Electron stimulated hydroxylation of a metal supported silicate film†
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Water adsorption on a double-layer silicate film was studied by using infrared reflection–absorption spectroscopy, thermal desorption spectroscopy and scanning tunneling microscopy. Under vacuum conditions, small amounts of silanols (Si–OH) could only be formed upon deposition of an ice-like (amorphous solid water, ASW) film and subsequent heating to room temperature. Silanol coverage is considerably enhanced by low-energy electron irradiation of an ASW pre-covered silicate film. The degree of hydroxylation can be tuned by the irradiation parameters (beam energy, exposure) and the ASW film thickness. The results are consistent with a generally accepted picture that hydroxylation occurs through hydrolysis of siloxane (Si–O–Si) bonds in the silica network. Calculations using density functional theory show that this may happen on Si–O–Si bonds, which are either parallel (i.e., in the topmost silicate layer) or vertical to the film surface (i.e., connecting two silicate layers). In the latter case, the mechanism may additionally involve the reaction with a metal support underneath. The observed vibrational spectra are dominated by terminal silanol groups (ν(OH)) band at 2763 cm⁻¹) formed by hydrolysis of vertical Si–O–Si linkages. Film dehydroxylation fully occurs only upon heating to very high temperatures (~1200 K) and is accompanied by substantial film restructuring, and even film dewetting upon cycling hydroxylation/dehydroxylation treatment.

1. Introduction

The interaction of silica (SiO₂) with water plays an important role in natural processes such as weathering and dissolution. In addition, it is generally accepted that the catalytic performance of silica either as a support or an active phase is primarily determined by surface hydroxo species, which are commonly discussed in terms of isolated silanols (i.e. single silanols, Si–OH, and geminal silanols, Si–(OH)₂), and groups of hydrogen-bonded silanols.1,2

Because of the structural complexity and diversity of silica, thin silica films supported on metal substrates have been used as suitable model systems.3,4 Using this model approach, well-ordered silica structures have been prepared as monolayer5 and bilayer6 films, with a hexagonal layer of corner-sharing SiO₄ tetrahedra as a structural motif. Our previous infrared reflection–absorption spectroscopy (IRAS) and temperature programmed desorption (TPD) studies showed that these silicate films, which are terminated by siloxane bridges (Si–O–Si), are essentially hydrophobic, as the amount of silanol species, that could be formed by water adsorption, accounted for a few percent of the Si atoms available at the surface.7 It therefore appears that the hydroxylation primarily occurs at structural defects. Direct visualization of surface hydroxyls on silica by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) was achieved so far only on single-layer silicates (silicatenes).8,9 In particular, it was found that preferential adsorption sites for the surface hydroxyls involved Si at the junction nodes of three non-equivalent silica polygons, for example, 5-, 6-, and 7-membered rings. This suggests that the coverage of silanols and its spatial distribution could, in principle, be tuned by controllable creation of surface defects.

Driven by technological applications of silica in electronics, defect formation on silica films has been subject of numerous studies focusing on ion implantation and irradiation effects. Basically, irradiation induced damage may consist of vacancies, interstitials, dangling bonds, etc. It was shown, for example, that neutral beam irradiation does not cause preferential sputtering of oxygen from SiO₂, whereas an ion beam with the same energy (i.e. 300–500 eV) causes significant preferential sputtering.10 In the case of silica irradiated by high energy (150 keV) Ar⁺ ions, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements suggested that a sub-oxide is formed.11

In continuation of our studies of water interaction with silica surfaces, we employ an electron irradiation at low energies...
(≈ 200 eV) as a means to enhance and control the degree of hydroxylation of hydrophobic silica surfaces. In principle, two different approaches could be envisioned: (i) irradiation of a silica surface followed by exposure to water, and (ii) irradiation of silica precoated by a thin water film. The second approach is thought to be more efficient if the mechanism were due to the creation of short-living surface defects, which could immediately react with water molecules in proximity, or electron-stimulated activation (dissociation) of the water molecules adsorbed on silica.

Certainly, in a conventional ultra-high vacuum (UHV) setup, water cannot be put onto a solid support as a liquid. Water adsorption at low temperatures results in amorphous solid water (ASW) films. A few studies of electron-stimulated reactions on thin ASW films adsorbed on Pt(111) have recently been reported. It was concluded, that the final reactions leading to the production of molecular oxygen and hydrogen occur at or near the ASW/vacuum interface. However, dissociation of water molecules at the ASW/Pt interface may also contribute to the reactions at the ASW/vacuum interface. The results suggested that mobile electronic excitations are responsible for the reactions at the interfaces, although the mechanisms remain speculative.

