Anomalous structural and mechanical properties of solids confined in quasi one dimensional strips
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We show using computer simulations and mean field theory that a system of particles in two dimensions, when confined laterally by a pair of parallel hard walls within a quasi one dimensional channel, possesses several anomalous structural and mechanical properties not observed in the bulk. Depending on the density \(\rho\) and the distance between the walls \(L_y\), the system shows structural characteristics analogous to a weakly modulated liquid, a strongly modulated smectic, a triangular solid or a buckled phase. At fixed \(\rho\), a change in \(L_y\) leads to many reentrant discontinuous transitions involving changes in the number of layers parallel to the confining walls depending crucially on the commensurability of inter-layer spacing with \(L_y\). The solid shows resistance to elongation but not to shear. When strained beyond the elastic limit it fails undergoing plastic deformation but surprisingly, as the strain is reversed, the material recovers completely and returns to its original undeformed state. We obtain the phase diagram from mean field theory and finite size simulations and discuss the effect of fluctuations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies on various confined systems [1–18] have shown the possibility of obtaining many different structures and phases depending on the range of interactions and commensurability of a microscopic length scale of the system with the length scale of confinement. Since internal structures often play a crucial role in determining local dynamical properties like asymmetric diffusion, viscosity etc. and phase behavior [5], such studies are of considerable practical interest. Charged particles interacting via a screened Coulomb potential when confined in a one dimensional parabolic well showed many zero temperature layering transitions [3]. At high temperatures this classical Wigner crystal melts and the melting temperature shows oscillations as a function of density [3]. Such oscillations are characteristic of confined systems, arising out of commensurability. In Ref. [6, 7] a similar layering transition is found in which the number of layers in a confined liquid with smectic modulations changes discretely as the wall-to-wall separation is increased. The force between the walls oscillates as a function of this separation depending crucially on the ratio of the separation to the thickness of the smectic layers. Confined crystals always align one of the lattice planes along the direction of confinement [3, 4] and confining walls generate elongational asymmetry in the local density profile along the walls even for the slightest incommensuration [8]. For long ranged interactions, extreme localization of wall particles has been observed [4, 10]. Hard spheres confined within a two dimensional slit bounded by two parallel hard plates show a rich phase behavior [1, 2, 19–22]. For separations of one to five hard sphere diameters a phase diagram consisting of a dazzling array of up to 26 distinct crystal structures has been obtained [2, 19]. Similarly confined systems with more general interactions also show a similar array of phases [16]. In an earlier experiment on confined steel balls in quasi one dimensions (Q1D), i.e. a two dimensional system of particles confined in a narrow channel by parallel walls (lines), vibrated to simulate the effect of temperature, layering transitions, phase coexistence and melting was observed [15]. A recent study on trapped Q1D solid in contact of its own liquid showed layering transitions with increase in trapping potential [17]. Layering fluctuations in such a solid has crucial impact on the sound and heat transport across the system [23, 24].

In this paper, we show that a Q1D solid strip of length \(L_x\) confined within parallel, hard, one dimensional walls separated by a distance \(L_y\) has rather anomalous properties. These are quite different from bulk systems in one, two or three dimensions as well as from Q1D solid strips with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs). We list below the main characteristics of a Q1D confined strip that we demonstrate and discuss in this paper:

1. \textit{Re-entrant layer transitions:} The nature of the system in Q1D depends crucially on the density \(\rho\) and width of the channel \(L_y\). The number of layers of particles depends on the ratio of the interlayer spacing (fixed mainly by \(\rho\)) and \(L_y\). With increase in channel width \(L_y\) at a fixed \(\rho\) we find many re-entrant discontinuous transitions involving changes in the number of layers parallel to the confining direction. The phase diagram in the \(\rho - L_y\) plane is
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calculated from Monte Carlo simulations of systems with finite size as well as mean field theory (MFT). While all the phases show density modulations in the direction perpendicular to the wall, we identify distinct analogues of the bulk phases viz. modulated liquid, smectic, triangular solid and buckled solid.

2. Anomalous elastic moduli: A solid characterized by a periodic arrangement of atoms offers resistance to elongation as well as shear. The Q1D confined solid is shown to have a large Young’s modulus which offers resistance to tensile deformations. On the other hand the shear modulus of the system is vanishingly small so that layers of the solid parallel to the confining wall may slide past each other without resistance.

3. Reversible failure: Under externally imposed tensile strain the conjugate stress shows an initial linear rise up to a limiting value which depends on $L_y$. On further extension the stress rapidly falls to zero accompanied by a reduction in the number of solid layers parallel to the hard walls by one. However, this failure is reversible and the system completely recovers the initial structure once the strain is reduced quite unlike a similar solid strip in presence of PBCs in both the directions. The critical strain for failure by this mechanism decreases with increasing $L_y$ so that thinner strips are more resistant to failure. We show that this reversibility is related to the anomalies mentioned above. Namely, the confined solid, though possessing local crystalline order, retains the ability to flow and regenerate itself. In this manner portions of the Q1D confined solid behave like coalescing liquid droplets. A preliminary study of this reversible failure mechanism was reported in Ref.[8].

