cu$^{2+}$ (ionic radius of 73 pm) is sufficient to fit into the porphyrin nucleus of TSPP, while the ionic radius of Cu$^+$ (91 pm) does not allow a complex formation. Jimenez et al. (1991) reported only a small shift of 1 nm in the absorption spectrum on complexation of copper (80:20 mixture of DMSO–water). Fig. 2C reveals this small shift from 414 to 413 nm upon complexation of TSPP with Cu$^{2+}$. Significant changes are observed between 500 and 600 nm. The complexation leads to a new band at 539 nm and the disappearance of the band at 515 nm which support the formation of the complex. This shift was also observed by Jimenez et al. (1991), however under different conditions. The two other
bands at 551 and 582 nm are overlapped with the strong peak at 539 nm. The formation of the complex is relatively fast, compared e.g. to the Zn complex as already described by Turay and Hambright (1980), and verified by Fig. 5E.

With the $K_d$ being approximately 0.5 $\mu$M for $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ it is recommended that at least 5 $\mu$M of the dye is used to capture a representative amount of the added $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ions. This concentration, on the other hand, is already associated with a very high absorbance ($A = 2.39$) and as a consequence inner filtering, which can result in artifacts if the filter effect is not compensated. The $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ concentration can be determined from Eq. (5). In contrast to TSPP, the other $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ indicators Phen Green and TCPP showed much poorer specificity to

![Fig. 3. Change of fluorescence of TSPP as a consequence of quenching by different metal ions. Change of fluorescence of TSPP as a consequence of quenching by (A) $\text{Cu}^{2+}$, $\text{Zn}^{2+}$, (C) $\text{Fe}^{3+}$, (D) $\text{Co}^{2+}$ and (E) $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ ions; excitation at 414 nm, emission wavelengths as shown. (B) shows the corresponding Stern–Volmer plot (see Eq. (4b)) for $\text{Cu}/\text{TSPP}$ ($r^2 = 0.94$). Via linear and non-linear regression the following apparent dissociation constants were determined: $K_d = 0.43 \pm 0.07$ $\mu$M at 645 nm for $\text{Cu}^{2+}$, $K_d = 50 \pm 2.5$ $\mu$M at 605 nm for $\text{Zn}^{2+}$, $K_d = 12 \pm 0.6$ $\mu$M at 645 nm for $\text{Fe}^{3+}$, $K_d = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ $\mu$M for $\text{Co}^{2+}$. No significant changes were to be seen upon addition of $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ ions. The error bars for the ordinate of A–D indicate the mean and standard error, respectively, of three different measurements. The error in concentration of 5% represents deviations due to dilution of the metal ions.]

![Fig. 4. Dependence of dissociation constant of TSPP with $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ on pH and temperature, cell permeability. (A) The dissociation constant $K_d$ of TSPP with $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ changes significantly with pH, when pH $< 6.0$. As in Fig. 1, the error bars indicate mean and standard error of three different measurements. The pH value was measured with a standard pH-meter, which has an error of $\pm 0.2$. (B) Within the temperature range of 20–37 °C the $K_d$ of TSPP with $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ seems to be roughly constant. The temperature was measured with a digital thermo element (error $\pm 0.5 ^\circ$C). It was held constant by a water circuit inside the LS 50 spectrometer. The experiment was conducted in the same way as in (A). (C) Confocal microscope image exhibiting the autofluorescence of bovine chromaffin cells at 510–525 nm on the right and on the left at 700–40 nm the fluorescence of 10 $\mu$M TSPP, only to be found outside the cells, after about an hour of incubation. The fluorescence was excited by a 488 nm Ar-Ion laser.]

examined metals except Mg$^{2+}$. Phen Green reacted in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, e.g. Ca Crimson and Fluo-3 (cf. Table 1).

