

On Induced Colourful Paths in Triangle-free Graphs

Jasine Babu¹

Manu Basavaraju²

L. Sunil Chandran³

Mathew C. Francis⁴

Abstract

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ whose vertices have been properly coloured, we say that a path in G is *colourful* if no two vertices in the path have the same colour. It is a corollary of the Gallai-Roy Theorem that every properly coloured graph contains a colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices. It is interesting to think of what analogous result one could obtain if one considers induced colourful paths instead of just colourful paths. We explore a conjecture that states that every properly coloured triangle-free graph G contains an induced colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices. As proving this conjecture in its fullest generality seems to be difficult, we study a special case of the conjecture. We show that the conjecture is true when the girth of G is equal to $\chi(G)$. Even this special case of the conjecture does not seem to have an easy proof: our method involves a detailed analysis of a special kind of greedy colouring algorithm. This result settles the conjecture for every properly coloured triangle-free graph G with girth at least $\chi(G)$.

Keywords: Induced Path, Colourful Path, Triangle-free Graph

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, undirected and finite. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, we denote the vertex set of G by $V(G)$ and the edge set of G by $E(G)$. A function $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is said to be a *proper k -colouring* of G if for any edge $uv \in E(G)$, we have $c(u) \neq c(v)$. A graph is *properly coloured*, if it has an associated proper k -colouring c specified (for some k). The minimum integer k for which a graph G has a proper k -colouring is the *chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$. A subgraph H of a properly coloured graph G is said to be *colourful* if no two vertices of H have the same colour. If a colourful subgraph H of G is also an induced subgraph, then we say that H is an *induced colourful subgraph* of G .

It is a corollary of the classic Gallai-Roy Theorem [3] that every (not necessarily optimally) properly coloured graph G has a colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices (an alternative proof for this is given in Theorem 4). We are interested in the question of when one can find colourful paths that are also induced in a given properly coloured graph. Note that the colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices that should exist in any properly coloured graph G may not always be an induced path. In fact, when G is a complete graph, there is no induced path on more than two vertices in the graph. The following hitherto unpublished conjecture is due to N. R. Aravind.

Conjecture 1 ([2]). Let G be a triangle-free graph that is properly coloured. Then there is an induced colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in G .

Surprisingly, despite being known to many researchers for well over two years, the conjecture has remained open even for the special case when $\chi(G) = 4$. Note that Conjecture 1 is readily seen to be true for any triangle-free graph G with $\chi(G) = 3$, because the colourful path guaranteed to exist in G by the Gallai-Roy Theorem is also an induced path in G . In this paper, we first prove Conjecture 1 for the case when $\chi(G) = 4$. We then extend this proof to show that the conjecture holds for any triangle-free graph G with $g(G) \geq \chi(G)$, where $g(G)$ is the *girth* of G , or the length of the smallest cycle in G .

A necessary condition for Conjecture 1 to hold is the presence of an induced path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in any triangle free graph G . Indeed something stronger is known to be true: each vertex in a triangle-free graph G is the starting point of an induced path on $\chi(G)$ vertices [4]. There have been several investigations on variants of the Gallai-Roy Theorem [1, 7]. Every connected graph G other than C_7 admits a proper $\chi(G)$ -colouring such that every vertex of G is the beginning of a (not necessarily induced) colourful path on $\chi(G) - 1$ vertices [1]. Concerning induced trees, Gyárfás conjectured that there exists an integer-valued function f defined on the finite trees with the property that

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Palakkad, India. E-mail: jasinekb@gmail.com

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, India. E-mail: manub@nitk.ac.in

³Max-Planck Institute for Informatik, Saarbruecken, Germany. E-mail: schandra@mpi-inf.mpg.de

⁴Computer Science Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Chennai, India. E-mail: mathew@isichennai.res.in

every triangle-free graph G with $\chi(G) = f(T)$ contains T as an induced subgraph. This was proven true for trees of radius two by Gyárfás, Szemerédi, and Tuza [5]. A stronger version of the Gallai-Roy Theorem that guarantees an induced directed path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in any directed graph G would have easily implied Conjecture 1. Clearly, such a theorem cannot be true for every directed graph. But Kierstead and Trotter [6] show that no such result can be obtained even if the underlying undirected graph of G is triangle-free. They show that for every natural number k , there exists a digraph G such that its underlying undirected graph is triangle-free and has chromatic number k , but G has no induced directed path on 4 vertices.

2. Preliminaries

Notation used in this paper is the standard notation used in graph theory (see e.g. [3]). We shall now describe a special greedy colouring procedure for an already coloured graph that will later help us in proving our main result.

The refined greedy algorithm. Given a properly coloured graph G with the colouring β , we will construct a new proper colouring $\alpha : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{>0}$ of G , using the algorithm given below. Let $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_t$ be the colours used by β .

For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, set $\alpha(v) \leftarrow 0$

for i from 1 to t **do**

for vertex v with $\beta(v) = b_i$ and $\alpha(v) = 0$ **do**

 Colour v with the least positive integer not present in its neighbourhood, i.e., set $\alpha(v) \leftarrow \min(\mathbb{N}^{>0} \setminus \{\alpha(u) : u \in N(v)\})$.

Definition 2 (Decreasing path). A path $u_1 u_2 \dots u_l$ in G is said to be a “decreasing path” if for $2 \leq i \leq l$, $\alpha(u_i) < \alpha(u_{i-1})$ and $\beta(u_i) < \beta(u_{i-1})$.

Lemma 3. Let $v \in V(G)$ and $X \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha(v) - 1\}$. Then there is a decreasing path $v u_{|X|} u_{|X|-1} \dots u_1$ in G such that for $1 \leq i \leq |X|$, $\alpha(u_i) \in X$.

This lemma directly shows that there is a colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in every properly coloured graph G (without using the Gallai-Roy Theorem).

Theorem 4. If G is any graph whose vertices are properly coloured, then there is a colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in G .

Proof. Let β denote the proper colouring of G . Run the refined greedy algorithm on G to generate the colouring α . Clearly, the algorithm will use at least $\chi(G)$ colours as the colouring α generated by the algorithm is also a proper colouring of G . Let v be any vertex in G with $\alpha(v) = \chi(G)$. Now consider the set $X = \{1, 2, \dots, \chi(G) - 1\}$. By Lemma 3, there is a path on $\chi(G)$ vertices starting at v on which the colours in the colouring β are strictly decreasing. This path is a colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in G . \square

Corollary 5. Any properly coloured graph G with $g(G) > \chi(G)$ has an induced colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices.

