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Materials and Methods

Production and analysis of recombinant human proteins

Human proteins were used for crystallographic analyses and for interaction studies (fig. S2) and activity assays (fig. S6) that involved the isolated Brr2 N-terminal cassette, as the N-terminal cassette of yeast Brr2 could not be produced in soluble form. A DNA fragment encoding hPrp8Jab1 (residues 2064-2335) was PCR-amplified using a synthetic gene encoding hPrp8 as a template and cloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pGEX-6P-1 (GE Healthcare). The GST-hPrp8Jab1-encoding region was subcloned into EcoRI and HindIII sites of a pFL vector (22). An expression construct for hPrp8Jab1ΔC15 was obtained by introduction of a stop codon via the QuikChange protocol (Stratagene). All proteins were produced in insect cells as described previously (18).

For purification of hPrp8Jab1, the cell pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5 % glycerol, 0.05 % NP-40, supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche) and DNase I. Cells were lysed by sonication using a Sonopuls Ultrasonic Homogenizer HD 3100 (Bandelin) and centrifuged for 1h at 53000 x g. The cleared lysate was loaded onto a Glutathione-Sepharose 4B resin (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol), incubated for 1h and eluted with buffer A plus 10 mM reduced glutathione. The sample was buffer-exchanged using a HiPrep 26/60 desalting column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A and the GST-hPrp8Jab1 fragment was cleaved overnight at 4°C with PreScission protease. The cleaved protein was loaded again on the affinity resin to remove uncleaved protein, GST and the protease. The flow-through was combined with purified hBrr2HR in a 2:1 (hPrp8Jab1:hBrr2HR) molar ratio. The hBrr2HR-hPrp8Jab1 complex was further purified by gel filtration on a 16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT. hPrp8Jab1ΔC15 and hBrr2HR-hPrp8Jab1ΔC15 complex were obtained in the same fashion.
hBrr2\textsuperscript{HR} (residues 395-2129), hBrr2\textsuperscript{NC} (residues 395-1324) and hBrr2\textsuperscript{CC} (residues 1282-2136) were produced and purified following previously described protocols (18). To monitor interaction of hPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} with the various hBrr2 constructs, proteins were mixed with a two-fold molar excess of hPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} and analytical gel filtration chromatography was carried out on a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column (GE Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT.

Production and analysis of recombinant yeast proteins

Yeast proteins were used for the majority of functional assays as they are highly homologous to their human counterparts. Furthermore, RP13-linked Prp8 residues are highly conserved across evolution (Fig. 3A) and the severity of retinal degeneration in patients with RP13 mutations correlates with the severity of growth phenotypes associated with corresponding Prp8 mutations in haploid yeast (10). yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} (residues 2012-2413) and mutant/truncated versions thereof, were produced in \textit{E. coli} and purified as described previously (12, 20). Site-directed mutations were introduced via the QuikChange protocol and were verified by sequencing. Full-length yBrr2 containing an N-terminal His\textsubscript{6}-tag was produced in yeast INVSc1 cells (Invitrogen) and purified as described (13). To monitor interaction of yBrr2 with yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} variants, equimolar amounts (4 µM each) of the proteins were mixed and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C in 50 µl reaction volume, and size exclusion chromatography was subsequently performed with a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 5 % glycerol.

Crystallographic analyses

The hBrr2\textsuperscript{HR}-hPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} complex was concentrated to 12 mg/ml and crystallized at 4 °C using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method. Crystals were obtained by mixing 1 µl of protein solution with 0.5 µl of reservoir solution (0.1 M HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.9, 0.2 M MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 12 % ethanol) and improved by addition of a cocktail of additives (Silver Bullets Bio condition 91;
Hampton Research) dissolved in the gel filtration buffer. Further optimization of crystal quality was achieved by adding to the crystallization drop eight volumes of a solution containing 0.1 M HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.9, 0.2 M MgCl₂, 22 % ethanol, 10 % ethylene glycol and exposing the mixtures to air for 30 min.

