Comparative Structural Analysis of Oxidized and Reduced Thioredoxin from *Drosophila melanogaster*
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Thioredoxins (Trx) participate in essential antioxidant and redox-regulatory processes via a pair of conserved cysteine residues. In dipteran insects like *Drosophila* and *Anopheles*, which lack a genuine glutathione reductase (GR), thioredoxins fuel the glutathione system with reducing equivalents. Thus, characterizing Trxs from these organisms contributes to our understanding of redox control in GR-free systems and provides information on novel targets for insect control. Cytosolic Trx of *Drosophila melanogaster* (DmTrx) is the first thioredoxin that was crystallized for X-ray diffraction analysis in the reduced and in the oxidized form. Comparison of the resulting structures shows rearrangements in the active-site regions. Formation of the C32–C35 disulfide bridge leads to a rotation of the side-chain of C32 away from C35 in the reduced form. This is similar to the situation in human Trx and Trx m from spinach chloroplasts but differs from *Escherichia coli* Trx, where it is C35 that moves upon change of the redox state. In all four crystal forms that were analysed, DmTrx molecules are engaged in a non-covalent dimer interaction. However, as demonstrated by gel-filtration analyses, DmTrx does not dimerize under quasi in vivo conditions and there is no redox control of a putative monomer/dimer equilibrium. The dimer dissociation constants $K_d$ were found to be $2.2 \text{ mM}$ for reduced DmTrx and above $10 \text{ mM}$ for oxidized DmTrx as well as for the protein in the presence of reduced glutathione. In human Trx, oxidative dimerization has been demonstrated in vitro. Therefore, this finding may indicate a difference in redox control of GR-free and GR-containing organisms.
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Introduction

The cellular defense against reactive oxygen species includes the glutathione (GSH) and the thioredoxin (Trx) redox systems. The abundant tripeptide GSH is present in millimolar concentrations and contributes largely to the intracellular SH buffer. The ubiquitous 12 kDa protein Trx comprises general antioxidative properties as well as highly specialized functions such as the involvement in deoxyribonucleotide production, redox control of different metabolic pathways, cell proliferation and differentiation, embryogenesis as well as tumor growth and apoptosis.1-3 In most organisms, oxidized Trx (Trx-S2) and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) are converted to their reduced thiol forms (Trx-SH2, GSH) by the NADPH-dependent flavoenzymes thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and glutathione reductase (GR), respectively.5,6 Recently, however, dipteran insects like *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Anopheles gambiae* were found to lack a genuine GR.7,8 GSSG reduction has been shown to be effected non-enzymatically by Trx-SH2 in these organisms. Although this reaction has been demonstrated for thioredoxins of other organisms like man, the malarial parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Escherichia coli*,9 it is most likely to be of particular importance in GR-deficient systems.7
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Therefore, features distinguishing thioredoxins of dipteran insects and other organisms lacking a GR, like trypanosomes, from thioredoxins of GR-containing organisms are of great interest with regard to mechanisms of redox reactions and their control. In *A. gambiae*, as well as in *D. melanogaster*, Trx-S2 is reduced by the C-terminal redox center of a high molecular mass TrxR. As shown for *Drosophila*, cytosolic and mitochondrial TrxR isoforms are essential for viability and represent splicing variants of one *trx2*1 gene.11

Like other organisms, *Drosophila* possesses several thioredoxins that differ in their intracellular localization and their functions. The ovary-specific DmTrx1, which is also called DHD (deadhead) protein, and the testis-specific TrxT are both localized in the nucleus and are arranged as a gene pair.12 The cytosolic DmTrx, also called DmTrx2, represents the metabolically prominent thioredoxin and is the preferred substrate of thioredoxin peroxidase.13 Despite the different numbering, the physiological function of Trx2 in *Drosophila* is analogous to that of Trx1 in human. Therefore, DmTrx2 is called DmTrx in the following. Furthermore, a dimeric protein related to disulfide isomerases, named WIND, is located in the endoplasmatic reticulum.14 In humans, eight thioredoxins have been described so far, among them the cytosolic Trx1 with the enzymatically inactive truncated form Trx80 and the splicing variant delta3Trx1, the mitochondrial Trx2 and three sperm-specific SpTrxs.15–20