Proof. If $g(G) > \chi(G)$, then the colourful path given by Theorem 4 is an induced path in G . \square

This implies that the conjecture is true for all triangle-free graphs with chromatic number at most 3. It also implies that in order to prove Conjecture 1, one only has to consider graphs G with $g(G) \leq \chi(G)$. The main result of this paper is that Conjecture 1 holds true for all triangle-free graphs G with $g(G) = \chi(G)$.

3. Induced colourful paths in graphs with girth equal to chromatic number

In this section, we shall prove our main result, given by the theorem below.

Theorem 6. Let G be a graph with $g(G) = \chi(G) = k$, where $k \geq 4$, and whose vertices have been properly coloured. Then there exists an induced colourful path on k vertices in G .

Note that we can assume that G is connected, because if the theorem holds for connected graphs, then it will hold for the connected component of G with chromatic number equal to k and hence also for G . Let $\beta : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ denote the proper colouring of G that is given.

A k -cycle in G in which no colour repeats is said to be a *colourful k -cycle*, sometimes shortened to just “colourful cycle”. Notice that every colourful cycle in G is also an induced cycle as $g(G) = k$. From here onwards, we shorten “colourful path on k vertices” to just “colourful path”.

Suppose that there is no induced colourful path on k vertices in G .

Observation 7. *Since $g(G) = k$, if $y_1y_2 \dots y_k$ is a colourful path on k vertices in G , then the edge $y_1y_k \in E(G)$. Thus, $y_1y_2 \dots y_ky_1$ is a colourful k -cycle in G .*

Let α be a proper colouring of G generated by running the refined greedy algorithm on G . We shall refer to the colours of the colouring α as “labels”. From here onwards, we shall reserve the word “colour” to refer to a colour in the colouring β . As before, whenever we say that a path or a cycle is “colourful”, we are actually saying that it is colourful in the colouring β .

We say that a path with no repeating colours is an “almost decreasing path” if the subpath induced by the vertices other than the starting vertex is a decreasing path. Note that any decreasing path is also an almost decreasing path.

The proof of Theorem 6 is split into two cases: when $k = 4$ and when $k > 4$.

3.1. Case when $k = 4$

In this case, we have $\chi(G) = g(G) = 4$.

As α is also a proper colouring of G , we know that there exists a vertex v in G with label 4. By Lemma 3, there exists a decreasing path $v_4v_3v_2v_1$ where $v_4 = v$ and for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have $\beta(v_i) < \beta(v_{i+1})$ and $\alpha(v_i) = i$. Again by Lemma 3, we have a path $vv'_2v'_1$ in which we have $\beta(v'_1) < \beta(v'_2) < \beta(v)$, $\alpha(v'_2) = 2$ and $\alpha(v'_1) = 1$. Note that $v'_2 \neq v_2$ and $v'_1 \neq v_1$ (as otherwise vv'_2v_1v would be a triangle in G). This means that the vertices in $\{v_4, v_3, v_2, v_1, v'_2, v'_1\}$ are all pairwise distinct. Let $\beta(v_i) = b_i$ for each i , where $1 \leq i \leq 4$. We shall call the colours b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 “primary colours”. Clearly, as $v_4v_3v_2v_1$ is a decreasing and hence colourful path, by Observation 7, we have $v_1v_4 \in E(G)$.

Claim 8. $\beta(v'_2) = b_2$ and $\beta(v'_1) = b_1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\beta(v'_2) \neq b_2$. Then we have that either the path $v'_2v_4v_3v_2$ or the path $v'_2v_4v_1v_2$ is colourful, which implies that $v'_2v_2 \in E(G)$, a contradiction since $\alpha(v'_2) = \alpha(v_2)$. Therefore we have $\beta(v'_2) = b_2$. Similarly if $\beta(v'_1) \neq b_1$, then the path $v'_1v'_2v_4v_1$ is colourful, which implies that $v'_1v_1 \in E(G)$, a contradiction since $\alpha(v'_1) = \alpha(v_1)$. Thus we have $\beta(v'_1) = b_1$. \square

Now notice that the path $v'_1v'_2v_4v_3$ is colourful and hence we have that $v'_1v_3 \in E(G)$. We call the vertices in the set $\{v_4, v_3, v_2, v_1, v'_2, v'_1\}$ “forced vertices”. Any other vertex in the graph will be called an “optional vertex”. The following observations about forced vertices are easy to verify.

Observation 9. *For any forced vertex w , we have $\beta(w) = b_{\alpha(w)}$.*

Observation 10. *Every forced vertex is in a colourful cycle containing only forced vertices and which also contains the vertices v_3 and v_4 .*

Observation 11. *For any forced vertex w and set of primary colours $X \subseteq \{b_i \mid b_i < \beta(w)\}$, there exists a decreasing path starting from w having only forced vertices and whose vertices other than w have exactly the colours in X .*

Observation 12. *For $w \in \{v_3, v_4\}$ and for any set X of primary colours, there exists an almost decreasing path containing only forced vertices and whose vertices other than w see exactly the colours in $X \setminus \{\beta(w)\}$.*

For a vertex w , we define $\rho(w) = v_3$ if $\beta(w) = b_4$ and $\rho(w) = v_4$ otherwise.

Lemma 13. *If an optional vertex is adjacent to a forced vertex, then it is adjacent to at least two forced vertices. Moreover, there is a colourful cycle containing the optional vertex in which every other vertex is a forced vertex.*

Proof. Let w be an optional vertex that is adjacent to a forced vertex u . From Observation 10, there is a colourful cycle C that contains u and $\rho(w)$. Let P be a subpath of C with endvertices $\rho(w)$ and u which does not contain the colour $\beta(w)$ (note that if $\rho(w) = u$, then P consists of just the single vertex $u = \rho(w)$). From Observation 12, there exists an almost decreasing path P' from $\rho(w)$ whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ see $4 - |V(wu \cup P)|$ primary colours not present in the path $wu \cup P$. Let x be the endpoint of this path (note that P' can be the single vertex $x = \rho(w)$ in case the path $wu \cup P$ already contains 4 vertices). Clearly, $wu \cup P \cup P'$ is a colourful path and hence $wx \in E(G)$. As it can be easily seen that x is a forced vertex that is different from u , we now have at least two forced vertices in $N(w)$. Also, $wu \cup P \cup P' \cup xw$ is a colourful cycle with the required properties. \square

Lemma 14. *All optional vertices are adjacent to a forced vertex.*

Proof. Consider the set of all optional vertices that have no forced vertices as neighbours. Let w be a vertex in this set that is closest to a forced vertex. As G is connected, w has a neighbour w' such that $N(w')$ contains a forced vertex. From Lemma 13, there is a colourful cycle C containing w' in which all other vertices are forced vertices. Let z be the vertex in C which has highest colour in C other than $\beta(w)$ and $\beta(w')$. From the observation in the previous sentence, we know that z is a forced vertex. Let P be a subpath of C with endvertices w' and z that does not contain $\beta(w)$. By Observation 11, from z , there is a decreasing path P' whose vertices other than z see $4 - |V(ww' \cup P)|$ primary colours not seen on the path $ww' \cup P$. Let x be the endpoint of P' . As P' is a decreasing path starting from the forced vertex z , we have that x is a forced vertex. Now, $ww' \cup P \cup P'$ is a colourful path and hence $wx \in E(G)$. But now x is a forced vertex in $N(w)$, contradicting the assumption that w had no forced vertices in its neighbourhood. \square

Let S_1 denote the set of optional vertices adjacent to at least one of the forced vertices $\{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ and let S_2 denote the set of optional vertices adjacent to at least one of the forced vertices $\{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$.

