Sperm Sensory Signaling
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Fertilization is exceptionally complex and, depending on the species, happens in entirely different environments. External fertilizers in aquatic habitats, like marine invertebrates or fish, release their gametes into the seawater or freshwater, whereas sperm from most internal fertilizers like mammals cross the female genital tract to make their way to the egg. Various chemical and physical cues guide sperm to the egg. Quite generally, these cues enable signaling pathways that ultimately evoke a cellular Ca²⁺ response that modulates the waveform of the flagellar beat and, hence, the swimming path. To cope with the panoply of challenges to reach and fertilize the egg, sperm from different species have developed their own unique repertoire of signaling molecules and mechanisms. Here, we review the differences and commonalities for sperm sensory signaling in marine invertebrates (sea urchin), fish (zebrafish), and mammals (mouse, human).

Sperm carry a motile hair-like protrusion—called flagellum—that extends from the head. The flagellum serves both as sensory antenna and propelling motor. Sperm flagella and motile cilia share a similar 9 + 2 axoneme structure. The sperm cell is propelled by bending waves traveling down the flagellum. For steering, sperm modulate the asymmetry of the flagellar waveform. Sperm motility has been mostly studied in two dimensions (2D) at the glass/water interface of shallow observation chambers (Bohmer et al. 2005; Alvarez et al. 2012). When the flagellum beats symmetrical with respect to the long axis of the ellipsoid-shaped head, sperm move on a straight path; when the flagellum beats more asymmetrical, the swimming path is curved. If unrestricted, sperm from several species swim on helical paths or chiral ribbons (Crenshaw 1990, 1993a,b; Crenshaw and Edelstein-Keshet 1993; Corkidi et al. 2008; Su et al. 2012; Jikeli et al. 2015).

Various chemical and physical cues guide sperm to the egg. Quite generally, these cues enable signaling pathways that ultimately evoke a cellular Ca²⁺ response that in turn modulates the waveform of the flagellar beat and hence the swimming path (Bohmer et al. 2005; Wood et al. 2005; Shiba et al. 2008). Four different mechanisms have been identified that guide sperm to the egg: chemotaxis, haptotaxis, thermotaxis, and rheotaxis (Box 1).

Chemotaxis has been firmly established in marine invertebrates, notably in sperm from the sea urchin Arbacia punctulata (Fig. 1A). The
corresponding chemoattractant and the sequence of signaling events have been identified. The signaling pathway endows *Arbacia* sperm with exquisite sensitivity: they can register binding of a single chemoattractant molecule and transduce this event into a cellular Ca\(^{2+}\) response. Moreover, the navigation strategy in 2D and 3D chemoattractant gradients has been deciphered (Bohmer et al. 2005; Alvarez et al. 2012; Jikeli et al. 2015). In a 2D gradient, sperm swim on looping trajectories; in a 3D gradient, the swimming helix bends to align with the attractant gradient (“on response”). If sperm happen to swim down the gradient, the mean stimulus level decreases and sperm respond with a strong correcting turn toward higher attractant concentrations (“off response”) (Jikeli et al. 2015).

Rheotaxis refers to the directed movement of cells against a fluid flow. It is a mechanical sense because cells register a gradient of flow velocities. Because freely swimming sperm rotate around the longitudinal axis of the flagellum, mouse sperm are exposed to different flow velocities along their length.

Haptotaxis refers to the directed movement of cells on a surface that is covered with tethered chemoattractant molecules that form a chemical density gradient. Haptotaxis could occur on the surface of fish eggs and the epithelial layer of the fallopian tube.

Thermotaxis refers to directed movement in a temperature gradient. In one concept, sperm “tumble” by hyperactivation followed by straighter and faster swimming periods up the temperature gradient, similar to the tumble-and-run mechanism of bacteria during chemotaxis.

**BOX 1**

Chemotaxis refers to directed movement of cells in a gradient of a chemical substance. When sperm probe a chemical gradient along a circular or helical path, the spatial gradient is translated into a temporal stimulus pattern, which elicits periodic steering responses. This stimulus pattern is composed of a fast periodic component, which results from the helical or circular swimming path, and a mean stimulus component that increases or decreases when swimming up or down the gradient, respectively. During 3D navigation, the torsion of the helical 3D path is in phase (ϕ = 0°) and the path curvature is in antiphase (ϕ = 180°) with respect to the fast periodic stimulus component; the helix continuously bends to align with the attractant gradient (“on response”). If sperm happen to swim down the gradient, the mean stimulus level decreases and sperm respond with a strong correcting turn toward higher attractant concentrations (“off response”) (Jikeli et al. 2015).

Rheotaxis refers to the directed movement of cells against a fluid flow. It is a mechanical sense because cells register a gradient of flow velocities. Because freely swimming sperm rotate around the longitudinal axis of the flagellum, mouse sperm are exposed to different flow velocities along their length.

Haptotaxis refers to the directed movement of cells on a surface that is covered with tethered chemoattractant molecules that form a chemical density gradient. Haptotaxis could occur on the surface of fish eggs and the epithelial layer of the fallopian tube.

Thermotaxis refers to directed movement in a temperature gradient. In one concept, sperm “tumble” by hyperactivation followed by straighter and faster swimming periods up the temperature gradient, similar to the tumble-and-run mechanism of bacteria during chemotaxis.