Electron bombardment of physisorbed water may also cause metal oxidation as shown for hydrogenated Si(111) surfaces upon irradiation with low energy electrons (<20 eV). The results suggested that the initial step of the chemisorption process involves the resonant formation of the transient (H2O)+* species. In another example, a disordered aluminum oxide film was formed by 100 eV electrons. It has been suggested that the excitation proceeds via direct electron attachment, leading to dissociative production of an OH radical species, which is very effective for surface oxidation. It is noteworthy that chemical reactions on the surface of cosmic ice dust play an important role in chemical evolution in space. Also, some reactions resulting in significant amounts of H2O are believed to occur on dust grains, mainly composed of silicates.

In this work, we show that electron bombardment of a silicate surface covered by an ASW film considerably enhances the surface hydroxylation. The degree of hydroxylation can be tuned by the electron irradiation parameters (e-beam energy, exposure) and the ASW film thickness. We focus in this study on the structural aspects of hydroxyl formation. Density functional theory (DFT) was employed to explain the experimental results and to identify the atomic structure of the hydroxylated silicate films. The precise mechanism of hydroxylation under electron irradiation remains to be established. Nonetheless, the resulting hydroxylated silica surfaces may further be used for studying chemical reactions on silica, in particular, anchoring of catalytically active species.

2. Materials and methods

The experiments were carried out in several ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chambers. One chamber is equipped with low-energy electron diffraction (LEED, from Omicron), an IRA-spectrometer (Bruker IFS 66v), and an STM (Omicron). The Ru(0001) crystal (8 mm in diameter, 99.99% from MaTeck GmbH) was mounted on an Omicron sample holder, with the temperature measured by a type K (chromel–alumel) thermocouple spot-welded to the edge of the crystal.

The second UHV chamber is equipped with LEED (Omicron), IRAS (Bruker IFS 66v), and a differentially pumped quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS, Hiden 301) for TPD measurements. In this setup, the temperature was measured by a type K thermocouple spot-welded to the back side of the crystal, which was, in turn, spot-welded to a pair of parallel Ta wires used for resistive heating to ~1300 K as well as cooling to ~90 K by filling the manipulator rod with liquid nitrogen.

The clean Ru(0001) surface was obtained following several ion sputtering and vacuum annealing cycles. A bilayer silicate film (henceforth, “silicate” film, for brevity) was prepared by vapor deposition of calibrated amounts of Si (99.99% from Goodfellow) onto the 30(2 x 2)-Ru(0001) surface at ~100 K in 2 × 10⁻⁷ mbar O₂ using an e-beam assisted evaporator (EMT3, Omicron). The film was then oxidized in 3 × 10⁻⁶ mbar O₂ at ~1200 K.

For electron irradiation, we used a resistively-heated tungsten filament placed ~1 cm away from the silicate surface. The crystal was biased to the voltage in the range 100–600 V that accelerates electrons from the filament towards the sample. In addition, we used the e-gun of LEED having a beam spot about 1 mm in diameter. To ensure surface homogeneity, the e-beam had to scan the entire surface by moving the sample. Comparison of resulted silica surfaces, irradiated either by a LEED gun or a W filament, showed basically the same effects.

The IRA-spectra were recorded using p-polarized light at an 84° grazing angle of incidence (spectral resolution 4 cm⁻¹). TPD spectra were obtained with a heating rate 3 K s⁻¹. DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). The calculations have been carried out using a 4 × 4 × 1 Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid. The projector augmented wave method is adopted with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV for the plane-wave basis set. In the case of the bilayer, the Si₆₀O₃₂ unit cell has been used with the following cell parameters a' = 1079.22, b = 934.64, c = 2700 pm, α = β = γ = 90°. The Ru(0001) substrate was modeled with five Ru layers. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange correlation functional is employed.

For the hydrated silica bilayer, low energy minimum structures have been obtained in the following way. First, a genetic algorithm (GA) has been used. Two hydrogen atoms and one oxygen atom have been added to the bilayer with the atoms of the bottom layer kept frozen. A function has been implemented in the algorithm that penalizes the existence of water molecules. Each time GA identifies a water molecule it will add a huge constant value to the energy associated to this structure, so that this candidate will be removed from the next population presented to the algorithm. The GA run generated 1000 structures, of which only 30 corresponded to dissociated water molecules. Afterwards, the most stable hydroxylated structures were fully optimized including the atoms of the bottom layer. Additional starting structures for local optimization have been manually generated assuming hydroxylation of vertical Si–O–Si bonds.
Harmonic vibrational frequencies have been calculated using a finite difference method with 0.02 Å displacements of the atoms in each Cartesian direction. The intensities were obtained from the derivatives of the dipole moment component perpendicular to the surface. Simulations for different isotopes were performed using the in-house THERMO code.