Crystals were cryo-protected by transfer into a solution containing 0.1 M HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.9, 0.2 M MgCl₂, 12 % ethanol, 30 % ethylene glycol and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected on a single crystal at beamline 14.2 of the BESSY II storage ring (Berlin, Germany) and processed with XDS (23). The structure of the hBrr2⁴⁴-hPrp8⁹¹ complex was solved by automated molecular replacement with the program MOLREP from the CCP4 suite (24) using the structure coordinates of hBrr2⁴⁴ (PDB ID 4F91) (18) and yPrp8⁹¹ (PDB ID 2OG4) (16) as search models. The structure was refined through several rounds of manual model building in COOT (25) and restrained refinement in PHENIX (26).

**RNA binding studies**

His-tag pulldown assays were performed as described previously (13), except His-tagged yBrr2 (0.8 µM) and yPrp8⁹¹ or yPrp8⁹¹ΔC16 (3 µM) were first combined, followed in some cases by 2 mM ATPγS, and then [³²P]-labeled U4/U6 di-snRNA (a mixture of 5 nM labeled and 15 nM non-labeled RNA) was added. Fluorescence anisotropy was performed essentially as described (18), except a FAM-5’ end-labeled, 24 nt single-stranded RNA (5 nM) was incubated in the presence of 0-400 nM yBrr2 alone or yBrr2 pre-incubated with 1 µM yPrp8⁹¹ or yPrp8⁹¹ΔC16 followed by addition of 1 mM ATPγS where indicated. The apparent equilibrium dissociation constants (Kd) for Brr2-RNA complex formation were determined as previously described (18).
ATPase and U4/U6 di-snRNA unwinding assays

Steady-state ATPase assays were performed as described (18). Briefly, 25 nM yBrr2, 0.5 µM U4/U6 di-snRNA, 0.5 mM ATP and, where indicated, 1 µM of yPrp8Jab1 variants were mixed at 20 °C, and the amount of liberated inorganic phosphate was measured using a malachite dye-based kit (PiColorLock™ Gold, Innova Biosciences).

U4/U6 di-snRNA unwinding assays were carried out at 20 °C or 30 °C for 0-50 min essentially as described (18), except helicases were incubated alone or with 0.75 µM of yPrp8Jab1 or mutants thereof for 15 min at 4 °C prior to addition of RNA. In all experiments, U4 snRNA was radiolabeled. RNA duplex displacement was analyzed by 6 % native PAGE, visualized by autoradiography and quantified as described (20). Reannealing of the U4/U6 duplex after strand displacement is not observed under these assay conditions due to the formation of a U6 intra-molecular stem-loop that precludes U4/U6 duplex formation.

To determine the specificity of the yPrp8Jab1 effects, 200 nM yBrr2 or 150 nM yPrp22 were pre-incubated with increasing concentrations (0-2 µM) of yPrp8Jab1. U4/U6 duplex (0.5 nM) was subsequently added, followed by 1 mM ATP/MgCl2 to start the reaction, which was then incubated at 20 °C for 40 min. Time courses of U4/U6 unwinding under multiple turnover conditions at low U4/U6 to Brr2 ratio were assayed with 200 nM yBrr2 in the absence or presence of yPrp8Jab1 or mutants thereof and 0.5 nM U4/U6 duplex. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 1 mM ATP/MgCl2 and incubated for the indicated times at 20 °C or 30 °C.

Time courses of U4/U6 unwinding under multiple turnover conditions at higher U4/U6 to Brr2 ratio were assayed with 200 nM yBrr2 in the absence or presence of yPrp8Jab1 or mutants thereof and 50 nM U4/U6 duplex. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 1 mM ATP/MgCl2 and incubated for the indicated times at 30 °C. The effect of Prp8Jab1 on U4/U6 unwinding by the Brr2 N-terminal cassette alone was assayed with 200 nM of an hBrr2 construct comprising only the N-terminal cassette (hBrr2NC) (18) in the absence or presence of hPrp8Jab1 or hPrp8Jab1-ΔC15 and 50 nM U4/U6 duplex. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 1 mM ATP/MgCl2 and
incubated for the indicated times at 30 °C. For quantification, data were fit to a single exponential equation: % duplex unwound = A{1-exp(-k_u t)}; A, amplitude of the reaction; k_u, apparent first-order rate constant for unwinding; t, time.