In spite of the many and diverse functions, the 3D structures of thioredoxins are highly conserved. The Trx fold consists of five central β-strands surrounded by four α-helices. The typical active-site motif C32-G-P-C35 (nomenclature of human Trx1 and DmTrx) resides between the β-2 strand and the α-2 helix. The thiol of C32 has a low pKa value and the thiolate group is the attacking nucleophile in protein disulfide reduction. During catalysis, a mixed disulfide transition state is formed, followed by a reversible and fast thiol–disulfide exchange in a hydrophobic microenvironment.21,22 The oxidizing and reducing properties of human Trx1 are directed by several factors. The S-nitrosylation of C69 has been shown to be essential for the redox regulatory and the apoptotic function of Trx in endothelial cells.23 Under oxidative stress, C73 is reversibly glutathionylated, which reduces the enzymatic activity of human Trx.24 A second disulfide motif, C62–C69 has been identified in human Trx1 that can transiently impair Trx activity during redox signalling or oxidative stress; this allows more time for sensing and transmission of oxidative signals.25 Since DmTrx lacks C69 as well as C73 equivalents, these regulatory processes are unlikely to occur in *D. melanogaster*. Under oxidizing conditions, human Trx forms disulfide-linked homodimers via C73.22,26 Under strongly reducing conditions and low pH, non-covalently linked dimers are formed, with particular involvement of the interface residues D60 and W31.27 Human Trx dimers are inactive, and dimer formation is therefore discussed as a means of regulating Trx activity.

Here, we present the crystal structures of oxidized and reduced cytosolic Trx from *D. melanogaster* (DmTrx). The molecular architecture of the protein is similar in both redox states and resembles that of other thioredoxins analyzed previously. In the crystals, DmTrx is present as a dimer. However, as revealed by comparative gel-filtration analyses, a dimerization of DmTrx is most unlikely to be of physiological relevance and, in contrast to human Trx, cannot be regulated by the redox environment. This lack of inactivation by peripheral oxidation and dimerization underlines the importance of the thioredoxin system in organisms lacking a genuine glutathione reductase.

**Results and Discussion**

**Overall structures**

Recombinant DmTrx could be crystallized readily under various conditions without removal of its N-terminal His-tag. Employing protein that had been purified under oxidizing conditions, three different crystal forms were identified that yielded diffraction data between 1.8 Å and 2.1 Å resolution (Table 1). All three crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement using the structure coordinates of oxidized human Trx22 and were refined including data up to 1.9 Å, 2.2 Å and 2.3 Å resolution, respectively (Table 1). Each structure revealed four Trx molecules per asymmetric unit. A fourth crystal form was obtained upon treatment of the protein with the reducing agent Tris(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphine (TCEP; 10 mM) prior to crystallization (2.3 Å resolution). This structure was solved also by rotation/translation searches (Table 1) and exhibited a single polypeptide per asymmetric unit. All structures were refined by standard protocols, in which 5% of the reflections were used to continuously monitor the free R-factor. The refined structures maintained excellent geometry and showed only a small number of outliers in Ramachandran plots. All protein molecular models encompassed the entire 106 residues of DmTrx.

Like other thioredoxins,28 DmTrx consists of a central five-stranded mixed β-sheet (strands β1–β5) capped on each side by two α-helices (α1/3 and α2/4, respectively; Figure 1A). The redox active cysteine pair (C32 and C35) is situated at the very N-terminus of helix α2. In the absence of a reducing agent, these two cysteine residues are clearly engaged in a disulfide bridge (DmTrx-S2; Figure 1B; crystal forms 1–3 of Table 1). Conversely, pretreatment with TCEP led to the crystallization of the reduced form of DmTrx in crystal form 4 (DmTrx-(SH)2; Figure 1B; Table 1).