To compensate for systematic errors of DFT and neglected anharmonicities the calculated harmonic frequencies have been scaled by a factor \( f \) derived for the arithmetic mean of the symmetric (s) and antisymmetric (a) OH stretching frequencies as ratio of experimental \((\nu)\) and calculated values \((\omega)\), i.e. \( f = \frac{1}{2}(\nu_s + \nu_a)/(\omega_s + \omega_a) \). Table S1 in the ESI† shows the scaling factors for H\(^{16}\)O (0.9814), D\(^{16}\)O (0.9951) and D\(^{18}\)O bonds (0.9952), together with the calculated and experimental results from which they are derived. The scaling factor for the Si–O stretching vibrations (1.0341) has been derived from experimental and calculated frequencies for \( \alpha \)-quartz as described in ref. 6.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Experimental results

Water (D\(_2\)O) adsorption at \( \sim 100 \) K on the “as grown” silicate film and subsequent heating to 300 K only results in a small signal at 2763 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to \( v(\text{OD}) \) vibrations of silanol (Si–OD) species (Fig. 1, black line). The phonon bands at 1300 and 693 cm\(^{-1}\), which are associated with vibrations of the siloxane (Si–O–Si) bonds oriented normal and parallel to the film surface, respectively,\(^6\) remain practically unaltered. For direct comparison, the same sample was again covered by the ASW film and then bombarded by 150 eV electrons. As in previous studies of ASW/\text{Pt}\((111)\),\(^14\) we found that electrons efficiently sputter the ASW film. The ASW related bands attenuate with increasing beam exposure time and almost disappear. After we flashed the sample to 300 K to desorb remaining water, the IRA spectra revealed a much stronger \( v(\text{OD}) \) signal (Fig. 1, red line). Using a hydroxylated single layer silicate film for calibration\(^9\) we roughly estimated the OD coverage in this sample to be \( \sim 15\% \) with respect to the Si atoms in the top layer. (Note that such an estimate based on the IR band intensity gives the low limit, as some hydroxyls become “invisible” in IRA-spectroscopy if oriented parallel to the surface). The considerable surface hydroxylation is also reflected by strong attenuation of the silica phonon bands and their shift to lower frequencies, in this case to 1271 and 668 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. In addition, a new band at \( \sim 960 \) cm\(^{-1}\) appears upon hydroxylation. Such a band is typical for vibrations including Si–O bonds in silanols.\(^1\) It is also instructive to recall that a monolayer silicate film on Ru(0001) exhibits a principal phonon band at \( \sim 1135 \) cm\(^{-1}\), while three-dimensional silica particles and “thick” silica films are characterized by an asymmetrical broad band centered at \( \sim 1250 \) cm\(^{-1}\).\(^12\) Therefore, the IRAS results indicate that the bilayer structure of the silicate film is maintained upon electron stimulated hydroxylation.

Fig. 2 compares room temperature STM images of the “as grown” silicate film and the film after e-beam assisted hydroxylation. Although atomic resolution could not yet be achieved, the irradiated film displays a planar morphology and does not dewet under these conditions (otherwise it would result in three-dimensional particles and clusters), in full agreement with the IRAS results. Not surprisingly, the corrugation amplitude on the hydroxylated film terraces is much larger when compared to the pristine film (i.e. 0.7 Å vs. 0.2 Å), measured by STM at similar tunneling conditions. Therefore, we conclude that irradiation of an ASW/silicate surface with low energy electrons promotes surface hydroxylation without destroying the principal (bilayer) structure of a silicate film.

In the next set of experiments, we examined the thermal stability of the silanol species by measuring IRA spectra after the hydroxylated film was flashed to stepwise increasing temperatures. The spectra in the 2760 and 960 cm\(^{-1}\) regions are shown in Fig. 3a, and the integral intensities of the two bands as a function of annealing temperature are shown in Fig. 3b. Clearly, both bands behave very similarly with temperature: the signals first gain some intensity on heating to 700 K before they strongly attenuate above 1100 K and ultimately disappear after heating to temperatures as high as 1300 K. Concomitantly, the principal silica phonons gradually shift back, but never to the same intensity and frequency as observed for the “as prepared” film (Fig. S1 in the ESI†). The effect depends on the degree of hydroxylation: the higher the density of silanols, the less recoverable was the structure. This finding indicates that dehydroxylation of an OD-containing silicate film by UHV annealing considerably

**Fig. 1.** Left panel: Top and cross views of a crystalline bilayer silica film formed on Ru(0001). Right panel: IRA spectra of the “as grown” (a) and hydroxylated (b and c) Si\(_2\)O\(_2\)/Ru(0001) films (taken at 100 K). The ASW film was deposited on a silicate surface at 100 K and then flashed in UHV to 300 K (b). The film was again covered by the ASW film and irradiated with 150 eV electrons before the flash to 300 K (c).
alters the original structure of the film in that the number of Si–O–Si bonds linking top and bottom silicate layers was reduced. Also, it is worth mentioning that the 960 cm$^{-1}$ band partially remains, although at a lower intensity, after final annealing at 1300 K for 5 min, whereas the OD species completely disappeared (see Fig. 3a). Therefore, this band may have another origin beside the Si–O bond vibrations in silanols.