Lemma 15. (i) S_1 and S_2 are disjoint, and
(ii) S_1 and S_2 are both independent sets.

Proof. First let us show that S_1 and S_2 are disjoint. Suppose that there is a vertex $w \in S_1 \cap S_2$. We know that there are two forced vertices x and y in $N(w)$ such that $x \in \{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ and $y \in \{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$. As G is triangle-free, we only have the two possibilities $(x = v'_1, y = v_1)$ or $(x = v_2, y = v'_2)$. Suppose that $x = v'_1$ and $y = v_1$. If $\beta(w) \neq b_4$, then as at least one of the paths $ww'_1v'_2v_4$ or $ww'_1v_3v_4$ is a colourful path, we have $ww_4 \in E(G)$. But this is a contradiction as ww_4v_1 is a triangle in G . Therefore, we can conclude that $\beta(w) = b_4$. But now, the path $ww_1v_2v_3$ is colourful, implying that $ww_3 \in E(G)$. This is a contradiction as $ww_3v'_1$ is now a triangle in G . The other case when $x = v_2$ and $y = v'_2$ is symmetric. This proves (i).

This tells us that for each vertex $w \in S_1$, the forced vertices in $N(w)$ all lie in $\{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ and for each vertex $w' \in S_2$, the forced vertices in $N(w')$ all lie in $\{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$. Since we know from Lemma 13 and Lemma 14 that each vertex in $S_1 \cup S_2$ has at least two forced vertices in their neighbourhood, we can conclude that each vertex in S_1 has at least two neighbours from $\{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ and that each vertex in S_2 has at least two neighbours from $\{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$. This means that for any two $w, w' \in S_1$, there is at least one vertex in $\{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ that is a neighbour of both w and w' . As G is triangle-free, we can conclude that $ww' \notin E(G)$. For the same reason, for any two vertices $w, w' \in S_2$, we have $ww' \notin E(G)$. This proves (ii). \square

From Lemma 15(i), we know that there are no edges between S_1 and $\{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$. Similarly, there are no edges between S_2 and $\{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$. Now, by Lemma 15(ii), we have that $S_1 \cup \{v_3, v_1, v'_2\}$ is an independent set and $S_2 \cup \{v_4, v_2, v'_1\}$ is an independent set. Since from Lemma 14, we know that $V(G) = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \{v_4, v_3, v_2, v_1, v'_2, v'_1\}$, this tells us that G is bipartite, which contradicts the assumption that $\chi(G) = 4$. Therefore, there can be no properly coloured graph G such that $g(G) = \chi(G) = 4$ with no induced colourful path on 4 vertices. This completes the proof of Theorem 6 for the case $k = 4$.

3.2. Case when $k > 4$

The proof for this case also follows the same general pattern as the case $k = 4$, but more technicalities are involved.

Lemma 16. *Let $y_1y_2 \dots y_ky_1$ be a colourful k -cycle. Let $z \in N(y_i) \setminus \{y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}\}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then $\beta(z) \in \{\beta(y_1), \dots, \beta(y_k)\} \setminus \{\beta(y_{i-1}), \beta(y_i), \beta(y_{i+1})\}$. (Here we assume that $y_{i+1} = y_1$ when $i = k$ and that $y_{i-1} = y_k$ when $i = 1$.)*

Proof. Clearly, $z \notin \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ as every colourful cycle is an induced cycle. Suppose $\beta(z) \notin \{\beta(y_1), \dots, \beta(y_k)\} \setminus \{\beta(y_{i-1}), \beta(y_i), \beta(y_{i+1})\}$. Clearly, $\beta(z) \neq \beta(y_i)$. Suppose that $\beta(z) \neq \beta(y_{i+1})$. Then observe that $zy_i y_{i+1} \dots y_k y_1 \dots y_{i-2}$ is a colourful path on k vertices and hence $zy_{i-2} \in E(G)$. This implies that $zy_i y_{i-1} y_{i-2} z$ is a 4-cycle in G , which is a contradiction. If $\beta(z) = \beta(y_{i+1})$, then we have $\beta(z) \neq \beta(y_{i-1})$. In this case, the path $zy_i y_{i-1} \dots y_1 y_k \dots y_{i+2}$ is a colourful path and the same reasoning as above tells us that there is a 4-cycle $zy_i y_{i+1} y_{i+2} z$ in G , which is again a contradiction. \square

Corollary 17. *Let $y_1 y_2 \dots y_k y_1$ be a colourful k -cycle. Let $z \in N(y_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then $\beta(z) \in \{\beta(y_1), \dots, \beta(y_k)\}$.*

The vertex v : Fix v to be a vertex which has the largest label. Since α is also a proper vertex colouring of G , it should use at least k labels. In other words, $\alpha(v) \geq k$.

Primary cycle: By applying Lemma 3 to v and the set of labels $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, we can conclude that there exists a decreasing path $v_k v_{k-1} \dots v_1$ where $v_k = v$ and such that $\alpha(v_i) = i$ for all $i < k$ and $\beta(v_i) < \beta(v_{i+1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Since this path is colourful, by Observation 7, $v v_{k-1} v_{k-2} \dots v_1 v$ is a colourful cycle, which we shall call the ‘‘primary cycle’’. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we shall denote by b_i the colour $\beta(v_i)$. The set of colours $\{b_k, b_{k-1}, \dots, b_1\}$ shall be called the set of ‘‘primary colours’’.

