Collision-free photochemistry of methylazide: Observation of unimolecular decomposition of singlet methylnitrene

Christopher Larson,3* Yuanyuan Ji, Petros Samartzis, and Alec M. Wodtke
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Shih-Huang Lee
National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, 101 Hsin-Ann Road, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu 30077, Taiwan, Republic of China

Jim Jr-Min Lin, Chanchal Chaudhuri, and Tao-Tsung Ching
Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 23-166, Taipei 106, Taiwan, Republic of China

(Received 17 April 2006; accepted 24 May 2006; published online 2 October 2006)

Methylazide photolysis at 248 nm has been investigated by ionizing photofragments with synchrotron radiation in a photofragmentation translational spectroscopy study. CH3N and N2 were the only observed primary products. The translational energy release suggests a simple bond rupture mechanism forming singlet methylnitrene, 1CH3N, and N2. Thus, these experiments reveal the unimolecular decomposition of this highly unstable species. We explain our observations through a mechanism which is initiated by the isomerization of 1CH3N to a highly internally excited methanimine H2C=NH isomer, which decomposes by 1,1-H2 elimination forming HNC+H2 as well as sequential H-atom loss (N-H followed by C-H bond cleavage), to form HCN. No evidence for dynamics on the triplet manifold of surfaces is found. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2215598]

INTRODUCTION

Despite its chemical simplicity, the ultraviolet (UV) photochemistry of methylazide, H3C–N–N≡N, has not been extensively studied and remains poorly understood.1–3 The electronic spectrum of methylazide has two absorption maxima—a weak peak at 286 nm and a strong peak at 215 nm.4 C–N bond rupture forming CH3+ and N3,CH3N

H3C–N–N≡N → H2C + N3, (R1)

is energetically accessible5,6 at wavelengths shorter than 490 nm but has never been observed. UV emission spectra of triplet methylnitrene, 3CH3N, resulting from the UV photolysis of methylazide (λphotolysis ∼ 292–325 nm) have been reported7 and taken as indirect evidence for the formation of singlet methanimine, H2C=NH. Methanimine has been observed directly by cavity ring down spectroscopy in the pyrolysis of methylazide.8

Despite this evidence it is not clear if either 3CH3N or H2C=NH is a primary product of the UV photolysis of CH3N. A simple N–N bond rupture mechanism,CH3N

H3C–N–N≡N → H2C–N + N2, (R2)

suggests the formation of spin-allowed singlet methylnitrene, 1CH3N. Theoretical predictions of the energetics of 1CH3N indicate that it is energetically accessible at photolysis wavelengths shorter than 700 nm.8 In the 248 nm photolysis of methylazide, singlet methylnitrene would have 75.5 kcal/mol available energy. Quantum chemical calculations at the multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) level show that the barrier to 1,2-H-atom shift, H3C–N → H2C=NH, is lower than 2 kcal/mol suggesting perhaps that 1CH3N may have no barrier to isomerization and should not be thought of as an isolable chemical intermediate in the decomposition of methylazide.9 However, experimental evidence is available suggesting that the 1CH3N is stable, namely, electron photodetachment spectra of CH3N−, which identified both X 3A1 and 1A1 methylnitrene,10 CASPT2 calculations with relatively large basis sets and zero point energy corrections report a calculated barrier to isomerization of 2.5±1.5 kcal/mol.11 The authors conclude that 1CH3N is unequivocally an energy minimum with a barrier to rearrangement and express the hope that their calculations will inspire further studies to observe or chemically trap 1CH3N.

