

## Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see [Authors & Referees](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

### Statistical parameters

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section).

n/a Confirmed

- The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  
*Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistics including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g.  $F$ ,  $t$ ,  $r$ ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and  $P$  value noted  
*Give  $P$  values as exact values whenever suitable.*
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's  $d$ , Pearson's  $r$ ), indicating how they were calculated
- Clearly defined error bars  
*State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)*

*Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) may be useful.*

### Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

#### Data collection

The in vitro datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The PALM and SPT data are available at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1188488>. The raw spaSPT data is available in Spot-On readable CSV format in the form of single-molecule trajectories.

#### Data analysis

The Spot-On Matlab code is available together with a step-by-step guide at Gitlab: <https://gitlab.com/tjian-darzacq-lab/spot-on-matlab>. For additional documentation, please see also the Spot-On website <https://SpotOn.berkeley.edu> and previous publications 28,30. All other codes are available from corresponding author on reasonable request.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

## Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The in vitro datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The PALM and SPT data are available at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1188488>. The raw spaSPT data is available in Spot-On readable CSV format in the form of single-molecule trajectories. The Spot-On Matlab code is available together with a step-by-step guide at Gitlab: <https://gitlab.com/tjian-darzacq-lab/spot-on-matlab>. For additional documentation, please see also the Spot-On website <https://SpotOn.berkeley.edu> and previous publications (Ref. 34, Ref. 36). All other codes used in this study are available from corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences       Behavioural & social sciences       Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf](https://nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf)

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Sample size     | For single molecule analysis, sample size was analysed and described in Hansen et al, 2018. For FRAP and Clustering analysis statistical reproducibility was determined by datas resampling. |
| Data exclusions | No data were excluded.   |
| Replication     | All attempts at replication were successful.   |
| Randomization   | Randomization was not required in this study.  |
| Blinding        | Blinding was not required in this study and investigators were not blinded to group allocation.  |

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

### Materials & experimental systems

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| n/a                                 | Included in the study                                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Unique biological materials      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies            |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Human research participants      |

### Methods

|                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| n/a                                 | Included in the study                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging    |

## Antibodies

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Antibodies used | anti-Ser5P CTD antibody (kind gift of Dirk Eick (Helmholtz Center Munich), monoclonal antibody, clone 3E8, supplied as hybridoma supernatant)<br>anti-MBP conjugate (Abcam, ab49923, HRP-coupled, monoclonal antibody, MBP-17, GR251034-1)<br>anti-GST antibody (GE healthcare, RPN1236, HRP-coupled, monoclonal antibody)<br>anti-rat antibody (Sigma Aldrich, A9037, HRP-coupled, polyclonal antibody, SLBL0586V)<br>anti-Pol II antibody (N20, Santa Cruz, sc-899)<br>anti-Lamin A antibody (abcam, ab26300) |
|-----------------|---|

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| Validation | Anti-Ser5P CTD antibody, anti-GST-HRP antibody, and anti-MBP-HRP antibody were used to probe TFIIH kinase activity. In the |
|------------|--|

study antibodies were used to detect highly purified proteins. Validity of detection was cross-confirmed by simultaneous polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and subsequent coomassie staining, based on the known migration behavior of the unphosphorylated protein species. Identity of size-shifted (i.e. phosphorylated) CTD-proteins was confirmed through the use of two antibodies, which bind the target in an orthogonal manner (e.g. anti-MBP and anti-Ser5P). Anti-Ser5P CTD antibody is of monoclonal origin (clone 3E8) and was thoroughly validated with synthetic peptides to require phosphorylated Ser5 for binding (Chapman et al. (2007), Science 318, 1780-1782). The antibody was further characterized in previous, peer-reviewed publications (e.g. Clemente-Blanco et al. (2011), Nat Cell Biol. 13, 1450-1456; Xu et al. (2012), Dev. Cell 23, 1059-71; Manafra et al. (2014), Plos One 9, e99603).

N20 anti-Pol II antibody was used to assess the RPB1 level expressed in the different human cell lines. Another anti-RPB1 antibody was used to compare the size of the detected bands with the ones detected by the N20 antibody. The result was consistent. Due to changes in the CTD length between the different cell lines, antibodies against the RPB1 C-terminal domain cannot be used. The N20 antibody was additionally successfully used in numerous peer-reviewed studies (e.g. Emmett et al., Nature 546, 544-548, 2017; Dieuleveult et al., Nature 530, 113-6, 2016; Forget et al., NAR 41, 6881-6891, 2015).

## Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines](#)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Cell line source(s)  | Human U2OS osteosarcoma cells (Research Resource Identifier: RRID:CVCL_0042)  |
| Authentication   | The parental U2OS cell line was authenticated by the UC Berkeley Cell culture facility on 5/5/2017 by STR analysis . The result was a 100% match with U2OS cell line.   |
| Mycoplasma contamination   | The parental U2OS cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination before establishing the RPB1 cell lines. Then the cells are tested every 6 months or so. We never found mycoplasma contamination for these lines. |
| Commonly misidentified lines<br>(See <a href="#">ICLAC</a> register) | NA  |

## Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Sample preparation        | For the Halo-tagged line, Halo-TMR labelling (500 nM) was performed for 30mn at 37°C before harvesting the cells. The cells were harvested by Trypsinization after wash with PBS. Then Cells were resuspended in media, span down and resuspended in PBS for analysis.   |
| Instrument                | BD LSRFortessa   |
| Software                  | Diva software  |
| Cell population abundance | 10,000 cells were analysed per sample  |
| Gating strategy           | Gate between positive and negatively labelled cells were fixed running WT non labelled cells treated the same way the day of each experiment.<br>The gating strategy was used only to compare the level of expression in between cells. Same gate used for all the conditions. The gate is represented on each graph. This is more a qualitative than quantitative analysis. |

- Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

## Flow Cytometry Reporting Summary

Form fields will expand as needed. Please do not leave fields blank.

### ▶ Data presentation

For all flow cytometry data, confirm that:

- 1. The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- 2. The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- 3. All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- 4. A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

### ▶ Methodological details

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 5. Describe the sample preparation.  | For the Halo-tagged line, Halo-TMR labelling (500 nM) was performed for 30mn at 37°C before harvesting the cells. The cells were harvested by Tripsynisation after wash with PBS. Then Cells were resuspended in media, span down and resuspended in PBS for analysis  |
| 6. Identify the instrument used for data collection.                                   | BD LSRFortessa   |
| 7. Describe the software used to collect and analyze the flow cytometry data.          | Diva software  |
| 8. Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions. | 10,000 cells were analysed per sample  |
| 9. Describe the gating strategy used.  | gate between positive and negatively labelled cells were fixed running WT non labelled cells treated the same way the day of each experiment. The gating strategy was used only to compare the level of expression in between cells...same gate used for all the conditions. The gate is represented on each graph. This is more a qualitative than quantitative analysis. |

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.