4. Displacement fluctuation and solid order: Long wavelength displacement fluctuations in Q1D are expected to destabilize crystalline order beyond a certain length scale. While we always observe this predicted growth of fluctuations, in the case of confined system, the amplitude depends crucially on the wall separation. If $L_y$ is incommensurate with the interlayer spacing, then local crystalline order is destabilized. Otherwise, fluctuations are suppressed in the confined system at high densities. Finite size effects also tend to saturate the growth of fluctuations. Solid strips of finite length therefore exhibit apparent crystalline order at high densities both in simulations as well as in experiments[3].

We have used an idealized model solid to illustrate these phenomena. Our model solid has particles (disks) which interact among themselves only through excluded volume or ”hard” repulsion. We have reasons to believe, however, that for the questions dealt with in this paper, the detailed nature of the inter particle interactions are relatively irrelevant and system behavior is largely determined by the nature of confinement and the constraints. Our results may be directly verified in experiments on sterically stabilized “hard sphere” colloids[25] confined in glass channels and may also be relevant for similarly confined atomic systems interacting with more complex potentials. Our results should hold, at least qualitatively, for systems with fairly steep repulsive interactions[30, 37].

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce the model confined solid and discuss the geometry and basic definitions of various structural and thermodynamic parameters. We then introduce the various possible structures with their basic characteristics in section III. In section IV, this will be followed by the results of computer simulations, in the constant NAT (number, area, temperature) ensemble, exploring the deformation and failure properties of this system and the relation of the various structures described in section III to one another. In section V, we provide a finite size phase diagram obtained from simulations and compare it with an MFT calculation. In section VI we discuss our results with emphasis on the role of long wave length fluctuations in the destruction of crystalline order in low dimensions and conclude giving some outlook for future work.

II. THE MODEL

The bulk system of hard disks where particles $i$ and $j$, in two dimensions, interact with the potential $V_{ij} = 0$ for $|r_{ij}| > d$ and $V_{ij} = \infty$ for $|r_{ij}| \leq d$, with $d$ the hard disk diameter and $r_{ij} = r_j - r_i$ the relative position vector of the particles, has been extensively[28–32] studied. Apart from being easily accessible to theoretical treatment[33], experimental systems with nearly “hard” interactions[25] are available. The hard disk free energy is entirely entropic in origin and the only thermodynamically relevant variable is the number density $\rho = N/V$ or the packing fraction $\eta = (\pi/4)\rho d^2$. Accurate computer simulations[31] of hard disks show that for $\eta > \eta_f = 0.719$ the system exists as a triangular lattice which melts below $\eta_m = 0.706$. The melting occurs possibly through a two step continuous transition from solid to liquid via an intervening hexatic phase[31, 32]. Elastic constants of bulk hard disks have been calculated in simulations[32, 34]. The surface free energy of the hard disk system in contact with a hard wall has also been obtained[35] taking care that the dimensions of the system are compatible with a strain-free triangular lattice.

The wall-particle interaction potential $V_{wall}(y) = 0$ for $d/2 < y < L_y - d/2$ and $= \infty$ otherwise. Here, evidently, $L_y$ is the width of the channel. The length of the channel is $L_x$ with $L_x \gg L_y$. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed in the $x$ direction(Fig.1).

Before we go on to describe the various phases we observe in this model system, it is instructive to consider
that the third reciprocal lattice direction \( \chi_G \) is a function of packing fraction \( \eta \), \( L \), \( \pi \), and may remain small even if \( \varepsilon \) is large. The stress is always a monotonic function of \( \varepsilon_d \). Note that a pair of variables \( \eta \) and \( L_y \) (or alternately \( \varepsilon \) and \( \chi \)) uniquely fixes the state of the system.

III. STRUCTURES AND PHASES

In QID long wavelength fluctuations[37] are expected to destroy all possible order except for those imposed by explicit (as opposed to spontaneous) breaking of symmetry. The confining potential, in the case of a QID system in a hard wall channel, explicitly breaks the continuous rotational symmetry down to a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetry (rotations by angle \( \pm \pi \)). This immediately leads to all \( 2n \)-atic bond orientational orders, like nematic (\( n = 1 \)), square (\( n = 2 \)), hexatic (\( n = 3 \)) etc. which remain nonzero throughout the phase diagram. This situation is therefore similar to a system in a periodic laser potential[38–40]. Apart from orientational symmetry, the confining potential explicitly breaks translational symmetry perpendicular to the walls leading to a density modulation in that direction. Any fluctuation which leads to global changes in the direction of layering or in the layer spacing for fixed wall to wall separation is strongly suppressed.
For finite systems (and over finite - but very long - times) of confined Q1D strips one obtains long-lived, metastable ordered phases which are observable even in experiments [15]. It is these 'phases' that we describe in this section. For convenience in nomenclature, we continue to use the terms solid, modulated liquid and smectic to denote these phases, though keeping in mind that the distinctions are largely quantitative and not qualitative. For example, a weak solid-like local hexagonal modulation is present on top of the smectic layering order in what we call the smectic phase. The smectic develops continuously and smoothly from the modulated liquid. We also denote the sharp changes in observables (e.g. strength of diffraction peaks) as "phase transitions" though they can never be classified as true phase transitions in the sense of equilibrium thermodynamics. We show, however, that these phase transitions may be described within MFTs (section V) although they might loose meaning when fluctuations are considered in the limit of infinite observation time.

