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INTRODUCTION

Synaptic vesicles (SVs) store neurotransmitters in presynaptic nerve endings and release them by Ca^{2+}-dependent exocytosis. SVs are then retrieved by endocytosis and locally regenerated within the nerve terminal. Before re-use, they are loaded with neurotransmitter (re)filling of synaptic vesicles, for several reasons. First, solutes that are either negatively charged (glutamate, aspartate), carry no net charges (GABA, glycine), or are positively charged (acetylcholine, amines) are coupled to the same electrochemical proton gradient and therefore require different transport mechanisms. Second, charge neutrality must be strictly maintained during each transport cycle. Due to the small volume of synaptic vesicles, a charge imbalance of only a single charge will already result in a membrane potential of 2 mV. The same applies to the proton balance, where (unless buffered) a single free proton in the lumen of a SV will result in a pH of 4, i.e., lower than that thought to be reached under physiological conditions (Füldner and Stadler, 1982; Nguyen and Parsons, 1995). Since a fully loaded SV contains several thousand neurotransmitter molecules, this means that after exhaustion of the vesicular buffering capacity, protons must exit SVs during transport of each neurotransmitter molecule. Indeed, VMATs, VACHT, and VGAT are coupled to the exchange of two (VMATs) or one (VGAT) protons (Hell et al., 1990; Johnson, 1988; Nguyen et al., 1998), whereas it is still unclear whether the VGLUTs operate as a proton exchanger, at least under conditions of high ΔpH (Wolosker et al., 1996), or as electrogenic uniporters (Omote and Moriya, 2013). In the latter case, an independent exit pathway must exist for protons. Two additional proton exchangers are thought to be present in SVs that may contribute to charge and proton balance: a chloride–proton exchanger (probably ClCl3) (Jentsch et al., 1999; Stobrawa et al., 2001) and a cation–proton exchanger (Goh et al., 2011). However, the evidence for the presence of these exchangers is still somewhat circumstantial and requires further corroboration.

Chloride ions play a fundamental role in vesicular neurotransmitter uptake, but it has been surprisingly difficult to unravel the...
underlying mechanisms. This is mainly due to the fact that it is experimentally challenging to differentiate the effect of chloride ions on the electrochemical proton gradient $\Delta \mu H^+$ from that on the transport cycles of the transporters. It has been known for many years that increasing external chloride concentrations results in a shift from $\Delta c$ to $\Delta pH$, as chloride serves as a net counter-ion for the electrogenic proton import (Johnson, 1988; Maycox et al., 1990), probably entering the vesicle via ClC3. It is far less clear how chloride ions are involved in neurotransmitter and particularly glutamate uptake. Using co-reconstitution of purified transporters with a eubacterial F$_o$F$_1$ ATPase, VGLUT1 was reported to operate as solute-chloride exchanger (Schenck et al., 2009). However, the notion that the VGLUT transport cycle is associated with net chloride flux has recently been challenged (Juge et al., 2010; Martineau et al., 2013).

Moreover, glutamate uptake is activated by low concentrations of chloride (Ahner-Hilger et al., 2003; Naito and Ueda, 1985), which was attributed to an allosteric binding site on the cytoplasmic surface of the transporter (Hartinger and Jahn, 1993; Juge et al., 2010; Wolosker et al., 1996). Last but not least, it needs to be borne in mind that before being loaded with neurotransmitter, freshly endocytosed SVs are likely to be in equilibrium with the extracellular fluid, i.e., contain more than 120 mM NaCl in their lumen, whereas the cytoplasmic chloride concentration is probably considerably lower and depends on the neuron type.

Here we have used a combination of purified SVs, SVs fused with large liposomes containing an exogenous F$_o$F$_1$-ATPase, and liposomes co-reconstituted with purified VGLUT1 and F$_o$F$_1$-ATPase to clarify how SV are filled with glutamate while maintaining ionic, charge, and pH balance. Our data suggest that VGLUT possesses at least one cation and two independent anion binding sites, one of which prefers glutamate and one chloride ions, which together explain that in addition to the proton pump, no other components except VGLUT are required for efficient glutamate uptake.

RESULTS

The Role of Chloride in the Vesicular Transport of Glutamate

First, we used SVs purified from rat brain to revisit the effect of varying Cl$^-$ concentrations on vesicular glutamate uptake (Figure 1A). In agreement with previous publications (Hell et al., 1990; Juge et al., 2006; Naito and Ueda, 1985; Winter et al., 2005), glutamate uptake was strongly activated by low chloride concentrations, peaking around 4 mM, and then declined (Figure 1A). Since an increase in the chloride concentration is associated with a shift from $\Delta c$ to $\Delta pH$ (El Mestikawy et al., 2011; Johnson, 1988; Tabb et al., 1992; Xie et al., 1983), we selectively dissipated the proton gradient either by nigericin or by ammonium sulfate. Nigericin is an electroneutral cation exchanger that exchanges H$^+$ against K$^+$, resulting in alkalinisation of the

![Figure 1. Cl$^-$ Dependence of Glutamate Uptake by Isolated Synaptic Vesicles in the Presence of Agents Dissipating $\Delta pH$](image)