C35 of the redox active cysteine pair is covered largely by the C-terminal portion of helix α2 (Figure 1A). In addition, C32 and C35 or the
corresponding disulfide are laterally shielded from the solvent by the loop between β2 and α2, by the N terminus of helix α2, and by the C-terminal part of the α3-β4 loop. This arrangement leaves only one side of the C32 sulfhydryl group accessible to the solvent (at the top in Figure 1A) and the transfer of reducing equivalents. This notion is supported by the structure of the E. coli TrxR-Trx complex (contact zone labelled α3 region in Figure 2).  

Similarly, a molecular model predicts that mammalian Trxs approach their reductases via the same region.

### Differences in the structures of oxidized and reduced DmTrx

The global fold of oxidized DmTrx is similar across all three crystal forms and all 12 crystallographically independent molecules, the pair-wise

---

**Table 1. Crystallographic data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallographic data</th>
<th>Oxidized</th>
<th>Reduced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Crystallization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal form</td>
<td>pH 4.6, 0.1 M CdCl₂</td>
<td>pH 6.0, 0.2 M AS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallization buffer</td>
<td>30% PEG400</td>
<td>25% PEG400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation source</td>
<td>Synchrotron</td>
<td>Rotating anode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.5418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P4₁2₁2</td>
<td>P₂₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell parameters</td>
<td>a (Å) 92.5</td>
<td>b (Å) 99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>20.0–1.8</td>
<td>20.0–1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections</td>
<td>Unique 37,273</td>
<td>Unique 18,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complementarity (%)</td>
<td>94.1 (88.1)</td>
<td>98.4 (95.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test set (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot (%)</td>
<td>Preferred 98.2</td>
<td>Disallowed 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (deg.)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands</td>
<td>3 Cd²⁺ 1 Cl⁻</td>
<td>3 Cd²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean B-factors (Å²)</td>
<td>Wilson 35.0</td>
<td>Water 55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein atoms</td>
<td>3309</td>
<td>3284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands</td>
<td>3 Cd²⁺ 1 Cl⁻</td>
<td>3 Cd²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data for the last 0.1 Å are given in parentheses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* AS, ammonium sulphate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** Rwork = ∑</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** rmsd, root-mean-square deviation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for the last 0.1 Å are given in parentheses.

* AS, ammonium sulphate.

** Rwork = ∑||Fobs|| - ||Fcalc||/|∑||Fobs||; Rfree = ∑|Fobs| - |Fcalc|/|∑|Fobs|; hkl < T-test set.

** a.u., asymmetric unit.

** RMSD, root-mean-square deviation.
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Figure 1 A–C (legend opposite)
root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) for all 106 C\textsuperscript{a} atoms being \(\sim 0.25\) Å. Local conformational differences are largely limited to the side-chains of surface-exposed residues. While reduced DmTrx maintains the same overall fold (rmsd to oxidized forms for all 106 C\textsuperscript{a} atoms \(\sim 0.55\) Å), some local rearrangements in the active-site region are discernible when compared to the oxidized form. Significantly, in the present studies DmTrx was reduced prior to crystallization, giving the protein the conformational freedom to pack in a crystal form different from those observed for the oxidized state. Thus, \textit{a priori} we eliminated the possibility that conformational differences between the redox states were dampened or biased by the same crystal packing contacts.

