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#### Abstract

We construct explicit consistent Kaluza-Klein reductions of type IIB supergravity on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$, where $H K_{4}$ is an arbitrary four-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold, and on $S E_{5}$, an arbitrary five-dimensional SasakiEinstein manifold. In the former case we obtain the bosonic action of $D=5 N=4$ (ungauged) supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets. For the $S E_{5}$ case we extend a known reduction, which leads to minimal $D=5 N=2$ gauged supergravity, to also include a multiplet of massive fields, containing the breathing mode of the $S E_{5}$. We show that the resulting $D=5$ action is also consistent with $N=4$ gauged supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets. This theory has a supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum, which uplifts to the class of supersymmetric $A d S_{5} \times S E_{5}$ solutions, that spontaneously breaks $N=4$ to $N=2$, and also a nonsupersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum which uplifts to a class of solutions first found by Romans.


## 1 Introduction

Consistent Kaluza-Klein (KK) reductions provide a powerful tool to construct exact solutions of $D=10$ and $D=11$ supergravity. For example, it has been shown, at the level of the bosonic fields, that there is a consistent KK reduction of type IIB supergravity on an arbitrary five-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space, $S E_{5}$, to minimal $N=2$ gauged supergravity in $D=5$ [1]. By definition, this means that any solution of the $D=5$ gauged supergravity can be uplifted on an arbitrary $S E_{5}$ space to obtain an infinite class of exact solutions of type IIB supergravity, one for each choice of $S E_{5}$. In particular, the supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum solution uplifts to the class of supersymmetric $A d S_{5} \times S E_{5}$ solutions which are dual to $N=1$ SCFTs in $d=4$. There is a similar consistent KK reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on an arbitrary seven dimensional Sasaki-Einstein space, $S E_{7}$, to minimal $N=2$ gauged supergravity in $D=4$ [2]. In this case the supersymmetric $A d S_{4}$ vacuum solution of this theory uplifts to the class of supersymmetric $A d S_{4} \times S E_{7}$ solutions dual to $N=2$ SCFTs in $d=3$.

These two examples form part of a more general story. For any supersymmetric solution of $D=10$ or $D=11$ supergravity consisting of a warped product of an $A d S_{d+1}$ space with an internal manifold $M$ and fluxes preserving the symmetries of $A d S_{d+1}$, it is expected [2] that there is always a consistent KK reduction on $M$ to a $D=d+1$ gauged supergravity theory where one only keeps the fields of the supermultiplet containing the metric. In the $d$ dimensional SCFT dual to the supergravity solution, these fields are dual to the superconformal current multiplet. In the above $S E$ examples, the bosonic field content of the $D=5,4$ minimal supergravities consist of a metric and a single gauge-field which are indeed dual to the energy-momentum tensor and the abelian $R$-symmetry current of the superconformal current multiplet in the dual SCFTs. Other examples include the $N=8 S O(8)$ gauged supergravity arising from the KK reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on $S^{7}$ and the $N=8 S O$ (6) gauged supergravity arising from type IIB supergravity on $S^{5}$, where the consistency has been partially demonstrated in [3] and [4, 5, 6, 7] , respectively, and also examples discussed in [8, 9]. It is worth noting that almost all work on consistent KK reductions works at the level of the bosonic fields, with the expectation that the fermions will come along for the ride. A notable exception is [10, 11] where a complete reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on $S^{4}$ to maximal $D=7 S O(5)$ gauged supergravity was carried out. Also, in some cases [1, 8, 2] the fermions have been taken into consideration to the extent that one can show that any bosonic solution of the lower dimensional
gauged supergravity that preserves supersymmetry will uplift to a bosonic solution of $D=10$ or $D=11$ supergravity that also preserves supersymmetry.

It has recently been shown that the consistent KK reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on an arbitrary $S E_{7}$ space to minimal $D=4 N=2$ gauged supergravity that we mentioned above can be generalised [12]. At the level of bosonic fields it can be shown that in addition to the massless graviton supermultiplet one can also include the massive supermultiplet that contains the breathing mode. The resulting consistent KK reduction gives a $D=4 N=2$ gauged supergravity coupled to a vector multiplet and a tensor multiplet. This matter content and the supersymmetry can be understood in the following way. First recall that one can consistently KK reduce type IIA supergravity on an arbitrary Calabi-Yau three-fold to obtain a universal $D=4 N=2$ (ungauged) supergravity coupled to universal tensor multiplet and a universal hypermultiplet. The details of this reduction utilise the fact that the Calabi-Yau three-fold has an $S U(3)$ structure, specified by the Kähler form and the $(3,0)$ form, both of which are closed. Returning to the reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on the $S E_{7}$ we next recall that it also has a globally defined $S U(3)$ structure which implies that, locally, the $S E_{7}$ space can be viewed as a $U(1)$ fibration over a six-dimensional Kähler-Einstein space $K E_{6}$. Thus, after first reducing on the $U(1)$, the reduction has the structure of a type IIA reduction on an $S U(3)$ manifold [13], the $K E_{6}$ space. Thus one expects the same field content and the same off-shell supersymmetry as in the universal sector of the reduction of type IIA on the $C Y_{3}$ space, but the twisting of the $U(1)$ fibration, the fact that the $(3,0)$ form on the $K E_{6}$ is not closed and the presence of the background four-form flux lead to a gauging of the $D=4 N=2$ supergravity theory. See [14] for a related reduction of $D=11$ supergravity in a non-supersymmetric context.

In this paper we will show that there is an analogous generalisation of the KK reduction of type IIB supergravity on $S E_{5}$. We will show that the consistent KK reduction to minimal $D=5 N=2$ gauged supergravity of [1] can also be extended to include the massive supermultiplet containing the breathing mode. We will show that the reduction is consistent with $D=5 N=4$ gauged supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets with a gauging as described in [15] [16]. To understand this matter content, and the origin of the increased supersymmetry, we now view, locally, the $S E_{5}$ space as a $U(1)$ fibration over a four-dimensional Kähler-Einstein space, $K E_{4}$. The previous discussion suggests that we should expect a gauged supergravity with the same field content and supersymmetry as that arising in the universal sector of the reduction of type IIB supergravity on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$, where $H K_{4}$ is an arbitrary
four-dimensional hyper-Kähler space (not necessarily compact). In fact this reduction has not yet been analysed ${ }^{1}$, so in this paper we will show that there is a consistent KK reduction of type IIB on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ to a universal sector that is consistent with $N=4$ (ungauged) supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets. For the reduction on $S E_{5}$ the twisting of the $U(1)$ fibration, the fact that the $(2,0)$ form on the $K E_{4}$ is not closed and the presence of the background five-form flux lead to a gauging of the $D=5 N=4$ supergravity theory. We show that the gauging is given by a $H_{3} \times U(1) \subset S O(5,2)$ subgroup of the duality symmetry group $S O(1,1) \times S O(5,2)$ of the ungauged theory, where $H_{3}$ is the three-dimensional Heisenberg group.

The $D=5 N=4$ gauged supergravity that we obtain from the reduction on an $S E_{5}$ space admits a supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum which uplifts to the supersymmetric $A d S_{5} \times S E_{5}$ solutions of type IIB. An interesting feature is that this $A d S_{5}$ vacuum spontaneously partially ${ }^{2}$ breaks the $N=4$ supersymmetry down to $N=2$. There is also another $A d S_{5}$ vacuum that doesn't preserve any supersymmetry which uplifts to the type IIB solutions found by Romans [19] generalising those found in $D=11$ by Pope and Warner [20] [21]. Without supersymmetry, the stability of these type IIB solutions should be investigated; for the special case that the $S E_{5}$ space is the round $S^{5}$ it is expected that they are not stable [22].

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows. The reduction of type IIB supergravity on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ is analysed in section 2 and the reduction on $S E_{5}$ is analysed in section 3. We have included some details of our calculations, which are rather long, in an appendix. Section 4 concludes with some brief final comments concerning how our results might be generalised for the special case of $S^{5}$. We also briefly comment on the consistent KK reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on tri-Sasaki manifolds and argue that it will lead to an $N=4$ gauged supergravity in $D=4$ with an $A d S_{4}$ vacuum that spontaneously breaks $N=4$ to $N=3$.

## Note Added

When we were writing this work up we became aware of [23] with which there is considerable overlap.

[^0]
## 2 Type IIB reduced on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$

Our starting point is the class of $\mathbb{R}^{1,4} \times H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity. Recall that the bosonic fields of type IIB supergravity [24] [25] consist of the metric, the dilaton $\Phi$ and the NS three-form field strength $H_{(3)}$, and the RR form fieldstrengths $F_{(1)}=d C_{(0)}, F_{(3)}$, and $F_{(5)}$. The equations of motion and Bianchi identities are given in appendix A. The $\mathbb{R}^{1,4} \times H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{10}^{2}=d s^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1,4}\right)+d s^{2}\left(H K_{4}\right)+\eta \otimes \eta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F_{(5)}=F_{(3)}=H_{(3)}=0$ and has constant dilaton and constant axion $\left(F_{(1)}=0\right)$. The hyper-Kähler space $H K_{4}$ has a Kähler two-form $J$ and a $(2,0)$ form $\Omega$ that satisfy algebraic conditions that are given in appendix B. They are both closed as is the one-form $\eta$ on the $S^{1}$ factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d J=d \Omega=d \eta=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution generically preserves $N=4$ supersymmetry. Note that if $H K_{4}$ is compact then it is either $K_{3}$ or $T^{4}$ and in the latter case all $N=8$ supersymmetry is preserved.