(A) Chloride dependence of vesicular glutamate uptake was measured in K-gluconate uptake buffer supplemented with the given chloride concentrations. To keep osmolarity constant, chloride concentration was adjusted by substituting gluconate for chloride. Data are normalized to glutamate uptake at 4–5 mM chloride.*

(B) Glutamate uptake in the presence of 500 nM nigericin (Nig) or 10 mM (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ (NH$_4^+$) at varying external chloride concentrations was measured and normalized as in (A). In all experiments, ~10 $\mu$g LP2 was used per data point.*

Asterisk indicates mean values, with bars representing the experimental range; n = 5 (A), n = 9 (B, Nig), and n = 1 (B, NH$_4^+$). See also Figure S1.
lumen. Nigericin strongly increased glutamate uptake at low chloride concentrations (Figure 1B), an effect that can probably be attributed to a compensatory increase in $\Delta \psi$ (Henderson, 1971; Tabb et al., 1992). A similar but less pronounced stimulation was observed when the pH gradient was dissipated by ammonium sulfate, which is based on an equilibration of membrane-permeant NH$_4^+$ that captures protons in the lumen and equalizes the free proton concentration on both sides of the membrane (Henderson, 1971). In both conditions, VGLUT activity declined again at higher chloride concentrations, in agreement with earlier reports (Tabb et al., 1992; Wolosker et al., 1996). There are two possible explanations for this decline. First, it is conceivable that the membrane potential is reduced at high chloride due to a charge-compensating influx of chloride, which due to the clamping of the lumenal pH would result in a reduction of the driving force $\Delta \psi$. Such influx may be mediated by the chloride-proton exchanger ClC3 that is probably present on synaptic vesicles (Jentsch et al., 1999; Stobrawa et al., 2001). However, no difference in the biphasic chloride dependence was observable when synaptic vesicles were isolated from the brain of ClC3-KO mice (see Figure S1A available online). Second, it is possible that chloride directly competes with glutamate for uptake, which will be discussed further below.

We also examined whether the chloride dependence of VGLUTs varies with the VGLUT isofrom. This, however, was not the case: very similar data were obtained when SVs from VGLUT1-KO mice were used, although the overall activity was lower (Figure S1A). In these mice, the remaining transport activity is contributed mainly by VGLUT2. Furthermore, there is no difference in the chloride effects between SV immunosolated with VGLUT1- or VGLUT2-specific antibodies, respectively (Figure S1B).

To fully understand the role of chloride in VGLUT-mediated glutamate transport, it is necessary to control the chloride concentration not only on the cytoplasmic but also on the lumenal face of the vesicles. To this end, we reconstituted recombinant VGLUT1 purified from insect cells with a recombinant purified F$_{F_0}$F$_{F_1}$-ATPase derived from the thermophilic Bacillus PS3 (TFoF1) in liposomes (Figures 2A and S2A), i.e., using an approach similar to that used previously by Schenck et al. (2009) and Juge et al. (2006). Here both lumenal and external ionic conditions can be separately controlled, and contributions by other ion channels or ion exchangers present on SVs can be excluded.

First, we determined the orientation of VGLUT1 after reconstitution by incubating the liposomes with TEV-protease. VGLUT contains a streptavidin binding peptide tag (~5 kDa) on the cytoplasmically oriented N terminus that is only accessible to the protease if the transporter is in the correct orientation. Upon protease incubation, almost all VGLUT1 exhibited a slight reduction in size which was comparable to that observed after detergent treatment, indicating that the transporter is predominantly inserted in the correct orientation (Figure S2B). Next we checked whether the F$_{F_0}$F$_{F_1}$-ATPase is capable of generating a stable electrochemical proton gradient under our experimental conditions. Liposomes were equilibrated with 150 mM K-glucuronate on both sides of the membrane. As shown in Figure 2B, a large inside-positive membrane potential develops upon addition of ATP (Figure 2B), which is due to the electrogenic transport of protons in the absence of counter-ion conductance. When the K$^+$-ionophore valinomycin was added, the membrane potential collapsed due to the charge-neutralizing efflux of K$^+$ ions (Figure 2B), allowing the ATPase to pump in protons and generate a stable pH gradient (Figure 2C). These data demonstrate that the reconstituted system is energetically tightly coupled.

We then used this system to investigate the chloride dependence of glutamate transport. Liposomes containing both VGLUT1 and TF$_{F_0}$F$_{F_1}$ exhibited a robust uptake of glutamate that was dependent on ATP and sensitive to FCCP and to the VGLUT-specific inhibitor Evans blue (Figure 2D). In these experiments, the liposomes contained 300 mM glycine. Again, these liposomes exhibited a biphasic chloride dependence with a peak around 5 mM, closely resembling the chloride dependence of glutamate uptake by purified SVs. We then prepared liposomes containing encapsulated choline chloride (150 mM). In the absence of external chloride, uptake was strongly increased over the glycine control (Figure 2E). When the external chloride concentration was increased, we again observed a strong decline at high chloride concentrations. Intriguingly, we did not observe a consistent activation at low external chloride concentrations, although there was some variability between individual experiments. When liposomes were preloaded with choline glucuronate, the results were very similar to those obtained with glycine-loaded liposomes (data not shown), excluding nonspecific salt effects on the transport rate.

