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#### Abstract

Estimates for the norm of the second fundamental form, $|A|$, play a crucial role in studying the geometry of surfaces. In fact, when $|A|$ is bounded the surface cannot bend too sharply. In this paper we prove that for an embedded geodesic disk with bounded $L^{2}$ norm of $|A|,|A|$ is bounded at interior points, provided that the $W^{1, p}$ norm of its mean curvature is sufficiently small, $p>2$. In doing this we generalize some renowned estimates on $|A|$ for minimal surfaces.


## 1 Introduction.

In the study of the geometry of surfaces, estimates for the norm of the second fundamental form, $|A|$, are particularly remarkable. In fact, when $|A|$ is bounded the surface cannot bend too sharply and thus such estimates provide a very satisfying description of its geometry. When a surface $\Sigma$ is minimal $|A|^{2}=-2 K_{\Sigma}, K_{\Sigma}$ being the Gaussian curvature, and such estimates are then known as curvature estimates. There are many results in the literature where curvature estimates for minimal surfaces are obtained assuming certain geometric conditions, see for instance $[2,4,5,7,8,9,10,13]$ et al.. In [5], Colding and Minicozzi prove that an embedded geodesic minimal disk with bounded $L^{2}$ norm of $|A|$, bounded total curvature, has curvature bounded in the interior.

The main result in this paper is the following estimate that generalizes the curvature estimate in [5] to a broader class of surfaces.

Theorem 1.1 Given $C_{1}$ and $p \geq 2$, there exist $C_{2}=C_{2}\left(p, C_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{p}=\varepsilon_{p}\left(C_{1}\right)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ containing the origin with $\operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(0) \geq s>0$,

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq C_{1}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{2}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{p}$, if $p>2$,
then

$$
|A|^{2}(0) \leq C_{2} s^{-2}
$$

Here, for any $x \in \Sigma, \operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(x)$ denotes the injectivity radius of $\Sigma$ at $x$. For any $s>0, \mathcal{B}_{s}$ denotes the intrinsic ball of radius $s$ centered at the origin and $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}\left(\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}\right)$ denotes the scale invariant $W^{1, p}\left(W^{2,2}\right.$ respectively) norm of the mean curvature, see Section 2.

We also show how the hypotheses are optimal and, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we generalize the renowned curvature estimate by Choi and Schoen [2] (cf. Theorem 2.1).

## 2 Choi-Schoen curvature estimate generalized

The Choi-Schoen curvature estimate [2] says that if the total curvature of an intrinsic minimal disk is sufficiently small, then the curvature of the disk is bounded in the interior and it decays like the inverse square of the distance of the point to the boundary. The goal of this section is to generalize the Choi-Schoen curvature estimate. Such generalization, Theorem 2.1 below, is the first step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout this paper $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}$ and $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}$ will denote the scale invariant $W^{1, p}$ and $W^{2,2}$ respectively norm of the mean curvature, i.e.

$$
\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}:=s^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2}+s^{2 p-2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|\nabla H|^{p}
$$

and

$$
\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*}:=\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2}+s^{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|\nabla H|^{2}+s^{4} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}\left|\nabla^{2} H\right|^{2} .
$$

Furthermore the letter $c$ will denote an absolute constant. When different constants appear in the course of a proof we will keep the same letter $c$ unless the constant depends on some different parameters.

Theorem 2.1 Given $p \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(p)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ containing the origin and $\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}} \subset \Sigma, r_{0}>0$. If there exists $\delta \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}}|A|^{2} \leq \delta \varepsilon_{0}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \delta \varepsilon_{0}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq\left(\delta \varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p / 2}$, if $p>2$,
then for all $0<\sigma \leq r_{0}$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}_{r_{0}-\sigma}$

$$
\sigma^{2}|A|^{2}(y) \leq \delta
$$

For any $s>0$, let $B_{s}$ denote the extrinsic ball of radius $s$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ centered at the origin. We begin by proving certain results about manifolds that are not necessarily minimal. The first result is a Generalized Mean Value Property (see for instance Proposition 1.16 in [3]).

Lemma 2.2 (Generalized Mean Value Property) Let $\Sigma$ be a $k$-dimensional manifold immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and containing the origin and let $f$ be a non-negative $C^{1}$ function on $\Sigma$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{-k} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} f\right)=\frac{d}{d r} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} f \frac{\left|x^{N}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{k+2}}+r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} x \cdot(\nabla f+f H), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{N}$ denotes the normal component of $x$, and for $0<s<t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-k} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} f-s^{-k} \int_{B_{s} \cap \Sigma} f=\int_{\left(B_{t} \backslash B_{s}\right) \cap \Sigma} f \frac{\left|x^{N}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{k+2}}+\int_{s}^{t} r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} x \cdot(\nabla f+f H) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the formula