Lemma 18. *$\alpha(v) = k$. Hence, for all i , $\alpha(v_i) = i$.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\alpha(v) > k$. By Lemma 3, there exists a decreasing path $y_{k+1} y_k \dots y_1$ where $y_{k+1} = v$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\alpha(y_i) = i$ and $\beta(y_i) < \beta(y_{i+1})$. As the paths $y_{k+1} y_k \dots y_2$ and $y_k y_{k-1} \dots y_1$ are both colourful, it must be the case that $y_{k+1} y_2, y_k y_1 \in E(G)$. But then, $y_{k+1} y_2 y_1 y_k y_{k+1}$ is a cycle on four vertices in G , which is a contradiction. \square

Forced and optional vertices: A vertex $u \in V(G)$ is said to be a ‘‘forced vertex’’ if there is a decreasing path from v to u . Any vertex of G that is not forced is said to be an ‘‘optional vertex’’.

Lemma 19. *For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ there is exactly one vertex u_i in $N(v)$ with label i . Moreover, $\beta(u_i) = b_i$ and there is a colourful cycle C_i containing u_i and v that contains only forced vertices.*

Proof. Observe that because the refined greedy algorithm assigned $\alpha(v)$ to be k , it must be the case that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, there exists a vertex, which we shall call u_i , in $N(v)$ such that $\alpha(u_i) = i$ and $\beta(u_i) < b_k$. We shall choose u_{k-1} to be v_{k-1} . Because u_i is adjacent to v which is on the primary cycle, by Corollary 17, we know that $\beta(u_i)$ is a primary colour.

We claim that $\beta(u_i) = b_i$ and that there is a colourful cycle containing v and u_i that contains only forced vertices. We shall use backward induction on i to prove this. Consider the base case when $i = k-1$. Since $u_{k-1} = v_{k-1}$, we know that $\beta(u_{k-1}) = b_{k-1}$ and that there is a colourful cycle (the primary cycle) that contains u_{k-1} and v and also contains only forced vertices. Thus the claim is true for the base case. Let us assume that the claim has been proved for $u_{k-1}, u_{k-2}, \dots, u_{i+1}$. If $\beta(u_i) = b_j > b_i$, then $b_j \in \{b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$. By the induction hypothesis, we know that the vertex $u_j \in N(v)$ has $\beta(u_j) = b_j$ and that there is a colourful cycle C_j containing u_j and v . Note that $u_j \neq u_i$ (as $\alpha(u_i) \neq \alpha(u_j)$), but $\beta(u_j) = \beta(u_i) = b_j$. Therefore, as C_j contains u_j and is a colourful cycle, it cannot contain u_i . Since u_i is adjacent to v which is on C_j , and $\beta(u_i) = b_j$, we now have a contradiction to Lemma 16 (note that $u_j v$ is an edge of C_j as every colourful cycle is a chordless cycle). So it has to be the case that $\beta(u_i) \leq b_i$. By Lemma 3, there exists a path $y_i y_{i-1} y_{i-2} \dots y_1$, where $y_i = u_i$, such that for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, $\alpha(y_j) = j$ and $\beta(y_j) < \beta(y_{j+1})$. Notice that $y_1 y_2 \dots y_i v_k v_{k-1} \dots v_{i+1}$ is a colourful path and therefore by Observation 7, $C_i = y_1 y_2 \dots y_i v_k v_{k-1} \dots v_{i+1} y_1$ is a colourful cycle containing both u_i and v . Since v_i is adjacent to v_{i+1} which is on C_i , by Corollary 17, we know that there is some vertex z on C_i such that $\beta(z) = b_i$. Clearly, $z \in \{y_i, y_{i-1}, \dots, y_1\}$. If $z \in \{y_{i-1}, \dots, y_1\}$, then $\beta(y_i) > \beta(z) = b_i$, which is a contradiction to our earlier observation that $\beta(u_i) \leq b_i$ (recall that $u_i = y_i$). Therefore, $z = y_i$, which implies that $\beta(u_i) = b_i$. Notice that each $y_j \in \{y_i, y_{i-1}, \dots, y_1\}$, because of the decreasing path $v y_i y_{i-1} \dots y_j$, is a forced vertex. Thus, C_i is a colourful cycle containing u_i and v that contains only forced vertices. This shows that for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, $\beta(u_i) = b_i$ and there is a colourful cycle containing v and u_i that contains only forced vertices.

We shall now show that u_i is the only vertex in $N(v)$ which has the label i . Suppose that there is a vertex $u \in N(v)$ such that $\alpha(u) = i$ and $u \neq u_i$. Since u is adjacent to a colourful cycle containing only primary colours (the

primary cycle), we can conclude from Corollary 17 that $\beta(u)$ is a primary colour. Therefore, $\beta(u) = b_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. From what we observed above, $\beta(u_j) = b_j$ and there exists a colourful cycle C_j containing the vertices v and u_j . Note that $u_j \neq u$ since if $j \neq i$, then u_j and u have different labels and if $j = i$, we know that $u_j \neq u$ (as we have assumed that $u_i \neq u$). Hence u is not in C_j (as C_j already has a vertex u_j with $\beta(u_j) = b_j$) but is adjacent to it. But now C_j and u contradict Lemma 16 as $u_j v$ is an edge of C_j . Therefore, u cannot exist. \square

Corollary 20. *Let C be any colourful cycle containing v . Then C contains only primary colours.*

Proof. Notice that from Lemma 19, we know that for every primary colour $b_j \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, there is a vertex u_j with $\beta(u_j) = b_j$ that is adjacent to v . Because v is in C , we can apply Corollary 17 to C and u_j to conclude that b_j is present in C . This means that every primary colour appears on at least one vertex of C . Since C was a k -cycle, this means that C contains only primary colours. \square

Lemma 21. *If $u \in V(G)$ is a forced vertex such that $\alpha(u) = i$, then $\beta(u) = b_i$. Moreover, if P is any decreasing path from v to u , then there is a colourful cycle which has P as a subpath and contains only forced vertices and primary colours.*