Together, we conclude that VGLUT-mediated transport is profoundly enhanced by chloride inside the vesicle in a manner that is superimposed to the activation by chloride at the cytoplasmic side. These effects can only be attributed to VGLUT itself, as TF$_{F_0}$F$_{F_1}$ shows no chloride dependence (Schenck et al., 2009) (data not shown). Consequently, the regulatory chloride binding site appears to be accessible to both faces of the membrane during the transport cycle. Such a scenario suggests that in the presence of a chloride concentration, gradient net transport of chloride ions may occur. To examine whether this is the case, we used two independent approaches. First, we tested whether in the presence of an inwardly directed chloride gradient (and low luminal buffering capacity), ATP-dependent acidification is observable. This was indeed the case (Figure 2F), although some leakage was also observed (reduced but measurable acidification in the absence of VGLUT). Second, we prepared VGLUT1 liposomes loaded with 150 mM KCl and the chloride sensitive fluorescent probe 6-methoxy-N-(3-sulfo propyl)quinolinium (SPO) (Biwersi et al., 1994; Verkman, 1990), which is quenched at high chloride concentrations. When these liposomes were incubated in an osmotically equivalent external potassium gluconate buffer, addition of valinomycin induced dequenching that was dependent on the presence of VGLUT1 (Figure 2H). No dequenching was observable when the outwardly directed chloride concentration gradient was abolished (replacement of gluconate with chloride) (Figure 2I). These observations confirm the previous notion that chloride translocation is an intrinsic property of VGLUTs (Schenck et al., 2009), as no other chloride channel or transporter is present. The chloride translocation activity is not or is only loosely coupled to glutamate transport, as chloride-induced acidification was observable in the absence of glutamate but can be partially overcome by adding glutamate (Figure 2G).
While reconstitution of purified components represents the cleanest system for analyzing ion dependence of the transporter, it cannot be excluded that the properties of the transporter are different when maintained in its native environment. For these reasons, we established an experimental approach in which large liposomes containing the TFoF1-ATPase were fused with purified synaptic vesicles. In this system, all endogenous proteins are present in the correct orientation. To this end, we took advantage of a SNARE-dependent fusion system developed earlier in our laboratory that allows for fast and highly efficient fusion between native synaptic vesicles and liposomes containing a stabilized SNARE acceptor complex (Holt et al., 2008) (see cartoon in Figure 3A). We have shown previously that hybrid vesicles are nonleaky (van den Bogaart et al., 2010), and since we used liposomes with an average diameter of 100 nm (2.5 times the diameter of SVs, i.e., more than 10-fold higher volume [Hernandez et al., 2012]), the final luminal buffer and ion composition can be effectively controlled by this procedure. To exclude interference by unfused SV, the endogenous V-ATPase was inactivated by bafilomycinA1 so that glutamate uptake by the endogenous VGLUTs can only be driven by fused SV containing the exogenous TFoF1-ATPase (Figure 3A).

The resulting hybrid vesicles displayed robust chloride-dependent acidification when low luminal buffer concentrations were used (Figure 3B, see above), with even less leakage than observed in the reconstituted system (compare Figure 2F). Acidification was slower than that of native SVs (data not shown), most likely due to the larger volume.

Glutamate uptake of fused SVs was dependent on the presence of ATP and TFoF1, and sensitive to FCCP (Figure 3C). When liposomes were loaded with chloride instead of gluconate before fusion, uptake was enhanced about 3-fold (Figure 3D). Glutamate uptake was stimulated by chloride from the outside regardless of whether chloride ions were also encapsulated inside or not, confirming that chloride ions activate VGLUT directly by occupying one or several binding sites that are accessible both from the cytoplasmic and the luminal side during the transport cycle.

As in the experiments using either purified SVs or purified reconstituted proteins, we again observed a strong decline of uptake when the chloride concentration was raised above the optimum around 5 mM. Based on a suggestion by Belloccchio et al. (2000), we therefore explored the possibility that in addition to acting on a regulatory binding site, chloride may also directly compete with glutamate for uptake at high concentrations. Indeed, a rather profound inhibition of chloride-dependent acidification by glutamate was observable (Figure 3E). These data suggest (1) that chloride-dependent acidification of synaptic vesicles is, at least in part, mediated by chloride transport via VGLUT, and (2) that chloride is able to bind to the substrate binding site in a competitive manner.

**Binding and Transport of Monovalent Cations by VGLUT**

As discussed in the introduction, an exit pathway for protons is required for efficient loading of synaptic vesicles with glutamate. Recently, a cation/proton exchange activity has been found on SVs (Goh et al., 2011). In this work, addition of monovalent cations was found to reduce acidification and to stimulate glutamate uptake by shuffling luminal protons for external cations. The activity was attributed to a sodium proton exchanger of the NHE family, largely based on its inhibition by the NHE-specific drug EIPA (ethyl-isopropyl amiloride). Furthermore, it was shown directly that SV can sequester externally added 22Na (Goh et al., 2011).

