AN IN SITU STUDY OF RECONSTRUCTED GOLD ELECTRODE SURFACES
BY SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION
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Second harmonic generation (SHG) was employed to monitor in situ the potential-induced reconstruction of Au(111) and Au(100) electrodes. Rotating the sample by 360° about the surface normal yields for the unreconstructed Au(111)-(1 x 1) SW-face the well-known threefold symmetry pattern in the SHG intensity, while Au(100)-(1 x 1) shows no rotational anisotropy, as expected for C2v symmetry. For the reconstructed Au(111)-(1 x 23) surface, however, an additional onefold symmetry pattern is observed, which allows in situ monitoring of the structural transition between (1 x 1) and (1 x 23). For the reconstructed Au(100)-(5 x 20) surface, a threefold symmetry pattern was found.

1. Introduction

Structure determinations for electrode surfaces, preferably in situ and on a microscopic level, are still one of the most important challenges in physical electrochemistry [1]. A number of techniques are now available for the study of surfaces in an electrochemical cell, including electroreflectance spectroscopy in the VIS–near UV range [2], EXAFS [3] or grazing incidence X-ray diffraction [4] and scanning tunneling microscopy [5,6], all of which have advanced our knowledge on electrode-surface structures and their change with electrochemical manipulation. For the study of surface symmetries, which becomes increasingly important with the use of single-crystal material in electrochemistry and which plays a crucial role in surface-reconstruction effects, a truly structure-sensitive method for routine use, like for example LEED in UHV studies, is not yet available, because ordinary (linear) optical spectroscopies such as electroreflectance lack structure sensitivity, while the more structure-specific X-ray techniques are still prohibitively elaborate for ordinary applications, as they require synchrotron radiation. In this respect, second harmonic generation (SHG) shows promise for the in situ investigation of single-crystal surface structures. In a pioneering study, Richmond et al. have demonstrated that the threefold symmetry of a Ag(111) surface can be clearly detected in situ by measuring the rotational anisotropy of its SHG signal [7]. This is done by recording the SHG intensity as a function of azimuthal angle as the sample is rotated about its surface normal. For a (111) surface of an fcc metal and for p-polarization three peaks, each 120° apart, are found [7,8]. Analogous studies using linear optics revealed the twofold symmetry of a Ag(110) surface [9] but not the threefold symmetry of Ag(111), as linear spectroscopy can only detect rotational symmetries of C1 or C2. The apparently higher structure sensitivity of nonlinear optical effects could make SHG a powerful tool for in situ studies, especially in the case of surface reconstruction, where the surface atoms of a solid rearrange themselves in a structure different from that expected from a mere termination of the bulk.

Recently we have focused our attention on the reconstruction of the three low-index faces of gold single crystals [10–12], which are known to reconstruct in UHV when they are clean. By applying a wide va-
riety of techniques ranging from classical electrochemical methods, such as cyclic voltammetry, to electroreflectance spectroscopy and ex situ electron diffraction (LEED and RHEED), we have established that reconstructed gold surfaces are stable in contact with an aqueous solution, if certain potential requirements are met [10-12]. Furthermore, for Au(100) and Au(111) a potential-induced reconstruction was observed, i.e. after the reconstruction was lifted by the adsorption of anions, the reconstructed surface could be regenerated simply by applying appropriate electrode potentials [11,12].

These surfaces are, therefore, ideally suited to test the structure sensitivity of SHG. In the following, we present an in situ SHG investigation of gold electrodes, which shows the influence of surface reconstruction on the rotational anisotropy and demonstrates the power of SHG in assessing the stability range of reconstructed surfaces and the kinetics of structural transitions.

2. Experimental

The experimental apparatus used in this study consisted of a standard SHG arrangement [13] and conventional electrochemical equipment. The two gold electrodes, single-crystal discs 8 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick with surface orientations of (111) and (100), were initially prepared by mechanical polishing and electropolishing and then repeatedly subjected to flame treatment [14], where the electrode is held in a Bunsen flame at 800°C for about 3 s and subsequently quenched in triply distilled water. This flame treatment, which was carried out on the gold electrode before each SHG measurement, has been shown to yield reconstructed surfaces of high quality for Au(111) and Au(100) [10]. In order to maintain surface reconstruction in the electrochemical cell, the electrode had to be immersed under potentiostatic control with a fairly negative potential applied (e.g. -0.4 V versus SCE for Au(100)- (5x20)). The electrolytes, aqueous solutions of 0.01 M H₂SO₄ and 0.01 M HClO₄ made from Merck suprapure chemicals and triply distilled water, were deaerated with purified nitrogen. All potentials are quoted with respect to the saturated calomel electrode (SCE). High quality polarization rotators and polarizers determined the experimental polarization conditions (p/p, s/s or p/s) for incident (ω) and reflected (2ω) beams. In order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio, a gated integrator with a time constant of 8 s was used. The Nd-YAG laser producing 10 ns pulses with an energy of 20 mJ at a repetition rate of 10 Hz was slightly focused onto the probe at an angle of incidence of 45° leading to an illuminated area of about 1 mm². The rotational anisotropy of the SHG intensity was monitored by rotating the gold electrode about its surface normal in the electrochemical cell for fixed polarization conditions for the incident fundamental (1064 nm) and generated second harmonic (532 nm) beam.