In mammals, chemotaxis, rheotaxis, and thermotaxis have been proposed as guiding mechanisms (Bretherton and Rothschild 1961; Bahat et al. 2003, 2012; Eisenbach and Giojalas 2006; Miki and Clapham 2013; Kantsler et al. 2014; Bukatin et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2016). Neither one of these mechanisms nor their respective contribution to sperm guidance across the long and narrow oviduct is well understood (Fig. 1C). This ignorance is a result of the technical difficulties to emulate the complex native conditions encountered by mammalian sperm during fertilization. On their journey to the egg, mammalian sperm undergo two processes—capacitation and hyperactivation—necessary to acquire the potential...
to fertilize the egg (Suarez et al. 1993; Suarez 2008). At any given time, only a small fraction of sperm is capacitated or hyperactive; therefore, mammalian sperm populations are heterogeneous. Moreover, mammalian sperm are spatially constrained in the convoluted female reproductive tract and interact with the ciliated epithelial layer that lines the oviduct. Notwithstanding this complexity, major advances have been achieved identifying the signaling molecules and delineating the signaling events that encode Ca\(^{2+}\) responses.

Figure 1. Fertilization in marine invertebrates, fish, and mammals. (A) In many marine invertebrates, sperm are attracted by chemotaxis (blue gradient); sperm can elicit the acrosomal process and fertilize the egg at any site of the egg surface. (B) Fish sperm are probably not attracted from afar by chemoattractants. Instead, sperm navigate on the egg surface to a small opening, the micropyle. The mechanisms and molecules underlying directed movement on the egg surface are not known. (C) Mammalian sperm are guided by several mechanisms across the female genital tract. The specifics and underlying molecules are discussed.
In this review, we discuss what is known about signaling pathways that control sperm sensory signaling in sea urchin, zebrafish, and in mammals.

SIGNALING IN SEA URCHIN SPERM AND OTHER MARINE INVERTEBRATES

Overview

Oocytes from the sea urchin *A. punctulata* release a short, species-specific chemoattractant peptide (resact, 14 amino acids in length). The chemoattractant binds to a receptor guanylate cyclase (GC) and thereby stimulates the synthesis of cGMP (Fig. 2A). In turn, cGMP opens K\(^{+}\)-selective cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNGK) channels. The ensuing hyperpolarization—the resting potential \(V_{\text{rest}}\) is \(\approx -50\) mV—activates two other signaling components: a voltage-gated sodium/proton exchanger (sNHE) and a hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel. sNHE activity causes a small intracellular alkalization that shifts the voltage dependence of the sperm-specific Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (CatSper, cation channel of sperm) to more negative values and thereby “primes” CatSper to open during the return of \(V_{m}\) to resting value. This return is initiated by the HCN channel that carries an Na\(^{+}\) inward current. Recovery from the Ca\(^{2+}\) response is accomplished by a sodium/calcium/potassium exchanger (NCKX) and a plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase (PMCA) that restore Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, and a phosphodiesterase (PDE) that breaks down cGMP.

How common is this chemotactic signaling pathway? Sperm of the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* harbor a similar cGMP-signaling pathway; however, in shallow recording chambers frequently used for the study of chemotactic behavior under the microscope, *S. purpuratus* sperm do not display chemotaxis (Guerrero et al. 2010). Presumably, the geometric conditions under which 2D chemotaxis can be observed are severely restricted for *S. purpuratus* sperm. Sperm of the sea star *Asterias amurensis* also use a peptide as chemoattractant, a GC as chemoreceptor (Nishigaki et al. 1996; Matsumoto et al. 2003), and binding of the chemoattractant elicits a rapid transient increase of cGMP that evokes a Ca\(^{2+}\) response (Matsumoto et al. 2003). *Arbacia* and *Strongylocentrotus* diverged 200 million years ago, whereas the split between asteroids (seastars) and echinoids (sea urchins) occurred approximately 500 million years ago. Thus, the cGMP-signaling pathway has been conserved for at least 500 million years in many marine invertebrates across several phyla. In the following, the signaling components are discussed in more detail.

THE CHEMORECEPTOR GUANYLATE CYCLASE

The chemoreceptor GC is composed of three functional domains (Potter 2011): an extracellular domain binds the chemoattractant peptide resact, an intracellular catalytic domain synthesizes cGMP, and a single transmembrane domain connects the binding and catalytic domain and relays the binding event to the cell interior (Fig. 2A). The oligomeric structure of the GC is not known. Mammalian orthologs exist either as dimers or trimers (Wilson and Chinkers 1995; Yu et al. 1999; Vaandrager 2002; Ogawa et al. 2004). The overall chemotactic sensitivity relies on a high efficacy to capture the chemoattractant; the efficacy is maximal if every molecule that hits the flagellum binds to a receptor and activates it. The receptor density and affinity determine the capture efficacy. The flagellum harbors about 300,000 GC copies at a density of 9500 GC molecules/m\(^2\) (Pichlo et al. 2014). In fact, the GC rivals with rhodopsin in photoreceptors (\(\approx 26,000–45,000\) rhodopsin molecules/m\(^2\)) as one of the most densely packed membrane receptors (Fotiadiis et al. 2003; Gunkel et al. 2015). At very low receptor occupancy, the binding affinity of the GC is in the picomolar range (\(K_{1/2} = 90\) pM). Thus, high capture efficacy is achieved by combining an extraordinary high GC density and ligand affinity. At higher occupancy, the GC affinity is lower (\(K_{1/2} = 0.65\) nM). In fact, chemoattractant binding spans six orders of magnitude; the broad operational range might involve negative cooperation among GC subunits, a negative cellular feedback, or a combination of both. The affinity adjustment ensures that, at high chemo-
Figure 2. Signaling pathway in sea urchin sperm. (A) The guanylate cyclase (GC) serves as the receptor for the chemotactrant resact. Resact binding activates the GC, resulting in cGMP synthesis. cGMP activates the $K^+$-selective cyclic nucleotide-gated channel (CNGK). Opening of CNGK hyperpolarizes the cell and activates a hyperpolarization-activated and cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel and a sperm-specific voltage-dependent $Na^+ / H^+$ exchanger (sNHE). Opening of HCN channels restores the resting potential, whereas activation of sNHE increases the intracellular pH. Both events activate the principal $Ca^{2+}$ channel CatSper, leading to a $Ca^{2+}$ influx. The $Ca^{2+}$ levels are restored by $Ca^{2+}$ extrusion through a $Na^+ / Ca^{2+} / K^+$ exchanger (NCKX) and a $Ca^{2+}$ ATPase PMCA, whereas cGMP is hydrolyzed by a phosphodiesterase (PDE). On resact binding, sea urchin sperm not only synthesize cGMP but also cAMP, probably through activation of the soluble adenylate cyclase (SACY), which, at least in mouse sperm, forms a complex with sNHE. One known target for cAMP is the HCN channel, whose voltage-dependent opening is modulated by cAMP. (B) Schematic distribution of GC receptors and CNGK channel on the flagellum. The GC (gray) and CNGK (green) densities are drawn to scale (ratio GC dimer/CNGK is 10:1). The cGMP gradient is depicted in shades of magenta.
attractant concentrations prevailing near the egg, vacant receptors are still available.