$$
\int \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} X=-\int X \cdot H
$$

with the vector field $X(x)=\gamma(|x|) f(x)$, where $\gamma \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that, for some $r>0$, $\gamma(t)=1$ for $t \leq r / 2, \gamma(t)=0$ for $t \geq r$ and $\gamma^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, we get
$\frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{-k} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right) f\right)=r^{-k} \frac{d}{d r} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} f \frac{\left|x^{N}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right)+r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} x \cdot(\nabla f+f H) \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right)$
where $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\phi(|x| / r)=\gamma(|x|)$ (cf. equation 18.1 in [11]). Then (1) follows after letting $\phi$ in the above formula increase to the characteristic function of $(-\infty, 1)$ and (2) follows by integrating (1) from $s$ to $t$.

Remark 2.3 The first term on the RHS of (1) and (2) in Lemma 1 are positive. For the second term on the RHS of (2) we note that for any $C^{1}$ function $h$ on $\Sigma$, integration by parts yields

$$
\int_{s}^{t} r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} h=\frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} h\left(\frac{1}{r_{s}^{k}}-\frac{1}{t^{k}}\right)
$$

where $r_{s}=\max \{|x|, s\}$, and furthermore

$$
x \cdot \nabla f=\operatorname{proj}_{T_{x} M} x \cdot \nabla f=\frac{1}{2} \nabla|x|^{2} \cdot \nabla f=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) \cdot \nabla f .
$$

Thus, integrating by parts, we get the following two estimates, as a corollary of Lemma 1, which we will need later:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{-k} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} f\right) \geq r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} f x \cdot H+\frac{1}{2} r^{-k-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) \Delta_{\Sigma} f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-k} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} f-s^{-k} \int_{B_{s} \cap \Sigma} f \geq \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} f x \cdot H\left(\frac{1}{r_{s}^{k}}-\frac{1}{t^{k}}\right)+\frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} x \cdot \nabla f\left(\frac{1}{r_{s}^{k}}-\frac{1}{t^{k}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{s}=r_{s}(x)=\max \{|x|, s\}$.

Remark 2.4 In the case of a surface immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we can use Remark 2.3 to estimate the ratios $s^{-1} \int_{B_{s} \cap \Sigma} f$ as follows: In inequality (4) of Remark 2.3 let $n=3, k=2, t=1$. After multiplying by $s$, since $s,|x| \leq r_{s}$ we get the following:

$$
s^{-1} \int_{B_{s} \cap \Sigma} f \leq \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma} f+\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma} f|H|+\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla f| .
$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we obtain the following Generalized Mean Value Inequality (for a proof in the minimal case see for instance Corollary 1.17 in [3]).

Lemma 2.5 (Generalized Mean Value Inequality) Let $\Sigma$ be a hyper-surface immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing the origin and such that $B_{1}(0) \cap \partial \Sigma=\emptyset$. Let also $f$ be a non-negative function on $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\Sigma} f \geq-\lambda_{1} f-h \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda_{1} \geq 0$ and a function $h \in L^{1}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{1}(0)\right)$ satisfying the following: There exist constants $c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2} r^{-n} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) h \leq c_{2} r^{-\alpha}+c_{3}, \forall r \in(0,1] .
$$

Then

$$
f(0) \leq \omega_{n-1}^{-1} e^{c_{1}} \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma} f+\omega_{n-1}^{-1}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{1-\alpha}+c_{3}\right) e^{c_{1}}
$$

where $\omega_{n-1}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $c_{1}=\sup _{\Sigma \cap B_{1}(0)}|H|+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}$.
Proof. Define

$$
g(t)=t^{-(n-1)} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma} f
$$

then using (3) and (5)

$$
g^{\prime}(t) \geq-g(t)\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} t+\sup _{\Sigma \cap B_{1}(0)}|H|\right)-\frac{1}{2} t^{-n} \int_{B_{t} \cap \Sigma}\left(t^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) h .
$$

Hence, since $t \leq 1$ and by the definition of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$

$$
g^{\prime}(t)+c_{1} g(t) \geq-c_{3}-c_{2} t^{-\alpha} \Longrightarrow\left(g(t) e^{c_{1} t}\right)^{\prime} \geq-c_{2} e^{c_{1}} t^{-\alpha}-c_{3} e^{c_{1}}
$$

After integrating from 0 to 1 :

$$
\omega_{n-1} f(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(t) \leq e^{c_{1}} g(1)+\int_{0}^{1} e^{c_{1}}\left(c_{2} t^{-\alpha}+c_{3}\right) d t \leq e^{c_{1}} g(1)+\left(\frac{c_{2}}{1-\alpha}+c_{3}\right) e^{c_{1}}
$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we still need some information about $|A|$. It is well-known that for a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n},|A|$ satisfies the following partial differential inequality, Simons' inequality [12],

$$
\Delta|A|^{2} \geq-2|A|^{4}+2\left(1+\frac{2}{n+1}\right)|\nabla| A| |^{2} .
$$