No significant changes of $K_d$ (Cu$^{2+}$) with respect to temperature was observed for temperatures between 20 and 37 °C, as indicated in Fig. 4A. This observation strongly points to a static quench process as the SV constant and albeit $K_d$ should increase with increasing temperature in case of dynamic (collisional) quenching (Lakowicz, 1999). Further, the pH causes the increase of $K_d$(Cu$^{2+}$), when reduced below 6.0 (Fig. 4B) which agrees with earlier findings (Jimenez et al., 1991; Turay and Hambright, 1980).

3.4. Membrane permeability of TSPP

TSPP does not penetrate the cell membrane of bovine chromaffin cells. Even after an incubation time of 1 h, no fluorescence from TSPP was observed within the chromaffin cells. At the places where the cells were observed with bright field illumination, we found bright dots at 500 (autofluorescence of the chromaffin cells), and at 700 nm we found black dots with a bright background (see Fig. 4C) showing TSPP fluorescence only outside of the cells.

3.5. Synaptosomal copper release studied with TSPP

In order to study copper release after exocytosis using TSPP, we performed functional studies on synaptosomes. Thirty-seven nerve terminal preparations were performed as described in Section 2. The quality of every preparation was analyzed by measuring spectrophotometrically glutamate release following the reduction of NADP by glutamate dehydrogenase (see Section 2). One example is shown in Fig. 5A. Copper measurements were carried out by preincubating the sympa-
somes for 5 min and applying 10 μM TSPP to the solution. Depolarization of the synaptosomes leads to a significant decrease in fluorescence, indicating copper release (Fig. 5B). Although the diamagnetic Cu$^{2+}$ ion ([Ar]3d$^{10}$) is not uncommon in protein–copper complexes, the strong fluorescence quenching is caused by the paramagnetic Cu$^{2+}$, so that we can safely assume that in vivo observations are due to Cu$^{2+}$. No decrease was observed in the presence of EGTA, indicating that copper indeed was released when synaptic vesicle release takes place (see Fig. 5B). The complex formation (i.e. $K_d$) for Cu$^{2+}$ and EGTA is in the order 10$^{18}$, so that the copper is preferentially bound to the EGTA after release. The same results were observed with synaptosomes preincubated with botulinum neurotoxin, which is known to block synaptosomal release (Fig. 5C). For a semiquantitative estimation of the amount of copper released from the synaptosomes, 0.2 μM Cu$^{2+}$ was added after depolarization. Fig. 5D depicts that the standard addition yielded a kinetic similar to the estimated copper concentration reached in the cuvette when synaptosomes are depolarized; an additional 25 μM CuCl$_2$ quenched the fluorescence completely. The released copper concentration was determined via Eq. (4a), with the known volume of the solution (2 ml) the absolute amount of copper was calculated. The released amount of copper was found to depend significantly on the protein content in the synaptosomal preparations (Fig. 5F), so that p.c. (compare Eq. (11)) was used for normalization. The fit (linear regression) gives the relation:

$$[\text{Cu}^{2+}] = 1.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5} \left( \frac{\text{mol Cu}^{2+}}{\text{g protein used}} \right)$$

(15)

The amount of copper released with depolarization of synaptosomes measured with the fluorophore (0.9 ± 0.1 ng/mg protein) is in the order of 25% of the amount of copper measured with ICP-MS (3.9±1.0 ng/mg protein). The ICP-MS measurements revealed also elevated concentrations of iron after depolarization (6.4±2.8 ng Fe/mg protein). Due to the considerable difference of the dissociation constants, the iron content had a negligible influence on the TSPP quenching by copper. Further, we found a significant rise in Zn after depolarization of 20.1±5.5 ng Zn/mg protein with TSPP and 15.7±5.8 ng Zn/mg protein with ICP-MS (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

There is increasing evidence that copper ions play an important role in several neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease and CJD (Bush, 2000).