Indeed, we identified the cation/proton exchanger NHE6 by western blotting on highly purified SVs (Figure S3). Furthermore, we observed that in the presence of ATP, glutamate-dependent acidification is largely reversed upon addition of K+ and to a slightly lower extent by Na+, but only weakly by the membrane impermeable monovalent cation choline (Figure 4A). Accordingly, glutamate uptake was stimulated in the presence of K+ (Figures 4B and 4C) and Na+ (Figure 4D). The chloride dependence of glutamate transport in the presence of K+ showed the strongest stimulation at 0 mM chloride decreasing at higher chloride concentrations (Figure 4C). Na+ enhanced glutamate uptake at higher chloride concentrations (Figure 4D), all in agreement with Goh et al. (2011). However, in our hands the NHE inhibitor EIPA (ethyl-isopropyl amiloride) did not reverse the promoting effect of either Na+ or K+ (Figure 4B; data not shown).

To shed more light on the mechanism by which these cations activate glutamate transport, we used our hybrid system (SVs...
fused to TF$_{F1}$-containing liposomes, see Figure 3A) to measure glutamate uptake at varying external and luminal chloride concentrations in the absence and presence of K$^+$ or Na$^+$ ions. At 0 mM external chloride, K$^+$ increased glutamate uptake ~2.5-fold, while Na$^+$ evoked only minor changes (Figures 5A and 5B). However, when the hybrid SVs were preloaded with 150 mM chloride, the K$^+$-induced stimulation at low external chloride was less pronounced (Figure 5C), most likely being partially masked by the strong stimulatory effect of luminal chloride. The stimulatory effect of K$^+$ decreased at higher external chloride concentrations (Figures 5B and 5C), irrespective of whether the lumen contained chloride or not. This is surprising, since K$^+$ reversed ΔpH at 30 mM extraluminal chloride (data not shown). We conclude that inhibition of glutamate uptake at 30 mM chloride is independent of ΔpH. As discussed above, this is probably due to a competition between chloride and glutamate that becomes apparent at high chloride concentrations. Furthermore, subsequent addition of K$^+$, nigericin, and NH$_4^+$ leads to a stepwise increase of ΔΨ in fused SVs (Figures S4A and S4B), corroborating that the mechanism underlying glutamate uptake stimulation by K$^+$ is affecting ΔpH$^+$. 

Taken together, our findings confirm the presence of a K$^+$/H$^+$ exchange activity that depletes ΔpH and increases ΔΨ. Since NHEs do not appear to be responsible, we investigated whether the activity may be mediated by a cation binding site of VGLUT itself. VGLUT1 has been invoked previously in coupled cation transport, both upon conditions of high ΔpH as well as in its “second life” as a Na$^+$-coupled phosphate transporter (Alphara et al., 2000; Ni et al., 1994).

In order to test whether VGLUT itself may be responsible for the K$^+$-induced effects on glutamate uptake, we used our minimal reconstituted system containing purified VGLUT1 and TF$_{F1}$. Indeed, glutamate uptake was enhanced more than 2-fold in the presence of K$^+$ when chloride was absent, with the stimulatory effect again decreasing at higher external chloride concentrations (Figures 6A and 6B). Again, preloading of the VGLUT1 liposomes with 150 mM luminal chloride decreases the stimulatory effect of K$^+$ (Figure 6C), and no stimulation was observed with Na$^+$ (Figure 6A). Thus we conclude that the K$^+$-dependent enhancement of glutamate uptake is due to a direct interaction of K$^+$ ions with the VGLUT protein. Interestingly, the VGLUT-specific inhibitor Evans blue blocked K$^+$-induced, but not Na$^+$-induced, alkalization of SVs (Figures S5A and S5B), suggesting that the Na$^+$-dependent effect on alkalization may be due to a different protein, with vesicular NHE6 being a good candidate. Note that neither chloride- nor glutamate-dependent acidification was inhibited by Evans blue (Figures S5A and S5B; data not shown), suggesting that Evans (and trypan) blue inhibits VGLUT by interfering with the cation rather than the anion binding site despite its multiple negative charges. Correspondingly, nigericin reverses the inhibitory effect of Evans and trypan blue on glutamate uptake in a dose-dependent manner (Figure S5C; data not shown). To shed more light on the unexpected discrepancy between uptake and acidification, we carried out a dose-response experiment with Evans blue (Figure S5D). Even under saturating conditions, glutamate uptake is not completely inhibited (Figure S5D), raising the possibility that the block may also be reverted by the much higher substrate concentrations used in acidification experiments.

Intriguingly, similar to the cytosolic K$^+$ selectivity found in VGLUT, a monovalent cation selectivity was previously reported for excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs) on the plasma membrane, which are coupling glutamate transport to the inwardly directed Na$^+$ gradient and to the outwardly directed K$^+$ gradient (Danbolt, 2001; Ryan and Mindell, 2007; Vandenberg and Ryan, 2013). However, in contrast to EAATs, glutamate uptake was not affected by an outwardly directed Na$^+$ gradient measured in hybrid SVs and VGLUT liposomes preloaded with either Na-glucuronate or NaCl (data not shown).