The experiments at various exposure conditions on different samples revealed that the amount of silanol species, as judged by IRAS, considerably depends on the e-beam energy and exposure time as well as the nominal thickness of the bombarded ASW film. Therefore, one may tune, in principle, the degree of surface hydroxylation of the otherwise hydrophobic silicate surface by using low energy electrons. Certainly, the precise mechanism of hydroxylation under electron irradiation needs further investigations. In the following, we focus on establishing the atomic structure of the hydroxylated films.

It is generally accepted that hydroxylation of silica proceeds via “opening” of siloxane bonds as shown schematically below:

\[
\text{Si} = \text{O} - \text{Si} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Si}^=\text{OH} + \text{Si}^=\text{O}^.
\]

This mechanism is based largely on infrared studies of silicas (see ref. 2, 23–30 and references therein). It should be pointed out that in the vast majority of hydroxylation studies on amorphous silica, samples were prepared from silica gels or amorphous fumed silica, which initially contained substantial amounts of silanols. If hydrolysis occurs in one elementary step, according to the above-presented scheme, one of the two hydroxyl species should include a silica lattice oxygen atom (O$_{\text{s}}$), and the other – an oxygen atom (O$_{\text{w}}$) from a dissociated water molecule, which can be distinguished by isotope labeling.

Following these ideas, we carried out water adsorption experiments on silicate films prepared with $^{18}$O$_2$. The Si$^{18}$O$_2$ film was exposed to D$_2^{16}$O at $\sim$100 K, then irradiated with 150 eV electrons, and heated in UHV to 300 K. The top spectrum in Fig. 4 reveals two bands in the $\nu$(OD) region, i.e. at 2764 and 2746 cm$^{-1}$, which may be assigned to $^{16}$OD and $^{18}$OD species, respectively, on the basis of a reduced mass analysis. Although the presence of two OD species nicely agrees with the above-shown scheme, the intensity of the $^{18}$OD signal (i.e., with oxygen from adsorbed water) is considerably higher than of $^{16}$OD (i.e., with oxygen in silicate). Also upon heating, the two bands behave differently (Fig. 4). Up to $\sim$700 K, the integral intensities of the bands stay fairly constant, although the widths of the bands decrease. Upon further heating, the 2764 cm$^{-1}$ signal attenuates, whereas the 2746 cm$^{-1}$ band gains intensity, so that it becomes even larger than the peak at 2764 cm$^{-1}$. Both bands attenuate at $T > 1000$ K, and ultimately disappear upon heating to 1240 K. One has to bear in mind, however, that the intensity of IRA bands on metal...
supported films depends not only on the total amount of IR absorbing oscillators, but also on their orientation with respect to the metal surface normal. Therefore, spectral changes may also be affected by structural relaxations (transformations).

Again, as in the previous experiments with 16O-prepared films, we took a closer look at the $960\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ band. Although this spectral region somewhat suffered from baseline instabilities, and the signals are much broader than in the $\nu$(OD) region, Fig. 5 shows that the phonon frequency follows the oxygen isotope that is involved in O-D vibrations: the $2764\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ band basically correlates with the one at $956\,\text{cm}^{-1}$, and the $2746\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ band – with the one at $933\,\text{cm}^{-1}$. A linear correlation, observed between intensities of the $\nu$(OD) and corresponding phonon bands, provides strong evidence that the $960\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ band originates from Si–O bond vibrations in respective silanols.

To complement the IRAS results, we performed TPD measurements. Dehydroxylation of oxide surfaces usually proceeds through desorption of water via recombination of hydroxo species, although it is case sensitive. Fig. 6 compares desorption traces for selected masses (20 amu ($\text{D}_2\text{O}$) and 4 amu ($\text{D}_2$)) obtained on two samples. The red curves show signals from the ASW/silica surface irradiated with 150 eV electrons and then annealed at 200 K for 5 min prior to the TPD run. The black curves correspond to the sample that was not irradiated.