In our description of the phases we make use of the structure factor

$$\rho_G = \left< \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \exp(-iG \cdot r_{jk}) \right>,$$

where \(r_{ij} = r_j - r_i\) with \(r_i\) the position vector of particle \(i\). We shall use particularly the values of \(\rho_G\) for the reciprocal lattice vectors \(G = \pm G_1(\eta)\) and \(\pm G_2(\eta)\). Notice that \(\pm G_2(\eta)\) and \(\pm G_3(\eta)\) as shown in Fig.1 are equivalent directions. A plot of \(\rho_G\) for \(G = (G_x, G_y)\) in the two dimensional plane gives the diffraction pattern observable in scattering experiments. For every phase discussed below, the diffraction pattern always shows at least two peaks corresponding to \(\pm G_1\) since they represent the density modulation imposed by the walls. The relative strengths of these peaks, of course, depend on the structure of the phase concerned.

If the separation between the hard walls is kept commensurate such that \(\chi = n_1\) an integer, at high density we obtain a perfect two dimensional triangular solid (Fig.2). The solid shows a diffraction pattern which is typical for a two dimensional triangular crystal. We show later that appearances can be deceptive, however. This triangular "solid" is shown to have zero shear modulus which would mean that it can flow without resistance along the length of the channel like a liquid. Stretching the solid strip lengthwise, on the other hand, costs energy and is resisted. The strength of the diffraction peaks decreases rapidly with the order of the diffraction. In strictly two dimensions this is governed by a non-universal exponent dependent on the elastic constants [41]. In Q1D this decay should be faster. However, larger system sizes and averaging over a large number of configurations would be required to observe this decay, since constraints placed by the hard walls make the system slow to equilibrate at high densities. For a general value of \(\chi\) the lattice is strained which shows up in the relative intensities of the peaks in the diffraction pattern corresponding to \(G_2\) and \(G_3\).

A little extra space introduced between the walls starting from a high density solid phase gives rise to buckling instability in \(y\)-direction and the system breaks into several triangular solid regions along the \(x\)-direction (Fig.3). Each of these regions slide along close packed planes (corresponding to the reciprocal directions \(G_2\) or \(G_3\)) with respect to one another. This produces a buckling wave with displacement in \(y\)-direction travelling along the length of the solid. In conformity with the quasi two dimensional analog [1, 21, 22] we call this the buckled solid and it interpolates continuously from \(\chi = n_1\) to \(n_1 \pm 1\) layers. This phase can also occur due to the introduction of a compressional strain in \(x\)-direction keeping \(L_y\) fixed. The diffraction pattern shows a considerable weakening of the spots corresponding to planes parallel to the walls \(\rho_G\), together with generation of extra spots at smaller wave-number corresponding to the buckled super-lattice. The diffraction pattern is therefore almost complementary to that of the smectic phase to be discussed below. We do not observe the buckled solid at low densities close to the freezing transition. Large fluctuations at such densities lead to creation of bands of the smectic phase within a solid eventually causing the solid to melt.

At low enough densities or high enough in-
FIG. 4: (Colour online) Smectic: A confined triangular solid at \( \eta = 0.85 \) is strained in \( x \)-direction to a packing fraction \( \eta = 0.73 \). The upper panel shows a picture of \( 10^3 \) superimposed configurations. The colour code for local density is same as Fig. 2. The lower left panel shows the density modulation in \( y \)-direction. The lower right panel shows the corresponding structure factor where the only remaining strong peaks are in \( G_1 \) direction identifying a smectic order (solid-like in \( y \)-direction and liquid-like in other directions). This smectic phase, however, possesses local hexagonal modulations producing local triangular order.

Commensuration (\( \chi \) half integral) the elongated density profiles in the lattice planes parallel to the walls can overlap to give rise to a smectic phase (Fig. 4) in which local density peaks are smeared out in \( x \)-direction but are clearly separated in \( y \)-direction giving rise to a layered structure. The diffraction pattern shows only two strong spots (\( \rho G_1 \)) which is typical corresponding to the symmetry of a smectic phase. We use this fact as the defining principle for this phase.