### 2.1 The consistent Kaluza-Klein reduction on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$

Our KK ansatz for the metric of type IIB supergravity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{10}^{2}=e^{-\frac{2}{3}(4 U+V)} d s_{(E)}^{2}+e^{2 U} d s^{2}\left(H K_{4}\right)+e^{2 V}\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d s_{(E)}^{2}$ is an arbitrary metric on an external five-dimensional space-time (it will turn out to be in the Einstein frame and hence the subscript $E$ ), $U$ and $V$ are scalar fields and $A_{1}$ is a one-form defined on the external five-dimensional space. Following [12], the ansatz for the form field strengths is constructed using the two-forms $J, \Omega$
and $\eta$, and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(5)}= & e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} * K_{2} \wedge J+K_{1} \wedge J \wedge J \\
& +\left[-2 e^{-8 U} * K_{1}+K_{2} \wedge J\right] \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \\
& +\left[e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} * L_{2} \wedge \Omega+L_{2} \wedge \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
F_{(3)}= & G_{3}+G_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+G_{1} \wedge J+\left(N_{1} \wedge \Omega+\text { c.c. }\right) \\
H_{(3)}= & H_{3}+H_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+H_{1} \wedge J+\left(M_{1} \wedge \Omega+\text { c.c. }\right) \\
C_{(0)}= & a \\
\Phi= & \phi \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $*$ is the Hodge dual corresponding to the five-dimensional metric $d s_{(E)}^{2}$ in (2.3), with volume form $\operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)} ; a, \phi$, are real scalars, $G_{3}, H_{3}, G_{2}, H_{2}, K_{2}, K_{1}$ real forms, and $L_{2}, M_{1}, N_{1}$, complex forms, all of them defined on the external five-dimensional spacetime. Note that we have ensured that the five-form $F_{(5)}$ is self-dual with respect to the metric (2.3). Also note that we can add the terms $\left(G_{0} J+N_{0} \Omega\right) \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)$ to $F_{(3)}$ and $\left(H_{0} J+M_{0} \Omega\right) \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)$ to $H_{(3)}$, where $G_{0}, H_{0}$ are real scalars and $N_{0}, M_{0}$ are complex scalars. However, an analysis of the type IIB supergravity equations imply that they can be set to zero. Similarly we have also set to zero a possible factor $e^{Z}$, where $Z$ is a scalar, that would multiply $\operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}$ and $J \wedge J \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)$ terms in $F_{(5)}$.

We now substitute into the equations of motion and Bianchi identities of type IIB supergravity that are given in appendix A. The calculations are rather involved, so we will simply summarise the main results here, referring to appendix B for some details. We find that the physical degrees of freedom are 7 real scalars $U, V, \phi, a, b, c, h$; 2 complex scalars $\xi$, $\chi ; 4$ real one-form potentials $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}, E_{1} ; 1$ complex one-form potential $D_{1}$ and 2 real two-form potentials $B_{2}, C_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{3}=d B_{2}-B_{1} \wedge F_{2} \\
& H_{2}=d B_{1} \\
& H_{1}=d b \\
& M_{1}=d \xi \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{2} \equiv d A_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{3}=d C_{2}-C_{1} \wedge F_{2}-a d B_{2}+a B_{1} \wedge F_{2} \\
& G_{2}=d C_{1}-a d B_{1} \\
& G_{1}=d c-a d b \\
& N_{1}=d \chi-a d \xi \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2} & =d E_{1}-c d B_{1}+b d C_{1} \\
L_{2} & =d D_{1}-\chi d B_{1}+\xi d C_{1} \\
K_{1} & =d h+\frac{1}{2}(b d c-c d b)+\xi^{*} d \chi+\xi d \chi^{*}-\chi d \xi^{*}-\chi^{*} d \xi \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We also find that the equations of motion for all of the fields can be obtained by varying a $D=5$ action with Lagrangian given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{(E)}=\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kinetic term is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}^{(E)}= & R^{(E)} \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}-\frac{28}{3} d U \wedge * d U-\frac{8}{3} d U \wedge * d V-\frac{4}{3} d V \wedge * d V-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi} d a \wedge * d a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} d \phi \wedge * d \phi-4 e^{-4 U-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*}-4 e^{-4 U+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}-2 e^{-8 U} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& -e^{-4 U-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-e^{-4 U+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{8}{3}(U+V)} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2} \\
& -e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}-4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(2 U-V)-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(2 U-V)+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the topological term is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}= & A_{1} \wedge\left[-K_{2} \wedge K_{2}-4 L_{2} \wedge L_{2}^{*}+2 K_{1} \wedge\left(C_{1} \wedge d B_{1}-B_{1} \wedge d C_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 K_{2} \wedge\left(B_{1} \wedge d c-C_{1} \wedge d b\right)-\left[4 L_{2}^{*} \wedge\left(B_{1} \wedge d \chi-C_{1} \wedge d \xi\right)+c . c .\right]\right] \\
- & 2 d C_{2} \wedge \tag{2.10}
\end{align*} X_{2}+2 d B_{2} \wedge Y_{2} .
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{2} & =\left(h+\frac{1}{2} b c+\xi^{*} \chi+\xi \chi^{*}\right) d B_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{2} b^{2}+2|\xi|^{2}\right) d C_{1}-b d E_{1}-2 \xi^{*} d D_{1}-2 \xi d D_{1}^{*} \\
Y_{2} & =\left(h-\frac{1}{2} b c-\xi^{*} \chi-\xi \chi^{*}\right) d C_{1}+\left(\frac{1}{2} c^{2}+2|\chi|^{2}\right) d B_{1}-c d E_{1}-2 \chi^{*} d D_{1}-2 \chi d D_{1}^{*} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

To summarise, by explicit construction, we have shown that any solution of the equations of motion arising from this $D=5$ action can be uplifted on an arbitrary $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ space via (2.3) and (2.4) to obtain exact solutions of type IIB supergravity. In other words we have identified the consistent KK reduction of type IIB supergravity on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ to a universal $D=5$ theory. In the next subsection we will argue that this $D=5$ theory is consistent with the bosonic sector of $N=4$ supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets.

## 2.2 $\quad N=4$ ungauged supergravity

We first recall some aspects of $N=4$ ungauged supergravity coupled to $n$ vector multiplets [26] (see also [15] 16] ). The global symmetry group of the theory is given by $S O(1,1) \times S O(5, n)$. The bosonic content includes a metric and $6+n$ vector fields, $\mathcal{B}^{0}, \mathcal{B}^{M}$ with $M=1, \ldots 5+n$ transforming in the $(-1, \mathbf{1})$ and $(+1 / 2, \mathbf{5}+\mathbf{n})$ representation, where the first entry indicates the $S O(1,1)$ charge. In addition there are $1+5 n$ scalar fields which parametrise the coset $S O(1,1) \times S O(5, n) / S O(5) \times$ $S O(n)$. The scalar corresponding to the $S O(1,1)$ factor is described by a real scalar field $\Sigma$ which is a singlet under $S O(5, n)$ and carries $S O(1,1)$ charge $-1 / 2$. The remaining $5 n$ scalars are described by a coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ of $S O(5, n) / S O(5) \times$ $S O(n)$ with zero $S O(1,1)$ charge. The bosonic action of $D=5 N=4$ supergravity can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{N=} & =R \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}-\Sigma^{2} M_{M N} \mathcal{H}^{M} \wedge * \mathcal{H}^{N}-\Sigma^{-4} \mathcal{H}^{0} \wedge * \mathcal{H}^{0} \\
& -3 \Sigma^{-2} d \Sigma \wedge * d \Sigma+\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}(d M \wedge * d M) \\
& +\sqrt{2} \eta_{M N} \mathcal{B}^{0} \wedge \mathcal{H}^{M} \wedge \mathcal{H}^{N} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M \equiv \mathcal{V}^{T} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H}^{0} \equiv d \mathcal{B}^{0}, \mathcal{H}^{M} \equiv d \mathcal{B}^{M}$ and $\eta_{M N}$ is the invariant tensor of $S O(5, n)$.
On quite general grounds we can argue that our consistent truncation on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ should be consistent with $N=4$ supersymmetry. Firstly, we recall that the uplifted vacuum solution preserves $N=4$ supersymmetry of the type IIB supergravity. Furthermore, the $S U(2)$ structure of the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ factor, specified by the forms $J, \Omega, \eta$ that we used in our KK reduction, can be constructed from the type IIB Killing spinors. Now our KK reduction ansatz that we considered was the most general universal ansatz using just $J, \Omega, \eta$. Thus, given that we have shown that our ansatz was a consistent KK reduction we expect it to be the bosonic part of an $N=4$ theory in $D=5$. An immediate check of this logic is provided by counting the degrees of freedom. In addition to the metric, our $D=5$ theory has eleven real scalar degrees of
freedom, six real vectors and two real two-forms. Since we can dualise the two-forms to vectors we have the bosonic matter content of $N=4$ supergravity coupled to $n=2$ vector multiplets.

In order to make the supersymmetry structure of our theory manifest, we now dualise the two-forms $B_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ into two vectors $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B_{1}^{\prime}$ by defining $H_{3}^{\prime}=d B_{2}$ and $G_{3}^{\prime}=d C_{2}$, and adding the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=C_{1}^{\prime} \wedge d H_{3}^{\prime}+B_{1}^{\prime} \wedge d G_{3}^{\prime} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(E)}$ in (2.8). Integrating out $H_{3}^{\prime}$ and $G_{3}^{\prime}$, we find that $H_{3}$ and $G_{3}$ are now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{3}=-e^{-\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)+\phi} * G_{2}^{\prime} \\
& G_{3}=-e^{-\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)-\phi} * H_{2}^{\prime} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{2}^{\prime}=d B^{\prime}-2 X_{2} \\
& G_{2}^{\prime}=d C_{1}^{\prime}+2 Y_{2}+a H_{2}^{\prime}-2 a X_{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and $X_{2}, Y_{2}$ are given in (2.11). Substituting $H_{3}^{\prime}, G_{3}^{\prime}$ back into $\mathcal{L}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ we obtain a dual Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{\text {dual }}$ which contains eight vector fields. With a little further effort we can show that the topological Lagrangian simplifies considerably and in particular all dependence on the scalar fields drops out. Before writing this action we first introduce new scalar fields given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=e^{-\frac{2}{3}(U+V)}, \quad \varphi_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi-4 U), \quad \varphi_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi+4 U) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual Lagrangian can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\text {dual }}=R^{(E)} \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalars }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {vectors }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}^{\text {dual }} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar kinetic terms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalars }}= & -3 \Sigma^{-2} d \Sigma \wedge * d \Sigma-\frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{1} \wedge * d \varphi_{1}-\frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{2} \wedge * d \varphi_{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)} d a \wedge * d a-2 e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& -e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-4 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*} \\
& -e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-4 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

the kinetic terms for the vectors are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {vectors }}= & -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-4} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2}-\Sigma^{2}\left[K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}+4 L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}+\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} H_{2}^{\prime} \wedge * H_{2}^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} G_{2}^{\prime} \wedge * G_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}\right] \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and the topological term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}^{\text {dual }}=-A_{1} \wedge\left[d E_{1} \wedge d E_{1}+4 d D_{1} \wedge d D_{1}^{*}-d B_{1} \wedge d C_{1}^{\prime}-d C_{1} \wedge d B_{1}^{\prime}\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now identify with the degrees of freedom of $N=4$ supergravity. For the scalars we see that $\Sigma$ corresponds to the $\mathbb{R} \sim S O(1,1)$ factor in the scalar manifold. The remaining dilatons, $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$, and the axions $a, b, c, h, \xi, \chi$, therefore parametrise the homogeneous space $S O(5,2) /(S O(5) \times S O(2))$. To make this manifest we find it convenient to resort to the solvable Lie algebra approach [27, 28]. According to this method, a parametrisation of the supergravity scalar manifold $G / H$ can be obtained via the exponentiation of a suitable solvable subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $G$, including as many Cartan generators as dilatons, and as many positive root generators as axions that are contained in $G / H$. For $S O(5,2) /(S O(5) \times S O(2))$, the relevant ten-dimensional subalgebra of $s o(7)$ is accordingly spanned by two Cartan generators $\mathrm{H}^{1}, \mathrm{H}^{2}$, and eight positive root generators $\mathrm{T}^{i}, i=1, \ldots, 8$, which, in the fundamental of $s o(7)$, can be taken to b $\underbrace{3}$ [17]