Firstly, we note a large amount of $\text{D}_2$ desorbing at 200–450 K, which turned out to be only $\sim 20\%$ smaller than observed in the blank experiment on the silica-free, clean Ru sample under the same conditions (not shown here). As $\text{D}_2$ has only been observed on the irradiated samples, this suggests that electron bombardment of an ASW film produces D (or $\text{D}_2$) which may react with the support. Secondly, the comparison shows that irradiated silicate samples exhibit desorption of considerably larger amounts of water ($\text{D}_2\text{O}$). Although desorption traces at low temperatures may, to some extent, be affected by well-known low pumping speed for water resulting in long desorption tails, there is definitely an additional desorption signal between 500 and 700 K, which is missing on the non-irradiated sample. Note that this is the temperature region where in the corresponding IRA spectra the $\nu$(OD) band becomes sharper and the low-frequency tail disappears (Fig. 3–5). Finally, at temperatures above 900 K, both $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{D}_2$ desorption peaks are observed, which cannot be assigned to cracking (fragmentation) patterns of the two molecules in the mass-spectrometer. The shared

![Fig. 4](image_url) The $\nu$(OD) spectra of the Si$^{18}\text{O}_2$ films, hydroxylated by using $\text{D}_2^{16}\text{O}$ water, as a function of annealing temperature as indicated. The hydroxylation was obtained by 150 V electron irradiation of the ASW film and subsequent heating to 300 K. The spectra taken at 100 K are offset for clarity.

![Fig. 5](image_url) The $\nu$(OD) and 900–1000 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ phonon regions in IRA-spectra of an $^{18}\text{O}$-labeled silicate film, hydroxylated with 150 eV electron irradiation of a $\text{D}_2^{16}\text{O}$ precovered surface. The sample was flashed to the temperature as indicated. The spectra taken at 100 K are offset for clarity.

![Fig. 6](image_url) TPD traces of selected masses (20 amu ($\text{D}_2\text{O}$), and 4 amu ($\text{D}_2$)) recorded for a silicate film hydroxylated with 150 eV electrons (in red). The spectra for the sample prepared without irradiation are shown in black for comparison. Prior to the TPD runs, the samples were annealed at 200 K for 5 min to desorb free water. The heating rate is $3\,\text{K}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. The spectra are offset for clarity.
high-temperature desorption thresholds for D₂ and D₂O (~1020 K) suggest that both processes are directly limited by the energy needed to overcome the silica-bound D diffusion barrier. Since, as mentioned above, one expects the silica dehydroxylation to occur through water desorption, the simultaneous D₂ formation is indicative of the D spillover onto the Ru surface and subsequent recombinative desorption.

3.2. Computational results

In principle, two types of Si–O–Si bonds are present in a double-layer silicate film, the hydrolysis of which results in silanol species: those lying almost parallel to the film surface, and those linking the top and bottom silicate layers. In the case of breaking “in-plane” Si–O–Si bonds, the resulting two silanol species are at the surface (structure la in Fig. 7). Another scenario includes breaking of the Si–O–Si linkage between the silicate layers and a vertical distortion of the upper Si out of the surface plane upon forming an OD bond (structure lb in Fig. 7). The hydroxylated Si–O–Si bond of structure la is part of a six-membered ring (parallel to the surface) and a four-membered ring (normal to the surface), whereas it is part of three four-membered rings in structure lb. In addition to this mechanism I, which is basically the same as assumed for bulk silica surfaces and all-silica zeolites, another mechanism II is possible for a metal supported silicate film, which additionally involves a redox step: hydrogen is produced, and the Ru surface is partially oxidized. The structure involves an inverted Si₄O₄ tetrahedron in the bottom layer with one O atom forming a bond to the Ru substrate (structure II, Fig. 7).

Mechanism I  
\[ \text{H}_2 \text{O} + [\text{Si–O–Si}]_{\text{SiO}_2/\text{Ru}} \rightarrow [\text{SiOH, SiOH}]_{\text{SiO}_2/\text{Ru}} \]  

Mechanism II  
\[ \text{H}_2 \text{O} + [\text{Si–O–Si}]_{\text{SiO}_2/\text{Ru}} \rightarrow [\text{SiORu, SiOH}]_{\text{SiO}_2/\text{Ru}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2 \]

We use the term “mechanism” here, but this does not imply a simulation of the formation process. We use the term to stress the formation of different final structures. While structure la has been found using a genetic algorithm (see Materials and methods), starting structures for lb and II have been generated by chemical consideration and then locally optimized.

It is well established that the “as prepared” silicate films always contain some oxygen atoms adsorbed directly onto a Ru substrate. On the other hand, the above-presented TPD results suggest that the Ru surface becomes partly covered by H(D) atoms upon electron stimulated hydroxylation. Since it is still unclear whether the intercalation occurs before, after, or simultaneously with silica hydroxylation, we performed calculations for different oxygen and hydrogen coverage on Ru, that is with 2 and 6 oxygen (hydrogen) atoms per orthogonal (2 × 2) unit cell, corresponding to O(H)(2 × 2) and 3O(H)(2 × 2)-Ru(0001) surfaces, respectively.