Recent UV Raman experiments of dissociating molecules, which are designed to show vibrational modes activated by the forces of dissociation,12 have been applied to methylazide photodissociation at 313 nm.1 In contrast to the above line of reasoning, these authors concluded that methanimine and molecular nitrogen are formed in a concerted mechanism involving simultaneous 1,2-H-atom transfer and N–N bond cleavage,CH3N

H3C–N–N≡N → H2C = N + H + N2. (R3)

This mechanism is analogous to that reported by Bock and Dammel for methylazide pyrolysis (i.e., ground electronic state decomposition) where it is believed that the 1,2-H-atom shifts to form CH3NH in a concerted fashion with N2.
elimination. In 248 nm photolysis of methylazide, methy- 
amine would have 165.1 kcal/mol available energy. Ground singlet electronic state quantum chemistry calcula-
tions on methylazide dissociation have reported an 
\(
\sim 40 \text{ kcal/mol}
\) barrier consistent with this mechanism. More 
recently, however, Arenas et al. have found a singlet-triplet 
crossing lower than 40 kcal/mol, which may represent the 
actual barrier to methylazide pyrolysis forming \( ^3\text{H}_3\text{C} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N}_2 \). This transition state corresponds to a simple bond rup-
ture reaction.

With these questions in mind, we set out to investigate 
UV methylazide photolysis under collision-free conditions 
using synchrotron radiation in photofragmentation transla-
tional energy spectroscopy. Since the available energy to the 
products \( ^2\text{CH}_3\text{N} + \text{N}_2 \) vs \( ^2\text{H}_2\text{C} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{H} + \text{N}_2 \) differs by around 
90 kcal/mol, we expected the translational energy release 
spectrum of the UV photofragments to be quite helpful in 
understanding the primary photochemical events in methyl-
azole photolysis. We have recently obtained high quality 
data at \( \lambda_{\text{photolysis}} = 248, 193, \) and 157 nm. Here we report 
the data and analysis of our 248 nm work. Note that \( \lambda = 248 \) nm falls in between the two absorption maxima for 
methylazide.

**EXPERIMENT**

Methylazide was synthesized through the reaction of so-
dium azide with methyl iodide in a 4:1 dimethyl 
formamide (DMF)/water solution. According to Bock and 
Dammel, alkyl azides can be synthesized from substitution 
reactions with halogen derivatives. Methyl iodide was used 
instead of the more common dimethyl sulfate due to safety 
consideration. The mixture was stirred and heated in a nitro-
gen environment to 90 °C for several hours. The gaseous 
product passed through a short water-jacketed condenser, and 
liquid methylazide was collected in a flask submerged in a 
dry ice/acetone bath. The product was determined, through 
NMR, to contain methylazide with some methyl iodide 
contaminant.

The experiments were carried out at the Chemical Dy-
namics Beamline 21A at the National Synchrotron Radiation 
Research Center in Hsinchu, Taiwan. The machine has been 
described elsewhere and only a brief overview of essential 
considerations will be presented here. Liquid methylazide 
samples were placed in a glass bubbler and kept at \(-15 \) °C, 
which corresponds to a vapor pressure of \( \sim 150 \) Torr for our 
sample. Helium carrier gas was passed through the liquid 
and the total backing pressure entering the source chamber 
averaged 260 Torr during this experiment for a mixture 
which is about 60% methylazide. The machine uses a rotating 
source chamber with a fixed detector. For this experi-
ment, the detector was at a 30° angle from the source. A 
molecular beam was generated by a solenoid pulse valve (an 
Even-Lavie Valve, low repetition rate) and the beam was 
intersected at 90° by an unpolarized, focused \( [8.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2 \) with laser spot size narrower in time of flight 
(ToF) direction] 248 nm KrF excimer laser beam. The re-
sulting photofragments were ionized by synchrotron radia-
tion at a right angle after a 10.05 cm flight distance and mass 
selected by a quadrupole before reaching the detector. Most 
data were averaged over 20 000–80 000 laser shots.

Experiments could be carried out at various photoioniza-
tion energies \( (h\nu_{\text{photoionization}}) \) by changing the gap of the 
beamline undulator. Experiments reported here were per-
formed at \( h\nu_{\text{photoionization}} = 17.05, 13.3, \) and 11.9 eV. The reso-
lation of the ionizing radiation was about 3% or \( \pm 0.15 \) eV. 
The dependence of the signals on \( h\nu_{\text{photoionization}} \) was very 
distinctive in distinguishing isomers (HNC vs HCN) and coin-
cident \( m/z \) values (\( \text{N}_2 \) vs \( \text{CH}_2\text{N} \)).