3. Results

3.1. The Au(111) surface

In fig. 1, the rotational anisotropy of Au(111) at two different electrode potentials is shown. Curve (a) corresponds to a freshly prepared (flame-treated) surface which was immersed at -0.4 V versus SCE, and the SHG measurement performed at -0.1 V versus SCE. Under such conditions, the Au(111) surface is reconstructed into the (1x23) structure, where the surface atoms show a 4% compression in the [110] direction. Curve (b), on the other hand, has been recorded after the potential was scanned to U = +0.7 V versus SCE, where anion adsorption has lifted the reconstruction [10]. While in the latter case the threefold symmetry of the unreconstructed Au(111)- (1x1) surface is clearly reflected in its rotational anisotropy exhibiting six intensity maxima of about equal height, the corresponding pattern for the Au(111)- (1x23) surface (curve (a)) is distinctly different. A pronounced onefold symmetry pattern is superimposed onto the threefold one, with two dominant peaks at 90° and 270° and the other four peaks markedly reduced in intensity (note that the SHG pattern of fig. 1a represents a mixture of onefold and threefold symmetry elements: \( I_{\text{SHG}} \approx (a \cos \phi + b \cos 3\phi)^2 \)). Comparison of curves (a) and (b) in fig. 1 demonstrates that the surface structural transition between (1x1) and (1x23) is clearly seen in the rotational anisotropy of the SHG signal, although there is only a 4% compression of
Fig. 1. Second harmonic intensity as a function of angle of rotation for Au(111) in 0.01 M HClO₄ at (a) -0.1 V and (b) +0.7 V versus SCE. s-polarized excitation and s-polarized SHG detection in both cases. For illustration purposes the calculated polar plots of the rotational anisotropies for s/s-polarization of a threefold-symmetry surface (a) with and (b) without a onefold symmetry superimposed are also shown.

the top layer in the latter case. This enables us to monitor in situ the structural transition by recording the SHG intensity at either 90° or 270° azimuthal angle as a function of electrode potential. The potential dependence of the SHG signal at 90° (fig. 2) reveals that in 0.01 M HClO₄ the onefold symmetry pattern rapidly decreases for potentials more positive than +0.4 V, indicating that the (1×23) reconstruction is stable only up to that value, whereas no cathodic limit for the stability range of the (1×23) structure was found. For U > +0.7 V, the (1×23) → (1×1) transition is completed and the Au(111) surface is now unreconstructed. Scanning the electrode potential back in the reverse direction shows that this structural transition is fully reversible, as the reappearance of the onefold symmetry indicates restoring of the (1×23) structure at negative surface charges (note that the potential of zero charge for Au(111) is around +0.3 V versus SCE [10]).

In order to gain some insight into the transition kinetics, potential steps between -0.2 and +0.8 V versus SCE were employed, and the change in SHG intensity at an azimuthal angle of 90° followed as a function of time. The transient response shows first-order kinetics for both cathodic and anodic potential steps (see insert in fig. 2). While for the lifting of the (1×23) reconstruction (anodic step) a decay time τ₁/₂=22.7 s was derived, the subsequent (1×1) → (1×23) transition (cathodic step) was found to be even slightly faster with τ₁/₂=15.3 s.

3.2. The Au(100) surface

Previous studies of Au(100) electrodes with LEED and RHEED have shown that the reconstructed Au(100)-(5×20) surface is stable only in a potential range where no anion adsorption takes place [10]. The latter removes reconstruction, i.e. in the presence of adsorbed anions the Au(100) surface is transformed into the (1×1) structure. However, at negative potentials (e.g. at -0.4 V versus SCE), the (5×20) structure is regenerated at room temperature due to a potential-induced reconstruction [11]. In order to test the structure sensitivity of SHG in
the case of Au(100), the rotational anisotropy was measured for reconstructed and unreconstructed Au(100) electrodes in 0.01 M HClO₄. In fig. 3a a rotational anisotropy of a freshly prepared Au(100)-(5x20) surface at \( U = -0.1 \) V is shown for p-polarized excitation and p-polarized SHG detection. Three intensity maxima, about 120° apart, are clearly seen for the reconstructed surface. Scanning the potential up to +0.9 V causes the reconstruction to disappear due to the specific adsorption of sulfate ions, and indeed, the three peaks ascribed to the (5x20) structure are no longer visible (curve (b)). (The intensity variation which is still seen in curve (b) is due to an imperfect alignment of the gold sample causing the surface to wobble during rotation. For the elimination of such effects in the measurement of the reconstructed surface, curve (b) was subtracted from curve (a); see insert in fig. 3.) Stepping the potential back to -0.2 V and waiting for several minutes causes the threefold intensity pattern to reappear, which strongly suggests that the Au(100) surface is reconstructed again under such conditions, in full agreement with earlier observations [11,12].