The turnover number of active GC (GC') is 72 cGMP molecules/(GC' /second (Pichlo et al. 2014). GC' activity ceases within 150 ms probably by autodephosphorylation: at rest, six conserved serine residues carry phosphate groups that are removed on chemoattractant binding (Pichlo et al. 2014). GC' inactivation by multistage autodephosphorylation might allow for precise lifetime control, which could produce uniform Ca^{2+} responses and thereby reduce “molecule noise,” which limits the precision of gradient sensing. A precedent for such a mechanism is the visual pigment rhodopsin: stepwise inactivation by two phosphorylation reactions and “capping” of phosphorylated rhodopsin by arrestin, a stop protein, has been proposed to control its lifetime and thereby reduce photon noise (Whitlock and Lamb 1999; Mendez et al. 2000; Doan et al. 2006). However, uniform single-photon responses also involve other mechanisms (Bisegna et al. 2008; Caruso et al. 2011; Gross et al. 2012a,b).

THE CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE-GATED K^+ CHANNEL

The first electrical event in sea urchin sperm is a chemoattractant-induced hyperpolarization mediated by a cyclic nucleotide-gated K^+ channel (CNGK). The CNGK is unique compared with classic CNG channels (Bönigk et al. 2009; Cukkemane et al. 2011). Like voltage-activated Ca_2_ and Na_+ channels, the large pore-forming polypeptide consists of four homologous repeats; each repeat carries the prototypical GYGD pore motif of K^+ channels and a cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD). The CNGK channel can respond to small changes in cGMP, because it is exquisitely sensitive to cGMP (K_{1/2} = 20 nM) and is activated in a noncooperative fashion. Disabling each of the four CNBDs through mutagenesis revealed that binding of a single cGMP molecule to the third repeat is necessary and sufficient to activate the channel (Bönigk et al. 2009). The other three CNBD domains either do not bind cGMP or fail to gate the channel pore. Thus, CNGK has developed a noncooperative mechanism of activation that is different from the activation of CNG channels in photoreceptors and olfactory neurons that require the cooperative binding of several ligands (Biskup et al. 2007) and that operate in the micromolar rather than the nanomolar range of cAMP or cGMP concentrations (Kaupp and Seifert 2002).

A SPERM-SPECIFIC SODIUM/PROTON EXCHANGER (sNHE)

Perhaps one of the most enigmatic signaling events is a sodium/proton exchange. As little as we know, in sea urchin sperm, exchange of Na^+ against H^+ is electroneutral and its activity is controlled by voltage (Lee 1984a,b, 1985; Lee and Garbers 1986). The exchange is thought to be catalyzed by members of a sperm-specific subfamily of solute carriers (SLC9C1 or sNHE) that are structurally unique. They share with other NHEs a membrane-spanning exchange domain that features at least 12 transmembrane segments (Wang et al. 2003). Unlike any other SLC family members, sNHE harbors a voltage-sensor domain (VSD) similar to voltage-gated K^+-, Na^+-, and Ca^{2+} channels. Moreover, sNHE carry a CNBD similar to those in CNG and HCN channels. The presence of a VSD and a CNBD domain is both intriguing and presumably meaningful. However, sNHE molecules have not been functionally expressed. Therefore, the functions of these domains are unknown, even more so as some channels that harbor a VSD are not gated by voltage (e.g., CNG channels) and some CNG channels are not gated by cyclic nucleotides (Breidtze et al. 2013; Carlson et al. 2013; Haitin et al. 2013; Fechner et al. 2015). Considering the exquisite pH sensitivity of several signaling molecules, pH_i homeostasis by sodium/proton exchange is an important research area for future work.

HCN CHANNEL

Two HCN channel isoforms, SpHCN1 and SpHCN2, have been identified in S. purpuratus (Gauss et al. 1998; Galindo et al. 2005). SpHCN1 (originally called SpIH) (Gauss et al. 1998) has been functionally characterized in a
mammalian cell line bathed in normal Ringer solution. The ionic strength and composition of seawater and Ringer solution are entirely different. Moreover, whether the two orthologs form heteromers is not known. Thus, the physiological properties of the native HCN channel might be distinctively different from those of heterologously expressed SpHCN1. Notwithstanding, SpHCN1 shares several basic properties with mammalian HCN channels in neurons and the heart. HCN channels become activated when the membrane is hyperpolarized (i.e., at $V_m$ more negative than $\approx -0$ mV); their activity is enhanced by cAMP; and their permeability is three- to fourfold larger for $K^+$ than for $Na^+$ ions. Therefore, under physiological conditions ($V_m > -30$ mV; high $Na^+$ outside, high $K^+$ inside), HCN channels carry a depolarizing inward $Na^+$ current.