In [6], Ecker and Huisken generalized Simons' inequality to obtain the following estimate for hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\Delta|A|^{2} \geq 2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H-2|A|^{4}+2 H h_{i j} h_{i k} h_{j k}+2\left(1+\frac{2}{n+1}\right)|\nabla| A| |^{2}-c(n)|\nabla H|^{2} .
$$

When $n=2,2 H h_{i j} h_{i k} h_{j k} \geq H^{2}|A|^{2}$ and thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|A|^{2} \geq 2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H-2|A|^{4}-c|\nabla H|^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (6) and the previous results we now prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Note first that we can assume that $\delta>0$, since else the theorem is trivially true. We first prove case (i), i.e. when we assume that $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \delta \varepsilon_{0}$. Set $F=\left(r_{0}-r\right)^{2}|A|^{2}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}$, where $r(x)=|x|$, and let $\delta_{0}$ be the maximum value of $F$ and $x_{0}$ the point where this maximum is attained. Assume, for a contradiction, that $\delta_{0}>\delta$ and pick $\sigma$ so that

$$
\sigma^{2}|A|^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\delta}{4}
$$

Then:

$$
2 \sigma \leq r_{0}-r\left(x_{0}\right) \text { and } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r_{0}-r}{r_{0}-r\left(x_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(r_{0}-r\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \sup _{\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)}|A|^{2} \leq 4 F\left(x_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow \sup _{\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)}|A|^{2} \leq 4|A|^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\sigma^{-2} \delta
$$

Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}=\eta_{x_{0}, \frac{\sigma}{4}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)$ (where $\eta_{x, \lambda}(y)=\lambda^{-1}(y-x)$ that is a rescaling and a translation) and let $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{H}$ be the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}}|\widetilde{A}|^{2} \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{16} \sup _{\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)}|A|^{2} \leq \frac{\delta}{16}<\frac{1}{16} \text { and }|\widetilde{A}|^{2}(0)=\frac{\delta}{64} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by $\mathcal{B}_{r}$ we now denote the geodesic balls of radius $r$ in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ centered at the origin. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ be the connected component of $B_{1} \cap \mathcal{B}_{2}$ containing the origin. Then, $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ has its boundary contained in $\partial B_{1}$. The proof of this is a standard argument that for completeness we have added in the Appendix, see Lemma 5.1. Using the Gauss equation gives

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}}\left|K_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}\right|=\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}} \frac{\left|\widetilde{H}^{2}-|\widetilde{A}|^{2}\right|}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}} \widetilde{H}^{2}+\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}}|\widetilde{A}|^{2}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2} \sup _{\mathcal{B}_{4}}|\widetilde{A}|^{2} \leq \frac{3}{32}
$$

and thus, by the Bishop Volume Comparison Theorem, see for instance [1], this bound implies that there exists a constant $c_{b}$ such that Area $\mathcal{B}_{4}<c_{b}$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area } \widetilde{\Sigma}_{0} \leq \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{4}<c_{b} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we focus our analysis on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}$. Abusing the notations, we omit the tildes and set $\Sigma=\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}$. Furthermore the letter $c$ will denote an absolute constant and when different
constants appear in the course of the proof we will keep the same letter $c$ unless the constant depends on some different parameters. We are going to show that we can apply Lemma 2.5, with $f=|A|^{2}$ and $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ to get an estimate for $|A(0)|$ in terms of the total curvature and $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}$.

The generalized Simons' inequality, with $|A|<1$ implies:

$$
\Delta|A|^{2} \geq-2|A|^{2}-c|\nabla H|^{2}-2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H .
$$

Hence the inequality in the assumptions of Lemma 2.5 is satisfied with $\lambda_{1}=2$ and $h=$ $c|\nabla H|^{2}+2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H$. Furthermore we have

$$
\sup _{\Sigma}|H|+\lambda_{1} / 2 \leq \sqrt{2} \sup _{\Sigma}|A|+1 \leq \sqrt{2}+1 .
$$

We are now going to find $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2} r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)\left(c|\nabla H|^{2}+2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H\right) \leq c_{2} r^{-\frac{1}{2}}+c_{3}, \text { for any } r \in(0,1] .
$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) 2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H= & -r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) \nabla_{i} h_{i j} \nabla_{j} H \\
& -r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} \nabla_{i}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) 2 h_{i j} \nabla_{j} H . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Codazzi equations we can estimate the first term on the RHS of (9) as follows:

$$
r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) \nabla_{i} h_{i j} \nabla_{j} H \leq r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)|\nabla H|^{2} .
$$