Fig. 7 depicts the DFT-optimized hydroxylated structures la, lb, and II. Table 1 shows the reaction energies computed for these models. Mechanism II, involving hydrolysis of a vertical siloxane bond, followed by the interlayer oxygen flipping and binding to the Ru surface, is the most favorable structure for an initially clean Ru surface underneath the silicate film. The reaction is exothermic by ~30 kJ mol⁻¹. Structure II remains favorable for Ru with low coverage of oxygen or hydrogen. However, at higher O(H) coverages, structure II becomes less stable than structures la and lb, because adatoms on Ru suppress binding of the Si–O fragment.

Note that for the O pre-covered Ru surface, there is an additional driving force for the formation of type II structures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Structure Ia</th>
<th>Structure Ib</th>
<th>Structure II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No substrate</td>
<td>+24.9</td>
<td>+27.7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(0001)</td>
<td>+19.9</td>
<td>+23.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(2 × 2)-Ru</td>
<td>−10.4</td>
<td>−6.7</td>
<td>−29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3H(2 × 2)-Ru</td>
<td>−10.6</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>−16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2 × 2)-Ru</td>
<td>+8.8</td>
<td>+14.0</td>
<td>+19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3O(2 × 2)-Ru</td>
<td>+23.9</td>
<td>+28.4</td>
<td>+159.2 (+135.6)⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to eqn (4).
the hydrogen atoms produced in the reaction (2) may further react with O atoms on Ru to form water:

\[
\frac{1}{16} \text{SiO}_2 + 1/2 \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{16} \text{SiO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)
\]

which is an exothermic process by 23.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Combining (2) and (3), one obtains

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} + [\text{SiO}-\text{Si}]_{1/2} + \frac{1}{16} \text{SiO}_2 + \frac{1}{16} \text{SiO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)
\]

for the formation of structure II, with concomitant consumption of oxygen to form water. Although the water formation reduces the reaction energy from 159 to 136 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), structures Ia and Ib are still far more favorable than the “O-rich” structure II.

Table 2 shows the computed interatomic distances and stretching frequencies of the different hydroxyl groups present in the respective structures for the hydroxylated silica film on the clean and O(2 × 2)-covered Ru surfaces. In the following, we will refer to numbers for the clean interface only, because the differences in the calculated values for the various models are such that a comparison with experiment would not affect the conclusions drawn. For comparison, Table 2 also shows the results for isolated terminal OH groups obtained within a periodic edingtonite (EDI)-derived surface model previously suggested in ref. 34 (see Fig. S3, ESI†).

Before we proceed to an analysis of the DFT results presented in Table 2, it is instructive to recall that isolated silanol groups with an IR band around 3750 cm\(^{-1}\) are always present on amorphous silica as well as at crystalline surfaces, e.g. on the outer surfaces of zeolite crystallites. For example, for the high-silica (Si/Al = 25) zeolite H-ZSM-5, such a band is observed at 3745 cm\(^{-1}\) (2759 cm\(^{-1}\) for the D-ZSM-5 counterpart). Unclosed Si-O-Si bonds in zeolite frameworks give rise to “internal silanols” which most likely exist as vicinal silanol groups with a terminal (OH) and a hydrogen bonded (OH\(_{\text{h}}\)) species as shown below:

![Diagram of a silica molecule with a hydrogen-bonded silanol group](image)

The latter exhibits an IR band in the 3740–3700 cm\(^{-1}\) range, for example, at 3728 cm\(^{-1}\) for H-ZSM-5 (2748 cm\(^{-1}\) for D-ZSM-5, respectively), and at 3712 cm\(^{-1}\) for zeolite H-SSZ-13. Finally, broad bands between 3650 and 3500 cm\(^{-1}\), which are red-shifted with respect to terminal SiOH groups by 80–230 cm\(^{-1}\), are assigned to hydrogen bond donor Si(OH)\(_{\text{g}}\) groups.

The results in Table 2 show that both hydroxyl groups belonging to structure Ia are engaged as donor groups in hydrogen bonds, which are weak for O\(_1\)D\(_1\) and stronger for O\(_2\)D\(_2\). Their computed frequencies (2733 and 2668 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively) deviate significantly from the experimentally observed one at 2762 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3). Note, however, that due to their bond orientation, which is almost parallel to the surface, the IRA signal intensity (which is proportional to the dipole moment change along the surface normal, \(\mu_s\)) in Table 2 is one order of magnitude lower than for silanols which are oriented perpendicular to the surface. Those are the terminal SiOH\(_4\) groups in structures Ib and II with calculated frequencies, 2772 and 2778 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are also close to the calculated value for terminal silanols in the edingtonite model (2767 cm\(^{-1}\)) and to the experimentally observed value (2759 cm\(^{-1}\)) in H-ZSM-5. The agreement is slightly better for model Ib. Structure Ib features another silanol group, Si–OH\(_{\text{h}}\), for which we predict a sizable intensity at 2640 cm\(^{-1}\), which is definitely missing in the IRA-spectra. However, this band may escape detection because hydroxyl groups engaged in hydrogen bonds are well-known for band broadening (Fig. S2, ESI†). Accordingly, the bands around 960 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3) can be assigned to stretching vibrations of the Si–O bond in the terminal silanols. Transitions with significant intensity are calculated at 937 cm\(^{-1}\) for model Ib and at 933 and 950 cm\(^{-1}\) for model II (Table 3, and Tables S5 and S6 in ESI†). Note that in the normal modes, the Si–O\(_1\) bond stretching vibrations of structures II and Ib are coupled with antisymmetric (Si\(_{\text{c}}\)-O\(_{\text{c}}\)Ru\(_{\text{h}}\)) and in-phase Si–O\(_1\) stretching vibrations, respectively.