HCN channels may serve multiple functions in sea urchin sperm. First, they probably contribute to the unusually low $V_{\text{rest}}$ together with another ion channel with different ion selectivity (Fig. 3). There is a precedent in rod photoreceptors, which hyperpolarize on light stimulation. Two channels set $V_{\text{rest}}$ ($\approx -240$ mV): a $K^+$ channel in the inner segment (reversal potential $V_{\text{rev}} = -275$ mV) and a nonselective cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channel in the outer segment ($V_{\text{rev}} = 0$ mV). Therefore, under physiological conditions ($V_m > -30$ mV in Ringer solution) and the CNGK channel ($V_{\text{rev}} = -90$ mV) or another $K^+$ channel could set $V_{\text{rest}}$ at $\approx -50$ mV (Fig. 3). In fact, a fraction of SpHCN1 channels is constitutively open at rest (Gauss et al. 1998).

Second, HCN channels carry an inward $Na^+$ current and thus counter the hyperpolarization. In retinal rods, HCN channels also repolarize the cell quickly in bright light and prevent $V_m$ from reaching the $K^+$ equilibrium potential $E_K$.

Third, sperm HCN channels are set apart from their mammalian cousins by two unique properties. SpHCN1, after a hyperpolarizing voltage step, first activates and then inactivates. cAMP removes the inactivation and consequently enhances the open probability $P_o$ (Fig. 3). In contrast, mammalian HCN channels do not inactivate and cAMP shifts the $P_o - V_m$ relation to less negative potentials without affecting much the maximal current. Because of the exquisite cAMP sensitivity ($K_1/2 = 0.75 \mu M$) and the large effect of cAMP on $P_o$, modulation of sperm HCN channels by cAMP might control the sensitivity of sperm, that is, contribute to adaptation.

Finally, HCN channels are often referred to as pacemakers, because they control rhythmic electrical activity in neurons and cardiac myocytes. HCN channels may serve a similar function in sperm. The periodic swimming path temporally organizes the stimulus pattern that is perceived by sperm. The ensuing periodic stimulation pattern entrains $Ca^{2+}$ oscillations (Böhmer et al. 2005). Future studies need to examine whether HCN channels are important for pacing $Ca^{2+}$ oscillations in sperm.

**CatSper CHANNEL**

CatSper is one of the most complex voltage-gated ion channels. Like in mammalian sperm, the CatSper channel in *A. punctulata* comprises four homologous $\alpha$ subunits (CatSper 1–4).
and at least three auxiliary subunits: CatSper β, CatSper γ, and CatSper δ (Seifert et al. 2015). At rest, CatSper is closed and is activated by a “switch-like” mechanism that involves two steps. The alkalization by sNHE shifts the voltage dependence to more negative values; the pH dependence of this cooperative shift is exceptionally steep (Hill coefficient of about 11) (Seifert et al. 2015). During recovery from hyperpolarization, CatSper opens (Fig. 2A). The high cooperativity permits CatSper to transduce the minute elementary changes in pH_i and V_m into a Ca^{2+} response.

**ADENYLATE CYCLASE AND cAMP—IN SEARCH OF A FUNCTION**

In contrast to cGMP, much less is known about the regulation and function of cAMP. Stimulation with resact evokes a rise of cAMP that is delayed with respect to the increase of cGMP (Kaupp et al. 2003). The synthesis of cAMP, presumably by a soluble adenylate cyclase (SACY) (Nomura et al. 2005), is enhanced under hyperpolarizing conditions (Beltrán et al. 1996) and alkaline pH_i (Cook and Babcock 1993), but is insensitive to Ca^{2+} (Nomura et al. 2005). Each of these properties is at odds with those of other SACYs, which are activated by bicarbonate and Ca^{2+} (Chen et al. 2000; Jaiswal and Conti 2003; Steegborn et al. 2005; Kleinboelting et al. 2014). Either the SACY in sperm of sea urchin mammals are entirely different, or a different membrane-spanning AC is involved in sea urchins. Protein kinase A (PKA) in mammalian sperm represents a sperm-specific isoform that is different from that in somatic cells (Nolan et al. 2004; Burton and McKnight 2007).

**MOLECULES FOR RECOVERY**

Although the signaling events that excite sea urchin sperm have been delineated in great detail, much less is known about recovery and adaptation. For recovery from chemoattractant stimulation cGMP, cAMP, pH_i, [Ca^{2+}];_i, and V_m must return to baseline levels. The elevated cGMP level is probably lowered by a cGMP-specific PDE 5 (Su and Vacquier 2006). The molecules that reestablish resting pH_i are not known. Ca^{2+} levels return to baseline owing to the activity of a sodium/calcium/potassium exchanger (NCKX) (Su and Vacquier 2002), and a Ca^{2+}-ATPase in the plasma membrane (PMCA) (Gunaratne et al. 2006). How these molecules are regulated is not known (Fig. 2A).

**SINGLE-MOLECULE SENSITIVITY BY THE NUMBERS**

Several mechanisms have been proposed that explain ultrasensitivity in cells. These concepts largely originate from the study of signaling in photoreceptors, olfactory neurons, and bacteria. Mechanisms include (1) lattices of highly cooperative chemoreceptors in bacteria (Maddock and Shapiro 1993; Bray et al. 1998; Duke and Bray 1999; Gestwicki and Kiessling 2002; Sourjik and Berg 2004); (2) high multistage gain provided by a cascade of enzymatic reactions in photoreceptors (Pugh and Lamb 2000; Yau and Hardie 2009; Kaupp 2010); (3) local signaling by supramolecular complexes (transducisome or signalosome) (Huber et al. 1996; Tsunoda et al. 1997; Scott and Zuker 1998); and (4) restricted diffusion of chemical messengers in confined cellular subcompartments (Rich et al. 2000, 2001).

As will become clear, sperm use different mechanisms to achieve single-molecule sensitivity. First, sperm do not rely on arrays of receptor clusters that display positive cooperativity, although the GC may adopt a supramolecular organization that, however, serves other functions.