**Plasmid shuffling, yeast growth assays and in vivo splicing assays**

Plasmids encoding wildtype (wt) Prp8 or Prp8 containing the indicated mutations were introduced into a *S. cerevisiae* strain with the wt PRP8 gene on a counter-selectable plasmid (27). Ten-fold serial dilutions of the yeast strains were applied to YPD agar plates and cells were incubated at the indicated temperatures for 2 days. For the analysis of pre-mRNA splicing *in vivo*, total RNA was isolated from the wt and mutant strains grown at 25 °C or 37 °C for 4 h in YPD medium. The levels of spliced and unspliced U3 RNA were analyzed by primer extension analysis using a [³²P]-labeled primer complementary to exon 2 (28). The ratio of pre-U3 to mature U3 RNA was quantified using a phosphoimager (Molecular Dynamics) and Quantity One software.

**Analysis of tri-snRNP levels in yeast cells**

Total cell extract was prepared from yeast cells grown at 30°C that express wt Prp8 or the indicated Prp8 temperature sensitive mutant (29). Extracts were incubated at 35°C (i.e., the non-permissive temperature for the Prp8 temperature sensitive mutants) for 20 min and then subjected to 10-30% glycerol gradient centrifugation as described (21). RNA from every second gradient fraction was isolated and the distribution of the spliceosomal snRNAs was analyzed by Northern blotting with [³²P]-labeled probes complementary to yeast U1, U2, U4, U5 or U6 snRNA. Quantification of the levels of U6 and U4 snRNA was performed with a phosphoimager (Molecular Dynamics) and Quantity One software. The distribution of Prp8, Brr2 and Snu114 across the gradient was determined by Western blotting. Immunostaining was performed with antibodies against the corresponding protein and an ECL detection kit (GE Healthcare).
**Fig. S1.** Electron density and surface electrostatics. **(A)** Domain organization of Brr2 and of a C-terminal fragment (CTF) of Prp8. Domain coloring as in Fig. 1A. NE, N-terminal extension; Sec63 – Sec63 homology units (comprising helical bundle, helix-loop-helix and immunoglobulin-like domains) (11, 20); RNase H, RNase H-like domain; Jab1, Jab1/MPN-like domain. The RNase H-like domain is not contained in the present crystal structure. Human (yeast) numbering. **(B)** Simulated annealing composite “omit” electron density contoured at the 1σ level. Molecules are shown as Cα traces (hBrr2\textsuperscript{HR}, light blue; hPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}, gold) or sticks (ADP, light green). Indicated ADP represents the ADP portion of β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide added as an additive during crystallization. Density around hBrr2\textsuperscript{HR}, blue; density around hPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}, red; density around nucleotides, green. Rotated 30 ° about the horizontal axis (top to
front) compared to Fig. 1A. (C) hBrr2$^{HR}$ electrostatic surface (positive, blue; negative, red) with the hPrp8 Jab1 domain shown as a golden ribbon. Rotated 40° about the horizontal axis (top to front) compared to Fig. 1A. (D) Electrostatic surface of the hPrp8 Jab1 domain in two orthogonal views. The left orientation is the same as in (C). The tail is predominantly negatively-charged, which is suitable for binding the RNA tunnel of Brr2, where under a different situation the negatively-charged sugar-phosphate backbone of the RNA substrate must interact. 5194 Å$^2$ of combined surface area are buried upon complex formation, 2417 Å$^2$ between hBrr2$^{HR}$ and the globular part of hPrp8$^{Jab1}$ (residues 2067-2309), 2777 Å$^2$ between hBrr2$^{HR}$ and the C-terminal tail of hPrp8$^{Jab1}$ (residues 2310-2335). 960 Å$^2$ of combined surface area are buried between the proximal part of the hPrp8$^{Jab1}$ C-terminal tail (residues 2310-2320) and hBrr2$^{HR}$, 1817 Å$^2$ between the distal part of the tail (residue 2321-2335) and hBrr2$^{HR}$.