Proof. Consider a forced vertex u . We shall prove the statement of the lemma for u by backward induction on $\alpha(u)$. The statement is true for $\alpha(u) \in \{k, k-1\}$ as there is only one forced vertex each with labels k and $k-1$ —which are v and v_{k-1} respectively (recall that from Lemma 19, $u_{k-1} = v_{k-1}$ is the only vertex in $N(v)$ with label $k-1$). Also, note that they are both in a colourful cycle (the primary cycle) that satisfies the required conditions. Let us assume that the statement of the lemma has been proved for $\alpha(u) \in \{k, k-1, \dots, i+1\}$. Let us look at the case when $\alpha(u) = i$. Let z be the predecessor of u in the path P and let P_z be the subpath of P that starts at v and ends at z . Let $\alpha(z) = j$. By the induction hypothesis, $\beta(z) = b_j$ and z is in a colourful cycle C that contains only primary colours. By Corollary 17, we can infer that $\beta(u)$ is a primary colour. Since P was a decreasing path, $\beta(u) \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{j-1}\}$. If $\beta(u) = b_l$ with $b_j > b_l > b_i$, then notice that there already exists a neighbour y of z with $\alpha(y) = l$ and $\beta(y) < \beta(z)$, because the refined greedy algorithm set $\alpha(z) = j$. Note that $P_z \cup zy$ is a decreasing path from v to y , which implies that y is a forced vertex. Clearly, $u \neq y$ as $\alpha(u) \neq \alpha(y)$. Because of our induction hypothesis, $\beta(y) = b_l$ and there is a colourful cycle containing the path $P_z \cup zy$ as a subpath. As $\beta(u) = \beta(y)$, u is outside this cycle but is a neighbour of z . This contradicts Lemma 16. Therefore, $\beta(u) \leq b_i$. Consider the decreasing path $y_i y_{i-1} \dots y_1$ where $y_i = u$, and for $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$, $\alpha(y_s) = s$ and $\beta(y_s) < \beta(y_{s+1})$ which exists by Lemma 3. Again by Lemma 3, there exists a decreasing path Q starting from v whose vertices other than v have exactly the labels in $\{i+1, i+2, \dots, k\}$ that are not seen on P_z . By the induction hypothesis, we can now see that every colour in $\{b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, \dots, b_k\}$ occurs exactly once in the path $Q \cup P_z$. Since $y_i y_{i-1} \dots y_1$ is a decreasing path in which every vertex has colour at most b_i , we can conclude that the path $P' = Q \cup P_z \cup zy_i y_{i-1} \dots y_1$ is a colourful path. By Observation 7, the graph induced by $V(P')$ is a colourful cycle containing v , which we shall call C' . By Corollary 20, we know that C' contains only primary colours. Now, if $\beta(u) < b_i$, then because $u y_{i-1} \dots y_1$ was a decreasing path, it should mean that $\beta(y_1) < b_1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\beta(u) = b_i$ and C' is a cycle containing P as a subpath and which contains only forced vertices and primary colours (note that each y_s , for $1 \leq s \leq k-1$, is a forced vertex as there is the decreasing path $P_z \cup zy_i y_{i-1} \dots y_s$ from v to y_s). \square

Lemma 22. *If P_1 and P_2 are two decreasing paths ($P_1 \neq P_2$) that start from a forced vertex u and meet at a vertex z , then*

- (i) $\beta(z) = b_1$ and $\alpha(z) = 1$
- (ii) $u = v$, and
- (iii) $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a colourful cycle.

Proof. Since u is a forced vertex there exists a decreasing path P from v to u .

We shall first show that the paths P_1 and P_2 cannot see exactly the same set of colours. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that they do. As the paths P_1 and P_2 are different, there is a vertex in one of these paths that is not in the other. Let us assume without loss of generality that there is a vertex in the path P_2 that is not present in P_1 . We denote by x' the first vertex (when walking from u) on P_2 that is not present in P_1 . Let x be the predecessor of x' on P_2 . Clearly, x is also in P_1 . Let x'' denote the successor of x on P_1 . As P_1 and P_2 are both decreasing paths with the same set of colours, it must be the case that $\beta(x') = \beta(x'')$. From Lemma 21, we know that there is a colourful cycle that contains $P \cup P_1$ as a subpath. Clearly, this colourful cycle contains x'' and as $\beta(x') = \beta(x'')$, this cycle does not contain x' . But now this colourful cycle and x' contradict Lemma 16.

Let $\alpha(z) = i$. By Lemma 21, we have $\beta(z) = b_i$. Let Y be the decreasing path $y_i y_{i-1} \dots y_1$ (guaranteed to exist by Lemma 3) where $y_i = z$, and for $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1, i\}$, $\alpha(y_s) = s$ and $\beta(y_s) = b_s$ (by Lemma 21; note that each y_s is a forced vertex because of the decreasing path $P \cup P_1 \cup y_i y_{i-1} \dots y_s$ from v to it). Again by Lemma 3, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, there exists a decreasing path Q_j starting from v whose vertices other than v have exactly the labels in $\{i+1, i+2, \dots, k\}$ that are not seen on $P \cup P_j$. Note that all the vertices in P, P_j, Q_j and Y are forced vertices and therefore by Lemma 21, they will all have primary colours. Furthermore, the colours on the path Q_j are exactly the primary colours greater than b_i that are not seen on the path $(P \cup P_j) - v$ and the path Y will contain all the primary colours less than or equal to b_i . Let w_j denote the endpoint of Q_j that is not v . Since $P \cup P_j \cup Y \cup Q_j$ is a colourful path, we have $w_j y_1 \in E(G)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Observe that P_1 and P_2 are two paths such that in at least one of them, we have a vertex that is not present in the other. Since these paths also have the same endpoints, it is clear that there is a cycle in G using only edges in $E(P_1) \cup E(P_2)$. Note that as P_1 and P_2 do not see exactly the same set of colours, the paths $Q_1 \cup w_1 y_1$ and $Q_2 \cup w_2 y_1$ are different. Now, following the same reasoning as above, one can see that there is at least one cycle in G whose edges are from $E(Q_1 \cup w_1 y_1) \cup E(Q_2 \cup w_2 y_1)$. Since girth of G is k , we now have the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1\| + \|P_2\| &\geq k \\ \|Q_1\| + \|Q_2\| + 2 &\geq k \end{aligned}$$

As $P \cup P_j \cup Y \cup Q_j \cup w_j y_1$ is a colourful cycle for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\| + \|P_1\| + \|Q_1\| + \|Y\| + 1 &= k \\ \|P\| + \|P_2\| + \|Q_2\| + \|Y\| + 1 &= k \end{aligned}$$

Summing the first two inequalities, we get,

$$\|P_1\| + \|P_2\| + \|Q_1\| + \|Q_2\| + 2 \geq 2k$$

and by adding the third and fourth, we get,

$$2\|P\| + \|P_1\| + \|P_2\| + \|Q_1\| + \|Q_2\| + 2\|Y\| + 2 = 2k.$$

Combining the previous two equations, we have,

$$2\|P\| + 2\|Y\| \leq 0$$

which implies that $\|Y\| = 0$ and $\|P\| = 0$. Therefore, we have $\alpha(z) = 1$ (and hence, $\beta(z) = b_1$) and also $u = v$. This proves (i) and (ii).