In the region of the redox active center we observe different side-chain conformations for a number of residues (Figure 1D). In most structures, the aromatic ring of F28 is oriented away from the cysteine pair but in two oxidized molecules it is seen to swing over C35. While there is no strict bimodal distribution of the F28 conformers, which correlates with the redox state of DmTrx, only molecules from the oxidized ensemble show F28 covering C35. This residue may thus serve to block movement of C35 upon approach of the C32 side-chain during oxidation. The indole ring of W31 moves closer to C32 in the reduced form, thus engaging in van der Waals contacts to C32 (Figure 1D). A similar situation was seen with human Trx.\textsuperscript{22} While C35 is oriented similarly in the oxidized and reduced structures, a rotation around the C\textsuperscript{a}–C\textsuperscript{b} bond is observed in C32, which in the reduced protein turns the C32 sulfhydryl group away from the side-chain of C35 and closer to the side-chain of W31 (Figure 1D). The distance between the two sulfur atoms is thus increased from 2.07 Å to 3.85 Å upon reduction. One can therefore envisage a concerted motion of the C32 sulfhydryl group and the W31 indole ring which, by attractive interactions, supports withdrawal of C32 from C35 upon reduction. Finally, P34 shows various ring puckers in the crystallographically independent molecules, which are, however, not correlated with the redox state (not shown).

In addition, slight rearrangements in the backbone region around the active center are observed.
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Figure 2 (legend opposite)
upon change of the redox state. In DmTrx-S2, the Cα atoms of C32 and C35 approach each other by ~0.7 Å thus tightening the redox active loop (C32-G33-F34-C35; Figure 1D). Furthermore, closure of the disulfide bridge “pulls” the backbone of residues C-terminal to C35 (K36-I38) closer to the redox center each by about ~0.5 Å. The residues N-terminal of C32 are less affected. Thus, oxidation is accompanied by a general tightening of the redox loop and of the region C-terminal to the active center.

From the above observations, a possible scenario for the reduction of DmTrx includes a movement of the C32 side-chain by a simple rotation about the Cα-Cβ bond towards the protein surface. This movement may be supported by a concomitant engagement of its Cβ and Sβ atoms in van der Waals contacts with the indole ring of W31. Upon oxidation, attack of C35 by the C32 thiolate group may be supported by fixation of the C35 orientation with the side-chain of F28. In the reduced form, the pKα of C32 is most likely decreased by the sulfhydryl group of C35, which is within hydrogen bonding distance from the Sβ of C32, similar to the situation found in human Trx.22 No other ionizable group or helix pole is discernible in the vicinity of C32, which could influence its pKα and thus favor the thiolate form relative to the thiol form.

**Comparison to thioredoxins from other species**

The overall fold of DmTrx resembles closely those observed for other thioredoxins (Figure 2A; rmsd DmTrx versus human Trx ~0.70 Å; rmsd DmTrx versus E. coli Trx ~1.2 Å). This is consistent with high levels of sequence identity, in particular around the active site (Figure 1C). Like other eukaryotic thioredoxins, DmTrx exhibits a negatively charged surface patch around helix z3 (z3 region in Figure 2B), which is employed in making contact with thioredoxin reductase and with the target molecules, upon which reduced Trx acts.29-32 E. coli Trx has a different surface charge pattern, reflecting the fact that bacterial TrxR differs fundamentally from insect TrxR.

Similar to our results, reduced and oxidized structures of bacterial,31,33 spinach chloroplast32 and human22 thioredoxins were found to lack global conformational differences. As demonstrated previously by NMR, the conformational change between oxidized and reduced human thioredoxin mutants (C62A, C69A, C73A) is very subtle and restricted to areas in spatial proximity to the redox active cysteine residues.34 However, the local changes encompassing the active-site loop observed when comparing the crystal structure of oxidized E. coli Trx35 and the NMR structure of reduced E. coli Trx33 appear to be more pronounced and of a different nature than the rearrangements seen in DmTrx. In particular, the movements of residues G33 and P34 were found rather small in the present work compared to the E. coli structures. The movement of the F28 side-chain, observed in DmTrx in a subset of the oxidized molecules, is not observed in the bacterial Trx. Both the backbone and the side-chain of C35 of E. coli Trx seem to undergo a considerable relocation upon change of the redox state, while C32 remains largely fixed. The opposite is seen in DmTrx. Part of these differences between the bacterial and the insect systems may be explained by the structure determination strategies employed for E. coli Trx. However, they may also be a signature of the different TrxRs.6 DmTrxR belongs to the high molecular mass TrxRs containing an additional C-terminal redox center located on a flexible loop. In contrast, the E. coli protein is a low molecular mass TrxR with 35 kDa per subunit; a domain rotation is required in this protein to allow electron transport from NADPH to FAD, and from FAD to the active-site disulfide, which then reduces the substrate thioredoxin.6