**Fig. S2.** The human Prp8 Jab1 domain interacts with the N-terminal helicase cassette of hBrr2 in solution. Gel filtration analyses were performed with the indicated individual proteins or protein mixtures. Proteins were mixed at 2:1 (hPrp8\textsubscript{Jab1}:hBrr2 variant) molar ratio prior to size exclusion chromatography, and proteins in the eluted fractions 1-9 (identical in each panel) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Numbers on the left indicate molecular mass standards in kD. hPrp8\textsubscript{Jab1} (residues 2064-2335) co-migrated with a 200 kD fragment of hBrr2 (residues 395-2129) encompassing both helicase cassettes (hBrr2 helicase region; hBrr2\textsubscript{HR}), and with the isolated hBrr2 N-terminal cassette (hBrr2\textsubscript{NC}; residues 395-1324), but not with the isolated C-terminal cassette (hBrr2\textsubscript{CC}; residues 1282-2136). While yBrr2\textsubscript{NC} was not soluble and could not be tested for interaction with yPrp8\textsubscript{Jab1}, the high conservation of Brr2 sequence and function suggests the same interaction scheme in yeast. Indeed, previous pulldown experiments (11) detected only a weak interaction of yPrp8\textsubscript{CTF} (which contains the Jab1 domain) with yBrr2\textsubscript{CC}.
Fig. S3. Conformational changes upon complex formation. (A) Superposition of isolated hBrr2\(^{HR}\) (pink) and the hBrr2\(^{HR}\)-hPrp8\(^{Jab1}\) complex (N-terminal cassette – grey; C-terminal cassette – beige; hPrp8\(^{Jab1}\) – gold) according to the C-terminal cassettes of the hBrr2\(^{HR}\) molecules. The arrow indicates an apparent movement of the N-terminal cassette about a pivot point in the linker (red sphere) relative to the C-terminal cassette upon hPrp8\(^{Jab1}\) binding. Orientation as in Fig. 1A. (B) Close-up of contacts between the N-terminal RecA-1 domain (light grey) and the C-terminal RecA-2 domain (beige), which upon mutation led to severely reduced helicase activity in hBrr2\(^{HR}\) (18). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds or salt bridges. Contacting residues are
labeled and contact distances are indicated. Rotated 180 ° about the vertical axis compared to (A). (C) The same region of hBrr2HR in the hPrp8Jab1 complex. Corresponding distances as in (B) are indicated. Rotated 180 ° about the vertical axis compared to (A). The structural changes shown in (A-C) upon complex formation indicate that the interaction between N- and C-terminal cassettes in Brr2 is partially flexible, which may have important implications for the mechanism of U4/U6 unwinding by Brr2. Mutations in the linker, the intercassette RecA-contacts and the ATP pocket of the C-terminal cassette have severe effects on hBrr2HR ATPase and helicase activity (18). During cycles of RNA duplex unwinding, the intercassette RecA-contacts may have to be intermittently broken and re-formed, e.g. to allow repositioning of the RNA bound at the N-terminal cassette. Close association of the linker with either cassette would allow it to tightly fasten the cassettes at one side, which in turn would enable a portion of the linker between the cassette contact regions to act like a hinge during opening and closing motions on the RecA-side. A bound nucleotide would stabilize the C-terminal cassette, allowing it to act as a scaffold relative to which the N-terminal cassette can move. (D) The structures of isolated Prp8 Jab1 domains from yeast (pink; PDB ID 2OG4) (16) and C. elegans (red; PDB ID 2P87) (17) superimposed on the human Prp8 Jab1 domain (gold) in complex with hBrr2HR (grey semi-transparent surface). The structure of isolated hPrp8Jab1 is not available but most likely closely resembles those of yeast and C. elegans Prp8Jab1 due to the high sequence similarity of these proteins. The structure of hPrp8Jab1 in complex with hBrr2HR is similar to the structure of isolated yPrp8Jab1 (rmsd of 1.48 Å for 226 Ca atoms) and C. elegans Prp8Jab1 (rmsd of 1.00 Å for 238 Ca atoms), with significant deviations only in elements that directly contact hBrr2HR (circled). Additionally, the C-terminal tail of the Jab1 domain was flexible and only partially resolved in the structures of the domain in isolation. Rotated 40 ° clockwise about the vertical axis and 20 ° about the horizontal axis (top to front) compared to Fig. 1A. (E) Structural changes in hBrr2HR upon binding of hPrp8Jab1. Top panel – domain movements. The hBrr2HR-hPrp8Jab1 complex is colored as before, isolated hBrr2HR is shown in pink. Rotated 70 ° clockwise about the vertical