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{H}^{1}=\sqrt{2}\left(E_{22}-E_{77}\right), & \mathrm{T}^{1}=E_{67}-E_{21}, & \mathrm{~T}^{4}=E_{23}+E_{37}, & \mathrm{~T}^{7}=E_{24}+E_{47}, \\
\mathrm{H}^{2}=\sqrt{2}\left(E_{11}-E_{66}\right), & \mathrm{T}^{2}=E_{17}-E_{26}, & \mathrm{~T}^{5}=E_{14}+E_{46}, & \mathrm{~T}^{8}=E_{25}+E_{57}, \\
& \mathrm{~T}^{3}=E_{13}+E_{36}, & \mathrm{~T}^{6}=E_{15}+E_{56}, & \tag{2.21}
\end{array}
$$

where $E_{i j}$ denotes the $7 \times 7$ matrix with 1 in the $i$-th row and $j$-th column and 0 elsewhere.

We find the coset representative of $S O(5,2) /(S O(5) \times S O(2))$ to be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}= & e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{1} \mathbf{H}^{1}+\varphi_{2} \boldsymbol{H}^{2}\right)} e^{a \boldsymbol{\top}^{1}} e^{\left(2 h-b c-4 \xi^{*} \chi-4 \xi \chi^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{T}^{2}} e^{b \sqrt{2} \boldsymbol{T}^{3}} e^{c \sqrt{2} \boldsymbol{T}^{4}} e^{2 \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re}(\xi) \mathrm{T}^{5}} e^{2 \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im}(\xi) \mathrm{T}^{6}} \\
& \times e^{2 \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re}(\chi) \mathrm{T}^{\top}} e^{2 \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im}(\chi) \boldsymbol{T}^{8}} . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in this basis we have $\mathcal{V}^{T} \eta \mathcal{V}=\eta$ with $\eta=E_{33}+E_{44}+E_{55}-E_{16}-E_{61}-$

[^1]$E_{27}-E_{72}$. The Maurer-Cartan form $d \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}^{-1}$ takes values in the solvable Lie algebra,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}^{-1}= & \frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{1} \mathrm{H}^{1}+\frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{2} \mathrm{H}^{2}+e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)} d a \mathrm{~T}^{1}+2 e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)} K_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{2}+\sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{2}} H_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{3} \\
& +\sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{1}} G_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{4}+2 \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{5}+2 \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{6} \\
& +2 \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{1}} \operatorname{Re}\left(N_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{7}+2 \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \varphi_{1}} \operatorname{Im}\left(N_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{8}, \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

with coefficients along the generators (2.21) corresponding to the axion one-form field strengths defined in (2.5)-(2.7). Note that the transgression terms in these one-forms arise as a consequence of the non-trivial commutation relations among the positive root generators. Finally, we can construct the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\mathcal{V}^{T} \mathcal{V} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

to bring the scalar kinetic terms (2.18) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalars }}=-3 \Sigma^{-2} d \Sigma \wedge * d \Sigma+\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}\left(d M^{-1} \wedge * d M\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as in (2.12).
For the vectors we identify

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{1}^{0} & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_{1} \\
\mathcal{B}_{1}^{M} & =\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{1}^{\prime}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_{1}^{\prime}, E_{1}, 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(D_{1}\right), 2 \operatorname{Im}\left(D_{1}\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_{1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{1}\right\} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for our Chern-Simons term we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}^{\text {dual }}=\sqrt{2} \eta_{M N} \mathcal{B}^{0} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{2}^{M} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{2}^{N} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have verified that the kinetic terms for the vectors given in (2.19) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {vectors }}=-\Sigma^{2} M_{M N} \mathcal{H}^{M} \wedge * \mathcal{H}^{N}-\Sigma^{-4} \mathcal{H}^{0} \wedge * \mathcal{H}^{0} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in (2.12). This completes our demonstration that we indeed have the bosonic action of $N=4$ supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets.

## 3 Type IIB reduced on $S E_{5}$

We now turn our attention to reductions on $S E_{5}$ spaces. We begin by recalling the class of $A d S_{5} \times S E_{5}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d s_{10}^{2} & =d s^{2}\left(A d S_{5}\right)+d s^{2}\left(S E_{5}\right) \\
F_{(5)} & =4 \operatorname{vol}\left(S E_{5}\right)+4 \operatorname{vol}\left(A d S_{5}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $F_{(3)}=H_{(3)}=0$ and constant dilaton and constant axion $\left(F_{(1)}=0\right)$. Generically these solutions preserve $N=2$ supersymmetry (i.e. dual to $N=1$ SCFTs in $d=4$ ).

Now any $S E_{5}$ space has a globally defined one-form $\eta$, that is dual to the Reeb Killing vector, and so locally we can always write the metric on the $S E_{5}$ space as

$$
d s^{2}\left(S E_{5}\right)=d s^{2}\left(K E_{4}\right)+\eta \otimes \eta
$$

where $d s^{2}\left(K E_{4}\right)$ is a local Kähler-Einstein metric with positive curvature, normalised so that the Ricci tensor is six times the metric. On $S E_{5}$ there is also a globally defined two form $J$ and a $(2,0)$ form $\Omega$ that locally define the Kähler and complex structures on $d s^{2}\left(K E_{4}\right)$ respectively. Note that they satisfy the same algebraic conditions as those associated with the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ solution (2.1) and are given in appendix B. By contrast, however, they are no longer closed and instead satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
d \eta & =2 J \\
d \Omega & =3 i \eta \wedge \Omega \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ and $S E_{5}$ have globally defined $S U(2)$ structures specified by the forms $J, \Omega, \eta$ implies that the universal KK reduction on these spaces are very similar, as we shall see. For the $S E_{5}$ case the conditions (3.2) as well as the background five-form flux appearing in (3.1) will lead to a gauging of the $N=4$ supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets that we saw for the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ case in the last section.

### 3.1 The consistent Kaluza-Klein reduction on $S E_{5}$

Our KK ansatz for the metric of type IIB supergravity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{10}^{2}=e^{-\frac{2}{3}(4 U+V)} d s_{(E)}^{2}+e^{2 U} d s^{2}\left(K E_{4}\right)+e^{2 V}\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again $d s_{(E)}^{2}$ is an arbitrary metric on an external five-dimensional space-time, $U$ and $V$ are scalar fields and $A_{1}$ is a one-form defined on the external five-dimensional
space. The ansatz for the form field strengths is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(5)}= & 4 e^{-\frac{8}{3}(4 U+V)} \mathrm{vol}_{5}^{(E)}+e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} * K_{2} \wedge J+K_{1} \wedge J \wedge J \\
& +\left[2 e^{Z} J \wedge J-2 e^{-8 U} * K_{1}+K_{2} \wedge J\right] \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \\
& +\left[e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} * L_{2} \wedge \Omega+L_{2} \wedge \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
F_{(3)}= & G_{3}+G_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+G_{1} \wedge J+\left[N_{1} \wedge \Omega+N_{0} \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
H_{(3)}= & H_{3}+H_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+H_{1} \wedge J+\left[M_{1} \wedge \Omega+M_{0} \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
C_{(0)}= & a \\
\Phi= & \phi \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}$ and $*$ are the volume form and Hodge dual corresponding to the fivedimensional metric $d s_{(E)}^{2}$ in (3.3), $Z, a, \phi$, are real scalars, $M_{0}, N_{0}$ complex scalars, $G_{3}, H_{3}, G_{2}, H_{2}, K_{2}, K_{1}$ real forms, and $L_{2}, M_{1}, N_{1}$, complex forms, all of them defined on the external five-dimensional spacetime. We have also ensured the self duality of the five-form $F_{(5)}$ with respect to the metric (3.3). Note that we can also add the terms $G_{0} J \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)$ to $F_{(3)}$ and $H_{0} J \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)$ to $H_{(3)}$, where $G_{0}$ and $H_{0}$ are real scalars, but the type IIB equations imply that $G_{0}=H_{0}=0$. This is as in the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ case, but, by contrast, note that we now must include the scalar fields $M_{0}, N_{0}$ and $Z$.

We now substitute into the equations of motion and Bianchi identities of type IIB supergravity that we have given in appendix A. The calculations are rather involved, so we will simply summarise the main results here, referring to appendix B for some details. We find that the physical degrees of freedom are 7 real scalars $U, V, \phi, a, b, c, h$ and 2 complex scalars $\xi$, $\chi ; 4$ one-form potentials $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}, E_{1} ; 2$ two-form potentials $B_{2}, C_{2}$ plus the complex two-form $L_{2}$. This is exactly the same field content that arose in the reduction on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$. In particular, the extra scalars $M_{0}, N_{0}$ and $Z$ that we introduced in (3.4) are not independent degrees of freedom as we shall see in detail below. Furthermore, the field $L_{2}$ should also be regarded as a potential, satisfying a first-order, self-duality-type equation of motion (see the second equation in (B.5)).