The data obtained in this experiment were analyzed using 
two computer programs, both employing the forward 
convolution approach, known to be able to simulate exper-
imental conditions with highest accuracy. The first program, 
PHOTRAN, simulates a time of flight spectrum based on an 
input translational energy probability distribution \( P(E_T) \) and 
accurate information about all of the aspects of the experi-
ment, including molecular beam velocity and angular distribu-
tion, detector flight length, sizes of laser and ionization 
volumes, detector angular acceptance width, and several oth-
ers. \( P(E_T) \) is adjusted until the simulated spectrum matches 
the experimental data. The other program, capable of per-
forming similar calculations for secondary dissociation prod-
ucts using a brute-force forward convolution method, is 
ANALMAX. For both the primary and secondary dissocia-
tions, we used an anisotropy parameter \( \beta = 0 \), corresponding 
to an isotropic distribution.

**RESULTS**

The 248 nm photolysis of molecular beams formed from 
our methylazide samples showed detectable signals at \( m/z \) 
values of 29 (\( \text{CH}_3\text{H}^+ \)), 28 (\( \text{N}_2^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_2\text{N}^+ \)), 27 (\( \text{HCN}^+ \) and 
\( \text{HNC}^+ \)), 15 (\( \text{CH}_3^+ \)), and 14 (\( \text{CH}_2^+ \)). The methyl iodide impu-
rities were responsible for the signals at \( m/z = 14 \) and 15, 
which were unambiguously determined by fitting the ob-
served TOF spectrum at \( m/z = 15 \) with a previously reported 
translational energy distribution for methyl iodide photolysis 
at 248 nm. The \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) TOF spectrum consisted of two narrow 
peaks that are characteristic of methyl fragments formed 
with the corresponding iodine atom in two spin states \( ^2P_{3/2} \) 
and \( ^2P_{1/2} \). The \( m/z = 14 \) TOF spectrum was nearly identical 
to that of \( m/z = 15 \) and was assigned to a small amount of 
dissociative ionization of \( \text{CH}_3 \) forming \( \text{CH}_2^+ \) resulting from 
the high-energy synchrotron radiation. The threshold for dis-
sociative ionization for \( \text{CH}_3 \) to \( \text{CH}_2^+ \) is 15.09 eV, and our 
ionization photons were 17.05 eV. It is also noteworthy that 
we could not observe signal at \( m/z = 42 \) (\( \text{N}_2 \)), which would 
be the partner fragment for a methyl resulting from methyl-
azole, reaction (R1). Thus we find no evidence of reaction 
(R1) in this work.

Figure 1 shows the TOF spectra obtained at \( m/z = 29 \) and 
28 with a photoionization energy of 17.05 eV. Here, the 
synchrotron produces photons that are energetic enough to ion-
ize every possible reaction product including \( \text{N}_2 \) and 
\( \text{CH}_2\text{NH} \). The filled squares in Fig. 1 show the data after they 
have been normalized to laser power, number of laser shots 
(passes), and synchrotron current. A constant background
value has also been subtracted from every data point. The solid lines shown in Fig. 1 are the forward convolution simulations from PHOTRAN derived from a single $P(E_f)$, Fig. 2, indicating that the products observed at $m/z=28$ and 29 are “momentum matched.” We thus attribute these fragments to an $N_2$ loss channel. The $P(E_f)$ of Fig. 2 shows remarkably little energy appearing in the relative translational motion of the reaction products, $E_f \approx 25 \text{kcal/mol}$. If reaction (R3) were important, the concerted formation of methanimine and $N_2$, we must rationalize why only 12% of the available energy appears as translation. Of course this is straightforward to understand if we pursue the simpler explanation, that singlet methylnitrene is the primary product of the photochemistry at 248 nm, reaction (R2).