We estimate the second term on the RHS of (9), using the fact that $\sup _{\Sigma}|A|<\sqrt{\delta} / 4$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} \nabla_{i}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right) 2 h_{i j} \nabla_{j} H \leq r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma} 2|x||A||\nabla H| \leq 2 r^{-2} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|A||\nabla H| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\delta} r^{-2} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H| \leq \sqrt{\delta} r^{-3 / 2} \operatorname{Area}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{r}(x)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{r} \int_{B_{r}(x) \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sup _{\Sigma}|H| \leq \sqrt{2}$, the monotonicity inequality implies that there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
r^{-2} \text { Area }\left(\Sigma \cap B_{r}\right) \leq c \text { Area } \Sigma \leq c c_{b}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)\left(c|\nabla H|^{2}+2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H\right) \leq \\
& \quad c\left(r^{-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2}+c_{b} \sqrt{\delta} r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(r^{-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Remark 2.4, with $f=|\nabla H|^{2}$ we have

$$
\left.r^{-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2}+\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla| \nabla H\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \leq c\left(\int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2}+\int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}\left|\nabla^{2} H\right|^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore we have shown that for any $r \in(0,1]$

$$
\frac{1}{2} r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)\left(c|\nabla H|^{2}+2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H\right) \leq c\left(\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}+c_{b} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\delta}\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi|A(0)|^{2} & \leq e^{\sqrt{2}+1}\left(\int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|A|^{2}+2 c\left(c_{b} \sqrt{\delta}\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}\right)\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \leq e^{\sqrt{2}+1}\left(\delta \varepsilon_{0}+2 c \delta\left(c_{b} \sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right) \leq c \delta \sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant. Thus, we can pick $\varepsilon_{0}$ sufficiently small, so that $|A(0)|^{2}<\frac{\delta}{64}$, which contradicts (7). This finishes the proof of case (i).

Note that in equation (11) we have used the following elementary inequality to estimate $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}$. Abusing the notation, let us reintroduce the tildes to denote the surfaces and quantities obtained after rescaling so that $\|\widetilde{H}\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}\right)}=\|H\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}=\eta_{x_{0}, \frac{\sigma}{10}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)$. Then by the definition of the rescale invariant norms it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{H}\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}\right)} \leq\|\widetilde{H}\|_{W^{2,2}(\widetilde{\Sigma})}=\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)} \leq \delta \varepsilon_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove case (ii), i.e. when we assume that $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq\left(\delta \varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p / 2}$. We note that the same argument, as in case (i), carries through up to inequality (10). In this case, instead of using Remark 2.4, we will bound the RHS of (10) using the area bound (8). In particular we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{-1} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{2} & \leq r^{-1} \operatorname{Area}\left(\Sigma \cap B_{r}(x)\right)^{1-2 / p}\left(\int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}|\nabla H|^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \\
& \leq c^{1-2 / p} r^{1-4 / p}\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*} \leq c\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*}{ }^{2 / p} r^{-2 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant (independent of $p$ ) and the last inequality is true since $r \leq 1$ and $p>2$. Using this estimate in (10) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} r^{-3} \int_{B_{r} \cap \Sigma}\left(r^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)\left(c|\nabla H|^{2}+2 h_{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} H\right) \leq \\
& c\left(\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)^{2 / p}} r^{-2 / p}+\sqrt{\delta} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}}\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*}{ }^{1 / p}\right) \leq \\
& c r^{-2 / p}\left(\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*}{ }^{2 / p}+\sqrt{\delta}\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*}{ }^{1 / p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 in this case with $\alpha=2 / p$, we therefore obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi|A(0)|^{2} & \leq e^{\sqrt{2}+1}\left(\int_{B_{1} \cap \Sigma}|A|^{2}+c \frac{p}{p-2}\left(\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{* / p}+\sqrt{\delta}\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{* / p}\right)\right) \\
& \leq e^{\sqrt{2}+1}\left(\delta \varepsilon_{0}+c \frac{p}{p-2} \delta\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right) \leq c \delta \sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(1+\frac{p}{p-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can pick $\varepsilon_{0}$ sufficiently small, depending on $p$, so that $|A(0)|^{2}<\frac{\delta}{64}$, which contradicts (7). This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. Note that to estimate $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}(\Sigma)}^{*}$ we have also used the same argument as in equation (12).

Remark 2.6 The hypotheses needed to generalize the Choi-Schoen curvature estimate are optimal. For some $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, let

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=x y \frac{\log ^{\alpha}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon\right)}{\log ^{\alpha} \varepsilon}
$$

over the disk centered at the origin of radius $1 / 2$. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ be a sequence such that $\varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0$. Then the graphs of the functions $u_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ provide a sequence of surfaces $\Sigma_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ for which

$$
\|A\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)} \rightarrow 0,\|H\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

but

$$
\left|A_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon_{i}}}\right|(0) \rightarrow 1
$$

Note that this example shows that the hypotheses for Theorem 1.1 are also optimal.