In line with the present results on DmTrx, a rotational movement of the side-chain of the first active-site loop cysteine residue constitutes the main difference between oxidized and reduced Trx from man and spinach chloroplasts.22,32 It should be noted that reduced human Trx was crystallized and then allowed to oxidize over a period of several months;7 on the other hand, the structure of reduced Trx m was obtained by reduction of oxidized crystals.32 Thus, structural changes in the redox states of both proteins may have been dampened by crystal forces.

**Dimer formation**

Interestingly, all 13 crystallographically independent DmTrx molecules are engaged in a conserved dimer interaction with neighboring molecules (Figure 3), either within the asymmetric units (oxidized forms) or with symmetry-related neighbors (reduced form). The two molecules in the dimers are related by a local or a crystallographic 2-fold symmetry axis, which leads to the orientation of the two z3-b4 loops in an antiparallel β-arrangement, a contact between the two β3-z3

---

**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic comparisons. A, Stereo plot of the superimposed structures of oxidized DmTrx (yellow), human thioredoxin (red) and E. coli thioredoxin (blue). The molecules are oriented as in Figure 1A. The N and C termini and the active-site cysteine residues (sticks in lighter colors) are indicated. B, Electrostatic potential of DmTrx (top), human thioredoxin (center) and E. coli thioredoxin (bottom) mapped onto the protein surface. Red indicates negatively charged regions, blue denotes positively charged areas. The view in the left panels is the same as in A and in Figure 1A. The molecules in the right-hand panels are rotated by 180° as indicated. A region of strong negative potential surrounding helix z3 is highlighted. This negative region is present in the eukaryotic thioredoxins but not in the bacterial protein.
loops and the mutual binding of the $\beta$2-$\alpha$2 loop of one molecule to helix $\alpha$3 and the neighboring loops of the other. As a result of these approaches, the side-chain of W31 of one molecule is nestled in a hydrophobic cavity formed by residues V60, A67, M68, I72 and M75 of the other subunit (Figure 3, right-hand panels) and vice versa. The C-terminal portion of helix $\alpha$3 and the following $\alpha$3-$\beta$4 loop, in particular the backbone regions of S73 and S74, thereby effectively bury the side of C32 of the other monomer, which is accessible to the solvent in the monomeric form (see above).

The solution structure solved by NMR spectroscopy of human Trx complexed with its target peptides of NF kappa B and Ref-1 revealed that the binding area is formed by the active-site residues as well as by helices $\alpha$2, $\alpha$3 and $\alpha$4, strands $\beta$3 and $\beta$4 and helix $\alpha$3, strands $\beta$3 and $\beta$5, and the loop between strands $\beta$3 and $\beta$4, respectively. Therefore, a region similar to the dimerization area is in contact with the target peptides. Dimerisation would thus impede the substrate binding.

Human Trx can form disulfide-linked dimers through its non-conserved surface-exposed C73 residue, irrespective of the state of the redox-active cysteine pair C32–C35. Significantly, although C73 is replaced by a serine residue (S74) in the Drosophila protein and the interactions are thus...
non-covalent, the DmTrx dimers are virtually identical with the human Trx dimers (Figure 3). Very similar non-covalent dimers have been described for Chlamydomonas reinhardii Trx h in the oxidized form (not shown). \[^{37}\]

In contrast to the hTrx dimers found in crystals and in the oxidized protein, no intermolecular association was found in the hTrx variants (C62A, C69A, C73A, M74T and C62A, C69A, C73A) using NMR spectroscopy and analytical ultracentrifugation. \[^{38,39}\] These findings are likely to be due to the different analytical methods and the inserted mutations preventing dimerization.