A DFT-based interpretation on the IRA spectra obtained in experiments with mixed isotopes (D\(_2\)O adsorption on Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\), Fig. 4) is more difficult. Table 3 shows the results of calculations for \(^{16}\text{O}_2\)D/Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) and \(^{18}\text{O}_2\)D/Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) models to be compared with the above-presented results on \(^{16}\text{O}_2\)D/Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) models. As expected, the OD stretching frequency in the terminal, Si–O\(_2\)D, silanol is determined solely by the oxygen isotope involved in the hydroxyl group. The corresponding isotopic shift obtained for structures II and Ib is 17 cm\(^{-1}\), which nicely agrees with the experimentally observed band splitting (~18 cm\(^{-1}\), Fig. 4). Substitution by \(^{18}\text{O}\) isotope in the silicate film causes a red-shift of the \(\nu(\text{OH})\) band as well (by 16 cm\(^{-1}\) as computed), independent of the oxygen isotope in terminal OD\(_{\text{h}}\). However, as discussed above, this band may escape detection due to spectral broadening caused by hydrogen bonding.

The calculations for the IRAS active vibrations of Si–O bonds involved in silanols also revealed band splitting for the mixed
D2 desorption is observed only on irradiated samples, agrees the Ru surface below the hydroxylated silica film. The fact, that assisted hydroxylation, which could in part be chemisorbed on amount of hydrogen (deuterium) is produced during electron-surface. The TPD results (Fig. 6) show that a considerable on the amount of O(H) atoms adsorbed directly onto the Ru relative stabilities of the three considered structures depend According to the hydroxylation energies presented in Table 1, 3.3. Discussion

According to the hydroxylation energies presented in Table 1, the relative stabilities of the three considered structures depend on the amount of O(H) atoms adsorbed directly onto the Ru surface. The TPD results (Fig. 6) show that a considerable amount of hydrogen (deuterium) is produced during electron-assisted hydroxylation, which could in part be chemisorbed on the Ru surface below the hydroxylated silica film. The fact, that D2 desorption is observed only on irradiated samples, agrees well with electron stimulated hydrogen formation reported for the ASW/Pt(111) interface, although the mechanism remains unclear. Apparently, electron bombardment produces D (or D2) which may reach the Ru surface, although covered by a silicate film, as small molecules may penetrate the silicate layer and intercalate the interface. The formation of silanols solely by atomic D, if the latter is produced by electrons, can be excluded as this would result in OD involving only silica lattice oxygen, which is not observed in isotopic experiments (Fig. 4). If the Ru surface is covered with H(D), structure Ib appears to be the most stable one. In favor of structure Ib is the experimental fact that the phonon band at ~693 cm\(^{-1}\), which involves bending vibrations of in plane O-Si-O bonds, is affected to a much larger extent as compared to the high-frequency band at 1300 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1) associated solely with vertical Si-O-Si linkages involved in structures Ib and I. However, according to the DFT calculations, IRAS cannot precisely identify the structure Ib, because the corresponding hydroxyls (O\(_2\)D\(_1\) and O\(_3\)D\(_2\)) are oriented nearly parallel to the surface. Nonetheless, SiO\(_2\)D\(_1\) species, which are only involved in weak H bonding and thus exhibit a moderate red-shift (to 2733 cm\(^{-1}\) as computed), are to be detected, if they are present in a considerably large amount. A long tail (down to ~2720 cm\(^{-1}\)) at the low-frequency side of the principal peak, which is always present in spectra of as prepared hydroxylated films at 300 K, could tentatively be assigned to such SiO\(_2\)D\(_1\) silanols. Additional support for the presence of structure Ia comes from the TPD results, which showed that the disappearance of the low-frequency tail in IRAS is accompanied by water desorption between 500 and 700 K. The process leading to water desorption may, therefore, be attributed to the recombination of O\(_2\)D\(_1\) and O\(_3\)D\(_2\) silanols upon heating.