## 3 Colding-Minicozzi curvature estimate generalized

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The idea of the proof is essentially the one in [5] except that we need to keep track of the mean curvature and use the more general results proved in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1 Given $C$ and $p \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}(p, C)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ containing the origin and such that $\operatorname{Inj}{ }_{\Sigma}(0) \geq 9$ s. If

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{9 s}}|A|^{2} \leq C, \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_{9 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}, \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_{9 s}}|H|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{9 s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{1}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{9 s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{1}^{p / 2}$, if $p>2$,
then

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq s^{-2} .
$$

Proof. In order to prove the lemma, we are going to show that if $\varepsilon_{1}$ is sufficiently small (depending on $p$ and $C$ ) then

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{9 s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{0}^{p / 2}$, if $p>2$,
where $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(p)$ is such that the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds. Consequently, applying Theorem 2.1 proves the lemma.

Note first that (i) or (ii) are automatically true by the hypotheses, as long as $\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and therefore we only need to show the estimate for $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}$.

By Theorem 2.1, for $\varepsilon_{1}$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}}|A|^{2} \leq C_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{1} s^{-2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}}}$ is fixed and $\varepsilon_{0}$ is as above.
Since $\operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(0) \geq 9 s$, using Gauss-Bonnet yields

$$
\operatorname{Length}\left(\partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right)-4 \pi s=-\int_{0}^{2 s} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}} K_{\Sigma}
$$

and thus we have

$$
\operatorname{Length}\left(\partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right) \leq 4 \pi s+s \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 s}}\left(|A|^{2}+|H|^{2}\right) \leq(4 \pi+C) s+s \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2 s}}|H|^{2} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right) \leq 6 s+\frac{4 \pi+C+1}{2} s+6 s=(13+2 \pi+C / 2) s
$$

provided that $\varepsilon_{1} \leq 1$. Let $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ and let $\gamma=\gamma(t)$ be a path between them parametrized by arclength so that $\gamma \subset \mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ and $t_{0}=\operatorname{Length} \gamma \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right)$. Then, by letting $n(x)$ denote the normal of $\Sigma$ at the point $x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{S^{2}}\left(n\left(x^{\prime}\right), n(x)\right) & =\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{dist}_{S^{2}}(n(\gamma(t)), n(x)) \leq \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left|\nabla \operatorname{dist}_{S^{2}}(n(\gamma(t)), n(x))\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t_{0}}|A(\gamma(t))| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(13+2 \pi+C / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}} \operatorname{dist}_{S^{2}}\left(n\left(x^{\prime}\right), n(x)\right) \leq C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(13+2 \pi+C / 2) .
$$

By rotating $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ so that $n(p)=e_{3}$ for some $p \in \mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}}\left|\nabla x_{3}\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(13+2 \pi+C / 2) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

since for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$

$$
\left|\nabla x_{3}\right|=\left|\operatorname{proj}_{T_{x} \Sigma}\left(D x_{3}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{proj}_{T_{x} \Sigma}\left(e_{3}\right)\right|=\left|n(x)-e_{3}\right|=|n(x)-n(p)| .
$$

Given $y \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$, let $\gamma_{y}$ be the outward normal geodesic from $y$ to $\partial \mathcal{B}_{8 s}$ parametrized by arclength on $[0,6 s]$. Then, (13) implies that for $\varepsilon_{1}$ small enough, $\left|\gamma_{y}(6 s)-\gamma_{y}(0)\right|>\left(5+\frac{1}{2}\right) s$ (see also Lemma 5.1) which in turn implies that

$$
\left|\Pi\left(\gamma_{y}(6 s)\right)-\Pi\left(\gamma_{y}(0)\right)\right|>5 s
$$

where $\Pi$ denotes the projection onto the $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ plane. This last implication follows from (14), since

$$
\left|x_{3}\left(\gamma_{y}(6 s)\right)-x_{3}\left(\gamma_{y}(0)\right)\right| \leq \int_{\gamma_{y} \mid[0,6 s]}\left|\nabla x_{3}\right| \leq 6 s C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(13+2 \pi+C / 2)
$$

