




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Brain & Language

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/b&l

Erratum

Erratum to “ERP evidence for conceptual mappings and comparison processes during the comprehension of conventional and novel metaphors” [Brain Lang. 127 (3) (2013) 484–496]

Vicky Tzuyin Lai ^{a,b,*}, Tim Curran ^c^a Neurobiology of Language Department, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen^b Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina, Columbia^c Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Colorado, Boulder

The publisher regrets the errors in Figs. 1–4 where a vertical line appeared at the top left corner of the figures in the published article. In addition, in Fig. 4A, the Y axis of the red (dark red and light red) waveforms was repeated.

The correct images for Figs. 1–4 can be found here.




Fig. 1. (A) Experiment 1, $N = 24$, Grand Average ERP waveforms for the literal (orange), conventional (red), novel (green), and anomalous (blue) targets in the unprimed (dark lines) and primed (light lines) conditions at the mid-central sites. (B) Scalp distributions of the priming effects, subtracting the primed from the unprimed, in the 300–500 ms time window in each condition.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2013.09.010>

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina, 1512 Pendleton Street, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. Tel.: 803-777-4137.

E-mail addresses: vicky.tzuyin.lai@gmail.com (V.T. Lai), Tim.Curran@colorado.edu (T. Curran).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2014.11.001>

0093-934X/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Lai, V. T., & Curran, T. Erratum to “ERP evidence for conceptual mappings and comparison processes during the comprehension of conventional and novel metaphors” [Brain Lang. 127 (3) (2013) 484–496]. *Brain & Language* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2014.11.001>




Fig. 2. (A) Experiment 1, $N = 18$, Grand Average ERP waveforms for the literal (orange), conventional (red), novel (green), and anomalous (blue) targets in the unprimed (dark lines) and primed (light lines) conditions at the mid-central sites. (B) Scalp distribution of the priming effects, subtracting the primed from the unprimed, in the 300–500 ms time window in each condition.




Fig. 3. Experiment 1, Grand Average ERP waveforms for the literal (orange), conventional (red), novel (green), and anomalous (blue) targets in the unprimed conditions at the mid-central sites, for $N = 24$ (left) and for $N = 18$ (right). The scalp distributions of the effects, subtracting the literal control from each other condition, in the 300–500 ms time window, are displayed at the bottom.




Fig. 4. (A) Experiment 2, Grand Average ERP waveforms for the literal (orange), conventional (red), novel (green), and anomalous (blue) targets in the unprimed (dark lines) and primed (light lines) conditions at the mid-central sites. (B) Scalp distribution of the priming effects, subtracting the primed from the unprimed, in the 300–500 ms time window in each condition.