**Dimerization in solution; comparisons of human Trx with DmTrx**

In order to study the oligomerization potential of DmTrx in solution, we conducted analytical gel-filtration studies under different conditions (Table 2). All analyses were carried out in direct comparison with human Trx and allowed the assessment of the monomer/dimer ratio. The dilution factor for the monomeric thioredoxins was 33±3 at pH 7.5 as judged from the width at half-height of the peak divided by the sample load volume. When a 1.5 mM sample had been applied, the resulting final concentration was 45 μM, which is close to the estimated cytosolic concentration of 50 μM DmTrx. \[^{13}\]

Under standard conditions (50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl. 1.5 mM protein) oxidized DmTrx eluted in a sharp monomeric peak at \(V_e = 81.8(±1)\) ml with an apparent molecular mass of 10.3 kDa and up to 0.6% dimers (\(V_e = 68.6(±1)\) ml, apparent molecular mass of 22.6 kDa). Under the same conditions, human Trx showed up to 43.5% dimers (\(V_e = 69.0(±1)\) ml, and an apparent molecular mass of 22.1 kDa). Treatment with 2 mM GSSG or 0.2 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) did not increase the formation of DmTrx dimers significantly (0.7% and 0.12%, respectively). Human Trx dimer formation, however, increased up to 53.5% in the presence of 2 mM GSSG. The dissociation constant \(K_d\) for the dimers of DmTrx was calculated to be > 10 mM even in the presence of oxidants.

Reduction of oxidized protein with 10 mM GSH for 45 minutes decreased dimers of human Trx to 7.3% with DmTrx dimers remaining low at 0.3%. The \(K_d\) values under these quasi-physiological reducing conditions were 1.05 mM for human Trx and 29.8 mM for DmTrx. Partial reduction with 0.5 mM DTT showed a decrease of human Trx dimers to 12.3% and no change in DmTrx dimers (0.3%), as expected. Incubation with 5 mM DTT for 45 minutes, however, led to 7.8% human Trx dimers, and 7% of a DmTrx protein species, that appeared as a flat shoulder of the monomeric peak. This species was more abundant when the protein was purified under reducing conditions. Addition of 10 mM and 50 mM mercaptoethanol (β-ME) to the oxidized proteins gave rise to 3.7% and 7.3% dimers, respectively. However, this dimerization of reduced DmTrx could be abolished almost completely by lowering the salt concentration from 200 mM NaCl to 50 mM NaCl or by raising it to 1 M NaCl. Thus, a low percentage (<7.3%) of DmTrx dimers was present only at high concentrations of reducing chemicals (DTT or β-ME) and at 200 mM NaCl. In the presence of the physiological reductant GSH, dimer formation was not observed.

The dimerization of human Trx in the physiologic range of pH values is based mainly on the disulphide formation between C73 and C73'. In DmTrx, this residue is absent; thus, non-covalent binding forces would have to effect an oligomerization. As shown by Andersen et al. for reduced human Trx, hydrogen bonds between D60 of two monomers are favoured by acidic pH and high concentrations of protein. \[^{27}\] Under our experimental conditions, oxidized DmTrx was, in contrast to human Trx, almost completely monomeric. Quasi-physiological reduction and different concentrations of salt did not change this. Only high concentrations of artificial reductants induced the discrete formation of dimers. Taken together, these data indicate that DmTrx is most unlikely to form dimers in vivo.