Synaptic degeneration is an early and prominent feature in these diseases, and it has been hypothesized that it may in part be due to alterations in the distribution of free copper ions. However, only limited data exist on the release of copper at the synaptic cleft (Hartter and Barnea, 1988; Kardos et al., 1990), a phenomenon that could be involved in the pathogenic mechanisms of synaptic degeneration in these diseases. To study the release of copper at the synaptic cleft in vivo, we tried to identify a fluorophore that is selectively quenched by copper ions. TSPP was observed to be quenched selectively and rapidly (Fig. 5E) at 645 nm by Cu$^{2+}$ and additionally by Zn$^{2+}$ at 605 nm. As each of the ions did not influence the spectrum of the other, TSPP even allows the measurement of concentrations of both ions simultaneously (Fig. 2B). The fluorescence at 645 nm was not significantly affected by any of the metal ions tested, except Fe$^{3+}$. The affinity of Fe$^{3+}$ to TSPP, however, was found to be more than 20 times smaller than that of Cu$^{2+}$. The influence of Cu$^{2+}$ was not tested in vitro and thus a differentiation cannot be made with the dye in vivo. In addition, the ionic radius of Cu$^{2+}$ is not favorable for complexation with TSPP. Actually, a number of intracellular copper-chaperones (e.g. Ccs, Cox17) have been found, that bind copper in its monovalent state (Puig and Thiele, 2002). Considering the reducing environment of the cytosol, it is generally accepted that inside the neurons there is a considerable amount of Cu$^{2+}$. However following extracellular release the labile Cu$^{2+}$ ions get in contact with oxygen and are
readily oxidized to Cu$^{2+}$ (Frausto da Silva et al., 2001). In our experiments we measure only directly released Cu$^{2+}$ ions respectively released and subsequent oxidized Cu$^{+}$ ions. The properties of TSPPP did not change significantly within the range of pH or temperature used normally for biological samples (around pH 7.0 and 35 °C). Furthermore, TSPPP seems to be innocuous to living matter, which is confirmed by Streleckova et al. (1995). In the short period examined, the dye did not harm either the mouse synaptosomes or the bovine chromaffine cells and also did not penetrate the cell membrane. One drawback of TSPPP is the high absorbance, which causes only very low fluorescence intensities as a consequence of an inner filtering effect. This could be overcome via a two-photon excitation process.

Since TSPPP can be used to measure Cu concentrations in solutions, we demonstrate here for the first time that TSPPP can also be used to observe copper release from active synaptosomes. Three lines of evidence indicate that the variations of the TSPPP fluorescence we observed are actually caused by synaptic vesicle release: (i) the protocol used to depolarize synaptosomes in the presence of TSPPP indeed led to a depolarization as measured with FURA and also to a neurotransmitter vesicle release as tested by measuring the release of glutamate. (ii) The observed change in fluorescence of TSPPP after addition of KCl (50 mM) disappeared when the buffer did not contain Ca$^{2+}$. The omission of Ca$^{2+}$ in the buffer is known to block vesicle release from synaptosomes induced by high KCl depolarization. (iii) The release of copper as observed with TSPPP following depolarization of the synaptosomes was not observed after the addition of botulinus toxin, known to block the release of synaptic vesicles, selectively.

The results of the second, independent method utilizing ICP-MS, confirmed the depolarization-induced release of copper, and support that the observed fluorescence quenching was indeed caused by vesicle release of copper.

We consider it unlikely that the TSPPP signal measured at 645 nm was substantially confounded by iron cations since the maximum content of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ together in synaptic vesicles was found to be only twice as much as the content of Cu, determined by ICP-MS. Similar ratios were measured using atomic absorption spectroscopy in rat synaptic vesicles preparations (Rajan et al., 1976). As stated above, this is not enough to have a significant influence on the fluorescence signal of TSPPP, since the dissociation constant $K_d$ in vitro for Cu$^{2+}$ is 20 times lower that for Fe$^{3+}$.

Using the presented methods for studying synaptosomes, we found $3.9 \pm 1.0$ ng copper/mg protein to be released, determined by ICP-MS. This is around 10% of the total copper found in synaptosomal preparations using the same protocol ($39 \pm 9$ ng Cu/mg protein; Herms et al., 1999). This value is reasonable, taking into account that there are many proteins within the synaptosomes, that are not being released, especially within mitochondria, and which need copper. Our findings also support studies with radioactively labeled copper in hypothalamic slices which show that previously loaded copper is released with synaptic vesicle fusion (Hartter and Barnea, 1988).