At further lower densities the relative displacement fluctuations between neighbors diverges and the diffraction pattern shows a ring-like feature typical of a liquid which appears together with the smectic like peaks in the direction perpendicular to the walls. We monitor this using the relative Lindemann parameter\([55]\), given by,

\[
l = \langle (u_i^{\alpha} - u_j^{\alpha})^2 \rangle / a_i^{\alpha2} + \langle (u_i^{\beta} - u_j^{\beta})^2 \rangle / a_y^{\beta2}
\]

where the angular brackets denote averages over configurations, \( i \) and \( j \) are nearest neighbors and \( u_i^{\alpha} \) is the \( \alpha \)-th component of the displacement of particle \( i \) from it’s mean position. This phase is a modulated liquid (Fig. 5). The density modulation decays away from the walls and for large \( L_y \), the density profile in the middle of the channel becomes uniform. A clear structural difference between smectic and modulated liquid is the presence of

FIG. 5: (Colour online) Modulated liquid: A confined triangular solid at \( \eta = 0.85 \) is strained in \( x \)-direction reducing the density to \( \eta = 0.6 \). The upper panel shows a picture of \( 10^3 \) superimposed configurations. The colour code of local densities is the same as Fig. 2. The lower left panel shows the density modulation in \( y \)-direction which is like the smectic phase but the modulation dies out at the center. The structure factor in the lower right panel shows a ring like pattern which is a typical signature of liquid. Superimposed on this are strong smectic-like peaks in \( G_1 \) direction.

IV. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND FAILURE

In this section we present the results for the mechanical behavior and failure of the Q1D confined solid under tension. We know that fracture in bulk solids occurs by the nucleation and growth of cracks\([42–45]\). The interaction of dislocations or zones of plastic deformation\([45, 46]\) with the growing crack tip determines the failure mechanism viz. either ductile or brittle fracture. Studies of the fracture of single-walled carbon nanotubes\([47, 48]\) show failure driven by bond-breaking which produces nano cracks which run along the tube circumference leading to brittle fracture. Thin nano-wires of Ni are known\([49, 50]\) to show ductile failure with extensive plastic flow and
amorphization. We show that the Q1D confined solid behaves anomalously, quite unlike any of the mechanisms mentioned above. It shows reversible plastic deformation and failure in the constant extension ensemble. The failure occurs by the nucleation and growth of smectic regions which occur as distinct bands spanning the width of the solid strip.

We study the effects of strain on the hard disk triangular solid for a \( L_y \) large enough to accommodate only a small number of layers \( n_t \approx 9 - 25 \). The Lindemann parameter \( l \) diverges at the melting transition [51]. We also compute the quantities \( \rho_G = G_1 \), and \( \rho_G = G_2 \).

In Fig.6 we show how \( \rho_{G_2} \), \( \rho_{G_3} \), and \( l \) vary as a function of externally imposed elongational strain that reduces \( \eta \). Throughout, \( \rho_{G_2} < \rho_{G_1} \neq 0 \). This is a consequence of the hard wall constraint[35] which induces an oblate anisotropy in the local density peaks of the solid off commensuration (nonintegral \( \chi \)). As \( \eta \) is decreased both \( \rho_{G_1} \), and \( \rho_{G_2} \) show a sharp drop at \( \eta = \eta_c \) where \( \chi = \chi^* \approx n_l - 1/2 \) [Fig. 7 (inset)]. For \( \eta < \eta_c \), we get \( \rho_{G_2} = 0 \) with \( \rho_{G_1} \neq 0 \) signifying a transition from crystalline to smectic like order. The Lindemann parameter \( l \) remains zero and diverges only below \( \eta = \eta_{G_2} (\approx \eta_{G_3}) \) indicating a finite-size- broadened “melting” of the smectic to a modulated liquid phase.

To understand the mechanical response of the confined strips, we compute the conjugate stress built up in the system as a function of applied strain. The stress tensor of a bulk system interacting via two body central potential has two parts: (i) A kinetic part \( \sigma^K \) leading to an isotropic pressure and (ii) A virial term due to inter-particle interaction \( \sigma^V \). The free-particle-like kinetic component of the stress \( \beta \sigma^K \) and the component due to inter-particle interaction \( \sigma^V \) is given by

\[
\beta \sigma^K = \frac{\beta}{S} \left( \sum_{ij} \delta(r_{ij} - d) \frac{r_{ij} \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right) + N \delta_{\lambda \nu} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

The presence of walls gives rise to a potential which varies only in the direction perpendicular to the walls. Therefore, strains \( \epsilon_{xx} \) and \( \epsilon_{yy} \) do not lead to any change in the wall induced potential. As a consequence the conjugate stresses for the confined system \( \sigma^C_{xx} = \sigma_{xx} \) and \( \sigma^C_{yy} = \sigma_{yy} \). However, a strain \( \epsilon_{yx} \) does lead to a change in potential due to the walls and therefore a new term in the expression for conjugate stress appears[53],

\[
\sigma^C_{yx} = \sigma_{yx} + \sigma_w^C \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_w^C = -\left( \sum_{ij \in \text{w}} \frac{f_{ij}}{S} \right) \quad \text{(6)}
\]

The deviatoric stress, \( \sigma^C = \sigma^C_{xx} - \sigma^C_{yy} \), versus strain, \( \epsilon = \epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy} \) (\( \epsilon_{yy} = 0 \)) curve for confined hard disks is shown in Fig. 7. For \( \eta = \eta_t \) (\( \epsilon = 0 \)) the stress due to the inter-particle interaction is purely hydrostatic with \( \sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} \) as expected; however, due to the excess pressure from the walls the solid is actually always under compression along the \( y \) direction, thus \( \sigma^C_{xx} > \sigma^C_{yy} \). At this point the system is perfectly commensurate with channel width and the local density profiles are circularly symmetric.