Let us denote by $C_{r}$ the vertical cylinder of radius $r, C_{r}:=\left\{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=r^{2}\right\}$. The previous discussion implies that the intersection between $C_{3 s}$ and the boundary of the annulus $\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ is empty. More precisely, $\partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ is contained inside the cylinder while $\partial \mathcal{B}_{8 s}$ is outside. From this observation it follows that $C_{3 s} \cap\left\{\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right\}$ consists of a collection of closed curves. Since $\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ is locally graphical over $\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$ and the surface is embedded, each curve is a graph over $\partial D_{3 s}$, where $D_{r}$ is the disk of radius $r$ centered at the origin in the $\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$ plane. For each $y \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ let $\bar{t}_{y}$ be the minimum $t>2 s$ such that $\gamma_{y}\left(\bar{t}_{y}\right) \in C_{3 s}$ and let $\Gamma$ be the curve in $C_{3 s} \cap\left\{\mathcal{B}_{8 s} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\Gamma:=\left\{\gamma_{y}\left(\bar{t}_{y}\right) \mid y \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}\right\} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(0) \geq 9 s$, such $\Gamma$ is a deformation retract of $\partial \mathcal{B}_{2 s}$ and thus it is the boundary of a disk $\Delta$ containing $\mathcal{B}_{s}$.

Using Gauss-Bonnet and Gauss equation,

$$
\int_{\Gamma} k_{g}-2 \pi=\int_{\Delta} K_{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta}\left(H^{2}-|A|^{2}\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq \int_{\Delta}|A|^{2} \leq \int_{\Delta} H^{2}+2 \int_{\Gamma} k_{g}-4 \pi \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\Gamma$ we have that

$$
\sup _{\Gamma}|A| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{\Gamma}\left|\nabla x_{3}\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(12+2 \pi+C / 2) .
$$

Thus applying a standard argument, that is Lemma 5.2 in the Appendix with $\varepsilon=C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(12+$ $2 \pi+C / 2)$, we obtain that

$$
\text { Length }(\Gamma) \leq 6 \pi s(1+2 \varepsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|k_{g}\right|<(3 s)^{-1}(1+c \varepsilon)
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Gamma} k_{g}-2 \pi & \leq \operatorname{Length}(\Gamma) \sup _{\Gamma}\left|k_{g}\right|-2 \pi \leq 2 \pi(1+2 \varepsilon)(1+c \varepsilon)-2 \pi  \tag{16}\\
& \leq 6 \pi(1+c) \varepsilon=6 \pi(1+c) C_{1} \varepsilon_{1}^{1 / 2}(12+2 \pi+C / 2) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\int_{\Delta} H^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}$, together with equations (15) and (16), if $\varepsilon_{1}$ is sufficiently small we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

and applying the Choi-Schoen estimate generalized finishes the proof of the lemma.

Using Lemma 3.1 the proof of the following variation of the Theorem 1.1 is rather straightforward. Theorem 3.2 below is in fact Theorem 1.1 with the additional assumption that the $L^{2}$ norm of the mean curvature is small.

Theorem 3.2 Given $C_{1}$ and $p \geq 2$, there exist $C_{2}=C_{2}\left(p, C_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{p}=\varepsilon_{p}\left(C_{1}\right)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ containing the origin with $\operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(0) \geq s>0$,

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq C_{1}, \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{p}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{2}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{p}$, if $p>2$,
then

$$
|A|^{2}(0) \leq C_{2} s^{-2}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{1}\left(p, C_{1}\right)$ be such that the conclusion of Lemma 3.1 holds with $C=C_{1}$ and let $N$ be the least integer greater than $\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon_{1}}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume $\varepsilon_{1}<1$. We are going to show that if $\varepsilon_{p}<\varepsilon_{1}^{p / 2}<\varepsilon_{1}$, then $C_{2}$ can be taken to be $9^{2 N}$.

There exists $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{91-j_{s}} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{9}-j_{s}}|A|^{2} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{N} \leq \varepsilon_{1} .
$$

Moreover

$$
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{9^{1-j_{s}}}}|H|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{p}<\varepsilon_{1}
$$

and, in case $p=2$,

$$
\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{91}-j_{s}\right)}^{*} \leq\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{2}<\varepsilon_{1}
$$

or, in case $p>2$,

$$
\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{9^{1-j_{s}}}\right)}^{*} \leq\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{p}<\varepsilon_{1}^{p / 2}
$$

Thus, applying Lemma 3.1 to $\mathcal{B}_{9 \frac{s}{9 \rho}}$, we obtain

$$
|A|^{2}(0) \leq\left(\frac{s}{9^{j}}\right)^{-2} \leq 9^{2 N} s^{-2}
$$

Note that in case (i) of Theorem 3.2 the assumption $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{2}$ becomes redundant. Thus with Theorem 3.2 we have proved case (i) of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove case (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we need to remove the extra assumption on the $L^{2}$ norm of $H$. This will be done in the next section.

## 4 Bounded $L^{p}$ norm of the mean curvature

In this section we show that when the rescale invariant $L^{p}$ norm of the mean curvature is sufficiently small, $p \geq 2$, a bound on the total curvature of an intrinsic ball provides a bound for its area, and viceversa (see for instance [4] for this being done in the minimal case). As a consequence the $L^{p}$ norm of the mean curvature when $p>2$, bounds the $L^{2}$ norm of the mean curvature and this hypothesis can be removed from Theorem 3.2 proving Theorem 1.1. We begin by showing the relation between total curvature and area in the $p=2$ case.