axis and 50 ° about the horizontal axis (top to front) compared to Fig. 1A. Bottom panel – local conformational adjustments. Rotated 30 ° about the horizontal axis (top to front) compared to Fig. 1A. Individual domains of hBrr2^{HR} retain similar structures in the complex as in the isolated protein (rmsd's ranging from 0.51 Å for the N-terminal IG domains to 1.13 Å for the N-terminal RecA-2 domains). However, changes in the positioning of certain domains and local conformational adjustments in a number of elements upon hPrp8^{Jab1} binding are observed. Essentially no structural rearrangements are seen in those regions of the N-terminal HB and IG domains of hBrr2^{HR} that are contacted by the globular part of hPrp8^{Jab1}. In contrast, the entire HLH domain, the front end of the HB domain and the upper tip of the RecA-2 domain are pushed away from each other in order to accommodate the C-terminal tail of hPrp8^{Jab1} (arrows in E). In addition, the conformations of RNA-binding motifs IVa and Ib adapt to the central and terminal portions of the C-terminal tail, respectively (lower panel in E). Taken together, hBrr2^{HR} and hPrp8^{Jab1} bind to each other by a mixed lock-and-key and induced fit interaction mode.
Fig. S4. Effects on Brr2 RNA binding. (A) Effect of yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} on the binding of yBrr2 to a 24 nt RNA monitored by fluorescence anisotropy. For technical reasons (due to the high molecular weight of U4/U6 di-snRNA), these experiments could not be conducted with U4/U6 di-snRNA. Error bars represent SEMs of three independent experiments. yBrr2-RNA and [yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}]-RNA dissociation constants ($K_d$): $K_d(yBrr2) = 183.7 \pm 13.3$ nM; $K_d(yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}) > 600$ nM (+/-ATP\textsubscript{γ}S); $K_d(yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1-ΔC16}) = 95 \pm 6$ nM (- ATP\textsubscript{γ}S) or 100.8 $\pm$ 13.2 nM (+ ATP\textsubscript{γ}S). (B) Effect of yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1} mutations on the binding of yBrr2 to a 24 nt RNA monitored by fluorescence anisotropy. Error bars represent SEMs of three independent experiments. yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1-ΔC16}, yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1-ED/KK} variant. yBrr2-RNA and [yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}]-RNA dissociation constants ($K_d$): $K_d(yBrr2) = 183.7 \pm 13.3$ nM; $K_d(yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1}) > 600$ nM (+/-ATP\textsubscript{γ}S); $K_d(yBrr2-yPrp8\textsuperscript{Jab1-ΔC16}) = 95 \pm 6$ nM (- ATP\textsubscript{γ}S) or 100.8 $\pm$ 13.2 nM (+ ATP\textsubscript{γ}S).
Fig. S5. Effects of yPrp8<sup>Jab1</sup> or yPrp8<sup>Jab1-ΔC16</sup> on yBrr2-mediated U4/U6 unwinding. (A) U4/U6 unwinding at low U4/U6 (0.5 nM) to yBrr2 (200 nM) ratio (quantified data from Fig. 2C). Data were fit to a single exponential equation: % duplex unwound = A{1-exp(-k<sub>u</sub> t)}; A, amplitude of the reaction; k<sub>u</sub>, apparent first-order rate constant for unwinding; t, time. Solid lines, 20 °C; dashed lines, 30 °C. yBrr2 alone, black; yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1</sup>, blue; yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1-ΔC16</sup>, red. Kinetic parameters at 20 °C: k<sub>u</sub>(yBrr2) = 0.034 ± 0.004 min<sup>-1</sup>; A(yBrr2) = 45.3 ± 2.9; k<sub>u</sub>(yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1</sup>) = 0.01 ± 0.01 min<sup>-1</sup>; A(yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1</sup>) = 31.6 ± 9.2; k<sub>u</sub>(yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1-ΔC16</sup>) = 0.09 ± 0.01 min<sup>-1</sup>; A(yBrr2-yPrp8<sup>Jab1-ΔC16</sup>) = 75.0 ± 0.9. (B) U4/U6 unwinding at higher U4/U6 (50 nM) to yBrr2 (200 nM) ratio at 30 °C (quantified data from Fig. 2D). Data were fit to a single exponential equation:
% duplex unwound = A{1-exp(-ku t)}; A, amplitude of the reaction; ku, apparent first-order rate constant for unwinding; t, time. yBrr2 alone, black; yBrr2-yPrp8^{lab1}, blue; yBrr2-yPrp8^{lab1-ΔC16}, red). Kinetic parameters: $k_u(yBrr2) = 0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $A(yBrr2) = 32.1 \pm 1.3$; $k_u(yBrr2/yPrp8^{lab1}) = 0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $A(yBrr2/yPrp8^{lab1}) = 63.3 \pm 0.9$; $k_u(yBrr2/yPrp8^{lab1-ΔC16}) = 0.59 \pm 0.04 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $A(yBrr2/yPrp8^{lab1-ΔC16}) = 83.7 \pm 1.3$. 