Initially, the stress increases linearly, flattening out at the onset of plastic behavior at \( \eta \lesssim \eta_{G_3} \). At \( \eta_{G_3} \), with the nucleation of smectic bands, \( \sigma^C \) decreases and eventually becomes negative. At \( \eta_{G_2} \) the smectic phase spans the entire system and \( \sigma^C \) is minimum. On further decrease in \( \eta \) towards \( \eta_{G_1} \), \( \sigma^C \) approaches 0 from below (Fig. 7) thus forming a loop. Eventually it shows a small overshoot, which ultimately goes to zero, from above, as the smectic smoothly goes over to a more symmetric liquid phase – thus recovering the Pascal’s law at low enough densities. If the strain is reversed by increasing \( \eta \) back to \( \eta_0 \) the entire stress-strain curve is traced back with no remnant stress at \( \eta = \eta_0 \) showing that the plastic region is reversible. For the system shown in Fig.1 we obtained \( \eta_{G_3} \approx 0.77 \), \( \eta_{G_2} \approx 0.74 \) and \( \eta_{G_1} \approx 0.7 \). As \( L_y \) is increased, \( \eta_{G_1} \) merges with \( \eta_{G_3} \) for \( n \gtrsim 25 \). If instead, \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) are both rescaled to keep \( \chi = \eta_0 \) fixed or PBCs are imposed in both \( x \) and \( y \) directions, the transitions
With increase in strain, we impose strain in a fashion similar to that described for a confined Q1D strip in the density range $\eta_s < \eta < \eta_c$, we observe that the smectic order appears within narrow bands (Fig. 9). Inside these bands the number of layers is less by one and the system in this range of $\eta$ is in a mixed phase. A plot (Fig.9 (a) and (b)) of $\chi(x,t)$, where we treat $\chi$ as a space and time (MCS) dependent “order parameter” (configuration averaged number of layers over a window in $x$ and $t$), shows bands in which $\chi$ is less by one compared to the crystalline regions. After nucleation, narrow bands coalesce to form wider bands over very large time scales. The total size of such bands grow as $\eta$ decreases. Calculated diffraction patterns (Fig. 9 (c) and (d)) show that, locally, within a smectic band $\rho G_1 > \rho G_2$ in contrast to the solid region where $\rho G_1 \approx \rho G_2 \neq 0$.

Noting that $\chi = \chi^* = n_l - 1/2$ when the solid fails (Fig. 7 inset), it follows from Eq. 2, the critical strain $\epsilon^*_c = (4n_l-5)/(2n_l-3)(2n_l-2) \sim 1/n_l$. This is supported by our simulation data over the range $9 < n_l < 14$. This shows that thinner strips (smaller $n_l$) are more resistant to failure. At these strains the solid generates bands consisting of regions with one less atomic layer. Within these
bands adjacent local density peaks of the ‘atom’s overlap in the $x$ direction producing a smectic. Within a simple density functional argument[59] it can be shown that the spread of local density profile along $x$-axis $\alpha_x \sim 1/\sqrt{\rho_G}$ and that along $y$-direction is $\alpha_y \sim 1/\sqrt{2\rho_G} + \rho_G/\delta F$. In the limit $\rho_G \to 0$ (melting of solid) $\alpha_x$ diverges though $\alpha_y$ remains finite as $\rho_G$, remains positive definite. Thus the resulting structure has a smectic symmetry.

A superposition of many particle positions near a solid-smectic interface [see Fig. 9(e)] shows that: (1) The width of the interface is large, spanning about 10–15 atomic spacings. (2) The interface between $n_l$ layered crystal and $n_l - 1$ layered smectic contains a dislocation with Burger’s vector in the $y$-direction which makes up for the difference in the number of layers. Each band of width $s$ is therefore held in place by a dislocation-anti-dislocation pair (Fig. 9). In analogy with classical nucleation theory[55, 56], the free energy $F_b$ of a single band can be written as