An easy computation using Gauss-Bonnet Theorem (see for instance [4]) gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 If $\mathcal{B}_{s} \subset \Sigma$ is disjoint from the cut locus of 0 , then

$$
\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}=-\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}} K_{\Sigma} .
$$

Since $-2 K_{\Sigma}=|A|^{2}-|H|^{2}$, the above lemma implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s} \leq \pi s^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
-\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} K_{\Sigma} \frac{(s-r)^{2}}{2}=-\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}} K_{\Sigma}=\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}
$$

where the first inequality follows by the coarea formula and integration by parts twice (cf. Corollary 1.7 in [4]) and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{s^{2}}{16} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\frac{s}{2}}}|A|^{2} & \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} \frac{|A|^{2}}{2} \frac{(s-r)^{2}}{2}=\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}+\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} \frac{H^{2}}{2} \frac{(s-r)^{2}}{2}  \tag{18}\\
& =\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}+\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}} \frac{H^{2}}{2} \leq \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (17) and (18) show that when $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{2}$ is bounded, a bound on the total curvature provides a bound on the area and viceversa.

In what follows we show that if $s^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{p}$ is sufficiently small for some $p>2$ and either we have a bound on the total curvature or a bound on the area, then the $L^{2}$ norm of $H$ is also small.

To see this let $\varepsilon$ be such that $\left(s^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \varepsilon$. First notice that by the monotonicity formula (see Theorem 17.6 and Remark 17.9 in [11]) we have that

$$
\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s} \geq(1-c \varepsilon) \pi s^{2}
$$

where $c$ is a constant that depends only on $p$.

Let $q$ be such that $1 / q+2 / p=1$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}^{1 / q} \leq\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \operatorname{Area} \mathcal{B}_{s}\left((1-c \varepsilon) \pi s^{2}\right)^{-2 / p} \\
& \leq((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(s^{p-2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|H|^{p}\right)^{2 / p} s^{\frac{2(2-p)}{p}} s^{-4 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{2} \leq((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2} \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using this estimate in (17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s} \leq\left(1-((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi s^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2}<1$. If $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, say $((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2}<\frac{1}{2}$, replacing the area term in (19) with equation (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}} H^{2} \leq 2((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p}\left(2 \pi+\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}\right) \varepsilon^{2} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In sum, estimate (21) shows that if $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}$ is bounded, then if the $L^{p}$ norm of $H$, when $p>2$, is small, so is the $L^{2}$ norm of $H$. This estimate, together with Theorem 3.2 proves Theorem 1.1.

Finally, using estimate (20) in (18), we have

$$
\frac{s^{2}}{16} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\frac{s}{2}}}|A|^{2} \leq\left(1+((1-c \varepsilon) \pi)^{-2 / p} \varepsilon^{2}\right) \text { Area } \mathcal{B}_{s}-\pi s^{2}
$$

This implies that Theorem 1.1 still holds if instead of a bound on the total curvature, we assume a bound on the area and thus we have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 4.2 Given $C_{1}$ and $p \geq 2$, there exist $C_{2}=C_{2}\left(p, C_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_{p}=\varepsilon_{p}\left(C_{1}\right)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ containing the origin with $\operatorname{Inj}_{\Sigma}(0) \geq s>0$,

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{Area}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right), \int_{\mathcal{B}_{s}}|A|^{2}\right\} \leq C_{1}
$$

and either
i. $\|H\|_{W^{2,2}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{2}$, if $p=2$, or
ii. $\|H\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{r_{0}}\right)}^{*} \leq \varepsilon_{p}$, if $p>2$,
then

$$
|A|^{2}(0) \leq C_{2} s^{-2}
$$

## 5 Appendix

In this Appendix we review some standard geometric facts about surfaces with bounded second fundamental form that are needed in the paper.

Lemma 5.1 Let $\Sigma$ be a surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, p, q \in \Sigma$ and $\gamma:[0, \lambda] \rightarrow \Sigma$ a geodesic, parametrized by arclength, such that $\gamma(0)=p$ and $\gamma(\lambda)=q$. If for some $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, \lambda]}|A(\gamma(t))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}
$$

then $|q-p| \geq \lambda(1-\alpha)$.
Proof. Let $k$ denote the curvature of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then, since $\gamma$ is a geodesic, for any $t \in[0, \lambda]$

$$
|k(t)| \leq|A(\gamma(t))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle\right| \leq|k|
$$

we have for all $t_{0} \in[0, \lambda]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle-1=\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \Longrightarrow \\
\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \geq 1-\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left|\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle\right| \geq 1-\int_{0}^{t_{0}}|k(\gamma(t))| \geq 1-\alpha \frac{t_{0}}{\lambda} \geq(1-\alpha) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\gamma(\lambda), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle-\left\langle\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{\lambda} \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \Longrightarrow \\
\left\langle q-p, \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle=\left\langle\gamma(\lambda)-\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \geq \lambda(1-\alpha)
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that $|q-p| \geq \lambda(1-\alpha)$.