Second, amplification at the receptor level is orders of magnitude lower in sperm compared with rod photoreceptors. Rods also entertain a cGMP-signaling pathway that endows these cells with single-photon sensitivity. Capture of a photon initiates the hydrolysis of 2000 to 72,000 cGMP molecules, depending on the species, by two-stage amplification (Pugh and Lamb 2000; Burns and Pugh 2010; Arshavsky and Burns 2014). For sperm, a lower and upper limit of the number of cGMP molecules involved in single-molecule events has been estimated by two different methods. During its life-
time, a GC\(^+\) synthesizes \(~11\) cGMP molecules (Pichlo et al. 2014). Using fluorescent caged cGMP, the number of cGMP molecules required for a single-molecule response was estimated to be about \(47\) cGMP molecules (Bönigk et al. 2009). Both estimates are fraught with uncertainties. The turnover rate of cGMP synthesis was determined at relatively high chemoattractant concentrations and has been linearly extrapolated to the single-molecule regime. However, the catalytic turnover might depend on the occupation level of the GC. For example, if the GC exists as a dimer and if each subunit binds a resact molecule (stoichiometry 2:2), the turnover of single- or double-occupied receptors might be different. Finally, it is assumed that the concentration of membrane-permeant caged cGMP has completely equilibrated across the membrane. Apart from these uncertainties, cGMP amplification in rods is about 600-fold larger than in sperm. Nonetheless, rod and sperm operate at a similar level of sensitivity: binding of a molecule to sperm or capture of a photon by rods each evokes a \(D\) \(V_m\) of \(1–2\) mV (Pugh and Lamb 2000; Strünker et al. 2006). Taking into account a volume ratio \(V_{\text{rod}}/V_{\text{flagellum}}\) of \(80/2\) fl = 40:1, the change in cGMP concentration per unit volume is only \(~15\) larger in rods compared with sperm.

Third, it has been argued that second-messenger concentrations steeply decay from the site of synthesis (Rich et al. 2000, 2001), which calls either for a signaling complex between receptors and downstream targets or for restricted diffusion in subcellular compartments channeling the messenger to the target. In order for a GC–CNGK complex to be effective, receptor (GC) and target (CNGK channel) ought to be present stoichiometrically, whereas, in fact, the GC is \(~24\)-fold more abundant than the CNGK (Pichlo et al. 2014). Thus, a “transducisome” between GC and CNGK is unlikely to contribute to single-molecule sensitivity of the sea urchin sperm.

The impact of cGMP diffusion from a point source at the membrane in a small cylindrical compartment like the flagellum was assessed (Pichlo et al. 2014). Within \(~15\) \(\mu\)s, the cGMP concentration equilibrates across the flagellar diameter and, within \(~100\) ms, it equilibrates along the length of the flagellum. In a \(155\)-nm-long segment of the flagellum, neglecting cGMP binding to high-affinity buffers or hydrolysis by PDEs, the cGMP transiently increases to micromolar concentrations that saturate any nearby CNGK channels (\(K_{1/2} = 26\) nM) (Bönigk et al. 2009). For a regular arrangement of \(~100\) GC dimers in a \(155 \times 155\)-nm membrane patch, an active GC\(^+\) would be girded by nine CNGK channels at a distance not farther than \(~100\) nm (Fig. 2B); these next neighbors would be first served with cGMP molecules. Finally, the input resistance of sperm (\(>5\) \(G\)\(\Omega\)) (Navarro et al. 2007; Zeng et al. 2013) is at least five-fold higher than that of mammalian rod photoreceptors (1–2 \(G\)\(\Omega\)) (Schneeweis and Schnapf 1995); thus, by changing the open probability of only a few CNGK channels, sperm can produce a single-molecule response.

In conclusion, there is no need to invoke high amplification, signaling complexes, or restricted diffusion to account for single-molecule sensitivity of sperm. The exquisite cGMP sensitivity of CNGK channels, the minuscule flagellar volume, and the high input resistance are key. A word of caution: all of these conclusions have been inferred from population measurements. Future work requires to study single-molecule sensitivity in single sperm cells. Notwithstanding, the hallmarks of this signaling mechanism might provide a blueprint for chemical sensing in small compartments such as olfactory cilia, insect antennae, or even synaptic boutons.

**SIGNALING IN FISH**

Navigation of fish sperm and the underlying signaling pathways must be arguably different from those of marine invertebrates and mammals. First, teleost fish lack CatSper channels (Cai and Clapham 2008). However, activation of sperm motility requires Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Billard 1986; Takai and Morisawa 1995; Alavi and Cosson 2006; Cosson et al. 2008; Morisawa 2008). Motility is activated by hyper- or hypoosmotic shock after spawning of sperm into seawater or freshwater, respectively (Krasznai et al. 2000; Vines et al. 2002; Alavi and Cosson 2006; Cherr
et al. 2008; Morisawa 2008). Therefore, Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in fish sperm involves other Ca\(^{2+}\) channels or Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular stores.

Second, the ionic milieu seriously constrains ion channel function. Sperm of freshwater fish, marine invertebrates, and mammals are facing entirely different ionic milieus. K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) concentrations in freshwater are extremely low (70 \(\mu\)M and 200 \(\mu\)M, respectively) compared with the orders-of-magnitude higher concentrations in seawater or the oviduct (Alavi and Cosson 2006; Hugentobler et al. 2007). Furthermore, [Ca\(^{2+}\)] in seawater is high (10 mM), whereas in freshwater it is low (<1 mM). The low salt concentrations in freshwater probably require ion channels that are differently designed. In fact, none of the ion channels controlling electrical and Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling of fish sperm had been known until recently.

Unexpectedly, although the principal targets of hyperpolarization—the sNHE and CatSper—are absent in fish, orthologs of the sperm CNGK channel are present in various fish genomes (Fig. 4) (Fechner et al. 2015). Surprisingly, the CNGK channel of zebrafish differs from its sea urchin cousin: it is activated by alkalinization, but not by cyclic nucleotides; moreover, the channel is localized in the head rather the flagellum; finally, the sea urchin CNGK is blocked by intracellular Na\(^+\), whereas the block of the zebrafish CNGK is much weaker. Future studies need to identify the molecules that are located upstream and downstream of CNGK in the signaling pathways in fish.