$$F_b = -\delta Fs + E_c + \frac{1}{8\pi} b^2 K_\Delta \log \frac{s}{a_0}$$

where $\vec{b} = \hat{y}a_y/2 + \hat{x}a_x/2$ is the the Burger’s vector, $\delta F$ the free energy difference between the crystal and the smectic per unit length and $E_c$ the core energy for a dislocation pair. Bands form when dislocation pairs separated by $s > \frac{1}{8\pi} b^2 K_\Delta / \delta F$ arise due to random fluctuations. To produce a dislocation pair a large energy barrier of core energy $E_c$ has to be overcome. Though even for very small strains $\varepsilon_d$ the elastic free energy becomes unstable the random fluctuations can not overcome this large energy barrier within finite time scales thereby suppressing the production of $n_l - 1$ layered smectic bands up to the point of $\varepsilon_d^*$. In principle, if one could wait for truly infinite times the fluctuations can produce such dislocation pairs for any non-zero $\varepsilon_d$ though the probability for such productions $\exp(-\beta E_c)$ are indeed very low. Using a procedure similar to that used in Ref.[32, 40, 53], we have monitored the dislocation probability as a function of $\eta$ (Fig.10). For confined hard disks, there are essentially three kinds of dislocations with Burger’s vectors parallel to the three possible bonds in a triangular solid. Only dislocations with Burger’s vectors having components perpendicular to the walls, cause a change in $n_l$ and are therefore relevant. The dislocation formation probability is obtained by performing a simulation where the local connectivity of bonds in the solid is not allowed to change while an audit is performed of the number of moves which end to do so. Since each possible distortion of the unit cell (see Fig.10 - inset) can be obtained by two specific sets of dislocations, the dislocation probabilities may be easily obtained from the measured probability of bond breaking moves. Not surprisingly, the probability of obtaining dislocation pairs with the relevant Burger’s vector increases dramatically as $\eta \to \eta_c$ (Fig.10) and artificially removing configurations with such dislocations suppresses the transition completely. Band coalescence occurs by diffusion aided dislocation “climb” which at high density implies slow kinetics. The amount of imposed strain fixes the total amount of solid and smectic regions within the strip. The coarsening of the smectic bands within the solid background in presence of this preservation, leads to an even slower dynamics than non-conserving diffusion. Therefore the size of smectic band $L(t)$ scales as $L \sim t^{1/3}$[57]. Throughout the two-phase region, the crystal is in compression and the smectic in tension along the $y$ direction so that $\sigma$ is completely determined by the amount of the co-existing phases. Also the walls ensure that orientation relationships between the two phases are preserved throughout. As the amount of solid or smectic in the system is entirely governed by the strain value $\varepsilon$ the amount of stress $\sigma$ is completely determined by the value of strain at all times regardless of deformation history. This explains the reversible[58] plastic deformation in Fig. 7.
The free energy per unit volume \( \sigma \) from a known free energy at some density \( \rho \) is given entirely by the lattice separations \( b = a_0(1 + \epsilon_{xx}) \) and \( h = \sqrt{3} a_0 (1 + \epsilon_{yy}) / 2 \) where \( a_0 \) is lattice parameter of a triangular lattice at any given packing fraction \( \eta \) and \( \epsilon_{xx} = (n_l - 1)/(\chi - 1) \), \( \epsilon_{yy} = (\chi - 1)/(\eta - 1) \). As stated in Sec.II, \( \chi \) is obtained from channel width \( L_y \) and packing fraction \( \eta \). \( v_f \) is the area available to the central test particle. Note that the effect of the confining geometry is incorporated in the lattice separations \( b, h \). The FNVF free energy has minima at all \( \chi = n_l \). For half integral values of \( \chi \) the homogeneous crystal is locally unstable. Although FNVF fails also at these points, this is irrelevant for the transition through such strains are realized. In the high density triangular solid phase, we know \( f^N_f (\rho_0 = \rho_{\Delta}) \), exactly, from the fixed neighbor free volume theory (FNVFT). It is interesting to note that, apart from at \( \rho_{\Delta} \), this FNVF can estimate the solid free energy quite accurately at small strains \( \epsilon_{xx} < 4\% \) around \( \rho_{\Delta} \). To obtain the solid branch of free energy \( f^S_f (\rho) \) from simulations, we integrate the \( p_x - \rho \) curve starting from the FNVF estimate at \( \rho = \rho_0 \equiv \rho_{\Delta} \).

For the confined fluid phase we use a phenomenological free energy \([62]\) of hard disk fluid added with a simple surface tension term due to the scaled particle theory \([63]\). We outline this in the following. For the bulk (unconfined) liquid phase one can obtain \( f^S_f (\rho = p_l) \) up to a fairly large volume fraction \( \eta_l \sim 0.81 \) the liquid reaches its metastable limit in bulk, using the phenomenological expression \([62]\),

\[
f^S_f (\rho_l) = \frac{2\eta_l}{1 - \eta_l} \ln(1 - \frac{2\eta_l - 1}{\eta_l}) - \ln(1 - \frac{2\eta_l - 1}{\eta_l}) + \ln p_l - 1
\]

where \( \eta_l \) is the close packed volume and depends on \( L_y \). We have checked from the simulations that this equation of state shows good agreement with the simulated \( p_x - \eta \) diagram in a confined channel up to a density of \( \eta = 0.65 \). Above this density, \( p_x \) falls below and \( p_x \) goes above this equation of state estimate. Integrating \( f^S_f (\rho_l) \) we find the bulk part of the liquid branch of free energy \( f^L_f (\rho) \). In order to incorporate the effect of confinement approximately, we add a scaled particle theory (SPT) \([63]\) surface energy contribution to the bulk free
than the filled
\[\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} + \frac{\rho_{\text{opt}}}{2}\left[1/(1 - \eta) - 1/(1 - \eta^2)\right]\]  [63]. Thus the SPT surface energy per unit volume \(S_T = \gamma/L_y = \rho d/2\beta(1-\eta)L_y\) is added with the bulk contribution \(f^V(\rho)\) to account for the confinement.