Fig. S6. The C-terminal helicase cassette of Brr2 is required for its regulation by Prp8^Jab1. (A) RNA-stimulated steady state ATPase activity of the hBrr2 N-terminal cassette (hBrr2^NC) alone (black bar) or in the presence of hPrp8^Jab1 (blue bar) or hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15 (red bar). Error bars represent SEMs for two independent experiments. Due to solubility problems with the N-terminal cassette of yBrr2, human proteins were used in these studies. The ATPase activity of isolated hBrr2^NC was still inhibited by hPrp8^Jab1 and stimulated ca. 2-fold by hPrp8^Jab1 lacking the last 15 residues (hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15), consistent with reduced binding of RNA to hBrr2^NC in the presence of the C-terminal tail of hPrp8^Jab1. (B) Time courses of U4/U6 duplex (50 nM) unwinding by hBrr2^NC in the absence (black line) or presence of hPrp8^Jab1 (blue line) or hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15 (red line) at 30 °C. Data were fit to a single exponential equation: % duplex unwound = A{1-exp(-k_u t)}; A, amplitude of the reaction; k_u, apparent first-order rate constant for unwinding; t, time. Kinetic parameters: k_u(hBrr2^NC) = 0.03 ± 0.01; A(hBrr2^NC) = 48.1 ± 1.0; k_u(hBrr2^NC-hPrp8^Jab1) = 0.02 ± 0.01; A(hBrr2^NC-hPrp8^Jab1) = 46.7 ± 2.8; k_u(hBrr2^NC-hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15) = 0.06 ± 0.01; A(hBrr2^NC-hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15) = 49.3 ± 1.0. Thus, hPrp8^Jab1 and hPrp8^Jab1-ΔC15 had only
minimal effects on U4/U6 unwinding by hBrr2^{NC} under these conditions, as well as at lower RNA concentrations (data not shown). This loss of regulatory potential suggests that cooperative movement of both cassettes might be required for insertion of the Jab1 tail into the RNA-binding tunnel of Brr2 and/or that modulation of Brr2 by Prp8^{jab1} could involve structural changes in the N-terminal cassette that are at least in part facilitated via the C-terminal cassette.
Fig. S7. Effects of RP-linked mutations in Prp8<sup> Jab1</sup> on yeast cell growth and splicing in vivo. Plasmids encoding wt yPrp8 or yPrp8 containing the indicated mutations were shuffled into a strain with the wt y<sup>PRP8</sup> gene on a counter-selectable plasmid. (A) Serial dilutions of the indicated yeast strains were applied to YPD agar plates and cells were incubated at the indicated temperatures for 1-2 days. (B) Total RNA was harvested from the wt and or mutant yeast strain grown at the indicated temperature for 4 h in YPD medium. The levels of spliced and unspliced U3 RNA were analyzed by primer extension analysis using a [<sup>32</sup>P]-labeled primer complementary to exon 2. Primer extension products were separated by denaturing PAGE and visualized by autoradiography. This assay allows detection of a block in splicing solely prior to or during the first splicing transesterification reaction. (C) Quantification of the ratio of pre-U3 to mature U3 (normalized to the wt) at 25 °C (black bars) and 37 °C (grey bars).
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**Fig. S8.** Effects of RP-linked mutations in Prp8 on yeast U4/U6-U5 tri-snRNP levels and on the association of the Prp8 Jab1 domain with Brr2. (A) Distribution of yeast spliceosomal snRNPs on glycerol gradients. Total cell extracts were prepared from yeast cells grown at 30 °C expressing wt yPrp8 or Prp8 with the R2388K, F2412N or E2407K/D2410K (ED/KK) mutations. Extracts were incubated at 35 °C for 20 min and then subjected to 10-30 % glycerol gradient centrifugation. Gradients were fractionated, RNA was isolated from the even-numbered fractions and analyzed by Northern blotting. The positions of U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6 snRNAs are indicated. The positions of U6 snRNP, U4/U6 di-snRNP and U4/U6-U5 tri-snRNP are indicated at the bottom. (B) Profiles of the U4 and U6 snRNA distributions across the gradients. (C) Western blot of proteins isolated from the odd-numbered gradient fractions (indicated at the top) containing extract from cells expressing wt yPrp8 or the R2388K or F2412N mutants thereof. Blots were immunostained