In more detail we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{3} & =d B_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge F_{2} \\
H_{2} & =d B_{1} \\
H_{1} & =d b-2 B_{1} \\
M_{0} & =3 i \xi \\
M_{1} & =D \xi \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{3}=d C_{2}-a d B_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d c-2 C_{1}-a d b+2 a B_{1}\right) \wedge F_{2} \\
& G_{2}=d C_{1}-a d B_{1} \\
& G_{1}=d c-2 C_{1}-a d b+2 a B_{1} \\
& N_{0}=3 i(\chi-a \xi) \\
& N_{1}=D \chi-a D \xi \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{2}=d A_{1}, D \xi \equiv d \xi-3 i A_{1} \chi$ and $D \chi \equiv d \chi-3 i A_{1} \chi$. This gauging can be traced back to the fact that these scalar fields enter the KK ansatz (3.4) with $\Omega$ and that $d \Omega=3 i \eta \wedge \Omega$. In addition, after fixing an integration constant, we find that the scalar field $Z$ is fixed by the scalars $\chi, \xi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{Z}=1+3 i\left(\xi^{*} \chi-\xi \chi^{*}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}=d E_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right) \\
& K_{1}=d h-2 E_{1}-2 A_{1}+\xi^{*} D \chi+\xi D \chi^{*}-\chi D \xi^{*}-\chi^{*} D \xi \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We also find that the equations of motion for all of the fields can be obtained by varying a $D=5$ action with Lagrangian given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {pot }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kinetic and scalar potential terms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}^{(E)}= & R^{(E)} \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}-\frac{28}{3} d U \wedge * d U-\frac{8}{3} d U \wedge * d V-\frac{4}{3} d V \wedge * d V-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi} d a \wedge * d a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} d \phi \wedge * d \phi-4 e^{-4 U-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*}-4 e^{-4 U+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}-2 e^{-8 U} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& -e^{-4 U-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-e^{-4 U+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{8}{3}(U+V)} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2} \\
& -e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}-4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}(U+V)} L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(2 U-V)-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(2 U-V)+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4}{3}(4 U+V)+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {pot }}^{(E)}= & {\left[24 e^{-\frac{2}{3}(7 U+V)}-4 e^{\frac{4}{3}(-5 U+V)}-8 e^{-\frac{8}{3}(4 U+V)}\left[1+\frac{i}{3}\left(M_{0}^{*} N_{0}-M_{0} N_{0}^{*}\right)\right]^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.-4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}(5 U+2 V)-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}-4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}(5 U+2 V)+\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right] \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}, \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

while the topological terms are given by the intimidating expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}= & -A_{1} \wedge K_{2} \wedge K_{2}-\left(d h-2 E_{1}-2 A_{1}\right) \wedge\left[d B_{2} \wedge\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right)+\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge d C_{2}\right] \\
& +A_{1} \wedge\left(d h-2 E_{1}\right) \wedge\left[\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge d C_{1}-d B_{1} \wedge\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +2 A_{1} \wedge\left(d E_{1}\right) \wedge\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right) \\
& +A_{1} \wedge\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right) \wedge F_{2} \\
& +\frac{i}{3} A_{1} \wedge\left(M_{0}^{*} N_{1}-M_{0} N_{1}^{*}-N_{0} M_{1}^{*}+N_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right) \wedge\left(H_{1} \wedge G_{2}+G_{1} \wedge H_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 i}{3} A_{1} \wedge\left(N_{0} N_{1}^{*}-N_{0}^{*} N_{1}\right) \wedge H_{1} \wedge H_{2}+\frac{2 i}{3} A_{1} \wedge\left(M_{0} M_{1}^{*}-M_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right) \wedge G_{1} \wedge G_{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{4 i}{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2} L_{2}^{*} \wedge D L_{2}+N_{0} L_{2}^{*} \wedge H_{3}-M_{0} L_{2}^{*} \wedge G_{3}-L_{2}^{*} \wedge N_{1} \wedge H_{2}+L_{2}^{*} \wedge M_{1} \wedge G_{2}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
& -4 C_{2} \wedge d B_{2}-\frac{4 i}{3} C_{2} \wedge G_{2} \wedge\left(M_{0} M_{1}^{*}-M_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{2 i}{3} C_{2} \wedge H_{2} \wedge\left(M_{0}^{*} N_{1}-M_{0} N_{1}^{*}-N_{0} M_{1}^{*}+N_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{2 i}{3} B_{2} \wedge\left(G_{2}-a H_{2}\right) \wedge\left(M_{0}^{*} N_{1}-M_{0} N_{1}^{*}-N_{0} M_{1}^{*}+N_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{4 i}{3} B_{2} \wedge\left[H_{2} \wedge\left(N_{0} N_{1}^{*}-N_{0}^{*} N_{1}\right)-a G_{2} \wedge\left(M_{0} M_{1}^{*}-M_{0}^{*} M_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{4}{9} A_{1} \wedge\left(M_{0} G_{2}-N_{0} H_{2}\right) \wedge\left(M_{0}^{*} G_{2}-N_{0}^{*} H_{2}\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{2}{9}\left(M_{1} \wedge G_{2}-N_{1} \wedge H_{2}\right) \wedge\left(M_{0}^{*} G_{2}-N_{0}^{*} H_{2}\right)+c . c .\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3.2 $N=4$ gauged supergravity

Our KK reduction of type IIB supergravity was based on the most general ansatz using the $S U(2)$ structure $(J, \Omega, \eta)$ on the $S E_{5}$ space. For reasons similar to that discussed in section 3.2 for the universal KK reduction on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ we expect to obtain a supersymmetric theory. Indeed we have already noted that the field content of our KK reduction on $S E_{5}$ is identical to that of the universal KK reduction on $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ and hence to that of $N=4$ supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets. A key difference with the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ case is that the $S U(2)$ structure forms are no longer closed (3.2). This difference can be viewed as the addition of "geometric fluxes". Another difference is the extra five-form flux that we have in (3.4) compared with (2.4). On rather general grounds [13] it is expected that these flux contributions lead to a gauging of the $N=4$ supergravity theory.

A general description of $N=4$ gauged supergravity coupled to vector multiplets is presented in [16], which uses the embedding tensor formalism of [29] (for a re-
view see [30]). The gauging is described by promoting a subgroup $G_{0} \subset G$ of the global non-abelian duality symmetry group of the ungauged theory, $G$ (in our case $S O(1,1) \times S O(5,2))$, to a local symmetry. This requires that the ordinary derivatives get replaced by covariant derivatives via

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \rightarrow d-g \mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathcal{M}} X_{\mathcal{M}} \equiv d-g \mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathcal{M}} \Theta_{\mathcal{M}}{ }^{\alpha} t_{\alpha} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the gauge coupling constant, $\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathcal{M}} \equiv\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}^{0}, \mathcal{B}_{1}^{M}\right)$ are the vector gauge fields, $t_{\alpha}$ are the generators of $G$ and the explicit embedding of $G_{0}$ in $G$ is given by an embedding tensor $\Theta_{\mathcal{M}}{ }^{\alpha}$. In addition to the vector gauge fields it is necessary to also include two-form gauge fields $B_{2}^{\mathcal{M}}$ as off-shell degrees of freedom. As usual, the gauging leads to a scalar potential that is determined by the embedding tensor.

We now return to our $D=5$ theory (3.9)-(3.12) obtained from KK reduction on $S E_{5}$. By again introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=e^{-\frac{2}{3}(U+V)}, \quad \varphi_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\phi-4 U), \quad \varphi_{2}=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\phi+4 U) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the Lagrangian can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{(E)}=R^{(E)} \mathrm{vol}_{5}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalars }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {vectors } / 2 \text {-forms }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {pot }}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar kinetic terms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {scalars }}= & -3 \Sigma^{-2} d \Sigma \wedge * d \Sigma-\frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{1} \wedge * d \varphi_{1}-\frac{1}{2} d \varphi_{2} \wedge * d \varphi_{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)} d a \wedge * d a-2 e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& -e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-4 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*} \\
& -e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-4 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

the kinetic terms for the vectors and two-forms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {vectors } / 2 \text {-forms }}= & -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-4} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2}-\Sigma^{2}\left[K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}+4 L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}+\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}\right] \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and the potential (3.11) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{pot}}^{(E)}= & {\left[24 \Sigma e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)}-4 \Sigma^{-2} e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)}\right.} \\
& \left.-4 \Sigma^{4}\left[2 e^{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)}\left(1+3 i\left(\xi^{*} \chi-\xi \chi^{*}\right)\right)^{2}+9 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{1}}|\chi-a \xi|^{2}+9 e^{\sqrt{2} \varphi_{2}}|\xi|^{2}\right]\right] \operatorname{vol}_{5}^{(E)}, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that the general structure of all these terms is consistent with the general form of the corresponding terms in the $N=4$ gauged supergravity action given in [16]. Furthermore, noting that the covariant derivative acting on $\Sigma$ in (3.16) is simply the ordinary covariant derivative, and comparing with (3.13) we immediately conclude that the gauging does not lie within the $S O(1,1)$ factor but just within the $S O(5,2)$ factor (and hence is in the class considered by [15]).

By analysing the way in which the vector fields are entering the scalar derivatives in (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8) and comparing with (3.13) it is straightforward to deduce the precise gauged subgroup of $S O(5,2)$. We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}^{0} X_{0}+\mathcal{B}^{M} X_{M} & =-\sqrt{2} \mathcal{B}_{1}^{0}\left(3 \mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}\right)+2 \mathcal{B}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~S}^{2}+2 \mathcal{B}_{1}^{6} \mathrm{~S}^{3}+2 \mathcal{B}_{1}^{7} \mathrm{~S}^{4} \\
& =A_{1}\left(3 \mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{~S}^{2}\right)+2 E_{1} \mathrm{~S}^{2}+\sqrt{2} B_{1} \mathrm{~S}^{3}+\sqrt{2} C_{1} \mathrm{~S}^{4} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{S}^{i} \equiv\left[\mathrm{~T}^{i}\right]^{T}, i=1, \ldots, 8$, and $\mathrm{R} \equiv E_{45}-E_{54}$ are generators of $S O(5,2)$ supplementing those in (2.21). The only non-vanishing commutators among the generators of the gauge algebra is $\left[\mathrm{S}^{3}, \mathrm{~S}^{4}\right]=-\mathrm{S}^{2}$ and hence we see that our $D=5$ theory corresponds to a gauging of an $H_{3} \times U(1)$ subgroup of $S O(5,2)$, where $H_{3}$ is the three-dimensional Heisenberg group.

It would be satisfying to see that the rest of our Lagrangian is in accord with [15] [16], especially our Chern-Simons terms (3.12), but we leave that to future work.

## $3.3 \quad A d S_{5}$ vacua

By analysing the scalar potential (3.11) appearing in our $D=5 N=4$ gauge supergravity theory we find that there are both supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacua. We first discuss the former and then the latter.

### 3.3.1 The supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum

Setting $U=V=\xi=\chi=0$ and allowing for arbitrary constant axion $a$ and dilaton $\phi$ we obtain an $A d S_{5}$ vacuum solution with unit radius (and all other fields trivial). This solution uplifts to the class of supersymmetric $A d S_{5} \times S E_{5}$ solutions of type IIB given in (3.1). As a ten-dimensional solution this preserves eight supercharges and hence as a five dimensional solution it spontaneously partially breaks the $N=4$ supersymmetry to $N=2$.