At thermodynamic equilibrium, coexisting phases must balance the thermodynamic forces like chemical potential \(\mu = -(\partial f_V/\partial \rho)_{V,T}\). Thus from a plot of free energy vs. density obtaining common tangent to solid and liquid branches of free energies means finding coexisting densities of solid and liquid having the same chemical potential. Once the two branches of free energy are obtained in the above mentioned way, we fit each branch using polynomials up to quadratic order in density. The common tangent must share the same slope and intercept. These two conditions uniquely determine the coexisting densities. The onset of buckling instability can be found from the slope of the \(p_x - \eta\) curve at high densities – a negative slope being the signature of the transition. The estimates of the coexisting densities and onset of the buckling instabilities in our simulations are thus obtained and shown using filled symbols in the phase diagram (Fig.11).

To obtain the phase diagram from MFT (lines in Fig.11) we use the above mentioned phenomenological free energy of hard disk fluid[62] together with the SPT surface tension term. For the solid we use the FNFWVT free energy for all densities. We take care to minimize the free energy of the solid with respect to choices of \(n_l\) first. Then we find out the minimum of the solid and liquid free energies at all densities. The coexisting densities are obtained from common tangent constructions for this minimum free energy. Our MFT phase diagram so obtained is shown along with the simulation results in the \(\eta - L_y\) plane (Fig.11).

In Fig.11 points are obtained from simulations and the continuous curves from theory. The regions with densities lower than the points denoted by filled circles are single phase modulated liquid. Whereas the regions with densities larger than the points denoted by filled triangles indicate the single phase solid. The modulations in the liquid increase with increasing density and at high enough \(\rho\) the structure factor shows only two peaks typical of the smectic phase. This transition is a smooth crossover. All the regions in between the filled circles and filled triangles correspond to solid-smectic coexistence. The filled squares denote the onset of buckling instability. Smectic order increases smoothly and continuously as density increases. The liquid within the coexistence region is highly modulated.

energy. Within SPT, the interfacial tension (interfacial energy per unit length) of a hard disk fluid in contact with a hard wall can be calculated as \(\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} + \frac{\rho_{\text{opt}}}{2}\left[1/(1 - \eta) - 1/(1 - \eta^2)\right]\) and the SPT equation of state is \(\beta\rho_{\text{opt}}/\rho = 1/(1 - \eta^2)\) [63]. Thus the SPT surface energy per unit volume \(S_T = \gamma/L_y = \rho d/2\beta(1-\eta)L_y\) is added with the bulk contribution \(f^V(\rho)\) to account for the confinement.

At thermodynamic equilibrium, coexisting phases must balance the thermodynamic forces like chemical potential \(\mu = -(\partial f_V/\partial \rho)_{V,T}\). Thus from a plot of free energy vs. density obtaining common tangent to solid and liquid branches of free energies means finding coexisting densities of solid and liquid having the same chemical potential. Once the two branches of free energy are obtained in the above mentioned way, we fit each branch using polynomials up to quadratic order in density. The common tangent must share the same slope and intercept. These two conditions uniquely determine the coexisting densities. The onset of buckling instability can be found from the slope of the \(p_x - \eta\) curve at high densities – a negative slope being the signature of the transition. The estimates of the coexisting densities and onset of the buckling instabilities in our simulations are thus obtained and shown using filled symbols in the phase dia-
stable at $\eta > 0.719$, whereas, even for a commensurate confined strip of hard disks, the solid phase is stable only above a density $\eta = 0.75$. With increase in incommensuration the density of the onset of solid phase increases further. This means that confinement of the Q1D strip by planar walls has an overall destabilizing effect on the solid phase.

The phase diagram calculated in this section is a MFT phase diagram where the effects of long wavelength (and long time scale) fluctuations are ignored. For long enough Q1D strips fluctuations in the displacement variable should increase linearly destroying all possible spontaneous order and leading to a single disordered fluid phase which is layered in response to the externally imposed hard wall potential. However, even in that limit the layering transitions from lower to higher number of layers as a function of increasing channel width, survives[6].