To further assess the role of VGLUT in K$^+$-dependent glutamate uptake, we used light membrane fractions of PC12 cells heterogeneously expressing VGLUT2 (VGLUT2-LMFs). PC12 cells are naturally devoid of VGLUTs, allowing for measuring changes in glutamate uptake solely mediated by VGLUT in the presence of a native V-ATPase. We were able to measure FCCP-sensitive VGLUT2-specific glutamate uptake, while the light membrane fractions isolated from control cells did not accumulate glutamate (Figure 7A; data not shown). Strikingly, similar to our findings on reconstituted liposomes, we measured K$^+$-stimulated glutamate uptake in VGLUT2-LMFs, which was strongest at 0 mM chloride and dissipated at 30 mM chloride (Figure 7B). These data further corroborate VGLUT’s capability to mediate K$^+$ enhancement of glutamate uptake. Stimulation of glutamate uptake in the presence of the K$^+$/H$^+$ exchanger nigericin showed a corresponding pattern in VGLUT2-LMFs (Figure 7B) and in SVs (Figure 1B), suggesting that VGLUTs operate in a similar K$^+$/H$^+$ exchanging manner. Notably, the stimulatory effect on glutamate uptake was again selective to K$^+$ and was not measured with Na$^+$ (Figure 7A), supporting the view that the transporter,

Figure 3. Glutamate Uptake by Hybrid Vesicles Resulting from the Fusion of Synaptic Vesicles with TF$_{F1}$-Containing Liposomes: Dependence on Internal and External Cl$^-$

(A) Diagram showing the formation of hybrid vesicles. TF$_{F1}$ liposomes containing an activated Q-SNARE acceptor complex were fused with native SVs containing the endogenous R-SNARE synaptobrevin. The endogenous V-ATPase was inhibited by 0.2 μM bafilomycinA1, making glutamate uptake solely dependent on the external TF$_{F1}$-ATPase.

(B) Acidification hybrid vesicles preloaded with 150 mM choline gluconate (Gluc$^-$) were monitored in the presence and absence of TF$_{F1}$ at 30 mM external choline chloride and 0.2 μM bafilomycinA1. Hybrid SVs showed a strong chloride-dependent acidification that was dependent on the presence of TF$_{F1}$.

(C) Glutamate uptake by hybrid vesicles preloaded with either 150 mM choline gluconate (Gluc$^-$) or 150 mM choline chloride (Cl$^-$). The assay was performed at 4 mM external choline chloride and 150 mM chloride gluconate in the presence of 0.2 μM bafilomycin A1 (~15 μg of total protein/data point).*

(D) Glutamate uptake by hybrid vesicles preloaded with 150 mM choline gluconate (Gluc$^-$) or chloride gluconate (Cl$^-$). Assay conditions were as in (C).

(E) Inhibition of chloride-dependent acidification by glutamate. Hybrid vesicles were loaded with 150 mM choline gluconate (Gluc$^-$), and acidification was measured in the presence of 30 mM external choline chloride (Cl$^-$) in the absence or presence of glutamate (Glu$_{128}$) at the indicated concentrations. Asterisk indicates mean values, with bars representing the experimental range. n = 3 (C), n = 6 (D, Cl$^-$), and n = 4 (D, Gluc$^-$).
at least when accessed from the cytoplasmic face, is selective for potassium ions.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study we used a combination of different approaches to show that VGLUT, in addition to its capacity to transport glutamate, displays a chloride transport mode and a K+/H+ antiport mode, which are apparently only loosely coupled to glutamate transport. These properties enable VGLUT to maximize glutamate uptake while adjusting to the changing ionic environment during transport. Our findings can be integrated into a model according to which the transporter possesses two anion binding sites, one of which binds chloride and the second of which preferentially binds glutamate, and at least one cation binding site with cytosolic preference of K+ over Na+ and lumenal binding of H+ (Figure 8).

In recent years, major progress has been made concerning the structural and functional understanding of solute carriers. In particular, it is becoming apparent that despite their diversity, bacterial transporters (and by analogy probably also their eukaryotic relatives) are structurally highly conserved (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009). Most of the sodium and proton gradient-coupled transporters can be classified in only two main folds which comprise the LeuT fold, a eubacterial ortholog of transporters for positively charged and neutral neurotransmitters such as glycine, GABA, and monoamines, and the GltPh fold, the archebacterial ortholog of transporters for the negatively charged neurotransmitters glutamate and aspartate (Focke et al., 2013; Gouaux, 2009; Krishnamurthy et al., 2009). Based on these similarities, it is legitimate to extrapolate to eukaryotic transporters including VGLUTs, in particular with respect to the understanding of the ion and substrate binding sites and the conformational transitions during transport.