Because copper ions are mainly bound to transport proteins, the concentrations measured with TSPPP are probably lower than the actual ones. The concentration of the TSPPP and the dissociation constants of copper binding proteins determined the actual amount of copper detected. Note, that some transport proteins such as caeruloplasmin (Wirth and Linder, 1985; Loeffler et al., 1996) relevant to the copper metabolism have higher or similar dissociation constants as TSPPP (caeruloplasmin 0.1 μM in Orena et al. (1986); Menke’s protein 46 μM in Jensen et al. (1999)). To estimate the influence of the binding constant of the protein and the TSPPP concentration we use Eq. (14) and find

\[
\left(\frac{1}{f_{\text{Protein}}} - 1\right) \frac{K_{\text{TSPPP}}}{K_{\text{Protein}}} = \left(\frac{1}{f_{\text{TSPPP}}} - 1\right),
\]

with $f_{\text{Protein}} = [\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]/[\text{Protein}] = k \cdot [\text{TSPPP} - \text{Cu}]/[\text{TSPPP}]$, $f_{\text{TSPPP}} = (k/l) \cdot f_{\text{TSPPP}}$, $k = [\text{Protein} - \text{Cu}]/[\text{TSPPP} - \text{Cu}]$ representing the part of copper, which is not detected by TSPPP, while $l$ gives the ratio of the concentrations of protein and dye. Substituting $K_{\text{TSPPP}}/K_{\text{Protein}}$ with $K$, we find:

\[
\left(\frac{l}{k \cdot f_{\text{TSPPP}}} - 1\right) \cdot K = \left(\frac{1}{f_{\text{TSPPP}}} - 1\right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow k = l \cdot \frac{K}{K_{\text{TSPPP}} \cdot (K - 1) + 1}.
\]

As the volume of the synapses is negligible compared to that of the cuvette, $l$ is very small and with similar dissociation constants (thus $K \approx 1$) and therefore $l \approx k$. However, this general reasoning valid for all kinds of fluorescence probes follows thermodynamic stability constants while under experimental conditions kinetic control is expected. In conclusion, TSPPP like any other fluorescent probe can only detect a certain fraction of the total copper ions, in contrast to ICP-MS which measures the total copper content.

With the above results, it is possible to estimate the concentration of copper in the synaptic vesicles and in the synapse. We found with TSPPP a release of $0.9 \pm 0.1$ ng Cu/mg protein. This results in copper concentration of

\[
[Cu] = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mmol Cu}}{\text{g Protein}} \cdot \text{p.c.}
\]
This is a bit higher than the fit from Fig. 5F (1.0 ± 0.3 × 10⁻⁵ mmol Cu/g protein), but more exact. This is due to the fact, that for calculating the mean, we used always the same protein content and measured about four times more values. Using Eq. (11) this yields

\[
[Cu]_{\text{vesicle}} = \frac{1.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{mmol Cu/g Protein} \cdot \rho_{\text{synaptosome}}}{(1 - f_{\text{FM}}) \cdot d_{\text{synaptosome}} \cdot d_{\text{vesicle}} \cdot (1/6) \cdot \pi}.
\] (19)

As mentioned before, we take an average value of 0.6 µm for \(d_{\text{synaptosomes}}\) and 0.06 µm for \(d_{\text{vesicle}}\) (Dunkley et al., 1986, 1988). Theoretically, the increase in membrane area \(1 - f_{\text{FM}}\) can be calculated by the ratio of the membrane areas \(A_{\text{synaptosome}}\) of one synaptosome and \(A_{\text{vesicle}}\) of one vesicle. Eq. (8) yields

\[
1 - f_{\text{FM}} = \frac{A_{\text{vesicle}}}{A_{\text{synaptosome}}} = \frac{n_{\text{vesicle}} \cdot 4\pi d_{\text{vesicle}}^2}{4\pi d_{\text{synaptosome}}^2} = n_{\text{vesicle}} \left(\frac{d_{\text{vesicle}}}{d_{\text{synaptosome}}}\right)^2,
\]