Our simple theory therefore predicts a discontinuous solid-fluid transition via a coexistence region with change in density or channel width. However, details like the density modulation, effects of asymmetry in density profile, vanishing displacement modes at the walls and most importantly nucleation and dynamics of misfit dislocations crucial to generate the smectic band mediated failure observed in simulations are beyond the scope of this theory. Also, the effect of kinetic constraints which stabilize the solid phase well inside the two phase coexistence region is not captured in this approach. We believe, nevertheless, that this equilibrium calculation may be used as a basis for computations of reaction rates for addressing dynamical questions in the future.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

One of the key definitions of a solid states that a solid, as opposed to a liquid, is a substance which can retain its shape due to its nonzero shear modulus. Going by this definition, a Q1D solid strip even of finite length confined within planar, structureless walls is not a solid despite its rather striking triangular crystalline order. Indeed, the shear modulus of the confined solid at $\eta = 0.85$ is zero, though the corresponding system with PBC show finite nonzero shear modulus (See Fig.12). This is a curious result and is generally true for all values of $4 < n_{1} < 25$ and $\eta$ investigated by us. This immediately shows that the only thermodynamically stable phase in confined Q1D channel is one with a smectic symmetry, the density modulation coming from an explicit breaking of the translational symmetry by the confinement.

To understand the nature and amount of fluctuations in the confined Q1D solid we calculate the auto-correlation of the displacement along the channel, $B(x) = \langle (w(x) - w(0))^2 \rangle$ for a layer of particles near a boundary. The nature of the equilibrium displacement correlations ultimately determines the decay of the peak amplitudes of the structure factor and the value of the equilibrium elastic moduli [55]. It is known from harmonic theories, in one dimension $B(x) \sim x$ and in two dimensions $B(x) \sim \ln(x)$. In the Q1D system it is expected that for small distances, displacement fluctuations will grow logarithmically with distance which will crossover to a linear growth at large distances with a crossover length $x_{c} \sim L_{y} \ln L_{y}$ [36, 37]. We calculate this correlation for a system of 50000 particles averaged over 10, 30, 50, 100 configurations each separated by $10^{3}$ Monte-Carlo (MC) steps and equilibrated over $10^{5}$ MC steps at $\eta = 0.85$. We compare the results obtained for a strip with confinement and a strip with PBC's in both directions, taking care that in each case the channel width is commensurate with the inter-lattice plane separation. With an increase in the number of configurations over which the averages are done, fluctuations reduce and converge to a small number. This happens for both the cases we study: It is interesting to notice a logarithmic to linear cross-over of the fluctuations near $x = 10d$ for both the cases. Since the harmonic theory[37] ignores effects due to boundaries, one can conclude that this general behavior is quite robust. At large distances, displacement correlations are expected to saturate due to finite size effects. The magnitude of fluctuation at saturation for the confined system ($\sim 0.0035$) is noticeably lower than that in presence of PBC ($\sim 0.0045$) (Fig.13).

Thus the introduction of commensurate confinement can have a stabilizing effect of reducing the overall amount of fluctuations present in a Q1D strip with PBC.

We have separately calculated the elastic modulus of a 40 x 10 confined hard disk solid at $\eta = 0.85$ under elongation (Young's modulus) in the $x$ and the $y$ directions. For an isotropic triangular crystal in 2D these should be identical. We, however, obtain the values 1361 and 1503 (in units of $k_{B}T/d^{2}$ and within an error of 3%) respec-
In the presence of PBC in both directions and are done over 100 configurations. Averages denoted as data obtained with that in presence of hard channel confinement. Averages respectively) crosses over to a faster linear increase before saturating due to finite size effects. Confinement apparently reduces the amount of fluctuations without affecting the general behavior.

For the two cases. The Young modulus for the longitudinal elongation is smaller than that in the direction transverse to the confinement and both these values are larger than the Young modulus of the system under PBC (Y = 1350). This corroborates the fact that the non-hydrodynamic component of the stress σ_{xx} - σ_{yy} is non-zero even for vanishingly small strains as shown in Fig.7. Therefore even if we choose to regard this Q1D solid as a liquid, it is quite anomalous since it trivially violates Pascal’s law which states that the stress tensor in a liquid is always proportional to the identity. This is because of the explicit breaking of translational symmetry by the confinement. Lastly, commensurability seems to affect strongly the nature and magnitude of the displacement fluctuations which increase dramatically as the system is made incommensurate.

In this paper we have explored some of the strange and anomalous properties of Q1D confined solids which are only a few atomic dimensions wide in one direction. We have found that these properties persist for a large range of widths and the approach to bulk behavior is slow. The bulk limit is also approached in an oscillating manner with commensurability playing a very important role.

What impact, if any, do our results have for realistic systems? With recent advances in the field of nano science and technology[65, 66] new possibilities of building machines made of small assemblage of atoms and molecules are emerging. This requires a knowledge of the structures and mechanical properties of systems up to atomic scales. A priori, at such small scales, there is no reason for macroscopic continuum elasticity theory, to be valid[67]. Our results corroborate such expectations. We have shown that small systems often show entirely new behavior if constraints are imposed leading to confinement in one or more directions. We have also speculated on applications of reversible failure as accurate strain transducers or strain induced electrical or thermal switching devices [26, 27]. We believe that many of our results may have applications in tribology[16] in low dimensional systems. The effect of corrugations of the walls on the properties of the confined system is an interesting direction of future study. The destruction of long ranged solid like order should be observable in nano wires and tubes and may lead to fluctuations in transport quantities [68].
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