Figure 8 shows a model for the VGLUT transport cycle under two different conditions, which builds on these developments and tries to integrate our present knowledge about chloride regulation and K+/H+ antiport, both from this work and from previous publications (Belloccchio et al., 2000; Goh et al., 2011; Hartinger and Jahn, 1993; Juge et al., 2006, 2010; Maycox et al., 1988; Naito and Ueda, 1985; Schenck et al., 2009; Tabb et al., 1992; Takamori et al., 2000; Wolosker et al., 1996). It is based on the following assumptions: analogous to all transporters crystallized so far (including GltPh and LeuT), we assume that the transporter shuttles between two main conformations in which the substrate binding pocket is open either at the cytoplasmic (state I) or the lumenal side (state II, see Figure 8) (Rudnick, 2011). We assume further that the hydrophilic binding pocket contains at least three ion binding sites: two for anions and at least one for monovalent cations. Of the anion binding sites, one is specific for Cl− with an affinity in the low mM range, suggesting that it is always occupied under physiological conditions and does not result in net Cl− transport (referred to as Cl− binding site). The second anion binding site is responsible for substrate...
binding. It prefers glutamate (thus referred to as Glu^- binding site) but also binds Cl^-, albeit with lower affinity. At present, we do not know whether these sites influence each other.

While the transporter can switch from state I to state II with only the glutamate binding site being occupied, conformational switching is accelerated when the chloride binding site is also occupied. In state II, the affinity for glutamate is low, resulting in dissociation, whereas either the affinity or the dissociation rate constant of chloride to this site is not reduced in a similar manner. Reversal from state II to state I is also accelerated when the chloride binding site is occupied, suggesting that chloride binding may lower the activation energy for the conformational transition in either direction. This is supported by the finding that chloride activation is maximal when chloride is present on both sides of the membrane.

The cation binding site appears to show a strong preference for K^+ ions in state I. Furthermore, our data suggest that protons can be transported in the reverse direction, resulting in a K^+/H^+ exchange activity, which, however, does not appear to be tightly coupled, at least not to glutamate transport. This antiport of a lumenal proton and a cytosolic potassium converts the transmembrane pH gradient into a transmembrane electrical potential difference, while conformational switching is presumably not accelerated, as the kinetics of glutamate transport are not affected by the cations (Goh et al., 2011).

The presence of ion binding sites whose occupancy is not directly coupled to the conformational transition endows the transporter with a high degree of flexibility, allowing for an adjustment to the changing ionic environment during transport. After exocytosis, synaptic vesicles are re-endocytosed and thus contain extracellular fluid, including NaCl well above 100 mM and buffers with a capacity in the range of 55–65 mM (Alpern et al., 1983; Deitmer and Rose, 1996; Goldsmith and Hilton, 1992). Under these conditions, the glutamate binding site is loaded with glutamate at the cytoplasmic face, while the cation binding may be at least partially occupied by K^+ (Figure 8B). Notably, the stimulatory effect of potassium is strongest in the absence of chloride, suggesting that, in addition to serving as a cation exchanger, this site may also activate the transporter when the chloride site is not occupied. After conformational switching, glutamate dissociates. Probably, the glutamate binding site is then at least partially occupied by Cl^-, as during this phase of transport the lumenal Cl^- concentration is much higher than that of glutamate, resulting in substoichiometric reverse transport of Cl^-.

Figure 5. K^+ Stimulates Glutamate Transport by Hybrid Vesicles

(A) Glutamate uptake by hybrid vesicles preloaded with 150 mM choline gluconate was measured in the absence of external Cl^- (K^+), Na-gluconate with 100 mM TBOA (Na^+), or choline gluconate (Cho^+), all at 50 mM, and FCCP (30 mM). A control included hybrid vesicles generated by fusion with liposomes lacking TF_oF1 (-TF_oF1).*

(B and C) Cl^- dependence of glutamate uptake by hybrid vesicles that were preloaded either with 150 mM choline gluconate (Glu^-) (B) or with 150 mM choline chloride (Cho^+) (C) in the presence of 50 mM K-glucuronate (K^+) and choline gluconate (Cho^+). Data were normalized to the glutamate uptake in the presence of Cho^+ and 4 mM Cl^-out.*

Asterisk indicates mean values, with bars representing the experimental range; n = 3 (A, n = 3 (B, K^+), n = 6 (B, Cho^+), n = 3 (C, K^+), n = 3 (C, Cho^+), and n = 6 (C, Glu^-in, Cho^+out). Also see Figure S4.
suggested that vesicles contain a “gel matrix,” mainly consisting of
oligosaccharyl side chains of the protein SV2, that is capable of osmotic
buffering (Reigada et al., 2003). Alternatively, osmotic balance may be maintained by a net efflux of NaCl. We cannot exclude that the cation binding site of VGLUT can be used to export Na+, although we were unable to detect an effect of Na+ on glutamate transport. Alternatively, Na+ may exit using NHE6 (see below) or may remain inside as counter-ion for glutamate, being balanced by proton export or substoichiometric K+/H+ exchange via the cation binding site. Overall transport is electrogenic, with charge compensation being provided by pumping of H+ that is neutralized by the entrapped buffers.