Following this approach, $^1\text{CH}_3\text{N}$ is clearly formed with sufficient internal energy to isomerize to the more stable $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ isomer on a subpicosecond time scale, gaining $\sim 90 \text{kcal/mol}$ internal energy in the process to reach a total of $\sim 120 \text{kcal/mol}$ internal energy. Products are seen to result from several unimolecular dissociation processes that occur from the highly internally excited methanimine. Despite the significant amount of dissociation, the $m/z=28$ signal is still momentum matched to $m/z=29$. This suggests that the secondary dissociation probability is independent of the translational energy. Figure 3 shows TOF spectra recorded at $m/z=27$ at two different values of $h\nu$ photoionization.

This allows us to distinguish between the two isomers HNC [ionization potential (IP) = 12.5 eV (Ref. 20)] and HCN [IP = 13.6 eV (Ref. 21)]. In the upper panel we employed $h\nu$ photoionization = 17.05 eV, and two (a fast and a slow) components are seen. Whereas, in the lower panel ($h\nu$ photoionization = 13.3 eV, which is less than the IP of HCN) only the fast component is detectable. Thus we can immediately identify the fast component as HNC and the slow component as HCN. Moreover, they are clearly formed by different processes and are not the result of the isomeric interconversion of HNC$\leftrightarrow$HCN as has been previously suggested. Indeed, our ability to distinguish the two isomers by photoionization wavelength shows that the isomeric interconversion of HNC$\leftrightarrow$HCN is unimportant under our conditions. We would also like to point out that the forward convolution fit from ANALMAX is not perfect on the slower tail of the lower panel of Fig. 3. This could be due to a small contribution of HCN ionization (from vibrationally excited molecules) that cannot be fully resolved by the wavelength dependent near threshold photoionization. We will return to the fitting of these data shortly.

Figure 4 shows the TOF spectrum (filled squares) of $m/z=28$ at $h\nu$ photoionization = 11.91 eV, 3.5 eV below the IP of $N_2$. The most likely explanation for this signal is that we are observing a daughter ion of the ionized $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ fragments by the following mechanism:

$$\text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{NH}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{N}^+ + \text{H}.$$
nally used to suppress ion fragmentation. However, the observed translational energies of the neutral CH₂NH in this work are sufficiently low, offering the implication that the CH₂NH fragment is formed with large internal energies and that dissociative ionization may be important. It is worth mentioning that we cannot rule out that the observed H₂CN⁺ comes from the intermediate step in the formation of HCN by the sequential loss of hydrogen atoms as shown by the following reaction:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{NH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H}. \]  

(R4)

The solid line fit to the data is the result of the forward convolution simulation of the primary [using the \( P(E_T) \) of Fig. 2] and secondary [using an essentially zero kinetic energy release \( P(E_T) \) dissociation pathways using ANALMAX. As there is no kinetic energy release for the secondary dissociation (R4), we cannot clearly distinguish between a daughter ion and the secondary product. Given all this, we consider it most likely that the observed signal is due to the daughter ion of ionized methanimine.

Fitting the \( m/z = 27 \) TOF of Fig. 3 can now be described. Consider first the lower panel. We assign this TOF spectrum to the daughter ion and the secondary product. Given all this, we consider it most likely that the observed ion is due to the daughter ion of ionized methanimine.

Fitting the \( m/z = 27 \) TOF of Fig. 4 can now be described. Consider first the lower panel. We assign this TOF spectrum to the secondary dissociation. It is worth mentioning that we cannot rule out that the observed H₂CN⁺ comes from the intermediate step in the formation of HCN by the sequential loss of hydrogen atoms as shown by the following reaction:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{NH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H}. \]  

(R5)

The ANALMAX program was used to simulate primary, using the \( P(E_T) \) of Fig. 2, and secondary dissociations, using the \( P(E_T) \) from Fig. 5. The relatively large translational energy release (up to 30 kcal/mol) for reaction (R5) is consistent with the molecular elimination of closed shell reactants forming closed shell products.