**MAMMALIAN SPERM—DIFFERENCES AND COMMONALITIES**

Mammalian sperm navigate across the female genital tract to reach the egg. During this transit, sperm undergo capacitation and hyperactivation. Capacitation is a complex, ill-defined maturation process. Hyperactivation is initiated during capacitation and is characterized by a whip-like beat of the flagellum, which is essential to penetrate the egg’s vestments—the zona pellucida and cumulus cells (Suarez et al. 1993; Suarez 2008).

During their journey from the epididymis via the female genital tract to the egg, sperm experience an ever-changing environment, including large differences in pH, ionic milieu, viscosity, and epithelial surfaces. To adapt to these changes, mammalian sperm have developed species-specific signaling mechanisms to reach and fertilize the egg. These differences are also reflected by large variations in sperm size and shape. Rodent sperm have a sickle-shaped head and a fairly long flagellum, whereas primate sperm feature an oval-shaped head and a shorter flagellum (Miller et al. 2015). In the following, differences and commonalities of sensory signaling between mouse and human sperm are described.

![Figure 4. Signaling pathway in zebrafish. Only the K\(^+\)-selective cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNGK) channel has been identified. The other components, in particular the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel, are not known. A change in pH\(_i\) that is produced by unknown mechanisms upstream of CNGK causes hyperpolarization and, in turn, Ca\(^{2+}\) influx.](http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/)
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**CatSper IS KEY FOR Ca\(^{2+}\) SIGNALING**

Ca\(^{2+}\) is also key for mammalian sperm navigation and fertilization: Ca\(^{2+}\) controls the flagellar beat pattern and, thus, sperm motility, navigation, rheotaxis, and hyperactivation. Ca\(^{2+}\) is also required for the acrosome reaction, which is needed to penetrate the egg’s vestments and for capacitation.

Many different Ca\(^{2+}\) channels have been proposed to control sperm function (Darszon et al. 2011). Although some voltage-dependent Ca\(_v\) channels might be present during spermatogenesis, CatSper has been identified as the principal Ca\(^{2+}\) channel in mature mammalian sperm (Fig. 5) (Lishko et al. 2012). CatSper forms a heteromeric channel complex made up of at least seven different subunits. Catsper1–4 (α-subunits) form the pore, whereas CatSperβ, γ, and δ represent auxiliary subunits that are associated with the pore-forming complex (Ren et al. 2001; Carlson et al. 2003; Liu et al. 2007; Qi et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009; Chung et al. 2011). Although basal motility is not affected by targeted disruption of CatSper subunits in mice, hyperactivation is abolished and capacitation is impaired (Carlson et al. 2003; Quill et al. 2003; Chung et al. 2014). Finally, rheotaxis is abolished in CatSper null sperm (Miki and Clapham 2013). Whether other potential navigation strategies like thermotaxis or chemotaxis also rely on CatSper is not known. However, a double knockout of CatSper and Ksper conclusively shows that mouse sperm harbor no voltage and pH-dependent ion channels other than CatSper and Ksper (Zeng et al. 2013). In line with the findings from mouse sperm, mutations in the human CatSper result in male infertility (Avidan et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2007; Avenarius et al. 2009; Smith et al. 2013; Jaiswal et al. 2014).

CatSper serves as a platform along the flagellum to create signaling domains. CatSper channels form a quadrilateral arrangement in three dimensions that organizes structurally distinct Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling domains (Chung et al. 2014). Loss of any one of the CatSper channel subunits destroys the organization of these signaling domains and hyperactivated motility. Furthermore, these Ca\(^{2+}\) domains also organize the spatiotemporal pattern of sperm capacitation (Chung et al. 2014).

The CatSper channel is a polymodal sensor that registers changes in membrane voltage, pH\(_{\text{a}}\), and the concentration of various ligands. Mouse CatSper is less voltage-dependent than human CatSper, but shows a higher pH sensitivity (Kirichok et al. 2006; Lishko and Kirichok 2010; Lishko et al. 2011). At physiological pH\(_{\text{i}}\) (7.4), the \(V_{1/2}\) for human and mouse CatSper is +85 mV and +11 mV, respectively (Lishko and Kirichok 2010; Lishko et al. 2011), indicating that at a resting membrane potential of \(V_{\text{rest}} = -30\) mV, mouse CatSper would be partially open, whereas the human CatSper would be mostly closed.