Ongoing transport results in an accumulation of glutamate inside the vesicle. Most importantly, the buffering capacity of the lumenal buffers will become exhausted, resulting in a net acidification of the vesicle lumen, requiring a proton exit pathway for continued transport once the lumenal pH reaches its steady-state value of \( \Delta p\text{H} = -5.5 \) (Füldner and Stadler, 1982; Michaelson and Angel, 1980; Nguyen and Parsons, 1995). These conditions are experimentally more difficult to access, as radiotracer uptake experiments cannot easily be carried out at the required high glutamate concentrations. However, under these conditions K+/H+ antiport mediated by the cation binding site is likely to play a major role in sustaining glutamate uptake as it maintains \( \Delta p\text{H} \) and decreases \( \Delta p\text{H} \) (Figure 8C). Furthermore, reverse transport of Cl− ceases due to the reduction in the lumenal Cl− concentration.

The model proposes a mechanism for glutamate transport which, in contrast to classical transporters such as plasma membrane neurotransmitter transporters, is not tightly coupled to the transport of other ions but rather engages the available anionic and cationic gradients for optimal loading of glutamate into the synaptic vesicle. It also reconciles, at least in part, the seeming contradictions between the study of Schenck et al. (2009), who reported a strong stimulation by lumenal chloride of glutamate uptake in a reconstituted system (which we have now corroborated), and the inability of Juge et al. (2010) to detect chloride uptake during glutamate uptake. Our data show clearly that Cl− can be transported by VGLUT, as both chloride-dependent acidification and chloride efflux are observable in liposomes containing only TF_{6}F_{6} and VGLUT. Furthermore, due to the interference by high concentrations of glutamate, we assume that chloride directly competes with glutamate binding, in agreement with a previous suggestion (Bellocchio et al., 2000). Thus, high cytoplasmic chloride not only enhances \( \Delta p\text{H} \) at the expense of \( \Delta p\text{H} \).
but also directly interferes with glutamate transport, explaining the strong drop in glutamate uptake at high chloride concentrations (Bellocchio et al., 2000; Juge et al., 2006; Schenck et al., 2009; Tabb et al., 1992). The inability of Juge et al. to detect Cl⁻ inward transport during glutamate transport can be readily explained, because no net import of Cl⁻ is expected under standard transport conditions (see Figure 8) even if the lumenal concentration of Cl⁻ is low. The notion that Cl⁻ is required for transporter activation but does not participate as a mandatory component in the transport cycle also helps explain why changes in cytoplasmic chloride do not appear to have drastic effects on the degree of vesicle filling. In the calyx of Held no change in quantal size was observed when dialyzed with varying chloride concentrations (Price and Trussell, 2006). These data suggest that external chloride binding solely affects uptake kinetics, but not the final amount of glutamate that can be loaded.

Although the model is capable of explaining most of the observed effects of chloride and potassium on vesicular glutamate uptake, there are still some loose ends. For instance, synaptic-like microvesicles isolated from astrocytes contain VGLUT1 and transport both glutamate and D-serine. Surprisingly, they do not show any chloride-dependent acidification, whereas glutamate causes acidification (Martineau et al., 2013). In contrast, in our experiments VGLUT alone is capable of mediating Cl⁻-dependent acidification which is partially competed for by high glutamate concentrations. Furthermore, the fact that VGLUT can function as a Na⁺/phosphate cotransporter when exposed at the plasma membrane needs to be integrated into the emerging picture of the transporter, requiring further experiments. Juge and colleagues (Juge et al., 2006) have shown earlier that glutamate and phosphate transport appear to involve different sites, which would call for a third anion binding site that may, however, show overlap with one or both of the other two sites. Moreover, the role of Na⁺ awaits further clarification: whereas we were unable to detect any effect of sodium on glutamate uptake in the reconstituted system, it is conceivable that in the presence of phosphate the cation binding site can also (or even preferentially) accommodate Na⁺ ions.

Our model implies that VGLUT does not need other ion channels or transporters to fill a vesicle with glutamate. This notion is strongly supported by earlier work showing that VGLUT1 and VGLUT2, as well as VGLUT3, when transfected into GABAergic neurons, resulted in the release of glutamate with normal quantal size (Takamori et al., 2000, 2001; Weston et al., 2011). However, uptake is likely to be facilitated by other ion exchangers such as NHE6 or also ClC3 (or another relative), which support the maintenance of ionic and charge balance during transport. Interestingly, NHE7, which together with NHE6 and NHE9 was believed to exchange cytosolic K⁺ with lumenal H⁺ on intracellular compartments (Nakamura et al., 2005; Numata and Orlowski, 2001), has now been found to selectively transport Na⁺ and Li⁺, but not K⁺ (Milosavljevic et al., 2014), in agreement with our finding that K⁺ transport is mediated by VGLUT.

