Hydrogen bonding involving side chain exchangeable groups stabilizes amyloid quaternary structure
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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a slowly progressive neurological disorder which is associated with memory loss, cognitive impairment and finally dementia and death. The amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) is the major structural component of amyloid fibrils in the plaques of brains of Alzheimer’s disease patients. Numerous studies have addressed important aspects of secondary and tertiary structure of fibrils. In electron microscopic images, fibrils often bundle together. The mechanisms which drive the association of protofilaments into bundles of fibrils are not known. We show here that amino acid side chain exchangeable groups like e.g. histidines can provide useful restraints to determine the quaternary assembly of an amyloid fibril. Exchangeable protons are only observable if a side chain hydrogen bond is formed and the respective protons are protected from exchange. The method relies on deuteration of the Aβ peptide. Exchangeable deuterons are substituted with protons, before fibril formation is initiated.
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source of error. The registry of monomers which are concatenated in the amyloid fibril via backbone hydrogen bonds is restrained employing backbone–backbone correlations from XHHY (X, Y = 13C or 15N) type experiments. To differentiate between intramolecular and intermolecular contacts, exclusively labeled protein was mixed with a selectively labeled sample. These experiments yield the structure of the monomeric fibril building unit, and the arrangement of the peptide strands along the fibril axis. To determine the orientation of different protofilaments with respect to one another, side chain interactions need to be analyzed. This information can be obtained e.g. from HMQC-RFDR type experiments. In the past, we have shown that for perdeuterated proteins it is possible to identify the donor and acceptor moieties of side chains involved in hydrogen bonds using a sufficiently long CP. For β-hydrophilic interactions in the C-terminus yield an antiparallel arrangement of the protofilaments. The other face of the protofilament is more hydrophilic, and is not involved in tertiary contacts in the models presented so far.

We show here that exchangeable side chain protons can assist in defining the quaternary structure of the fibril assembly. Side chain exchangeable protons are only observable if they are involved in a hydrogen bond such that the respective proton is protected from exchange. The chemical shifts of these imidazole protons together with geometric information from dipolar coupling measurements will yield further information on the nature of these hydrogen bonds.

Experimental procedures

Expression of uniformly [1H, 15N, 13C]-labeled Aβ1–40 was achieved by recombinant expression in E. coli (BL21 DE3), using a p28a vector (Novagen) carrying an insert encoding the Aβ1–40 sequence. Expression tests were performed in LB, subsequent expression of labelled protein was done in isotopically enriched minimal medium (1.0 g L−1 15NH4Cl, 2 g L−1 13C glucose) containing 50 mg L−1 kanamycin. Cells were grown to an OD600 of 0.6 at 37 °C and induced using 1 mM IPTG. Cells were harvested after 4 h by centrifugation. The pellet was resuspended and lysed by sonication. Inclusion bodies were purified using a differential centrifugation-detergent wash procedure, with repeated washing steps (resuspension of the pellet by sonication and centrifugation) in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% NaN3, and 0.5% triton X-100. In order to obtain a monomeric peptide solution, we employed the protocols developed by Teplow and Hou et al., with minor modifications. In brief, the peptide was dissolved in 20 mM NaOH, sonicated and passed through a filter (0.22 μm pore size). The peptide solution was diluted in Tris-buffer (pH 7.2) (final concentration of Aβ1–40: 150 μM), seeded with preformed sonicated fibrils (12 generations of seeding), and incubated at room temperature under agitation for one week. Seeds and protocols were the same as used for the preparation of fibrils of the protonated Aβ peptide described previously. For fibril formation, the employed buffer contained H2O and D2O at mixing ratios of H2O/D2O = 0.5. To exploit PRE (Paramagnetic Relaxation Enhancement), Cu(edta) was added to the monomeric Aβ1–40 peptide, prior to fibrilization, at a concentration of 75 mM. Growth and quality of the fibrils were monitored using EM. For each sample, typically 10 mg of Aβ1–40 fibrils were packed into a 3.2 mm MAS solid-state NMR rotor. Proton detected 1H, 15N and carbon detected 1H, 13C MAS solid-state NMR experiments were carried out as described previously. In the 1H, 13C correlation experiments, a CP contact time of 2.2 ms has been employed. Longer mixing times (up to 10.0 ms) result in spectra with reduced intensities. No additional peaks were observed under these conditions. The RF field on the carbon channel was ramped and adjusted to match the Hartmann–Hahn conditions. The rf carrier frequencies on the 1H and the 13C channel were set to water and to 100 ppm, respectively. 1H, 15N and 2H scalar decoupling during acquisition was achieved using WALiTZ-16. The decoupling rf field strength on protons and nitrogen was set to 2–2.5 kHz, respectively, while an rf field on the order of 1.5 kHz was employed on the deuterium channel. In 13C detected 1H, 13C correlation experiments at 5 °C were performed for approximately 37 hours, while the experiment at 27 °C was performed over 70 hours. The 1H, 15N correlation experiments have been performed using a CP contact time of 1.0 ms. The experiments were performed using a Bruker 700 MHz quadruple resonance probe in which a deuterium coil was mounted onto the standard triple resonance setup for locking and deuterium decoupling.