The upper panel of Fig. 3 is assigned to HCN formed by sequential H-atom elimination from methanimine,

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{NH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H}, \]

(R6)

The fit to the slow component of the upper panel of Fig. 3 was obtained from the same fitting analysis described for reaction (R4). The ANALMAX program (nor any other to our knowledge) is not capable of modeling tertiary dissociation. Thus our fitting of the slow component of the \( m/z = 27 \) TOF, with the same primary plus secondary model that fits the \( m/z = 28 \) TOF spectrum of Fig. 4, is tantamount to assuming that the \( P(E_T) \) for reaction (R6) peaks sharply near zero. This assumption is likely not rigorously correct; however, the light mass of the departing H atom means that the data is not sensitive to relatively large deviations from this assumption.

To summarize our results on the microscopic decomposition mechanism for the 248 nm photolysis of methylazide, we present the following table of conclusions:

**Initiation**

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} - \text{N} - \text{N} \equiv \text{N} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{N}_2 \]  

(simple bond rupture)

**HCN formation**

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{NH} + \text{N}_2 \]  

(isomerization),

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H} \]  

(simple bond rupture),

**HNC formation**

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} - \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{C} \equiv \text{N} - \text{H} \]  

(1,1 H₂ elimination).

**DISCUSSION**

A chart of the relevant energetics is shown in Fig. 6. This figure shows selected points on the two lowest potential energy surfaces of methylazide, collecting results shown in several figures of the work of Nguyen et al., including heats of formation and exit barriers. Here, points on the singlet potential energy surface are shown, connected by solid lines. Points on the lowest triplet potential energy surface are shown, connected by dashed lines. The available energy after 248 nm photolysis is shown as a horizontal dashed line. The photolysis photon energy is indicated by an arrow labeled \( h\nu \).
The maximum observed translational energy release for the N₂ elimination channel as determined from the forward convolution fitting is also shown. As mentioned above we believe that the remarkable characteristic of this photochemistry is the small fraction of available energy being channeled into the relative translation of the products. If a concerted photodissociation mechanism [reaction (R3)] forming methanimine is operative, one must explain why only ~12% of the available energy appears as translation.

\[ \text{H}_2\text{C} - \text{N} - \text{N} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{N} - \text{H} + \text{N}_2. \]

This is indeed counterintuitive. Such a postulated concerted mechanism suggests that electronic structure rearrangements take place so that the products, two closed shell molecules, \(^1\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{NH}\) and \(\text{N}_2\), will be initially formed within bonding distance of one another. The repulsive energy of such an interaction would certainly be expected to produce a substantial translational energy release. In an experimental example of two recolling closed shell molecules, HONO elimination from nitroethane and 2-nitropropane, forming ethene and propene, respectively, ~70% of the exit barrier energy was released into product translation.\(^{23}\) Likewise, molecular elimination from ethylacetate producing acetic acid and ethylene released more than 50% of the barrier height into translation.\(^{23}\) Consider, for example, the ground electronic singlet-state exit barrier calculated by Nguyen et al., which describes concerted decomposition to methanimine and \(\text{N}_2\), is more than 90 kcal/mol.\(^{7}\) Thus even for trajectories that started at the top of this barrier, it would be difficult to understand \(E_T = 25\) kcal/mol. Furthermore, the available energy to form methanimine and \(\text{N}_2\) in the 248 nm photolysis of methylazide far exceeds the barrier height \(E_{\text{ava}} \approx 165\) kcal/mol.