We can determine the masses of the different fields in this vacuum. For the scalars
$\phi, a, U, V, \xi, \chi$, we employ

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =\frac{1}{2} u+\frac{3}{4} v \\
V & =-2 u+\frac{3}{4} v . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=\tilde{\xi}+i \tilde{\chi} \\
& \chi=i \tilde{\xi}+\tilde{\chi} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

to obtain the masses:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\phi}^{2}=0, \quad m_{a}^{2}=0, \quad m_{u}^{2}=12, \quad m_{v}^{2}=32, \quad m_{\tilde{\xi}}^{2}=-3, \quad m_{\tilde{\chi}}^{2}=21 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $v$ is a breathing mode which controls the overall volume of the $S E_{5}$ space in (3.3), while $u$ is a volume preserving squashing mode.

Turning now to the contributions from the vectors $A_{1}, E_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ and the scalars $h, b, c$, we find that the transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\tilde{A}_{1}+2 \tilde{E}_{1} \\
E_{1}=-\tilde{A}_{1}+\tilde{E}_{1} \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

leads to the following terms in the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{2}= & -\frac{3}{2} d \tilde{A}_{1} \wedge * d \tilde{A}_{1}-3 d \tilde{E}_{1} \wedge * d \tilde{E}_{1}-2\left(d h-6 \tilde{E}_{1}\right) \wedge *\left(d h-6 \tilde{E}_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} d B_{1} \wedge * d B_{1}-\frac{1}{2} d C_{1} \wedge * d C_{1}-\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \wedge *\left(d b-2 B_{1}\right) \\
& -\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right) \wedge *\left(d c-2 C_{1}\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We now see that $\tilde{A}_{1}, \tilde{E}_{1}, B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ are massive vectors with masses given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\tilde{A}_{1}}^{2}=0, \quad m_{\tilde{E}_{1}}^{2}=24, \quad m_{B_{1}}^{2}=m_{C_{1}}^{2}=8 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the scalars $h, b$ and $c$ are just the associated Stückelberg fields.
Next consider the two-forms $B_{2}$ and $C_{2}$, whose relevant contributions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} d B_{2} \wedge * d B_{2}-\frac{1}{2} d C_{2} \wedge * d C_{2}-4 C_{2} \wedge d B_{2}, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

they combine to describe a massive two-form with mass

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{C_{2}}^{2}=16, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see e.g. 31). Finally, from the contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{4}=-\frac{2 i}{3} L_{2}^{*} \wedge d L_{2}+\frac{2 i}{3} L_{2} \wedge d L_{2}^{*}-4 L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $L_{2}$ is a complex two-form satisfying a self-duality equation [32] has the same degrees of freedom as a massive real two-form ${ }^{4}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{L_{2}}^{2}=9 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude, we quote here the scaling dimensions of the operators dual to the supergravity fields. Using the expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=2 \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(4-2 p)^{2}+4 m^{2}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for four-dimensional operators dual to supergravity $p$-forms (subject to second-order equations of motion), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=2+|m| \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operator dual to a first-order two-form, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\phi}=4, \quad \Delta_{a}=4, \quad \Delta_{u}=6, \quad \Delta_{v}=8, \quad \Delta_{\tilde{\xi}}=3, \quad \Delta_{\tilde{\chi}}=7 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operators dual to the scalars,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\tilde{A}_{1}}=3, \quad \Delta_{\tilde{E}_{1}}=7, \quad \Delta_{B_{1}}=\Delta_{C_{1}}=5 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operators dual to the vectors, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{C_{2}}=6, \quad \Delta_{L_{2}}=5 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the operators dual to the two-forms.
These modes should form the bosonic fields of unitary irreducible representations of $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$. The KK modes we have kept are present for any $S E_{5}$ space and so, in particular, we can consider the special case $T^{1,1}$ for which the supermultiplet structure was analysed in detail in [33, 34]. We deduce that the metric and the vector $\tilde{A}_{1}$ form a massless graviton multiplet, the fields $\tilde{E}_{1}, u, v$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ fill out a long vector multiplet, the fields $\xi$, $a, \phi$ form a hypermultiplet and finally, $B_{1}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $L_{2}$ form a semi-long massive gravitino multiplet.

It is also interesting to consider the special case of $S^{5}$. The $N=8$ KK spectrum was computed in [35] and the modes were arranged in supermultiplets of $S U(2,2 \mid 4)$,

[^2]in [36]. The various fields of our $D=5$ theory can be identified with those presented in figures 1, 2 and 3 of [35]. Specifically, the metric, the scalars $\phi, a, \tilde{\xi}$ and the vector $\tilde{A}_{1}$ belong to the supermultiplet with $p=2$ (following the notation of [36), namely, the $N=8 S O(6)$ gauged supergravity multiplet. Similarly the vectors $B_{1}$, $C_{1}$, the two-forms $C_{2}, L_{2}$ belong to the supermultiplet with $p=3$ and the scalars $v, u, \tilde{\chi}$, the vector $\tilde{E}_{1}$ belong to the supermultiplet with $p=4$ (the breathing mode supermultiplet).

### 3.3.2 The Romans $A d S_{5}$ vacuum

The theory admits another $\operatorname{AdS} S_{5}$ vacuum solution where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{4 U}=e^{-4 V}=\frac{2}{3}, \quad \xi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} e^{\phi / 2} e^{i \theta}, \quad \chi-a \xi=i e^{-\phi} \xi \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with arbitrary axion $a$ and dilaton $\phi$ and $\theta$ is an arbitrary constant phase. The $A d S_{5}$ radius is $2 \sqrt{2} / 3$. This solution can be uplifted to a class of solutions that were first found by Romans in [19], generalising analogous solutions constructed in $D=11$ supergravity in [20] [21]. For the special case when the $S E_{5}=S^{5}$ it is expected that this solution is unstable [22].

### 3.4 Further truncations

There are various additional truncations of the fields appearing in the ansatz (3.3), (3.4) that are consistent with the type IIB equations of motion. Let us discuss several of them and in particular make contact with some other works in the literature. In particular we will recover the truncations of [37] which helped to motivate the work of [12] and of this paper. Note that some cases that we discuss below can be combined. It is notationally convenient to label some of the forms as $G_{i}, H_{i}$, with $i=1,2,3$ and $M_{a}, N_{a}$ with $a=0,1$.

### 3.4.1 Self-dual five-form, dilaton and axion

It is consistent to truncate IIB supergravity itself to just the ten-dimensional metric, self-dual five-form $F_{(5)}$, dilaton $\Phi$ and axion $C_{(0)}$, by setting $H_{(3)}=F_{(3)}=0$. It is also consistent to further truncate to just the ten-dimensional metric and self-dual five-form by further setting $\Phi=C_{(0)}=0$. Accordingly, it is consistent to truncate all of the modes coming from $H_{(3)}, F_{(3)}$ by setting $H_{i}=G_{i}=M_{a}=N_{a}=0$. It is also then consistent to further set $\phi=a=0$.

### 3.4.2 NS sector

It is also consistent with the type IIB equations of motion to set all of the RamondRamond fields to zero, $F_{(5)}=F_{(3)}=F_{(1)}=0$. We can therefore set $e^{Z}=K_{1}=$ $K_{2}=L_{2}=G_{i}=N_{a}=a=0$. Since this would be a universal reduction of type I supergravity on $S E_{5}$ incorporating the breathing mode, it seems quite plausible that the resulting theory should be the bosonic part of an $N=2$ gauged supergravity theory. Indeed the truncated theory contains a metric, two vectors $A_{1}, B_{1}$, a twoform $B_{2}$ and four real scalars $U, V, \phi, b$, and a complex scalar $\xi$ which could comprise the bosonic part of a gravity multiplet, one vector multiplet, one tensor mutliplet and a single hypermultiplet. Note that this truncated $D=5$ theory will no longer have an $A d S_{5}$ vacuum solution.

### 3.4.3 No R-charged fields

It is consistent to set all of the fields carrying non-zero R-charge to zero: $L_{2}=M_{a}=$ $N_{a}=0$. Recall that these are the fields appearing with $\Omega$ in (3.4).

### 3.4.4 Minimal $N=2$ gauged supergravity in $D=5$

We can recover the KK reduction to minimal $D=5 N=2$ gauge supergravity of [1] (see also [38]) by setting $U=V=e^{Z}=K_{1}=L_{2}=G_{i}=H_{i}=M_{a}=N_{a}=0$ and $K_{2}=-F_{2}$. In fact our equations of motion reduce to (2.15), (2.16) of [1] with $F_{2}^{\text {here }}=(1 / 3) F_{2}^{\text {there }}$.

### 3.4.5 The truncations of [37]

Two consistent truncations of type IIB supergravity on $S E_{5}$ spaces were studied in [37] and both can be simply obtained from our results.

Firstly, if we set $e^{Z}=L_{2}=K_{1}=K_{2}=H_{3}=G_{i}=M_{a}=N_{a}=A_{1}=a=0$ we obtain the the truncation discussed in appendix D. 1 of [37]. (We can identify $H_{2}=F_{2}^{\text {there }}$ and $H_{1}=-2 A_{1}^{\text {there }}$.

Secondly, we can also set $e^{Z}=L_{2}=G_{i}=H_{i}=M_{a}=N_{a}=a=\phi=0$ to obtain the truncation discussed appendix D. 2 of [37]. (We can identify $F_{2}=\mathcal{F}=d \mathcal{A}$, $K_{1}=2 \mathbf{A}, K_{2}=-\mathbb{F}=-\mathcal{F}-d \mathbf{A}$ to find agreement after taking into account a different convention for the $D=5$ orientation.)

### 3.4.6 Gravity and scalars

It is also consistent to set $A_{1}=K_{2}=K_{1}=L_{2}=H_{i}=G_{i}=e^{Z}=M_{a}=N_{a}=0$ leaving only the metric and the scalars $U, V, \phi, a$. It is consistent to then further set $\phi=a=0$ and then $U=V$. The latter truncation was discussed in [39] [40] in the context of IIB reductions on $S^{5}$ (who also considered the addition of some other fields).

### 3.4.7 No $p=3$ sector

At the end of section 3.3.1, for the special case when $S E_{5}=S^{5}$, we argued that the modes we have kept arise from the $p=2,3$ and $p=4$ sectors in the notation of [36]. Interestingly, for any $S E_{5}$, it is possible to set all of the fields corresponding to the $p=3$ sector to zero, namely $H_{i}=G_{i}=L_{2}=0$, leaving only the $p=2$ and $p=4$ sectors. Along with the metric, this truncated theory contains five real scalars $U, V, \phi, a, h$, two complex scalars $\xi, \chi$ and two one-forms $A_{1}, E_{1}$. It would be interesting to know if this theory is the bosonic part of an $N=2$ gauged supergravity coupled to a vector multiplet and two hypermultiplets.