In human sperm, the female sex hormone progesterone and prostaglandins in the oviducal fluid activate CatSper and cause a Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (Lishko et al. 2011; Strùnker et al. 2011; Brenker et al. 2012). Progesterone has been proposed to act as a chemoattractant, controlling sperm navigation and fertilization (Tèves et al. 2006; Oren-Benaroya et al. 2008). The CatSper activation occurs almost instantaneously and does not involve G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) or G-proteins (Lishko et al. 2011; Strùnker et al. 2011; Brenker et al. 2012), suggesting that CatSper is gated either directly by progesterone or a closely associated receptor. Recently, the orphan enzyme α/β hydrolase domain-containing protein 2 (ABHD2) has been identified as the progesterone receptor in human sperm (Fig. 5) (Miller et al. 2016). On progesterone binding, ABHD2 cleaves the endocannabinoids 1- and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (AGs) into free glycerol and arachidonic acid (Miller et al. 2016). AGs inhibit the CatSper current \(I_{\text{CatSper}}\); however, hydrolysis of AGs by ABHD2 relieves their inhibition (Miller et al. 2016). Mouse sperm are insensitive to stimulation with progesterone. The species specificity of progesterone seems to be based on the difference in lipid homeostasis and localization of ABHD2 (Miller et al. 2016). In mouse sperm, ABHD2 is localized to the acrosome rather than the sperm flagellum (in contrast to human sperm). Thus, ABHD2 does not colocalize...
Figure 5. Comparison of signaling pathways in mouse and human sperm. (A) Mouse sperm. The principal Ca\(^{2+}\) channel is CatSper. CatSper opening is regulated by changes in intracellular pH (\(\Delta p\text{H}_i\)) and changes in membrane potential. The membrane potential is controlled by the pH-dependent Slo3 K\(^+\) channel. Its auxiliary subunit LRRC52 controls the pH- and voltage-dependent opening of Slo3. The activation of Slo3 during Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling is ill defined. Prominent candidates for controlling the intracellular pH are two Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\) exchangers, the sperm-specific sNHE and NHA1. sNHE is localized in a protein complex with the soluble adenylate cyclase (SACY). However, the role of sNHE and NHA1 in controlling the intracellular pH has yet to be confirmed. The recovery of the Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis after CatSper opening is not well understood. In mouse sperm, the Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase PMCA4 extrudes Ca\(^{2+}\) and controls sperm hyperactivation. The role of a Na\(^+\)/Ca\(^{2+}\) exchanger (NCX) has yet to be confirmed. (B) Human sperm. As in mouse sperm, the principal Ca\(^{2+}\) channel is CatSper, which is also regulated by changes in pH\(_i\) and membrane voltage. Furthermore, CatSper is activated by binding of progesterone (P) to the lipid hydrolase ABHD2, which hydrolyzes the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2AG) to arachidonic acid and glycerol. This relieves CatSper inhibition by 2AG and opens the channel. In human sperm, the principal K\(^+\) channel is also Slo3; it is regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) and to a lesser extent by changes in pH\(_i\). Presumably, Slo3 is placed downstream from CatSper on the recovery branch of Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling. Human sperm contain an H\(^+\) channel (H\(_i\)), which carries an outward rectifying H\(^+\) current. H\(_i\) and sNHE are the candidates to control pH\(_i\) in human sperm. The recovery of the Ca\(^{2+}\) response is also thought to be regulated by members of the NCX and PMCA family. However, their molecular identity is yet to be confirmed. Pale icons indicate molecules whose identity is yet to be confirmed; dotted lines present signaling pathways with weak experimental evidence; question marks indicate hypothetical signaling pathways that have not yet been confirmed experimentally.
with CatSper. In addition, mouse sperm lose AGs during their transit through the epididymis; thus, CatSper is no longer inhibited by AGs and does not require progesterone-dependent hydrolysis of AGs for activation (Miller et al. 2016). In contrast, human sperm maintain high AG levels and require stimulation with progesterone for activation (Miller et al. 2016).

In addition to steroids and prostaglandins, other chemicals as diverse as odorants, menthol, and analogs of cyclic nucleotides, as well as various endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), can also activate CatSper (Brenker et al. 2012; Tavares et al. 2013; Schiffer et al. 2014). EDCs are omnipresent in food, household, and personal care products and have been linked to decreasing fertility rates in the Western world (Bergman et al. 2013). Whether these chemicals activate CatSper via ABHD2 or another mechanism is not known.

**K⁺ CHANNELS AND THE CONTROL OF THE MEMBRANE POTENTIAL**

The principal K⁺ channel in mouse sperm is Slo3, a member of the Slo family of ion channels (Schreiber et al. 1998; Santi et al. 2010; Zeng et al. 2011). Deletion of Slo3 severely impairs male fertility (Santi et al. 2010; Zeng et al. 2011). The Slo3 channel in mouse sperm is activated at pH₇ > 6.0 and membrane potentials > 0 mV (pH 7); it carries a hyperpolarizing outward current (Navarro et al. 2007; Zeng et al. 2011). Apart from the pore-forming subunit encoded by the Slo3 gene, the channel complex also contains the auxiliary subunits LRRC52 and LRRC26 (leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins). LRRC52 regulates the pH- and voltage-dependent opening of the channel complex (Yang et al. 2011; Zeng et al. 2015). The development of hyperactivated motility during capacitation of mouse sperm is dependent on cytosolic alkalization followed by an increase of [Ca²⁺]ᵢ (Suarez 2008). Slo3 mediates the hyperpolarization on alkalization (Zeng et al. 2011) and recent evidence suggests that hyperpolarization indirectly activates CatSper by promoting a rise of pHᵢ through a voltage-dependent mechanism (Chavez et al. 2014). Together, Slo3 and CatSper are the sole ion channels in mouse sperm that regulate membrane potential and Ca²⁺ influx in response to alkalization (Zeng et al. 2013).

In contrast to mouse sperm, the K⁺ current in human sperm is only weakly pH-dependent, but strongly regulated by Ca²⁺ (Brenker et al. 2014). Consequently, the membrane potential in human sperm is sensitive to changes in Ca²⁺ and less so to pHᵢ. Furthermore, the K⁺ current is inhibited by progesterone (Mannowetz et al. 2013; Brenker et al. 2014). Efforts to identify the molecules underlying the K⁺ channel in human sperm provided mixed results. Initially, it was thought that Slo1, the Ca²⁺-regulated member of the Slo family carries the K⁺ current in human sperm (Mannowetz et al. 2013). However, overwhelming evidence now shows that Slo1 is absent in human sperm and that a Ca²⁺-regulated Slo3 channel carries K⁺ currents (Brenker et al. 2014). In particular, the properties of heterologously expressed human Slo3 match those of native K⁺ currents, including block by quinidine and clofilium, inhibition by progesterone, modest pH sensitivity but large Ca²⁺-sensitivity, and single-channel conductance (70 pS) (Brenker et al. 2014). Thus, human sperm switched the ligand selectivity of Slo3 from pHᵢ to Ca²⁺ rather than to adopt a new Slo isoform. Activation of the Ca²⁺-sensitive Slo3 might curtail Ca²⁺ influx via CatSper, suggesting that Slo3 in human sperm is placed downstream of CatSper on the recovery branch of Ca²⁺ signaling, whereas in mouse sperm, Slo3 at alkaline pH could hyperpolarize the cell and would open CatSper through a voltage-dependent alkalization.