This means that Y contains just the vertex z and that P_1 and P_2 are both paths that start at v and end at z . Now, by our earlier observation, z is in a colourful cycle $C_1 = P_1 \cup Q_1 \cup w_1 z$ and also in a colourful cycle $C_2 = P_2 \cup Q_2 \cup w_2 z$. There exists a vertex $z' \in C_1$ with $\beta(z') = b_2$ and a vertex $z'' \in C_2$ such that $\beta(z'') = b_2$. Because each of C_1 and C_2 is the union of two decreasing paths from v , one can conclude that z' is a neighbour of z in C_1 and z'' is a neighbour of z in C_2 . Applying Lemma 16 to either one of the cycles C_1 or C_2 , it can be seen that there can only be one neighbour of z coloured b_2 , and therefore, $z' = z''$. Thus, both C_1 and C_2 contain the vertex z' . Let R_j , for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, be the subpath of C_j with v and z' as endvertices that does not contain z . Clearly, R_j is a decreasing path. Therefore, R_1 and R_2 are two decreasing paths that start at v and meet at z' . If $R_1 \neq R_2$, then since $\beta(z') = b_2$, we have a contradiction to (i). Therefore, it must be the case that $R_1 = R_2$, which implies that one of $P_1 - z = P_2 - z$, $Q_1 = Q_2$, $P_1 - z = Q_2$ or $P_2 - z = Q_1$ is true. Since $P_1 \neq P_2$, we know that $P_1 - z \neq P_2 - z$ and because P_1 and P_2 do not contain the same set of colours, we also have $Q_1 \neq Q_2$. Therefore, we can conclude that either $P_1 - z = Q_2$ or $P_2 - z = Q_1$. But in either case, we have that the colours on the path $P_1 - \{v, z\}$ are exactly all the primary colours that are absent in P_2 . This can only mean that $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a colourful cycle. This proves (iii). \square

Corollary 23. *The graph induced by all the forced vertices other than those coloured b_1 is a tree.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there is a cycle containing only forced vertices with colours other than b_1 . Let u be the vertex in the cycle with the least colour in the colouring β and let u' and u'' be its two neighbours on the cycle. Since u' and u'' are both forced vertices there are decreasing paths P' and P'' that start at v and end at u' and u'' respectively. Let P_1 be the decreasing path obtained by adding u to P' and P_2 be the decreasing path obtained by adding u to P'' . Since $P' \neq P''$, we clearly have $P_1 \neq P_2$. Thus, P_1 and P_2 are two decreasing paths starting at v and meeting at u and because $\beta(u) \neq b_1$, we have a contradiction to Lemma 22(i). \square

Given any vertex w , we define $\rho(w) = v_{k-1}$ if $\beta(w) = b_k$ and $\rho(w) = v_k$ otherwise.

Let $X \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$ be any set of primary colours. Let $L = \{i \mid b_i \in X\}$. From Lemma 3, we know that there is a decreasing path starting from v_k consisting only of forced vertices and which sees exactly the labels in $L \cup \{k\}$. Applying Lemma 21 to the vertices of this path, we have that this path sees exactly the colours in $X \cup \{b_k\}$. Thus for any set of colours $X \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$, there exists a decreasing path starting from v_k , made up of forced vertices and which sees exactly the colours in $X \cup \{b_k\}$. Note that if $b_k \notin X$, we can apply the same argument to v_{k-1} and X and conclude that there is a decreasing path starting at v_{k-1} consisting only of forced vertices and which sees exactly the colours in $X \cup \{b_{k-1}\}$. Suppose that $b_k \in X$. Then let $X' = X \setminus \{b_k\}$. From our above observation, there is a decreasing path starting from v_k , consisting of only forced vertices and which sees exactly the colours in X' . This path, together with the edge $v_{k-1}v_k$ gives us an almost decreasing path starting from v_{k-1} that consists only of forced vertices and which sees exactly the colours in $X \cup \{b_{k-1}\}$. Thus, for any set of primary colours X , we have almost decreasing paths starting from both v_k and v_{k-1} consisting of only forced vertices and which see exactly the colours in $X \cup \{b_k\}$ and $X \cup \{b_{k-1}\}$ respectively. This gives us the following observation.

Observation 24. *For any vertex w , and for any set of colours $X \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$, there exists an almost decreasing path starting from $\rho(w)$, made up of forced vertices and which sees exactly the colours in $X \cup \{\beta(\rho(w))\}$.*

Suppose that P is the decreasing path from v_k to a forced vertex y . Clearly, if v_{k-1} is on this path, then the subpath of P from v_{k-1} to y is a decreasing path from v_{k-1} to y . If v_{k-1} is not on P , then $v_{k-1}v_k \cup P$ is an almost decreasing path starting from v_{k-1} and ending at y . As there are also decreasing paths from v_k to every other forced vertex, we now have the following observation.

Observation 25. *Given any vertex w and any forced vertex y , there exists an almost decreasing path starting from $\rho(w)$ and ending at y .*

Lemma 26. *If w is an optional vertex such that $N(w)$ contains a forced vertex u , then $N(w) = \{u, y\}$ where $\{\beta(u), \beta(y)\} = \{b_1, b_2\}$. Moreover, there are decreasing paths P_u from $\rho(w)$ to u and P_y from $\rho(w)$ to y such that $P_u \cup uw \cup P_y$ is a colourful cycle.*

Proof. We shall first show that $\beta(u) \in \{b_1, b_2\}$. Suppose that $\beta(u) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$.

We claim that there is a forced vertex $z \in N(w)$ with $\beta(z) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$ such that there is an almost decreasing path P_z from $\rho(w)$ to z that does not contain $\beta(w)$. Let P_u be the almost decreasing path from $\rho(w)$ to u that exists by Observation 25. If $\beta(w)$ is not in P_u , then we can set $z = u$ and we are done. Suppose $\beta(w) \in P_u$. By Observation 24, there exists a path P'_u that starts from $\rho(w)$ and whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ have exactly the colours that are missing in P_u . Let u' be the endvertex of P'_u other than $\rho(w)$. Clearly, $P_u \cup P'_u$ is a colourful path and therefore, by Observation 7, we have $uu' \in E(G)$. By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path Q consisting of forced vertices starting from $\rho(w)$ that sees exactly the colours in P_u other than $\{\beta(w), \beta(u)\}$. Now, $\{wu, uu'\} \cup P'_u \cup Q$ is a colourful path and therefore the endvertex of Q is a forced vertex adjacent to w . We claim that this endvertex of Q can be chosen as z . Clearly, Q is an almost decreasing path from $\rho(w)$ to z that does not contain $\beta(w)$ and this can be considered to be the required path P_z . Also, since $\beta(u) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$, no vertex in P_u has colour b_1 or b_2 , implying that no vertex in the almost decreasing path Q has either of these colours. Therefore, $\beta(z) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$. Hence z is a neighbour of w of the required type.