**Table 2. Comparative analysis of human Trx and DmTrx dimerization by gel-filtration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions*</th>
<th>Human Trx</th>
<th>DmTrx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ox</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 2 mM GSSG</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 0.2 mM H(_2)O(_2)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 10 mM GSH</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 5 mM DTT</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 10 mM β-ME</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox + 50 mM β-ME</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox, 50 mM NaCl + 50 mM β-ME</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red(^d)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red, 50 mM NaCl</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox, 1 M NaCl</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red, 1 M NaCl</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The conditions were as follows: 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5 at 25 °C), 200 mM NaCl (unless otherwise stated); 1.5 mM (calculated as monomer) protein was loaded onto a Superdex 75 column; Ox, protein purified under oxidizing conditions; Red, protein purified under reducing conditions (see Materials and Methods).

b The protein solution was applied at a concentration of 0.4 mM.

c In this experiment the peak representing the monomer reproducibly exhibited a shoulder containing 7% of the total protein.

d The protein solution was applied at a concentration of 2.7 mM.

**Conclusions**

In contrast to previous investigations of thioredoxins, the present DmTrx was crystallized separately in the oxidized and reduced forms. This allowed study of the structural changes independently from the constraints of a constant crystal
lattice. The structures demonstrate that oxidation is accompanied by a slight tightening of the redox-active loop and of the backbone region C-terminal of C35. Movements of the F28 and W31 side-chains support the adoption of the respective oxidation states. The S°(C32)–S°(C35) distance in the different redox states is governed by a movement of the C32 side-chain, while the conformation of C35 remains largely fixed. Both oxidized and reduced DmTrx form non-covalent dimers in the crystals, which resemble the disulfide-bridged dimers known from the human orthologue.

Human Trx forms dimers in the presence of GSSG and monomers under reducing conditions. This suggests that a regulation of the oligomerization state, and therefore the activity of human Trx, by the intracellular redox milieu is possible. A high GSH/GSSG ratio may activate the human thioredoxin system, whereas an increase in GSSG, indicating oxidative stress, shuts down the Trx/TrxR system, thus saving reducing equivalents for the glutathione system. In the case of DmTrx, the presence of GSH and GSSG did not induce dimer formation. This is shown clearly by the high dimer $K_d$ values of 29.8 mM and 12.8 mM, respectively. Notably, the dimer $K_d$ value decreased to 2.2 mM when purifying the protein in the presence of DTT. Although the experimental approaches in their detail can only be compared indirectly, the $K_d$ values for human Trx reported by Anderson et al. (166 μM at pH 8.0 and 6.1 μM at pH 3.8) support the conclusion that dimerization is much more favored in the human protein. In contrast, our data strongly indicate that DmTrx does not dimerize under in vivo conditions, and that its physiologic activity is most unlikely to be regulated via subunit association and dissociation.

The lack of an environment-dependent, regulative monomer–dimer equilibrium in DmTrx presumably represents a consequence of the absence of GR in insects like D. melanogaster and A. gambiae. Down-regulating thioredoxin activity under increasing concentrations of GSSG would be deleterious to a system that reduces its glutathione via thioredoxin. From the perspective of evolution, it is likely that the ancestor of human Trx and DmTrx had the potential of forming homo-dimers, a property shared by most thioredoxins and Trx-like domains. In the lineage leading to human Trx, dimerization has been elaborated, becoming one of the mechanisms controlling the activity of the protein.

In dipteran insects, where glutathione reductase is absent, the thioredoxin system has become the major system buffering the thiol-based reducing milieu of the cytosol. For a thiol/disulfide redox buffer that is turned over rapidly and often functions under oxidative stress it is advantageous to be based on a stable, simple monomeric molecule. This would explain why a thioredoxin with an increased dissociation constant has been selected in the evolution of dipteran insects. Under crystallization conditions with protein concentrations in the millimolar range, there is a major proportion of Trx dimers. Accordingly, the crystal structures of DmTrx may represent the vestiges of the ancestral dimer.