4. Discussion

The above results for Au demonstrate the great sensitivity of SHG to the structure of the topmost layer. Thus, surface reconstruction effects are readily seen in the rotational anisotropy. For a centrosymmetric solid such as Au, SHG from the bulk vanishes in the dipole approximation [15], whereas for its surface, significant isotropic and anisotropic SHG intensities may be obtained [8,16], which are described by a susceptibility tensor of third rank:

\[
p(r,t) = \chi^{(2)} E(r,t) E^*(r,t)
\]

The symmetry of a surface is reflected by the analogous symmetry of the corresponding \( \chi^{(2)} \) tensor, and an analysis of this tensor upon azimuthal rotation reveals that at most a threefold symmetry can be resolved using SHG [17]. The six maxima in the SHG intensity as a function of angle of rotation for s/p polarization conditions, which are found for the unreconstructed Au(111) surface (fig. 1b), reflect the well-known \( C_{3v} \) symmetry of this surface. The observation of a threefold symmetry in the SHG experiment for a hexagonal close packed layer implies that – similar to LEED – the second layer has to be involved in the symmetry considerations for the surface [17]. Reconstruction of the Au(111) surface into \( (1 \times 23) \), which represents a hexagonal close packed structure with an uniaxial compression of about 4% in the [110] direction, leads to a \( C_{6h} \) symmetry superimposed onto the \( C_{3v} \) symmetry. The \( (1 \times 23) \) superstructure alone is expected to have \( C_{3v} \) symmetry, but taking the second layer of Au(111) into account reduces the symmetry for the reconstructed surface to \( C_{6h} \). Strong support for the \( C_{6h} \) symmetry of Au(111)-(1 \times 23) is also obtained from the observation of a combination of one-, two- and three-fold symmetry patterns, which are found under p/p polarization conditions. A more detailed discussion of the SHG tensor in the case of \( (1 \times 23) \) reconstruction will be given elsewhere [18].

The very pronounced onefold symmetry of the \( (1 \times 23) \) structure is a striking observation, in view
domains are not equally distributed, but have a preference reduced significantly. On the other hand, SHG is two atomic layers into account does a Csv symmetry we are led to the conclusion that the various three elements of an inversion symmetry and, hence, the SHG intensity for the Au(111)-(1×1) surface may be reduced significantly. On the other hand, SHG is fully allowed for the reconstructed top layer, and consequently, the (1×23) structure with its low rotational symmetry can give rise to a comparatively large SHG signal. Similarly, Heinz et al. [19] have clearly seen in SHG the (2×1) reconstruction of Si(111) and they were able to monitor the thermally-induced (2×1)→(7×7) transition under UHV conditions. Since the (2×1) surface consists – like the (1×23) surface of Au(111) – of domains distributed among the three [211] directions, we conclude that it is indeed possible to detect symmetry changes by SHG because of unequal distribution of domains.

Similar to Au(111), the surface reconstruction of Au(100) can also be seen in SHG experiments. In the latter case, the reconstructed surface consists of a slightly buckled hexagonal close packed top layer, which gives rise to a rather complicated (5×20)-LEED pattern [20]. While for the unreconstructed Au(100) surface with its C4v symmetry the SHG intensity should not show any variation with sample rotation (if a variation of the SHG signal with angle of rotation is observed, it is caused by sample misalignment, see fig. 3b), a threefold symmetry pattern is clearly observed for the reconstructed Au(100) surface under p/p-polarization conditions. We tentatively suggest that this complicated superstructure contains elements of a threefold symmetry, in addition to others which are not readily discernible in this type of measurement. Further experiments are in progress to firmly establish the symmetry properties of the χ(2) tensor in the case of Au(100)-(5×20).

5. Conclusions

Surface reconstruction of Au was used to demonstrate the power of SHG for in situ structural studies and for monitoring electrochemically induced changes in surface structures. For the reconstructed Au(111)-(1×23) surface, a pronounced onefold symmetry pattern was observed in the SHG intensity as a function of angle of rotation which allows one to investigate in great detail surface-structural transitions. In 0.01 M HClO4 the (1×23) structure was found to be stable up to +0.4 V versus SCE, while at more positive potentials anion adsorption causes the reconstruction to disappear. Negative charging of the gold surface fully restores the (1×23) structure. Both transitions, adsorbate-induced lifting and potential-induced restoration of the (1×23) structure, follow first-order kinetics with decay times on the order of 15 to 20 s. In similar experiments, the anion-induced lifting of the Au(100)-(5×20) structure and the potential-induced reconstruction of Au(100)-(1×1) were studied by SHG, confirming earlier results obtained by electroreflectance and LEED measurements [10–12].

References