\[
= 0.01 \cdot n_{\text{vesicle}},
\] (20)

where \(n_{\text{vesicle}}\) is the average number of vesicles per synaptosome. With FM 1-43 we measured a mean increase in membrane area of \(1 - f_{\text{FM}} = 0.05\), so \(n_{\text{vesicles}} = 5\). In the literature (Hajós, 1980; Dunkley et al., 1986, 1988), \(n_{\text{vesicles}}\) is found to be 5–6. \(\rho_{\text{synaptosome}}\) can be estimated from the volume of the pellets, which was found to be approximately 100 µl: the volume of a single synaptosome is then approximated via

\[
V_{\text{synaptosome}} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{d_{\text{synaptosome}}}{2}\right)^2 = 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{µl}.
\] (21)

Therefore, in the pellet there were about \(n_{\text{synaptosome}} = 100 \, \mu\text{l} \cdot 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \, \mu\text{l} = 9.1 \times 10^{11} \) synaptosomes with a protein content of 2.5 mg. So \(\rho_{\text{synaptosome}} = 2.5 \, \text{mg/g} \cdot 9.1 \times 10^{11} \) synaptosomes = 2.7 × 10⁻¹⁵ g per synaptosome.

As there may also be impurities, for instance membranes of destroyed synaptosomes, the actual number for \(n_{\text{synaptosome}}\) can be smaller. However, electron-microscopic images indicated that the preparation results in a very pure fraction of synaptosomes. Furthermore, centrifugation compresses the space between the synaptosomes to a minimum, while their volume itself is unchanged as long as they are not destroyed, as the intracellular fluid are incompressible. All the values have estimated standard errors of 5—maximal 10%, the biggest source of error being \(\rho_{\text{synaptosome}}\). Substituting the predicted values of \(d_{\text{vesicle}}, d_{\text{synaptosome}}, \rho_{\text{synaptosome}}\) and \(1 - f_{\text{FM}}\) in Eq. (19), the concentration of copper in synaptic vesicles is determined to be 67 ± 7 µM Cu (that is about 5 ions per vesicle), because of the error in \(n_{\text{synaptosome}}\) is likely to be larger. With the fit from Fig. 5F we get 48 ± 15 µM.

Depending on the number of released vesicles \(n_{\text{vesicle}}\) per synaptosome, we get a concentration in the synaptic cleft of

\[
[Cu]_{\text{synapse}} = \frac{[Cu]_{\text{vesicle}} \cdot V_{\text{vesicle}}}{V_{\text{synapse}}},
\]

\[
= \frac{[Cu]_{\text{vesicle}} n_{\text{vesicle}} (1/6) \pi d_{\text{vesicle}}^3}{V_{\text{synapse}}},
\]

\[
= \frac{[Cu]_{\text{vesicle}} n_{\text{vesicle}}}{V_{\text{synapse}}},
\] (22)

The synaptic cleft measures about 18 nm in distance and (0.8 µm)² in area (Hajós, 1980). So the volume of a synapse \(V_{\text{synapse}}\) equals 0.012 µm³ (while the volume of a synaptic vesicle \(V_{\text{vesicle}}\) with the assumed diameter of 0.06 µm is about 100 times smaller). The number of released vesicles is about \(n_{\text{vesicle}} = 5\), as stated above. Thus, the concentration in the synaptic cleft after depolarization is around \([Cu]_{\text{synapse}} \approx 3.2 ± 0.4 \, \mu\text{M}\) (or 23 ions). Using the fit value of Fig. 5F again, it is \(2.3 ± 0.8 \, \mu\text{M}\).