On the other hand, the fact that little energy appears as translation can be quickly understood if the initially formed products are \(^1\text{CH}_3\text{N}\) and \(\text{N}_2\), where more than 120 kcal/mol are initially tied up as potential energy associated with the unstable structure of the singlet methylnitrrene. A “soft product impulse approximation”\(^{24}\) also provides a useful model to further substantiate the \(^1\text{CH}_3\text{N} + \text{N}_2\) channel. In this approximation, the initial impulsive energy release between two atoms, which in our case are the two nitrogen atoms sharing the dissociating bond, is forced to obey linear momentum conservation. In addition, the linear momentum between the photofragments is also conserved. This model should work as a reasonable approximation for our system. For \(\text{HONO} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\), with an impulse between the C and N atoms, \(E_T/E_{\text{ava}}\) was found to be ~0.4. Using this same approximation for our methylazide system, \(E_T/E_{\text{ava}}\) is calculated to be ~0.49. Within the context of (R2), this would give a translational energy of 37 kcal/mol. From Fig. 2, the average translational energy is 29.0 kcal/mol. The soft product impulse approximation value of 37 kcal/mol is fairly close to this average energy. By contrast, for reaction (R3), the translational energy would be predicted to be ~80.9 kcal/mol.

It is worth noting in passing that another channel is capable of explaining some of our findings—reaction (R7). However, this reaction was ruled out for several reasons.

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{N} - \text{N} \equiv \text{N} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{N} + \text{N}_2\text{H}. \]  

(R7)

First, \(\text{N}_2\text{H}\) is an unstable species with a very short lifetime\(^{25}\) and would not be detected. Furthermore, \(\text{N}_2\text{H}\) has a relatively low ionization potential [around 7.8 eV (Ref. 26)]. In experiments done above the ionization potential for \(\text{N}_2\text{H}\) but below the ionization potential for \(\text{CH}_2\text{N}\), we observed no signal. We also rule out the possibility that \(\text{N}_2\) is formed in an excited electronic state. There is not enough energy available at 248 nm to produce nitrogen in an excited electronic state.

While the energy release of the \(\text{N}_2\) elimination channel is easily explained by the formation of \(^1\text{CH}_3\text{N}\), it is noteworthy that only very little signal is seen at \(m/z=29\) in comparison to the signal at \(m/z=28\). Likewise, the signal magnitude observed at \(m/z=27\) is much larger than that at \(m/z=29\). We can also rule out that the residual \(m/z=29\) signal is due to nitrogen isotope \(^{15}\text{N} - ^{14}\text{N}\) since we observe the \(m/z=29\) signal at ionization potentials as much as 3.5 eV below that of molecular nitrogen. These observations suggest that the majority of the initially formed \(^1\text{CH}_3\text{N}\) decomposes during the \(10^{-4}\) s flight time to the detector. The first step in this decomposition is certainly the 1,2-H-atom shift to form highly internally excited methanimine,

\[ \text{H}_3\text{C} - \text{N} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{NH} \]  

(isomerization).

If we were to assume that the departing \(\text{N}_2\) carried no internal energy, the \(P(E_T)\) for \(\text{N}_2\) loss (Fig. 2) would imply that all of the hot methanimine exceeds the spin-allowed barrier to dissociation forming reactions (R4) and (R5). This may be an indication that the \(\text{N}_2\) can, at least with a small probability, be produced with more that 64 kcal/mol of internal energy. As we have no data on the \(\text{N}_2\) internal energy distribution we cannot rule this possibility out. However, we point out that this would be an unusual result. It may also be possible that some highly internally excited methanimine formed above the HNC+H₂ and \(\text{H}_2\text{C} \equiv \text{N} + \text{H}\) dissociation barrier, may survive the \(10^{-4}\) s flight time, due to incomplete intramolecular vibrational randomization (IVR). While there are many examples where incomplete IVR leads to lifetimes
less than the statistical expectation, it is also possible that a larger than expected lifetime could be observed, if the N–H and C–H bond stretching motions are not well coupled to the other modes of the molecule.