Results

In electron microscopic images, often bundles of amyloid fibrils are observed (Fig. 1A and B). So far, it has not been clear how specific these interactions are. In Aβ structural models, hydrophobic interactions in the C-terminus yield an antiparallel arrangement of the protofilaments. The other face of the protofilament is more hydrophilic and is not involved in tertiary contacts in all models presented so far. 1H detected 1H, 15N correlation spectra recorded for a perdeuterated sample of fibrils formed by Aβ1–40 yield additional correlation peaks outside the amide backbone spectral region of 7–10 ppm and 100–130 ppm in the 1H and 15N chemical shift dimension, respectively (Fig. 1C). The chemical shifts in the 1H, 15N correlation suggest that these resonances are due to histidine and lysine side chain chemical groups. Amide backbone resonances have been assigned previously. In this manuscript, emphasis is put on the characterization of side chain exchangeable groups, in particular on the histidine resonances.

The histidine spin systems of Aβ can be unambiguously identified in a 13C detected 1H, 13C correlation experiment (Fig. 1D). In this experiment, a long 1H, 13C cross polarization mixing step is employed which allows us to transfer magnetization from the exchangeable imidazole proton to closely spaced carbon atoms which are not directly bonded. The aromatic region of the spectrum is shown enlarged in Fig. 2A. Two out of the three histidines in Aβ are protected from exchange. Their imidazole protons (H6/H5) have a proton chemical shift which is distinct from water. The respective 15N imidazole ring chemical shifts are on the order of 160–180 ppm which is consistent with a charged...
imidazole ring.45,46 The histidine proton resonance which is corre-
related to the carbon resonance with a $^{13}$C chemical shift of 136 ppm
is assigned to His-6, as this imidazole proton is quickly exchanged
with the solvent. This interpretation is consistent with the observa-
tion that the N-terminus of the peptide in the amyloid fibril is not
structured.11,23,47 Additional correlations involving the hydroxyl
group of Ser-26 and arginine/tyrosine residues are observed, indicat-
ing that also these side chains are involved in tertiary contacts.

Cross peaks in the carbonyl and the aliphatic region of the $^{13}$C
detected $^1$H, $^{13}$C correlation spectrum (Fig. 1D) yield the assignment
of the hydrogen bonding acceptor. For His13-H$_{d1}$, correlations to a
CO (180.1 ppm) and a C$_a$ (55.2 ppm) resonance are observed. The
carboxyl correlation can be assigned to a contact between the
imidazole proton and the carboxylic group at the C-terminus of
the A$_b$ peptide. This cross peak is consistent with the published
A$_b$(1–40) fibril models,7–10 in which a distance of 3–4 Å between the
Val-40 carboxylic group and the His-13 imidazole ring was found.
The assignment of the histidine aromatic carbon chemical shifts is
in agreement with a recent study in which it was found that His-13,
His-40 and the Val-40 carboxylic group are involved in binding to a
Cu(II) metal ion.49 Assuming that His-13 and His-14 are located in a
$\beta$-sheet secondary structure element, His-14 is facing the solvent and
cannot be involved in hydrogen bonds within one protofilament.
The i, i – 2 and i, i + 2 neighboring residues Val-12 and Lys-16 are
not potential hydrogen bonding partners. A potential acceptor for
the imidazole proton of His-14 is Glu-22 (Fig. 3). In the $^1$H, $^{13}$C
correlation spectra (Fig. 1), His14-H$_{d1}$ yields a contact with an
aliphatic carbon with a chemical shift of 28.0 ppm. This shift is
consistent with the C$_g$ side chain chemical shift of a glutamate. The
presence of this cross peak suggests that His-14 is involved in an
interaction with another protofilament.