Materials and Methods

Cloning, expression and purification of DmTrx

N-terminally His6-tagged DmTrx was overexpressed in E. coli as described and purified over an Ni-NTA (nickel-nitriloacetic acid) column (Qiagen) with a yield of 100 mg of protein per 1 l of culture. Protein purification under reducing conditions was carried out in the presence of 0.2–2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Eluted protein fractions were brought to 5 mM DTT immediately. Pure fractions of DmTrx were concentrated to 3–4.5 mM, dialysed and used immediately or stored at −20 °C. Oxidized protein was stored in 25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, reduced protein in 10 mM Tris+HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM DTT. For comparative analyses, wild-type human Trx1 was produced analogously. The determination of the protein concentration was based on an ε280 nm of 8.61 mM−1 cm−1 for DmTrx-S2 and of 8.25 mM−1 cm−1 for DmTrx-(SH)2.

Crystallization of DmTrx

Crystallization of DmTrx was based on homemade and commercial incomplete factorial screens. The experiments were conducted in sitting-drop format at various temperatures. DmTrx-S2 yielded crystals of various morphology under a number of polyethylene glycol (PEG) and ammonium sulfate conditions. The crystals were optimised subsequently by systematically varying the pH against the concentration of precipitant (Table 1). Crystals of DmTrx-(SH)2 were generated using the same methods but including 10 mM TCEP in the crystallizing samples.

Data collection, structure solution and refinement

Crystals of oxidized DmTrx (forms 1–3; Table 1) were transferred into reservoir solutions containing ∼30% (v/v) glycerol and shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. During data collection, they were maintained in a stream of liquid nitrogen (Oxford Cryosystems). Crystals of reduced DmTrx were mounted in a special glass capillary with TCEP-containing mother liquor on one side and were measured at room temperature. Diffraction data were collected on a Bruker-Nonius FR591 rotating anode (Cu Kα X-radiation at 50 kV and 100 mA), equipped with an MAR345 imaging plate detector (MarResearch), and at beamline BW6 of the Deutsches Elektronensynchrotron, Hamburg. Data were processed with the HKL-package.

One structure of oxidized DmTrx (space group P42222) was solved by rotation/translation searches with MOLREP using the structure coordinates of oxidized human Trx1 (PDB ID code 1ERU; 22). Through this strategy, we were able to position four molecules in the asymmetric unit, which showed excellent packing interactions. The molecular models were refined by standard procedures in CNS and REFMAC5 with intermittent manual model building in MAIN† (Table 1). One DmTrx model subsequently served to solve all other crystal

† http://www-bmb.ijs.si/doc/index.html
structures of the oxidized and reduced protein by similar strategies. Initially, the structures of the crystal forms harbouring more than one monomer per asymmetric unit were restrained by the observed non-crystalllographic symmetry; these restraints were released in later rounds of refinement and model building.

Analyses in solution

DmTrx and human Trx dimerization in solution was investigated by analytical gel-filtration chromatography employing an AKTA FPLC system (Amersharm-Pharmacia) and a Superdex-75 HiLoad 16/60 size exclusion column (120 ml bed volume). Bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), chymotrypsinogen A (25 kDa), and RNase A (13.7 kDa) were taken as excluded species and water indicated the exclusion volumes of the columns ($V_0$) and the total volume of the liquid phase ($V_t$), respectively. The apparent molecular mass of the thioredoxins ($M$) was evaluated by plotting log($M$) versus $K_{av} \equiv (V_0 - V_e) / (V_t - V_e)$, where $V_e$ is the observed elution volume; $V_0$ is the elution volume of a completely excluded species and $V_t$ is the total volume of the liquid phase. The respective protein concentration was established by diluting a sample from the protein stock in the buffer of choice (see Table 2). The samples were incubated for ten minutes on ice (if not otherwise stated), centrifuged and applied via a 100 µl sample loop onto the Superdex 75 column equilibrated with the same buffer. Elution was performed at a flow rate of 1 ml/minute. The relative amounts of monomers and dimers were calculated by the integrated areas (mAU·min) of the corresponding protein peaks.

For determining the dimer dissociation constant $K_d$ we used the equation:

$$K_d = [\text{monomer}]^2/[\text{dimer}] = (c_1 - x)^2/0.5x$$

where $c_1$ denotes the total concentration of subunits and $x$ is the concentration of dimer-forming subunits.
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