Having truncated out the $p=3$ sector for general $S E_{5}$, it is consistent to further set $a=\phi=0$ while setting one of the scalars to be proportional to the other: $\chi=i \xi$. (From (3.21) we see that this is tantamount to truncating the $p=4$ charged scalar $\tilde{\chi}$ with mass $m_{\tilde{\chi}}^{2}=21$ ). Along with the metric, this truncated theory contains three real scalars $U, V, h$, one complex scalar $\xi$ and two one-forms $A_{1}, E_{1}$. This theory has a chance to be the bosonic part an $N=2$ gauged supergravity coupled to a vector multiplet and a single hypermultiplet.

### 3.4.8 The truncation of 41]

For a general $S E_{5}$, having truncated out the $p=3$ sector ( $H_{i}=G_{i}=L_{2}=0$ ), the dilaton and axion $(a=\phi=0)$, and one of the complex scalars $(\chi=i \xi)$, it is consistent to further set

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{4 U}=e^{-4 V}=1-4|\xi|^{2} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

while also truncating the massive vector $\tilde{E}_{1}$ defined in (3.23) by setting $K_{2}=-F_{2}$ and $h=0$. The resulting theory contains the metric, a massless vector $A_{1}$, and a charged scalar $\xi$ with mass $m_{\xi}^{2}=-3$ in the supersymmetric $A d S_{5}$ vacuum. In fact we precisely recover the truncation first discussed in [41] in the context of holographic superconductivity (we should set $A^{\text {here }}=\frac{2}{3} A^{\text {there }}, L^{\text {there }}=1$ and $\xi=\frac{1}{2} e^{i \theta} \tanh \frac{\eta}{2}$ ). Note that for the special case when $S E_{5}=S^{5}$ the fields kept in this truncation all
arise in the $p=2$ sector and hence can be obtained as a truncation of $N=8 D=5$ $S O(6)$ gauged supergravity.

This Type IIB truncation has a direct analogue in $D=11$ supergravity reduced on $S E_{7}$ which was presented in [42] building on [12] [43].

## 4 Final Comments

We conclude with some comments on type IIB reductions for the special case when $S E_{5}=S^{5}$ and then on $D=11$ reductions on seven-dimensional tri-Sasaki manifolds.

The spectrum of type IIB supergravity on $S^{5}$ was computed in 35] and the modes were arranged in supermultiplets of $S U(2,2 \mid 4)$ in [36]. We have already noted at the end of section 3.3.1 that the modes that we have kept in our consistent KK reduction belong to the supermultiplets with $p=2,3$ and 4 (following the notation of [36]). We have also seen in section 3.4.7 that is possible to truncate out the modes arising in the $p=3$ sector consistently and possibly consistent with $N=2$ supersymmetry.

In [12] it was conjectured that there might be a consistent truncation of type IIB on $S^{5}$ to the full massless graviton multiplet of the $p=2$ sector combined with the full breathing mode multiplet of the $p=4$ sector and consistent with $N=8$ supersymmetry. The bosonic fields of the $p=2$ multiplet consist of the metric, scalars in the $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{2 0}$, vectors in the $\mathbf{1 5}$ and a two-form in the $\mathbf{6}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the $S O(6)$ Rsymmetry group, and correspond to the fields of maximal $S O(6)$ gauged supergravity. On the other hand the $p=4$ multiplet has bosonic field content consisting of a massive graviton in the $\mathbf{2 0}$, scalars in the $\mathbf{1 0 5}, \mathbf{1 2 6}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{2 0}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{8 4}, \mathbf{1 0}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{1}$, vectors in the $\mathbf{1 7 5}$, $\mathbf{6 4} 4_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{1 5}$ and two-forms in the $\mathbf{5 0}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{4 5}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{6}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Note that the massive complex twoforms satisfy self-duality equations and hence have six real degrees of freedom [32] and also that the singlet scalar corresponds to the breathing mode.

In light of the results presented in this paper, where for the special case of $S E_{5}=$ $S^{5}$ we included modes in the $p=3$ sector, we might expect that there is a truncation of type IIB on $S^{5}$ to an $N=8$ theory that keeps the $p=2,4$ and also the $p=3$ multiplet, whose bosonic content consists of a massive graviton in $\mathbf{6}$, scalars in the $\mathbf{5 0}$, $\mathbf{4 5}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{6}_{\mathrm{C}}$, vectors in the $\mathbf{6 4}, \mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{5}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and two-forms in the $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{1 0}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Going further one might conjecture that one could truncate the $p=3$ sector of this conjectured theory to obtain the conjectured theory of [12] with the $p=2$ and $p=4$ sectors. The existence of both massive and massless gravitons combined with the $N=8$ supersymmetry in these conjectured theories necessarily means that they would have to be very exotic.

Consistent KK reductions of $D=11$ supergravity on $S E_{7}$ spaces, corresponding to $A d S_{4} \times S E_{7}$ solutions preserving $N=2$ supersymmetry, were presented in [12] and it was shown that the $D=4$ reduced theory also preserves $N=2$ supersymmetry. Similar reductions on manifolds with weak $G_{2}$ holonomy, $M_{7}$, corresponding to $A d S_{4} \times$ $M_{7}$ solutions preserving $N=1$ supersymmetry, were also found and it was shown that the $D=4$ reduced theory preserves $N=1$ supersymmetry. It was conjectured in [12] that the analogous reduction on seven dimensional tri-Sasaki manifolds, $T_{7}$, corresponding to $A d S_{4} \times T_{7}$ solutions preserving $N=3$ supersymmetry, would give rise to a $D=4$ reduced theory preserving $N=3$ supersymmetry. However, in light of the results presented in this paper, we expect that this $K K$ reduction will give rise to a gauged supergravity theory with $N=4$ supersymmetry ${ }^{5}$, with an $A d S_{4}$ vacuum solution that will spontaneously partially break the supersymmetry from $N=4$ to $N=3$. To see this, recall [45] that the tri-Sasaki space $T_{7}$ has a globally defined $S U(2)$ structure, specified by three two-forms, $J^{a}$, and three oneforms, $\eta^{a}$, satisfying $d \eta^{a}=2\left(J^{a}-\epsilon^{a b c} \eta^{b} \wedge \eta^{c}\right)$ (locally $T^{7}$ is an $S^{3}$ bundle over a four-dimensional quaternionic Kähler space). The supersymmetry and field content of the consistent $K K$ reduction of $D=11$ supergravity on $T_{7}$ will therefore be the same as the universal KK reduction of $D=11$ on $H K_{4} \times T^{3}$. Hence the consistent KK reduction on $T_{7}$ should lead to a $D=4 N=4$ gauged supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets. In particular, the scalars should parametrise the coset $S L(2) / S O(2) \times S O(6,3) /(S O(6) \times S O(3))$. As in the examples studied in [12], there should also be a skew-whiffed version of this $N=4$ gauged supergravity theory where the basic $A d S_{4}$ vacuum will break all of the supersymmetry.
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[^3]
## A Type IIB supergravity conventions

The bosonic sector of IIB supergravity contains the RR forms $F_{(1)}, F_{(3)}, F_{(5)}$, the NS form $H_{(3)}$, the dilaton $\Phi$ and the metric. The forms satisfy the Bianchi identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& d F_{(5)}+F_{(3)} \wedge H_{(3)}=0  \tag{A.1}\\
& d F_{(3)}+F_{(1)} \wedge H_{(3)}=0  \tag{A.2}\\
& d F_{(1)}=0  \tag{A.3}\\
& d H_{(3)}=0 \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be solved by introducing potentials as $F_{(5)}=d C_{(4)}-C_{(2)} \wedge H_{(3)}, F_{(3)}=$ $d C_{(2)}-C_{(0)} d B_{(2)}, F_{(1)}=d C_{(0)}, H_{(3)}=d B_{(2)}$.

The equations of motion read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& * F_{(5)}=F_{(5)}  \tag{A.5}\\
& d\left(e^{\Phi} * F_{(3)}\right)-F_{(5)} \wedge H_{(3)}=0  \tag{A.6}\\
& d\left(e^{2 \Phi} * F_{(1)}\right)+e^{\Phi} H_{(3)} \wedge * F_{(3)}=0  \tag{A.7}\\
& d\left(e^{-\Phi} * H_{(3)}\right)-e^{\Phi} F_{(1)} \wedge * F_{(3)}-F_{(3)} \wedge F_{(5)}=0  \tag{A.8}\\
& d * d \Phi-e^{2 \Phi} F_{(1)} \wedge * F_{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-\Phi} H_{(3)} \wedge * H_{(3)}-\frac{1}{2} e^{\Phi} F_{(3)} \wedge * F_{(3)}=0  \tag{A.9}\\
& R_{M N}=\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \Phi} \nabla_{M} C_{(0)} \nabla_{N} C_{(0)}+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{M} \Phi \nabla_{N} \Phi+\frac{1}{96} F_{M P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}} F_{N}^{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} e^{-\Phi}\left(H_{M}{ }^{P_{1} P_{2}} H_{N P_{1} P_{2}}-\frac{1}{12} g_{M N} H^{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}} H_{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} e^{\Phi}\left(F_{M}{ }^{P_{1} P_{2}} F_{N P_{1} P_{2}}-\frac{1}{12} g_{M N} F^{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}} F_{P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}}\right), \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

## B Details on the KK reduction

Here we shall provide some details of the KK reduction on $S E_{5}$. The calculations for the $H K_{4} \times S^{1}$ case are very similar and we omit the details.

We first record some useful algebraic conditions satisfied by the globally defined forms $(J, \Omega, \eta)$ that specify the $S U(2)$ structure on the $S E_{5}$ space. We have $\Omega \wedge$ $\Omega^{*}=2 J \wedge J, \operatorname{vol}\left(S E_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{2} J \wedge J \wedge \eta, * J=J \wedge \eta, * \Omega=\Omega \wedge \eta$. We also have $J_{i k} J^{j k}=\delta_{i}^{j}, \Omega_{i k} \Omega^{j k}=0, \Omega_{i k} \Omega^{* j k}=2 \delta_{i}^{j}-2 i J_{i}{ }^{j}$ and $J_{i k} \Omega^{j k}=-i \Omega_{i}{ }^{j}$. In addition, $J_{[i k} J_{m n]} J^{[j k} J^{m n]}=J_{[i k} J_{m n]} J^{j k} J^{m n}=\frac{2}{3} \delta_{j}^{i}$.