To generate the filamentous bundle model in Fig. 3, a $C_{2z}$ fold-
symmetric A$_b$ structure has been assumed.7 Formation of interfil-
amentous bundles, however, is not restricted to this particular fibril
polymorph. $C_{2z}$ and $C_{3z}$-fold symmetric A$_b$ structures, as well as
different quaternary arrangements which differ with respect to the
side chain contacts at the internal interface (F19/M35 and F20/M35
in Petkova et al.7) would be compatible with the formation of

Fig. 1 (A and B) Electron microscopic images of Alzheimer’s disease A$_b^{1–40}$ amyloid fibrils employed in the MAS solid-state NMR experiments. (C) $^1$H detected $^1$H, $^{15}$N
and (D) $^{13}$C detected $^1$H, $^{13}$C MAS solid-state NMR correlation spectra of peptide A$_b^{1–40}$ fibrils using a perdeuterated peptide sample in which 50% of all exchangeable
protons are deuterated. The resonance of His14-H$_{d1}$ is not observable in the $^1$H, $^{15}$N correlation experiment. Both experiments are recorded setting the effective
sample temperature to 5 °C.
salt bridges between a histidine and either a glutamate or aspartic acid residue.

Discussion

We find that both His-13 and His-14 are protected from exchange and involved in tertiary interactions in the fibril preparation that we employ in our investigation. The imidazole ring of His-13 is in close proximity to the C-terminus of the Aβ peptide, and seems to be another structural element to stabilize the turn. His-14, on the other hand, appears to be an important residue for inter-filament packing. We speculate that histidine–glutamate side chain interactions are important features which stabilize inter-protofilament interactions. In fact, the fibrils
that we observe in our preparation often appear to be bundles of protofilaments.

As a consequence of conformational plasticity of β1,13,14 resonances in this region can be missing due to chemical exchange broadening. Or, side chains might exist in different rotameric states. Under these pre-conditions, His-13 might be hydrogen bonded to Val-40 in one rotameric state. In another conformer, His-13 might be interacting with Glu-22. (In this scenario, His-14 would be exchange broadened.) Different rotamers could either be populated in different fibril polymorphic forms. This explanation, however, seems unlikely as the intensities of both correlations are rather similar, and the seeding protocol should result in the enrichment of a single fibril polymorph. Alternatively, different rotamers might be populated within the same polymorph. Our assignments for His-13Cε1 and His-14Cε1 are consistent with the assignment reported by Parthasarathy et al., excluding this interpretation. The carboxyl carbons for which we observe correlations involving histidines in the 13C detected 1H, 13C correlation experiment have rather similar chemical shifts. Previously, a 2 ppm chemical shift difference for Glu-22 CO$_2^-$ and Val-40 CO$_2^-$ was observed. The observed carboxyl carbon chemical shifts (180.1 ppm/180.5 ppm) correspond rather to Glu-22 CO$_2^-$ than to Val-40 CO$_2^-$. An alternative interpretation thus might imply that both His-13 and His-14 are both hydrogen bonded to Glu-22, potentially in different fibril polymorphs. The correlation between His-13Hδ1 and a Cα resonance, and the missing correlations to side chain Cβ/Cγ carbons do not fit into this picture. However, due to the low signal-to-noise ratio for the aliphatic correlation peaks (S/N ≈ 3:1), this interpretation cannot be totally ruled out. Higher-dimensional experiments including an additional chemical shift dimension need to be carried out in order to unambiguously resolve this question.

Recently, it was shown that His-13, His-14, Val-40 carboxyl and Glu side chains are involved in Cu(II) binding. We suggest that Cu(II) might compete for the hydrogen bonding interactions, resulting in a destabilization of the fibril structure. This might explain why addition of Cu(II) to monomeric Aβ results in the formation of amorphous amyloid aggregates, and adds to the conformational variability observed for the N-terminus as described above.