Let z be a forced vertex in $N(w)$ such that there is an almost decreasing path P_z from $\rho(w)$ to z that does not contain b_1, b_2 or $\beta(w)$. By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path Q starting from $\rho(w)$ whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ have exactly the primary colours that are not in the path $P_z \cup zw$. Note that b_1 and b_2 will be present in Q . Let u_3, u_2, u_1 be last three vertices of Q in that order (i.e., u_1 is the endvertex of Q other than $\rho(w)$). Since Q is

an almost decreasing path, we have $\beta(u_1) = b_1$ and $\beta(u_2) = b_2$. Since $wz \cup P_z \cup Q$ is a colourful path, we have by Observation 7 that $wu_1 \in E(G)$. By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path Q' that starts at $\rho(w)$ and whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ see exactly the primary colours that are not present in Q . Note that the paths Q' and $P_z \cup zw$ see exactly the same colours. Let the endvertex of Q' other than $\rho(w)$ be w' . As $Q' \cup Q$ is a colourful path, we have $w'u_1 \in E(G)$. By applying Lemma 3 and Lemma 21 together, we know that there is a vertex p with $\beta(p) = b_1$ that is adjacent to u_3 . Let R be the union of the subpath of Q from $\rho(w)$ to u_3 and the edge u_3p . By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path R' starting from $\rho(w)$ and whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ have exactly the primary colours not present in R . Let r be the end vertex of R' . Clearly, $\beta(r) = b_2$, and because $R \cup R'$ is a colourful path, we have $pr \in E(G)$. Now, observe that the path $zw \cup P_z \cup R \cup pr$ is also a colourful path, and therefore, we have $wr \in E(G)$. Similarly, the path $Q' \cup R \cup pr$ is also a colourful path, leading to the conclusion that $w'r \in E(G)$. Recall that $w \neq w'$ as one is a forced vertex while the other is an optional vertex and $u_1 \neq r$ as $\beta(u_1) \neq \beta(r)$. We now have a four cycle $wrw'u_1w$ in G , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\beta(u) \in \{b_1, b_2\}$. By Observation 25, there exists an almost decreasing path R_u from $\rho(w)$ to u . By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path R'_u that starts from $\rho(w)$, ends at a vertex u' , and whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ have exactly the primary colours that are not in R_u . By Observation 7, we know that $uu' \in E(G)$ and that $G[V(R_u) \cup V(R'_u)]$ is a colourful cycle. Therefore, there exists a path $P \in \{R_u, R'_u \cup u'u\}$ such that P does not contain $\beta(w)$. By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path Q consisting of forced vertices that starts from $\rho(w)$, ends at a vertex y , and whose vertices other than $\rho(w)$ see exactly the primary colours that are not in $V(P) \cup \{w\}$. Now, notice that $wu \cup P \cup Q$ is a colourful path and therefore y will be adjacent to w . This tells us that $\beta(y) \in \{b_1, b_2\}$. If $P = R_u$, then it is clear that P is an almost decreasing path from $\rho(w)$ to u . If, on the other hand, $P = R'_u \cup u'u$, then notice that since $wu \cup P \cup Q$ is a colourful path which contains the vertices u, y with $\{\beta(u), \beta(y)\} = \{b_1, b_2\}$, we have $\beta(u') > \beta(u)$. Since R'_u is an almost decreasing path, this tells us that $P = R'_u \cup u'u$ is also an almost decreasing path. Also, since $wu \cup P \cup Q$ is a colourful path, both the almost decreasing paths P and Q do not see the colour $\beta(w)$, and hence they are both decreasing paths. Thus we can set $P_u = P$ and $P_y = Q$ as the required decreasing paths. Now, the application of Lemma 16 to the colourful cycle $wu \cup P \cup Q \cup yw$ and vertex w tells us that these are the only two vertices with colours b_1 and b_2 in $N(w)$. Thus, we can conclude that $N(w) = \{u, y\}$. \square

Lemma 27. *Every optional vertex is adjacent to a forced vertex.*

Proof. Suppose that there are optional vertices in G that are not adjacent to any forced vertex. Then let w be the optional vertex among them that is closest to a forced vertex. Since G is connected, we can conclude that w is adjacent to some optional vertex w' that has a forced vertex in $N(w')$. By Lemma 26, we know that there is a colourful cycle C containing w' in which every vertex other than w' is a forced vertex. Let z be that vertex in C with the highest colour in $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\} \setminus \{\beta(w), \beta(w')\}$. Let P be that subpath of C between w' and z that does not contain $\beta(w)$. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 21, there exists a decreasing path P' starting from z whose vertices other than z have exactly the primary colours that are not present in the path $P \cup w'w$. Clearly, $w'w' \cup P \cup P'$ is a colourful path and therefore the endvertex y of P' is adjacent to w . Notice that P' was a path consisting entirely of forced vertices and therefore y is a forced vertex in the neighbourhood of w , which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 28. *The set of optional vertices form an independent set.*

Proof. We shall first observe that like forced vertices, every optional vertex also has a primary colour. Consider an optional vertex w . By Lemma 27, we know that there is a forced vertex y in $N(w)$. Notice that by Lemma 21, the forced vertex y is in a colourful cycle containing only forced vertices and primary colours. Therefore, the optional vertex w is adjacent to a colourful cycle containing only primary colours. Thus, by Corollary 17, we can conclude that the $\beta(w)$ is a primary colour.

We shall now prove the statement of the lemma. Let w_1 and w_2 be optional vertices such that $w_1w_2 \in E(G)$. Let us assume without loss of generality that $\beta(w_1) < \beta(w_2)$. Suppose first that $\beta(w_1) = b_{k-1}$ and $\beta(w_2) = b_k$. In this case, $\rho(w_1) = v_k$ and $\rho(w_2) = v_{k-1}$. Let y, z be forced vertices in $N(w_1)$ and y', z' be forced vertices in $N(w_2)$ such that $\beta(y) = \beta(y') = b_1$ and $\beta(z) = \beta(z') = b_2$. Note that $y \neq y'$ and $z \neq z'$ as G is triangle-free. By Lemma 26, we know that y, z, y', z' exist and also that there is a colourful cycle C containing vertices w_1, v_k and the edges w_1y and w_1z . Similarly, there is a colourful cycle C' containing vertices w_2, v_{k-1} and the edges w_2y' and w_2z' . Let P, Q be the subpaths of C with endvertices v_k and w_1 that contain the edges w_1y, w_1z respectively. Similarly, let P', Q' be the subpaths of C' with endvertices v_{k-1} and w_2 that contain the edges w_2y', w_2z' respectively. Let u be the vertex with