On the basis of DFT results, a principal IRA band at 2763 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to the terminal O\(_2\)D\(_1\) groups formed on top of the silicate layer in the models Ib and I, for which the calculations for the silicate film on the pure Ru surface predict wavenumbers of 2772 and 2778 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Another silanol group, SiOH\(_2\)_D\(_1\), formed in structure Ib is strongly red-shifted (2640 cm\(^{-1}\)) and most likely escapes detection because hydroxyl groups engaged in hydrogen bonds are well-known for their band broadening (see Fig. S2 in ESI\(^\dagger\) for a quantitative estimate). The above assignment is further supported by the agreement between the isotopic shift of 17 cm\(^{-1}\) calculated for \(^{16}\)O\(_2\)D\(_1\)/Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) and \(^{16}\)O\(_2\)D\(_1\)/Si\(_{18}\)O\(_2\) models of structures Ib and I, respectively, with the experimentally observed band splitting of ~18 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4). To explain the isotopic experiments based on models Ib or I, i.e. the observation of two species, \(^{16}\)O\(_2\)D\(_1\) and \(^{18}\)O\(_2\)D\(_1\), we have to consider that \(^18\)O oxygen in the water readily exchanges with \(^16\)O oxygen in the silicate film upon water D\(_1\)^18O dissociation under electron bombardment.

Although Si-O\(_2\)D\(_1\) silanol, formed in structure Ib, is predicted to be invisible in IRAS, it seems to manifest itself in TPD experiments. Indeed, upon heating to high temperatures, this silanol may react with Ru to form structure I and D atoms, with the latter immediately desorbing as D\(_2\) at ~1020 K (Fig. 6). The progressive formation of Si-O-Ru linkages also explains why dehydroxylation by UHV annealing at high temperatures never recovers the original phonon spectrum of the silica film. Also, the vibrations of the formed Si-O-Ru linkage fall into the same range as the band at 960 cm\(^{-1}\) which does not completely disappear from the spectra upon dehydroxylation (Fig. 3). Certainly, recombination of different types of hydroxyls at very high temperatures may be a stochastic process, accompanied by structural transformations, which results in a complex spectral evolution of the.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>(_{16})O(_2)_D(_1)</th>
<th>(_{18})O(_2)_D(_1)</th>
<th>(_{16})D(_2)_D(_1)</th>
<th>(_{16})D(_2)_D(_3)</th>
<th>(_{18})D(_2)_D(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II: (_{16})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>1012 (141) + 934 (65)</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>2647</td>
<td>931 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II: (_{18})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2778</td>
<td>950 (48) + 933 (69)</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>937 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: (_{16})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>970 (128) + 933 (64)</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>2631</td>
<td>941 (14) + 923 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: (_{18})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2778</td>
<td>948 (48) + 898 (60)</td>
<td>2722</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>945 (31) + 923 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV: (_{16})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2738</td>
<td>970 (131) + 907 (64)</td>
<td>2741</td>
<td>2631</td>
<td>905 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV: (_{18})O(_2)_D(_1)</td>
<td>2761</td>
<td>923 (46) + 898 (66)</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>910 (81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Scaling factor for OD vibrations – 0.995, for Si–O vibrations – 1.0341. (O\(^{16}\)D = 0.9951 and O\(^{18}\)D = 0.9952). *b* Shown in parenthesis is \((\mu_d)^2\) (in Debye\(^2\) \AA\(^{-2}\) amu\(^{-1}\)).
related OD bands on heating (Fig. 6). It appears, however, that dehydroxylation of silicate films is not the reverse process of hydroxyl formation. Repeated hydroxylation over the once dehydroxylated film leads to a further red-shift of the principal phonon, and ultimate disintegration (de-wetting) of the silica film after few cycles.

4. Conclusions

Electron bombardment of a double-layer silicate film covered by ice (amorphous solid water) greatly enhances the degree of hydroxylation of this otherwise hydrophobic silica surface. The results are consistent with the generally accepted picture that hydroxylation occurs through siloxane bond breaking in the silica network. Hydroxyls formed by hydrolysis of “in plane” Si–O–Si bonds are hardly visible in IRAS, but give rise to water desorption at lower temperatures (500–700 K). In the case of “vertical” Si–O–Si bond cleavage, the terminal silanol is standing upright at the surface, whereas the second hydroxyl is “buried” in the silica film and is invisible in IRAS, but may react with a metal substrate underneath to form a Si–O–Ru linkage.

Our preliminary experiments with Ar+ irradiation at ~500 eV (using a sputter gun) instead of electron irradiation also led to an enhanced hydroxylation of the silica film, but very mild conditions have to be chosen in order to avoid sputtering of the entire silica film.42

Besides the fundamental understanding of irradiation effects on ice covered substances in troposphere and space, in general, electron (and ion) irradiation of ice covered silica surfaces provides a well-suited tool to create surface hydroxyls randomly distributed over the silica surface and to control their abundance. These hydroxylated films may be used further for studying anchoring of active species on silica surfaces and subsequent reactions.
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