The hydrogen bond involving His-14 seems to be less stable, as the correlation peak in the 1H, 13C correlation disappears at higher temperature. Also, the correlation peak involving Cγ is missing for His-14 in all spectra. Similarly, the cross peak involving His14-Hδ1 is typically very weak in the 1H, 13N correlation experiments. This in agreement with the assumption that His-14 is more dynamic as it is involved in inter-filament interactions. Cross polarization (CP) is employed for magnetization transfer. Therefore, the intensities in the carbon detected 1H, 13C MAS solid-state NMR experiments (Fig. 2B) can be quantitatively related to the distances between the proton in the hydrogen bonding donor and the hydrogen bonding acceptor, after taking into account a potential scaling of the dipolar interaction which can be affected due to dynamic processes. The His-13 imidazole-carboxyl cross peak intensities are stronger in comparison to the cross peaks observed within the imidazole ring. This is different to what we have observed previously for a hydrogen bond involving a tyrosine hydroxyl and a carboxylic group. In that case, the cross peak intensities of the donor carbons were approximately two-fold stronger in comparison to the intensities of the acceptor carbon. The downfield shifted 1H imidazole resonance indicates that the proton is delocalized between the donor and the acceptor group, which is consistent with what has been found for model compounds by Limbach and co-worker.

The pH of the fibril sample is strictly controlled at pH 7.0. It is therefore surprising to see that both imidazole protons of the two histidines are observable. Hε2 can potentially be stabilized by a serine or tyrosine hydroxyl group. However, there are no
long range correlations detectable for either His-13 Hε2 or His-14 Hε3, indicating that the imidazole ring might be stabilized by a water molecule. This is confirmed by correlations between water and Cε1 and CO1 in the 13C detected 1H, 13C correlation experiments. At this end, it is not possible to differentiate if these peaks are due to direct correlations between water and the protein, or whether the cross peaks are exchange mediated. The positive charge of the imidazole ring is largely compensated by the negatively charged glutamic acid carboxylic group. Additionally, the imidazole ring might experience charge compensation by buffer anions, which seems plausible given the fact that the imidazole ring might experience charge compensation by buffer anions, which seems plausible given the above discussed water accessibility.

We use here exactly the same fibril preparation protocol as in Lopez del Amo et al. The fibrils show a very similar morphology using electron microscopy. However, spectra are not easily superimposable even taking the deuterium isotope induced chemical shift changes into account. As in the case for assignment of the backbone amide resonances in perdeuterated Aβ fibrils, only one set of resonances is observed. We attribute this observation to a differential thermodynamic stability of protonated and deuterated amyloid fibrils. In fact, substitution of protons with deuterium at exchangeable sites induces formation of slightly stronger hydrogen bonds. As amyloid aggregates are stabilized by hydrogen bonds, D2O should shift the equilibrium towards the aggregated form of the protein. At the same time, C–D bonds are approximately 10× stronger in comparison to C–H bonds, resulting in a slightly shorter bond length in the case of C–D. Introduction of deuterium at non-exchangeable hydrogen positions appears to decrease non-polar interactions. This is in agreement with the observation that the perdeuterated Aβ peptide elutes slightly earlier on a reverse phase column in comparison to the protonated peptide (data not shown). The same seeds and seeding protocols have been employed to generate the protonated and the deuterated amyloid fibril sample. All other experimental parameters are tightly controlled and kept the same in both preparations. Deuteration of exchangeable sites thus yields an increase in stability, whereas deuteration of non-exchangeable aliphatic side chains results in a destabilization of the aggregate. The relative contribution of both effects is difficult to estimate quantitatively. It seems likely, however, that even small differences in the folding energy landscape can result in a change in the amyloid fibril morphology.

Taken together, we have shown that histidine–glutamate hydrogen bonding interactions can be an important driving force for Aβ fibril inter-filament packing. More experiments are needed to define the exact geometry around the histidines involved in quaternary contacts. In the future, it will be of particular interest to see how the hydrogen bonding pattern is changed if Aβ oligomeric assemblies are investigated. These experiments are currently in progress in our laboratory.
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