The KK ansatz for the metric can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{10}^{2}=d s_{5}^{2}+e^{2 U} d s^{2}\left(K E_{4}\right)+e^{2 V}\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here $d s_{5}^{2}$ is the line element of the external five-dimensional metric. At the end we will convert our results to the Einstein-frame metric $d s_{(E)}^{2}$ that we used in the main text. The ansatz for the form field-strengths can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(5)}= & 4 e^{-4 U-V+Z} \mathrm{vol}_{5}+e^{-V} * K_{2} \wedge J+K_{1} \wedge J \wedge J \\
& +\left[2 e^{Z} J \wedge J-2 e^{-4 U+V} * K_{1}+K_{2} \wedge J\right] \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(e^{-V} * L_{2} \wedge \Omega+L_{2} \wedge \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right) \\
F_{(3)}= & G_{3}+G_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+G_{1} \wedge J+\left[N_{1} \wedge \Omega+N_{0} \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
H_{(3)}= & H_{3}+H_{2} \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+H_{1} \wedge J+\left[M_{1} \wedge \Omega+M_{0} \Omega \wedge\left(\eta+A_{1}\right)+c . c .\right] \\
C_{(0)}= & a \\
\Phi= & \phi \tag{B.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{vol}_{5}$ and $*$ are the volume form and Hodge dual corresponding to the fivedimensional metric $d s_{5}^{2}$ in (3.3). We use a mostly plus metric convention both in $D=$ 10 and in $D=5$ and the $D=10$ volume form is give by $\epsilon_{10}=e^{4 U+V} \operatorname{vol}_{5} \wedge \operatorname{vol}\left(S E_{5}\right)$.

We now substitute the KK ansatz (B.1), (B.2) into the type IIB Bianchi equations and equations of motion given in (A.1)-(A.10). We first observe that the ansatz for the five-form has been constructed to be self dual and thus (A.5) is satisified.

Equation ( $\widehat{\text { A.4 }) ~ g i v e s: ~}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d H_{3}+H_{2} \wedge F_{2}=0 \\
& d H_{2}=0 \\
& d H_{1}+2 H_{2}=0 \\
& D M_{1}+M_{0} F_{2}=0 \\
& D M_{0}-3 i M_{1}=0 \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D M_{1} \equiv d M_{1}-3 i A_{1} \wedge M_{1}$ and $D M_{0} \equiv d M_{0}-3 i A_{1} M_{0}$.

Equation (A.2) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& d G_{3}+G_{2} \wedge F_{2}+d a \wedge H_{3}=0 \\
& d G_{2}+d a \wedge H_{2}=0 \\
& d G_{1}+2 G_{2}+d a \wedge H_{1}=0 \\
& D N_{1}+N_{0} F_{2}+d a \wedge M_{1}=0 \\
& D N_{0}-3 i N_{1}+M_{0} d a=0 \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D N_{1} \equiv d N_{1}-3 i A_{1} \wedge N_{1}$ and $D N_{0} \equiv d N_{0}-3 i A_{1} N_{0}$.

Equation (A.1) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d K_{2}-H_{1} \wedge G_{2}+H_{2} \wedge G_{1}=0 \\
& D L_{2}-3 i e^{-V} * L_{2}-H_{3} N_{0}+M_{0} G_{3}+H_{2} \wedge N_{1}-M_{1} \wedge G_{2}=0 \\
& d K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 e^{Z} F_{2}-H_{1} \wedge G_{1}-2 M_{1} \wedge N_{1}^{*}-2 M_{1}^{*} \wedge N_{1}=0 \\
& d e^{Z}-M_{1} N_{0}^{*}-M_{1}^{*} N_{0}+M_{0} N_{1}^{*}+M_{0}^{*} N_{1}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{-V} * K_{2}\right)-4 e^{-4 U+V} * K_{1}+K_{2} \wedge F_{2}-H_{3} \wedge G_{1}-H_{1} \wedge G_{3}=0 \\
& D\left(e^{-V} * L_{2}\right)+L_{2} \wedge F_{2}-H_{3} \wedge N_{1}-M_{1} \wedge G_{3}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{-4 U+V} * K_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} H_{3} \wedge G_{2}-\frac{1}{2} H_{2} \wedge G_{3}=0 \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D L_{2} \equiv d L_{2}-3 i A_{1} \wedge L_{2}$

Equation (A.6) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V+\phi} * G_{3}\right)-4 e^{Z} H_{3}+2 H_{2} \wedge K_{1}-2 H_{1} \wedge K_{2}-4 M_{1} \wedge L_{2}^{*}-4 M_{1}^{*} \wedge L_{2} \\
& \quad+4 e^{-V} M_{0} * L_{2}^{*}+4 e^{-V} M_{0}^{*} * L_{2}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{4 U-V+\phi} * G_{2}\right)-4 e^{V+\phi} * G_{1}-e^{4 U+V+\phi} * G_{3} \wedge F_{2}+2 H_{3} \wedge K_{1}+2 e^{-V} H_{1} \wedge * K_{2} \\
& \quad+4 e^{-V} M_{1} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}+4 e^{-V} M_{1}^{*} \wedge * L_{2}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{V+\phi} * G_{1}\right)-H_{3} \wedge K_{2}+e^{-V} H_{2} \wedge * K_{2}+2 e^{-4 U+V} H_{1} \wedge * K_{1}=0 \\
& D\left(e^{V+\phi} * N_{1}\right)-H_{3} \wedge L_{2}+e^{-V} H_{2} \wedge * L_{2}+2 e^{-4 U+V} M_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& \quad+e^{-V}\left(4 e^{-4 U+Z} M_{0}+3 i N_{0} e^{\phi}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}=0 \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (A.8) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V-\phi} * H_{3}\right)+4 e^{Z} G_{3}-2 G_{2} \wedge K_{1}+2 G_{1} \wedge K_{2}+4 N_{1} \wedge L_{2}^{*}+4 N_{1}^{*} \wedge L_{2} \\
& \quad-4 e^{-V} N_{0} * L_{2}^{*}-4 e^{-V} N_{0}^{*} * L_{2}-e^{4 U+V+\phi} d a \wedge * G_{3}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{4 U-V-\phi} * H_{2}\right)-4 e^{V-\phi} * H_{1}-e^{4 U+V-\phi} * H_{3} \wedge F_{2}-2 G_{3} \wedge K_{1}-2 e^{-V} G_{1} \wedge * K_{2} \\
& \quad-4 e^{-V} N_{1} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}-4 e^{-V} N_{1}^{*} \wedge * L_{2}-e^{4 U-V+\phi} d a \wedge * G_{2}=0 \\
& d\left(e^{V-\phi} * H_{1}\right)+G_{3} \wedge K_{2}-e^{-V} G_{2} \wedge * K_{2}-2 e^{-4 U+V} G_{1} \wedge * K_{1}-e^{V+\phi} d a \wedge * G_{1}=0 \\
& D\left(e^{V-\phi} * M_{1}\right)+G_{3} \wedge L_{2}-e^{-V} G_{2} \wedge * L_{2}-2 e^{-4 U+V} N_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& \quad-e^{-V}\left(4 e^{-4 U+Z} N_{0}-3 i M_{0} e^{-\phi}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}-e^{V+\phi} d a \wedge * N_{1}=0 \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (A.7) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V+2 \phi} * d a\right)+e^{4 U+V+\phi} H_{3} \wedge * G_{3}+e^{4 U-V+\phi} H_{2} \wedge * G_{2}+2 e^{V+\phi} H_{1} \wedge * G_{1} \\
& \quad+4 e^{V+\phi} M_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}+4 e^{V+\phi} M_{1}^{*} \wedge * N_{1}+4 e^{-V+\phi}\left(M_{0} N_{0}^{*}+M_{0}^{*} N_{0}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}=0 \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (A.9) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V} * d \phi\right)-e^{4 U+V+2 \phi} d a \wedge * d a+\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U-V-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U-V+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}+e^{V-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-e^{V+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1} \\
& \quad+4 e^{V-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*}-4 e^{V+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}+4 e^{-V}\left(e^{-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}-e^{\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}=0 \tag{B.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we need to impose the Einstein equation (A.10). To calculate the Ricci tensor we use the orthonormal frame