with antibodies against yPrp8 and yBrr2 (top panel) or against ySnu114 (lower panel). Consistent with previous studies (21), the amount of tri-snRNP relative to U4/U6 di-snRNP was reduced in cells expressing the Prp8^{R2388K} variant, and Brr2 association with U5 or the tri-snRNP was similarly diminished. In contrast, the amount of tri-snRNP relative to U4/U6 was not altered in cells expressing Prp8^{F2412N} or Prp8^{E2407K/D2410K}, and Brr2 association was not affected. Thus, the in vivo effects of these mutations, which based on our structure are expected to destabilize the interaction of Prp8’s tail with Brr2, can be attributed to misregulation of Brr2 during splicing, rather than a defect in U5 or tri-snRNP formation. (D) Interaction of various yPrp8^{Jab1} mutants with yBrr2 monitored by gel filtration. Seven identical fractions were analyzed. Numbers on the left, molecular mass standards in kD.
Fig. S9. Working model for the regulation of Brr2 by the Prp8 RNase H and Jab1 domains during pre-mRNA splicing. Red lines, inhibitory effects; green arrows, stimulatory effects. (I.) Inhibited state in the U4/U6-U5 tri-snRNP and during early spliceosome assembly achieved by competitive binding of a single-stranded U4 snRNA region upstream of stem I (thick black line) via the RNase H domain (13) and by blocking the RNA-binding tunnel and disruption of the N-terminal cassette (NC) - C-terminal cassette (CC) interactions (see fig. S3A-C) via the Prp8 Jab1 domain. (II.) State after release of U4 snRNA from RNase H with Brr2 still blocked via the Prp8 Jab1 domain. The conserved U6 ACAGAG box (thick grey line) has taken over base pairing of the 5’ splice site from U1 snRNA. It is likely but not certain that the block by the Prp8 RNase H domain is released before the block by the Prp8 Jab1 domain. (III.) Brr2 stimulation during spliceosome catalytic activation via the globular part and proximal tail of Prp8 Jab1 domain and by direct interaction of N- and C-terminal cassettes (18). Magenta arrow, movement of Brr2 on U4 snRNA. (IV.) The Prp8 Jab1 domain may again block Brr2 after spliceosome catalytic activation. Presently, there is no direct evidence that the blocks implemented via the Prp8 RNase H and Jab1 domains are released in a stepwise fashion or that the Prp8 C-terminal tail returns to the Brr2 RNA-binding tunnel after spliceosome activation.
**Fig. S10.** Potential role of Prp8^Jab1^ de-ubiquitination in triggering de-repression of Brr2 activity. Core of the hPrp8 Jab1 domain, peach; residues I2105 and L2106 of hPrp8^Jab1^, brick red. Other coloring as before. Rotated 180 ° about the vertical axis compared to Fig. 1A. The release of the C-terminal tail from Brr2’s RNA-binding tunnel could be achieved by altering the posttranslational modification status of Prp8. Previous studies have suggested that ubiquitination of Prp8 negatively regulates U4/U6 unwinding by Brr2 (30). In yPrp8^Jab1^, residues V2184 and L2185 have been implicated in ubiquitin binding (31), and the corresponding residues of hPrp8 (I2105 and L2106) are located on the backside of the Jab1 domain that is unobstructed in the hBrr2^HR^-hPrp8^Jab1^ complex. Ubiquitin bound at this site could concomitantly interact with the neighboring N-terminal IG domain or the intercassette linker, thereby eliciting conformational changes that could enhance the negative regulation of Brr2 by Prp8^Jab1^ either by stabilizing the Prp8 C-terminal tail in the RNA-binding tunnel of Brr2 and/or by disrupting intercassette contacts important for Brr2 helicase activity. Thus, deubiquitination of Prp8 constitutes a possible signal *in vivo* that may trigger release of the Prp8 C-terminal peptide from
Brr2 and thereby allow Brr2 to bind its RNA substrate. Alternatively, other spliceosomal proteins, such as Snu114 (6), may elicit conformational changes in Brr2 that lead to release of the Prp8 C-terminal tail. As Snu114 has been shown to directly bind Prp8*Jab1* (16-17) this interaction could also free Brr2 for spliceosome activation. Because there is one copy each of Brr2, Prp8 (and thus its Jab1 domain) and U4/U6 in a spliceosome, we suggest that such triggers, rather than general binding equilibria, provide directionality to Brr2 regulation during splicing *in vivo*. 
## Supplementary Tables