We comment that it is unclear from these experiments whether triplet surfaces play any role in this dissociation process. In similar small molecules, such as HN$_3$, the singlet channel is strongly favored as soon as the barriers for spin-allowed dissociation are exceeded.$^7$ Another well-documented example that supports this statement is the competition between spin-allowed and spin-forbidden dissociation in ketene H$_2$C$\equiv$C$\equiv$O.$^2$ While it is reasonable to postulate that triplet states play some role in these experiments, their presence is not required to explain our data.

On the other hand, there is evidence from other work that speaks in favor of some triplet dissociation. First, the triplet state of methylnitrene has been seen in previous experiments,$^2$ however, those experiments were done in an environment where collisions could play a significant role in intersystem crossing and it is less clear if these are primary channels. If the dissociation were occurring on the triplet surface, we would expect to see some kinetic energy release in the secondary dissociation reaction (R4); however, we see no measurable kinetic energy release.

The synchrotron light’s tunability allows us to clearly distinguish the dynamics of HNC and HCN formation and to rule out substantial interconversion between the two isomers after they are formed. While it may seem strange that isomerization between HCN and HNC does not occur in measurable quantities, it should not. First, it is possible that the two products are not formed above the isomerization barrier. Furthermore, the HNC/HCN isomerization is a quantum mechanical isomerization system. Thus, whatever quantum states of HCN/HNC are formed in the photolysis, they are eigenstates and thus do not evolve in time. Furthermore, a rigorous study of accurate HCN/HNC vibrational wave functions has shown that even above the barrier to isomerization the vast majority of vibrational states are localized over one of the two bonding wells and can be thought of as energized HCN or HNC.$^2^8$ As described above there are two components in the $m/z=27$ TOF, Fig. 3. Both peaks can be fitted using the secondary dissociation analysis program ANALMAX.$^1^5$ The presence of a significant amount of signal below the ionization potential for HCN proves that HNC (whose ionization potential is lower than HCN at 12.5 eV) and HCN are both formed in the decomposition of methanimine. Our observations lead us to conclude that two dissociation mechanisms are operative—one that leads to HNC through 1,1-H$_2$ elimination and one that yields HCN through subsequent simple bond rupture loss of hydrogen atoms.

Figure 6 shows that HNC formation by the 1,1 elimination of H$_2$ from methanimine would be expected to give rise to a large translational energy release as the exit barrier is about 70 kcal/mol. While all $P(E_T)$’s obtained from secondary dissociation analysis should be considered approximate, our observation of a faster channel leading to a low IP form of neutral mass 27 product is compelling and consistent with the assigned reaction mechanism.

In contrast to HNC, HCN is formed by subsequent simple bond ruptures from the CH$_2$NH fragment. The energy diagram (Fig. 6) helps explain why sequential H-atom loss leading to HCN would lead to translationally less excited products. First of all, there is much less available energy for this channel compared to the HNC channel, as 104 kcal/mol is required to dissociate the H$_2$ molecule in this case. This, as well as the small or nonexistent exit barrier for the H-atom elimination steps, prevents the HCN from becoming translationally excited.

Finally, we remark that the relative amounts of HNC and HCN are comparable in the observed data. We make no further attempts to quantify this statement due to the many difficult experimental factors over which we have no control.

**CONCLUSION**

Photolysis of methylnitride at 248 nm has been performed and the photofragments have been analyzed using tunable synchrotron-radiation-based photoionization as well as measurements of product translational energy. Only one primary channel was observed, producing $^1$CH$_2$N and N$_2$. The exceptionally low translation energy release leads us to conclude that the initial products are the singlet methylnitrene reported previously by Travers et al.$^{1^9}$ This species rapidly isomerizes to methanimine, H$_2$C$\equiv$N–H, gaining a large amount of internal energy in the process, and then undergoes further unimolecular dissociation. Two such channels were observed: 1,1-H$_2$ elimination to obtain HNC and N–H bond rupture forming CH$_2$N and subsequent C–H bond rupture leading to HCN.
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