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{e}^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=0, \ldots, 4 \\
& \bar{e}^{i}=e^{U} e^{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4 \\
& \bar{e}^{5}=e^{V} \hat{e}^{5} \equiv e^{V}\left(\eta+A_{1}\right) . \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We find the spin connection is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\omega}^{\alpha \beta}=\omega^{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 V} F^{\alpha \beta} \hat{e}^{5} \\
& \bar{\omega}^{\alpha i}=-e^{U} \partial^{\alpha} U e^{i}  \tag{B.11}\\
& \bar{\omega}^{\alpha 5}=-e^{V} \partial^{\alpha} V \hat{e}^{5}-\frac{1}{2} e^{V} F^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} e^{\beta} \\
& \bar{\omega}^{i j}=\omega^{i j}-e^{2 V-2 U} J^{i j} \hat{e}^{5} \\
& \bar{\omega}^{i 5}=-e^{V-U} J^{i}{ }_{j} e^{j} \tag{B.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Riemann tensor, $\bar{\Theta}^{A B}=d \bar{\omega}^{A B}+\bar{\omega}^{A}{ }_{C} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{C B}$, by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\Theta}^{\alpha \beta}= & \Theta^{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{4} e^{2 V}\left[F^{\alpha \beta} F_{\lambda \mu}+F^{\alpha}{ }_{[\lambda} F^{\beta}{ }_{\mu]}\right] \bar{e}^{\lambda \mu}-\left[\frac{1}{2} e^{-V} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(e^{2 V} F^{\alpha \beta}\right)+e^{V}\left(F^{[\alpha}{ }_{\lambda} \nabla^{\beta]} V\right)\right] \bar{e}^{\lambda 5} \\
\bar{\Theta}^{\alpha i}= & -\left[\left(\nabla_{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} U+\nabla_{\lambda} U \nabla^{\alpha} U\right) \delta_{j}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 U+2 V} J^{i}{ }_{j} F^{\alpha}{ }_{\lambda}\right] \bar{e}^{\lambda j} \\
& +\left[e^{-2 U+V} \nabla^{\alpha}(V-U) J^{i}{ }_{j}-\frac{1}{2} e^{V} F^{\alpha \gamma} \nabla_{\gamma} U \delta_{j}^{i}\right] \bar{e}^{j 5} \\
\bar{\Theta}^{\alpha 5}= & -\frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_{\lambda}\left(e^{V} F^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}\right)+e^{V} \nabla^{\alpha} V F_{\lambda \mu}\right] \bar{e}^{\lambda \mu}-\left[\nabla_{\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} V+\nabla_{\lambda} V \nabla^{\alpha} V+\frac{1}{4} e^{2 V} F^{\alpha \gamma} F_{\gamma \lambda}\right] \bar{e}^{\lambda 5} \\
& -e^{-2 U+V} \nabla^{\alpha}(V-U) J_{i j} e^{i j} \\
\bar{\Theta}^{i j}= & \Theta^{i j}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 U+2 V} F_{\alpha \beta} J^{i j} \bar{e}^{\alpha \beta}-e^{-2 U+V} \nabla_{\alpha}(V-U) J^{i j} \bar{e}^{\alpha 5} \\
& -\left[e^{-4 U+2 V}\left(J^{i j} J_{h k}+J^{i}{ }_{[h} J^{j}{ }_{k]}\right)+\nabla_{\gamma} U \nabla^{\gamma} U \delta_{[h}^{i} \delta_{k]}^{j}\right] \bar{e}^{h k}-e^{-3 U+V} \nabla_{k} J^{i j} e^{k 5} \\
\bar{\Theta}^{i 5}= & {\left[-e^{-2 U+V} \nabla_{\alpha}(V-U) J^{i}{ }_{j}+\frac{1}{2} e^{V} F_{\alpha \gamma} \nabla^{\gamma} U \delta_{j}^{i}\right] \bar{e}^{\alpha j}+\left[e^{-4 U+2 V}-\nabla_{\gamma} U \nabla^{\gamma} V\right] \delta_{j}^{i} e^{j 5} } \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally the Ricci tensor, $\bar{R}_{B}^{A}=\bar{\Theta}^{A C}{ }_{B C}$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{R}_{\alpha \beta}=R_{\alpha \beta}-4\left(\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} U+\partial_{\alpha} U \partial_{\beta} U\right)-\left(\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} V+\partial_{\alpha} V \partial_{\beta} V\right)-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 V} F_{\alpha \gamma} F_{\beta}^{\gamma} \\
& \bar{R}_{\alpha i}=0 \\
& \bar{R}_{\alpha 5}=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 V-4 U} \nabla_{\gamma}\left(e^{3 V+4 U} F^{\gamma \alpha}\right) \\
& \bar{R}_{i j}=\delta_{i j}\left[6 e^{-2 U}-2 e^{2 V-4 U}-\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla^{\gamma} U-4 \partial_{\gamma} U \partial^{\gamma} U-\partial_{\gamma} U \partial^{\gamma} V\right] \\
& \bar{R}_{i 5}=0 \\
& \bar{R}_{55}=4 e^{2 V-4 U}-\nabla_{\gamma} \nabla^{\gamma} V-4 \partial_{\gamma} U \partial^{\gamma} V-\partial_{\gamma} V \partial^{\gamma} V+\frac{1}{4} e^{2 V} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} \tag{B.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The Einstein equations (A.10) now reduce to the following four equations in $D=$

5:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\alpha \beta}= & 4\left(\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} U+\partial_{\alpha} U \partial_{\beta} U\right)+\left(\nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} V+\partial_{\alpha} V \partial_{\beta} V\right)+\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi} \partial_{\alpha} a \partial_{\beta} a+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi \\
& -e^{-4 U-2 V}\left(4 e^{-4 U+2 Z}+e^{-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}+e^{\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \eta_{\alpha \beta} \\
& +2 e^{-8 U}\left(K_{\alpha} K_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha \beta} K_{\lambda} K^{\lambda}\right)+e^{-4 U-2 V}\left(K_{\alpha \lambda} K_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} K_{\lambda \mu} K^{\lambda \mu}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} e^{2 V} F_{\alpha \gamma} F_{\beta}^{\gamma}+4 e^{-4 U-2 V}\left(-L_{\lambda(\alpha} L_{\beta)}^{*}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} L_{\lambda \mu}^{*} L^{\lambda \mu}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} e^{-\phi}\left(H_{\alpha \lambda \mu} H_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{12} \eta_{\alpha \beta} H_{\lambda \mu \nu} H^{\lambda \mu \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 V-\phi}\left(H_{\alpha \lambda} H_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda}-\frac{1}{8} \eta_{\alpha \beta} H_{\lambda \mu} H^{\lambda \mu}\right) \\
& +e^{-4 U-\phi}\left(H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} H_{\lambda} H^{\lambda}\right)+4 e^{-4 U-\phi}\left(M_{(\alpha} M_{\beta)}^{*}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} M_{\lambda}^{*} M^{\lambda}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} e^{\phi}\left(G_{\alpha \lambda \mu} G_{\beta}^{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{12} \eta_{\alpha \beta} G_{\lambda \mu \nu} G^{\lambda \mu \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} e^{-2 V+\phi}\left(G_{\alpha \lambda} G_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda}-\frac{1}{8} \eta_{\alpha \beta} G_{\lambda \mu} G^{\lambda \mu}\right) \\
& +e^{-4 U+\phi}\left(G_{\alpha} G_{\beta}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} G_{\lambda} G^{\lambda}\right)+4 e^{-4 U+\phi}\left(N_{(\alpha} N_{\beta)}^{*}-\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda}^{*} N^{\lambda}\right) \tag{B.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+3 V} * F_{2}\right)+K_{2} \wedge K_{2}+4 L_{2} \wedge L_{2}^{*}-8 e^{-4 U+V+Z} * K_{1}-e^{4 U+V-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{3} \\
& \quad-e^{4 U+V+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{3}-4 e^{V-\phi}\left(M_{0}^{*} * M_{1}+M_{0} * M_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& \quad-4 e^{V+\phi}\left(N_{0}^{*} * N_{1}+N_{0} * N_{1}^{*}\right)=0 \tag{B.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V} * d U\right)+e^{-4 U+V} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1}-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U+V-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U+V+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U-V-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2}-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U-V+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}+\frac{1}{4} e^{V-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}+\frac{1}{4} e^{V+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1} \\
& \quad+e^{V-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*}+e^{V+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*} \\
& \quad+\left(-6 e^{2 U+V}+2 e^{3 V}+4 e^{-4 U-V+2 Z}+e^{-V-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}+e^{-V+\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}=0 \tag{B.17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(e^{4 U+V} * d V\right)-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U+V-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{8} e^{4 U+V+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+3 V} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2} \\
& \quad-e^{-4 U+V} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-V} K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}+2 e^{-V} L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}+\frac{3}{8} e^{4 U-V-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{3}{8} e^{4 U-V+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}-\frac{1}{4} e^{V-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-\frac{1}{4} e^{V+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-e^{V-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*} \\
& \quad-e^{V+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}+\left(-4 e^{3 V}+4 e^{-4 U-V+2 Z}+3 e^{-V-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}+3 e^{-V+\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{5}=0 \tag{B.18}
\end{align*}
$$

All the dependence on the internal $\mathrm{SE}_{5}$ has dropped out from the type IIB equations of motion. This proves the consistency of the KK ansatz (B.1), (B.2). The Lagrangian that gives rise to the above equations of motion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{pot}}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{top}} \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}= & e^{4 U+V} R \operatorname{vol}_{5}+e^{4 U+V}(12 d U \wedge * d U+8 d U \wedge * d V)-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V+2 \phi} d a \wedge * d a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V} d \phi \wedge * d \phi-4 e^{V-\phi} M_{1} \wedge * M_{1}^{*}-4 e^{V+\phi} N_{1} \wedge * N_{1}^{*}-2 e^{-4 U+V} K_{1} \wedge * K_{1} \\
& -e^{V-\phi} H_{1} \wedge * H_{1}-e^{V+\phi} G_{1} \wedge * G_{1}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+3 V} F_{2} \wedge * F_{2} \\
& -e^{-V} K_{2} \wedge * K_{2}-4 e^{-V} L_{2} \wedge * L_{2}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U-V-\phi} H_{2} \wedge * H_{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U-V+\phi} G_{2} \wedge * G_{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V-\phi} H_{3} \wedge * H_{3}-\frac{1}{2} e^{4 U+V+\phi} G_{3} \wedge * G_{3} \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{pot}}= & {\left[24 e^{2 U+V}-4 e^{3 V}-8 e^{-4 U-V}\left(1+\frac{i}{3}\left(M_{0}^{*} N_{0}-M_{0} N_{0}^{*}\right)\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.-4 e^{-V-\phi}\left|M_{0}\right|^{2}-4 e^{-V+\phi}\left|N_{0}\right|^{2}\right] \operatorname{vol}_{5} \\
= & {\left[24 e^{2 U+V}-4 e^{3 V}-8 e^{-4 U-V}\left(1+3 i\left(\xi^{*} \chi-\xi \chi^{*}\right)\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.-36 e^{-V-\phi}|\xi|^{2}-36 e^{-V+\phi}|\chi-a \xi|^{2}\right] \mathrm{vol}_{5} \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}$ is given in (3.12). The Einstein frame Lagrangian can be obtained by the change of metric $g_{\mu \nu}^{(E)}=e^{\frac{2}{3}(4 U+V)} g_{\mu \nu}$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{(E)}=\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {pot }}^{(E)}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}, \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text {kin }}^{(E)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text {pot }}^{(E)}$ are given in (3.10) and (3.11), respectively, and $\mathcal{L}_{\text {top }}$ is unchanged.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For related work, see 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note that a general analysis of such partial supersymmetry breaking has recently been carried out for general $N=2 D=4$ gauged supergravities in [18].

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ These generators close into the (solvable) Lie algebra with commutators specified in (3.12) of [17]. With $N=3$ there, we identify the positive root generators as $\mathrm{T}^{1}=E_{2}{ }^{3}, \mathrm{~T}^{2}=V^{23}, \mathrm{~T}^{3}=U_{1}^{2}$, $\mathrm{T}^{4}=U_{1}^{3}, \mathrm{~T}^{5}=U_{2}^{2}, \mathrm{~T}^{6}=U_{3}^{2}, \mathrm{~T}^{7}=U_{2}^{3}, \mathrm{~T}^{8}=U_{3}^{3}$. Our explicit realisation (2.21) of these generators follows from (3.31) of [17.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ To see this simply write $L_{2}$ as a real and an imaginary two form an observe that either one can be considered a Lagrange multiplier and eliminated.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The possibility that the reduced theory will have $N=4$ supersymmetry was first suggested in [44], using different arguments than we give. Note that a different coset for the scalar manifold was suggested than the one we argue for below.