### Table S1. Crystallographic statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.91841</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P4_2_2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td>a,b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>201.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50.0-3.6</td>
<td>(3.80-3.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reflections     |               |               |               |
|                 | Unique: 68276 (10791) | 99.8 (99.2) | 7.5 (7.6) |
|                 | Completeness (%) |               |               |
|                 | I/σ | 17.0 (72.5) |               |
|                 | R_s  | 19.8 (31.6) | 23.2 (35.6) |

| Refinement      |               |               |               |
| Resolution (Å)  | 50.0-3.6      | (3.65-3.60)   |               |
| Reflections     | Number: 68248 (2605) | 99.8 (97.0) | 5 |
|                 | Completeness (%) |               |               |
|                 | Test set (%)   |               |               |
| R-factors†      | R_work | 19.8 (31.6) |               |
|                 | R_free | 23.2 (35.6) |               |

| Ramachandran plot | Favorable: 94.77 | Allowed: 5.03 | Outlier: 0.02 |

| Rmsd§ geometry   | Bonds (Å) | 0.007 |               |
|                  | Angles (°) | 1.158 |               |

| PDB ID           | 4KIT       |               |               |

* Values for the highest resolution shell in parentheses.
† $R_{sym}(I) = \frac{\sum_{hkl} |I(hkl) - \langle I(hkl)\rangle|}{\sum_{hkl} I(hkl)}$, in which $I(hkl)$ – intensity of the $i^{th}$ measurement of reflection hkl; $\langle I(hkl)\rangle$ – average value of the intensity of reflection hkl for all i measurements.
‡ $R_{work} = \frac{\sum_{hkl \in T} |F_{obs} - k|F_{calc}|}{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}|}$; $R_{free} = \frac{\sum_{hkl \notin T} |F_{obs} - k|F_{calc}|}{\sum_{hkl \notin T} |F_{obs}|}$; $hkl \in T$ – test set; $F_{obs}, F_{calc}$ – observed and calculated (from model) structure factor amplitudes.
§ Rmsd - root-mean-square deviation.
Table S2. Kinetics of U4/U6 unwinding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>$k_u$ [min$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yBrr2</td>
<td>32.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yBrr2-yPrp8$^{Jab1}$</td>
<td>63.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yBrr2-yPrp8$^{Jab1}$-A2399stop</td>
<td>86.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yBrr2/yPrp8$^{Jab1}$-F2412N</td>
<td>85.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yBrr2-yPrp8$^{Jab1}$-ED/KK</td>
<td>94.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Brr2-mediated U4/U6 unwinding assays in the absence and presence of Prp8$^{Jab1}$ variants (Fig. 3H) were fit to a single exponential equation: \( \% \) duplex unwound = \( A\times(1-e^(-k_u t)) \); $A$, amplitude of the reaction; $k_u$, apparent first-order rate constant for unwinding; $t$, time. Errors represent SEMs of at least two independent experiments.
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