In summary, it is becoming apparent that VGLUTs share common mechanistic features with plasma membrane transporters of the solute carrier superfamily, such as EAATs and their bacterial orthologs. For instance, EAATs and its archaebacterial ortholog GltPh display a conserved and nonstoichiometrically

Figure 7. Membrane Vesicles Isolated from VGLUT2-Expressing PC12 Cells Show K⁺ Stimulation of FCCP-Sensitive Glutamate Uptake

(A) Glutamate uptake by light membrane fractions isolated from PC12 cells stably expressing VGLUT2. The incubation conditions were as described in the legend to Figure 5A. Light membranes from mock-transfected PC12 were used as control.*

(B) Cl⁻ dependence of glutamate uptake. Uptake was measured in the presence of 50 mM K-glucuronate (K⁺ out) or choline glucuronate (Cho⁺ out). Nig, incubation in the presence of K-glucuronate and nigericin (10 nM). The data were normalized to Cho⁺ out and 4 mM Cl⁻ out and analyzed using a two-tailed paired t test, *p < 0.05 (p = 0.016), **p < 0.01 (p = 0.01), and ns (not significant, p = 0.7).* Asterisk indicates mean values, with bars representing the experimental range; n = 3 (A), n = 3 (B, Nig), n = 3–6 (B, K⁺ out), and n = 3–6 (B, Cho⁺ out).
coupled chloride conductance (Ryan and Mindell, 2007; Vandenberg and Ryan, 2013), very similar to that described here. We expect that these similarities will become even more apparent in the future and may extend to other, less-well-characterized vesicular transporters.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**

Adult Wistar rats purchased from Charles River Laboratories, or Janvier S.A.S., and adult wild-type mice originated from the local animal house were kept until use at a 12:12 hr light/dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. ClC3 and VGLUT1 knockout mice were kindly provided by T.J. Jentsch (Leibniz-Institut für Molekulare Pharmakologie [FMP], 13125 Berlin, Germany) and C. Rosenmund (NeuroCure Cluster of Excellence, Charité, Universitätmedizin Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany), respectively.

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were purchased from Synaptic Systems (catalog numbers given in parentheses): mouse anti-VGLUT1 (135 311), anti-VGLUT2 (135 411), and anti-synaptophysin (101 011); rabbit anti-VGLUT1 (135 302), anti-VGLUT2 (135 402), anti-VGAT (131 002), and anti-synaptophysin (101 002). NHE6 goat polyclonal antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.

**Membrane Isolation**

Synaptic vesicles (lysis pellet 2 [LP2] and SV fraction) were isolated according to previous publications from rat brain (Huttner et al., 1983; Nagy et al., 1976; Takamori et al., 2006). SVs were collected from the precleared supernatant after lysis by ultracentrifugation (LP2). This pellet is highly enriched in SVs and was used for neurotransmitter uptake (Zander et al., 2010) and hybrid SV formation without further purification. For the acridine orange experiments the LP2 fraction was further purified by sucrose density gradient centrifugation and size exclusion chromatography (SV fraction).

Membranes from PC12 cells expressing VGLUT2 were isolated by differential centrifugation following homogenization using a ball-bearing homogenizer (Barysch et al., 2010; Takamori et al., 2000). Immunoisolations were performed as described previously (Gronborg et al., 2010; Takamori et al., 2000; Zander et al., 2010). For details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins**

VGLUT1 was expressed in insect cells using the baculovirus expression system (Hitchman et al., 2009; Luckow et al., 1993; Smith et al., 1983) and purified largely following a previously described protocol (Keefe et al., 2001; Schenck et al., 2009). For expression of the proton ATPase TFoF1, a plasmid carrying TFoF1 with a His6-tagged β subunit (kindly provided by M. Yoshida [Suzuki et al., 2002]) was expressed in E. coli DK8 (Suzuki et al., 2002) and purified as given earlier [Schenck et al., 2009]. A stabilized SNARE acceptor complex consisting of N-terminally truncated syntaxin-1A (aa
183–288), a C-terminal fragment of synaptobrevin 2 (49–96), and SNAP-25A expressed and purified as described (Pobbati et al., 2006; Stein et al., 2007). Detailed protocols are provided in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Reconstitution of Proteins in Proteoliposomes and Generation of Hybrid Vesicles

Proteoliposomes were generated by detergent removal via dialysis from a mixture of the detergent-solubilized components (Rigaud and Lévy, 2003; Rigaud et al., 1995). The liposomes were composed of 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phospho-L-serine (DOPS) (both Avanti polar lipids) and cholesterol (Chol) at a molar ratio of DOPC:DOPS:Chol 65:10:25. The protein:lipid ratio (mol/mol) was adjusted to ~1:40,000 for TFoF1, to ~1:500 for the stabilized SNARE acceptor complex, and to ~1:2,000 for VGLUT1. For the generation of hybrid SVs, liposomes reconstituted with TFoF1 and the SNARE acceptor complex were fused with SVs for 45 min at room temperature as described (Holt et al., 2008). For details, see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Measurement of Glutamate Uptake, ∆pH, and ∆ψ

Glutamate uptake was performed as previously published (Hell et al., 1990; Maycox et al., 1988; Takamori et al., 2000). Acidification measurements were performed according to previous publications (Hell et al., 1990; Maycox et al., 1988) using acridine orange (AO, Molecular Probes) as a pH-sensitive dye (Palmgren, 1991). Measurements of changes in membrane potential were carried out using VGLUT1/TFoF1 liposomes and hybrid SVs using OxoNol VI (Molecular Probes), an anionic dye used to detect changes in ∆ψ (Hell et al., 1990; Russell, 1984; Shioi et al., 1989). For both acidification and potential measurements, the figures show representative traces. Note that baseline jumps occasionally observed upon addition of reagents were corrected (indicated by small gaps in the traces). Additional experimental details are available in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes five figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.11.008.
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