With ICP-MS we get higher concentrations, because this method also measures the release of copper ions that are bound to proteins with lower \(K_d\) than TSPP. Assuming the same dimensions for the synaptosomes, we then calculate from our resulting copper release of 3.9 ± 1.0 ng Cu/mg protein, dividing again by the atomic weight of copper:

\[
[Cu] = 6.1 ± 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{[mmol Cu/g Protein] p.c.}
\] (23)

Eqs. (11) and (22) then give a concentration of \([Cu]_{\text{vesicle}} \approx 291 ± 75 \, \mu\text{M}\) and \([Cu]_{\text{synapse}} \approx 14 ± 4 \, \mu\text{M}\).

Kardos et al. (1990) estimated the copper concentration of the synaptic cleft after depolarization to be about 100–250 µM. This is already above the toxic levels found by Brown et al. (1998), who found 50% cell death after incubation with 100 µM copper. In contrast to this, the concentration of copper in the synaptic cleft determined here is 1–2 orders of magnitude lower. This may be caused by the fact that Kardos’ estimation was based on experiments loading synaptosomes with radioactive copper and afterwards determining how much of the loaded copper was released. In contrast to this, we measured the copper physiologically released by the cells, which appears to be a lot smaller.

Using the value of Herms et al. (1999) of 39 ± 9 ng Cu/mg protein in the overall synaptosomal fraction, that is 61 ± 14 mmol Cu/g protein, and multiplying with \(\rho_{\text{synaptosome}}\), one gets an amount of 1.7 ± 0.4 × 10⁻¹⁵ mmol Cu in a single synaptosome. Thus, dividing by \(V_{\text{synaptosome}}\) (Eq. (21)), the mean Cu concentration in the synaptosome is about 15.4 ± 3.6 µM. This is smaller than the concentration in the vesicles, so it seems that there is some kind of a transporter which transports Cu into the vesicles before depolarization.
In a similar way, we estimated the concentration of Zn in the synaptic vesicles and the synaptic cleft. In this case, most of Zn seems to be detected by TSPP, as the values (20.1 ± 5.5 ng Cu/mg protein with TSPP and 15.7 ± 5.8 ng Cu/mg protein with ICP-MS) differ only within the range of their standard error. This can be explained by the fact that the common Zn-binding proteins (Frederickson et al., 2000) have dissociation constants of only 10^{-7}–10^{-5} M, e.g., S100b with a $K_d$ of 10^{-7}–10^{-6} M, Calmodulin with a $K_d$ of 8 × 10^{-5}–3 × 10^{-4} M (Baudier et al., 1983) and other major Zn-binding proteins with dissociation constants $K_d$ even in the mM-range (Kumar and Prasad, 2000). Using the values above, we find:

$$[\text{Zn}] = 31 \pm 8 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mmol Zn}}{\text{g Protein}} \cdot \text{p.c. and}$$

$$[\text{Zn}] = 24 \pm 9 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mmol Zn}}{\text{g Protein}} \cdot \text{p.c.} \quad (24)$$

with TSPP and ICP-MS, respectively. With Eqs. (11) and (22), this results in a vesicular concentration of $[\text{Zn}]_{\text{vesicle}} = 1466 \pm 401$ and $[\text{Zn}]_{\text{vesicle}} = 1146 \pm 425$ μM, while the concentrations in the synaptic cleft are 69 ± 19 and 54 ± 20 μM, respectively. These findings are well in agreement with the literature (concentration of Zn in the vesicle ~1–1.4 mM by Frederickson et al. (2000)).

The methods presented here are far simpler than the experiments using radioactive copper (Harter and Barnea, 1988), for instance they do not require Cu67 and do not depend on an uptake-mechanism. Thus, the methods described here detect the physiologically released copper ions, while in the works of Hartter and Barnea (1988) released copper ions were only measured after filling the synaptosomes with copper. The use of ICP-MS requires about twice as much synaptosomes as the use of TSPP, but it has the advantage of a multi-element analysis, independent of their binding to proteins. Results given by TSPP are influenced by the dissociation of the corresponding proteins and oxidation to Cu$^{2+}$ as mentioned before, but are easier to acquire. TSPP can even be used in a fluorescence microscope for direct visualization of copper metabolism in vivo.
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