colour b_{k-2} on the cycle C . Since from Lemma 26, it is clear that $P - w_1$ and $Q - w_1$ are both decreasing paths, it has to be the case that u is a neighbour of v_k on either P or Q . Suppose that u is on P . By Lemma 3, we know that there is a decreasing path R starting from u such that its vertices other than u see exactly the colours that are absent on the path P . Notice that $w_2 w_1 \cup (P - v_k) \cup R$ is a colourful path and hence the endvertex of R is adjacent to w_2 . Clearly, the endvertex of R has colour b_2 and therefore by Lemma 26, this vertex is none other than z' . Now, the paths $v_k u \cup R$ and $v_k v_{k-1} \cup (Q' - w_2)$ are both distinct decreasing paths (note that v_{k-1} is present in one of the paths but not in the other) that meet at the vertex z' . Since $\beta(z') = b_2$, we now have a contradiction to Lemma 22(i). Therefore, we can conclude that u is on Q . Again by Lemma 3, we know that there is a decreasing path R starting from u whose vertices other than u see exactly the colours that are absent on the path Q . Notice that $w_2 w_1 \cup (Q - v_k) \cup R$ is a colourful path and hence the endvertex of R is adjacent to w_2 . As it is clear that this endvertex of R has colour b_1 , by Lemma 26, this vertex is none other than y' . Now, the paths $v_k u \cup R$ and $v_k v_{k-1} \cup (P' - w_2)$ are both distinct decreasing paths that meet at the vertex y' . By Lemma 22(iii), we know that these paths together constitute a colourful cycle. But the colour b_2 is not present in either of these paths, which is a contradiction.

By the above arguments, we can assume that $\{\beta(w_1), \beta(w_2)\} \neq \{b_{k-1}, b_k\}$. Therefore, $\beta(w_1) < b_{k-1}$ which means that $\rho(w_1) = v_k$. By Lemma 26, we know that there is a colourful cycle C containing w_2 and $\rho(w_2)$. Let P be that subpath of C between $\rho(w_2)$ and w_2 that does not contain $\beta(w_1)$ (note that $\beta(\rho(w_2)) \neq \beta(w_1)$, implying that such a path exists) and let Q be that subpath that does. Also, we shall denote by y the neighbour of w_2 on P and by z the neighbour of w_2 on Q . By Observation 24, there exists an almost decreasing path P' starting from $\rho(w_2)$ in which the vertices other than $\rho(w_2)$ see exactly the colours that are not present in the path $P \cup w_2 w_1$. Clearly, $w_1 w_2 \cup P \cup P'$ is a colourful path and therefore the endvertex y' of P' is adjacent to w_1 . Note that y' is different from y and z as otherwise, there would be a triangle in the graph. Let Q' be the almost decreasing path starting from $\rho(w_2)$, that exists by Observation 24, such that its vertices other than $\rho(w_2)$ see exactly the missing colours from P' other than $\beta(w_1)$. Notice that Q' contains exactly the colours from P . Let z' be the endvertex of Q' other than $\rho(w_2)$. Clearly, $z' \neq w_2$ as one is a forced vertex while the other is an optional vertex. Observe that $w_1 y' \cup P' \cup Q'$ is a colourful path and hence $z' w_1 \in E(G)$. As before, z' is distinct from y and z as otherwise, there would be a triangle in the graph.

Let Q_z be the subpath of Q from z to $\rho(w_2)$. Recall that Q' contains exactly the colours from P . As the path $P \cup Q_z$ was a colourful path, we can infer that $Q' \cup Q_z$ is also a colourful path. Hence there is an edge $zz' \in E(G)$. But since $z \in N(w_2)$ and $z' \in N(w_1)$, we have the 4-cycle $w_2 w_1 z' z w_2$ in G , which is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that for any two optional vertices w_1 and w_2 , we have $w_1 w_2 \notin E(G)$. We have thus proved that the set of optional vertices is an independent set. \square

Let w be an optional vertex. From Lemma 27 and Lemma 26, we know that $N(w) = \{y, z\}$ where $\beta(y) = b_1$, $\beta(z) = b_2$ and both y and z are forced vertices. This also tells us that $\beta(w) \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$. Let T denote the graph induced in G by the forced vertices other than those coloured b_1 . From Corollary 23, we know that T is a tree. By what we have observed above, it is clear that each optional vertex has exactly one neighbour in $V(T)$. Also, Lemma 28 tells us that the optional vertices form an independent set. Therefore, $V(T)$ and the set of optional vertices together induce a tree in G . Since optional vertices do not have colour b_1 as observed above, we can conclude that the subgraph induced in G by the vertices other than those coloured b_1 is a tree. This implies that $\chi(G) \leq 3$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\chi(G) = k > 4$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6 for the case when $k > 4$.

4. Conclusion

We have shown in this paper that for any properly coloured graph G with $g(G) \geq \chi(G)$, there exists an induced colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices in G . The question of whether every properly coloured graph G contains an induced colourful path on $\chi(G)$ vertices remains open for the case $3 < g(G) < \chi(G)$.

Acknowledgements

A part of this work was done when Manu Basavaraju was a postdoc at the Max-Planck-Institut für Informatik, Saarbrücken. L. Sunil Chandran was supported by a fellowship from the Humboldt Research Foundation. Mathew C. Francis would like to acknowledge the support provided by the DST-INSPIRE Faculty Award IFA12-ENG-21.

References

- [1] M. ALISHAHI, A. TAHERKHANI, AND C. THOMASSEN, *Rainbow paths with prescribed ends*, *Electr. J. Comb.*, 18 (2011).
- [2] N. R. ARAVIND. Personal communication, 2013.
- [3] J. A. BONDY AND U. S. R. MURTY, *Graph theory*, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer, New York, London, 2007.
- [4] A. GYÁRFÁS, *Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs*, *Zastosowania Matematyki Applicationes Mathematicae XIX*, 3-4 (1987), pp. 413–441.
- [5] A. GYÁRFÁS, E. SZEMERÉDI, AND Z. TUZA, *Induced subtrees in graphs of large chromatic number*, *Discrete Mathematics*, 30 (1980), pp. 235–244.
- [6] H. A. KIERSTEAD AND W. T. TROTTER, *Colorful induced subgraphs*, *Discrete Mathematics*, 101 (1992), pp. 165–169.
- [7] H. LI, *A generalization of the Gallai-Roy theorem*, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 17 (2001), pp. 681–685.