Lemma 5.2 There exist $c>0$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ that is graphical over some domain in the $\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$ plane containing $\partial D_{s}$, for some $s>0$, and let $\Gamma$ be $\Sigma \cap C_{s}$. If for a certain $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\Gamma}|A| \leq \varepsilon s^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{\Gamma}\left|\nabla x_{3}\right| \leq \varepsilon \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\text { Length } \Gamma \leq 2 \pi s(1+2 \varepsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|k_{g}\right|<s^{-1}(1+c \varepsilon)
$$

Proof. Let us consider the following parameterization for $\Gamma$,

$$
\Gamma=r(t):=\left\{\left(s \cos (t / s), s \sin (t / s), x_{3}(t)\right) \mid t \in[0,2 \pi s)\right\}
$$

Because of the second estimate in (22)

$$
\frac{\left|\dot{r} \cdot e_{3}\right|}{|\dot{r}|} \leq \operatorname{proj}_{T_{r} M}\left(e_{3}\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

and since $\dot{r}(t)=\left(-\sin (t / s), \cos (t / s), \dot{x}_{3}(t)\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\dot{x}_{3}\right|}{\sqrt{1+\left|\dot{x}_{3}\right|^{2}}} \leq \varepsilon \Longrightarrow\left|\dot{x}_{3}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{1-\varepsilon^{2}} \Longrightarrow\left|\dot{x}_{3}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon<\sqrt{3} / 2$. Thus for $L=$ Length $\Gamma$ we have the following:

$$
2 \pi s \leq L:=\int_{0}^{2 \pi s} \sqrt{1+\left|\dot{x}_{3}\right|^{2}} \leq 2 \pi s(1+2 \varepsilon)
$$

Moreover

$$
\ddot{r}(t)=\left(-s^{-1} \cos (t / s),-s^{-1} \sin (t / s), \ddot{x}_{3}(t)\right)
$$

and the curvature vector $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ is given by the formula

$$
k=\ddot{r} \frac{1}{1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}}+\dot{r} \frac{\dot{x}_{3} \ddot{x}_{3}}{\left(1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Let $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ be the unit normal of $\Sigma$, then by the second estimate in (22) we have that

$$
\max \left\{\left|n_{1}\right|,\left|n_{2}\right|\right\} \leq \varepsilon, n_{3}>1-\varepsilon
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\varepsilon)\left|k_{3}\right| \leq\left|n_{3} k_{3}\right| \leq|n \cdot k|+\left|\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, 0\right) \cdot k\right| \leq|A(r)|+\varepsilon\left|\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0\right)\right| . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}=\frac{\ddot{x}_{3}}{1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\ddot{x}_{3} \dot{x}_{3}^{2}}{\left(1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}} \Longrightarrow\left|k_{3}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\ddot{x}_{3}\right| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of (23) and for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $\left(\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-2} \geq 1 / 2\right)$.
Let $\alpha=\frac{1}{1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}(t)}$ and $\beta=\frac{\dot{x}_{3}(t) \dot{x}_{3}(t)}{\left(1+\dot{x}_{3}^{2}(t)\right)^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0\right)=\left(-\frac{\alpha}{s} \cos (t / s)-\beta \sin (t / s),-\frac{\alpha}{s} \sin (t / s)+\beta \cos (t / s), 0\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, 0\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{s^{2}}+\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(s^{-2}+4 \varepsilon^{2} \ddot{x}_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq s^{-1}+2 \varepsilon\left|\ddot{x}_{3}\right| .
$$

Therefore using equations (22), (24), (25) and (26) we have that

$$
\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}\left|\ddot{x}_{3}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon s^{-1}+2 \varepsilon^{2}\left|\ddot{x}_{3}\right| \Longrightarrow\left|\ddot{x}_{3}\right| \leq 16 \varepsilon s^{-1}
$$

for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $(\varepsilon<1 / 4)$.
Therefore there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that for the geodesic curvature we have

$$
\left|k_{g}\right| \leq|\vec{k}| \leq|\ddot{r}|+c \varepsilon^{2} s^{-1}|\dot{r}| \leq \sqrt{s^{-2}\left(1+c \varepsilon^{2}\right)}+c \varepsilon^{2} s^{-1} \sqrt{1+c \varepsilon^{2}} \leq s^{-1}(1+c \varepsilon)
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.
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