**Ca²⁺ CLEARANCE MECHANISMS**

To restore Ca²⁺ levels, Ca²⁺ needs to be extruded from the cytoplasm or stored in intracellular organelles. In mouse sperm, the plasma membrane Ca²⁺-ATPase PMCA4 seems to be important to maintain Ca²⁺ homeostasis (Okunade et al. 2004; Schuh et al. 2004). PMCA4 null male mice are infertile and are unable to undergo hyperactivation. PMCA pumps are the fastest Ca²⁺ extrusion mechanisms in sperm. Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger (NCX) and mitochondrial
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uniporter are slower (Wennemuth et al. 2003a). However, the underlying molecules in mouse sperm are ill defined. In principal, similar Ca\textsuperscript{2+} clearance mechanisms also exist in human sperm. Future studies need to investigate the recovery branch of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling in more detail.

**REGULATION OF pHi—ENIGMATIC IN MOUSE, BUT NOT SO MUCH IN HUMAN SPERM**

Changes in pHi control capacitation, hyperactivation, motility, and many signaling molecules are pH-dependent. Several molecules have been proposed to control pHi, including the voltage-gated proton-specific channel Hv1 (Lishko et al. 2010), different members of the protein family of solute carriers (SLC; sodium–proton exchangers and bicarbonate transporters), and carbonic anhydrases (Nishigaki et al. 2014).

In human sperm, the proton channel Hv1 carries a large outwardly rectifying H\textsuperscript{+} current (Lishko et al. 2010). The channel features unique characteristics: it is activated by membrane depolarization, regulated by pHi and pH\textsubscript{o}, and inhibited by zinc (Ramsey et al. 2006; Sasaki et al. 2006). These characteristics may be particularly important during the transit through the female genital tract. When sperm are ejaculated, they are mixed with the seminal plasma that contains millimolar concentrations of zinc, rendering the channel inactive (Lishko and Kirichok 2010). During the transit through the female genital tract, zinc is chelated by proteins, suggesting that this causes a gradual activation of the channel (Lishko and Kirichok 2010). Hv1 is also thought to be activated by capacitation (Lishko et al. 2010). However, the underlying mechanism is still enigmatic.

In contrast to human sperm, mouse sperm do not contain an outwardly rectifying H\textsuperscript{+} current and Hv1 null male mice do not show a fertility defect (Miller et al. 2015). How the pHi is regulated in mouse sperm is not known. The most likely candidate has been an Na\textsuperscript{+}/H\textsuperscript{+} exchanger specific for sperm (sNHE). Indeed, mice lacking sNHE are infertile because the sperm are immotile (Wang et al. 2003). However, sNHE is found in a complex with the SACY, the predominant source for cAMP in mammalian sperm (Wang et al. 2007). In fact, the loss of sNHE results in a concomitant loss of SACY. Thus, it is difficult to disentangle the physiological function of sNHE and the SACY. Cyclic AMP is essential for sperm development, motility, and maturation in the female genital tract (Visconti et al. 1995; Wennemuth et al. 2003b; Krähling et al. 2013). Mice lacking SACY are infertile and the sperm are immotile (Esposito et al. 2004). The motility defect in sNHE-null mice can be rescued by application of membrane permeable cAMP analogs or by optogenetic stimulation of cAMP production (Jansen et al. 2015), showing that the motility defect and thereby the infertile phenotype is solely due to the loss of SACY, but not sNHE (Wang et al. 2003). Recently, another NHE, NHA1, has been shown to control sperm function (Liu et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2016). However, information about its role in controlling pHi in mouse sperm is missing. Surprisingly, NHA1-knockout mice also seem to display attenuated cAMP signaling and impaired sperm motility. Future studies need to reveal whether NHA1 regulates pHi in mouse sperm.

**SPERM COMPARTMENTALIZATION AND SUPRAMOLECULAR STRUCTURES**

Mammalian sperm show different levels of compartmentalization. The two major compartments are the head and the flagellum. The flagellum itself is separated into the midpiece, principal piece, and the endpiece, where signaling molecules and second messenger dynamics are spatially organized. As described above, the CatSper complex creates structurally distinct Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-signaling domains along the principal piece of mouse sperm (Chung et al. 2014). In human sperm, CatSper is also localized in the principal piece, and progesterone stimulation first elicits a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase in the principal piece and midpiece, which then propagates to the head (Servin-Vences et al. 2012). Furthermore, it has been shown that cAMP signaling pathways are compartmentalized in the head and flagellum (Wert-
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heimer et al. 2013) and that cAMP dynamics are also organized in distinct domains along the flagellum (Mukherjee et al. 2016). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the compartmentalization of cAMP dynamics have not been identified yet. The combination of genetically encoded biosensors with optogenetics holds great promise to map the dynamics of cAMP signaling in live cells in precise spatio-temporal and quantitative terms.

OUTLOOK

Although many molecules in the flagellum of mammalian sperm have been identified that are involved in electrical and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling, we do not know which sensory modality they serve: rheotaxis, chemotaxis, haptotaxis, or thermotaxis? In fact, other molecules, like rhodopsin, do not know which sensory modality they serve: responses during directed movement. We have suggested for chemotaxis of human sperm (Perez-Cerezales et al. 2015). Moreover, yet other molecules have been suggested for chemotaxis of human sperm in a progesterone gradient (Teves et al. 2009). Future work is required to unequivocally assign signaling pathways to behavioral responses during directed movement.
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