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Density-functional theory (DFT) is now widely used to study water and ice for at least 20 years. However, the reliability of different DFT exchange-correlation ($xc$) functionals for water remains a matter of considerable debate. This is particularly true in light of the recent development of DFT based methods that account for van der Waals (vdW) dispersion forces. Here, we report a detailed study with several $xc$ functionals (semi-local, hybrid, and vdW inclusive approaches) on ice $Ih$ and six proton ordered phases of ice. Consistent with our previous study [B. Santra, J. Klimeš, D. Alfè, A. Tkatchenko, B. Slater, A. Michaelides, R. Car, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 185701 (2011)] which showed that vdW forces become increasingly important at high pressures, we find here that all vdW inclusive methods considered improve the relative energies and transition pressures of the high-pressure ice phases compared to those obtained with semi-local or hybrid $xc$ functionals. However, we also find that significant discrepancies between experiment and the vdW inclusive approaches remain in the cohesive properties of the various phases, causing certain phases to be absent from the phase diagram. Therefore, room for improvement in the description of water at ambient and high pressures remains and we suggest that because of the stern test the high pressure ice phases pose they should be used in future benchmark studies of simulation methods for water. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4824481]

I. INTRODUCTION

Density-functional theory (DFT) is now widely used to study water and ice in a range of different environments, including, for example, bulk water, water at interfaces, and water under confinement. Most DFT studies of water have involved the application of semi-local generalized gradient approximations (GGA) for the exchange and correlation ($xc$) energy. Whilst these studies have proved to be very useful in providing insights into the structure and properties of water, there are persistent question marks over the quantitative accuracy of such $xc$ functionals, in particular for the treatment of condensed phase water which is held together by hydrogen (H) bonding and van der Waals (vdW) interactions. Over the years this has prompted a number of benchmark studies focused on gas phase water clusters,1–18 liquid water,19–44 and crystalline phases of ice.45–64 While we currently have a relatively clear understanding about the performance of various $xc$ functionals for gas phase clusters, this is far from being established for ice and liquid water. This is particularly true in light of recent work which has shown that vdW dispersion forces are important for the accurate description of different properties of water.45–51,65–73

Understanding the role of vdW forces in water has been greatly helped by the emergence of various approaches which account for vdW forces within the framework of DFT.74–83 In the last few years, many of the vdW inclusive DFT $xc$ functionals have been used to investigate the effects of vdW on the structural, energetic, and vibrational properties of liquid water.68–73 Overall, with vdW inclusive $xc$ functionals there are indeed improvements in certain calculated properties of liquid water. For example, the first peak in the oxygen-oxygen radial distribution function is generally reduced and brought into closer agreement with experiment. However, the accuracy of the computed properties strongly depends on the methods chosen to incorporate vdW as well as the technical details of the molecular dynamics simulations. There is, of course, also the challenge of accounting for quantum nuclear effects, which is rarely done in ab initio studies of liquid water.80,81 However, in contrast to liquid water, the various crystalline phases of ice represent a relatively straightforward set of structures against which DFT methods can be tested. Indeed there are at present 15 experimentally characterized ice phases with water molecules in a number of distinct arrangements, H bond networks, and densities.34–87 Many of the ice phases are complicated with disordered water arrangements (so-called “proton disordered”). However, some phases
have relatively simple proton ordered arrangements of water molecules, and it is these phases that are particularly suitable as benchmarks. Furthermore, thanks to Whalley’s extrapolations of the experimental finite temperature and pressure phase coexistence lines to zero temperature, for some of these phases there are even estimates of the internal energy differences, which makes theoretical benchmarks particularly straightforward and mitigates the need for expensive free energy calculations.

In an earlier study on ice we found that the effects of vdW become increasingly important upon going from the low- to the high-density phases and capturing this variation in the vdW energy is essential to get the transition pressures between the ice phases within an order of magnitude of experiment. Here, we extend on the previous study significantly by reporting results on the accuracy of the cohesive properties of individual phases of ice obtained from a wide range of vdW inclusive functionals. Also by looking at the enthalpies of ice as a function of pressure we have obtained a more detailed picture of the stability range of each ice phase predicted from the different functionals. The approaches used here include: (i) vdWTS, which involves an explicit summation of pairwise (two-body) vdW dispersion interactions among all atom pairs using their respective vdW $C_6$ coefficients which are functionals of the electron density; (ii) vdWMB, an extension of vdWTS that accounts for electrodynamic screening and many-body vdW interactions within the dipole approximation; and (iii) various functionals from the “vdW-DF” family. All vdW-DFs are calculated via a model dynamic response function and long range pairwise approximations. These various vdW inclusive approaches have been reasonably successful in modeling a wide variety of materials including different phases of water starting from clusters to condensed phases. In this study, we find that all vdW inclusive functionals considered predict phase transition pressures in much better agreement with experiment than the functionals which do not include vdW. However, the precise values of the lattice constants and lattice energies are highly sensitive to the choice of vdW inclusive method. Moreover, none of the functionals can simultaneously produce energetics and volumes of the ice phases with high enough precision to yield a phase diagram that correctly captures all the phases found in experiments.

In Sec. II details of the simulation methods are provided. This is followed by discussions of our results for the equilibrium lattice energies (Sec. III A), the equilibrium volumes (Sec. III B), enthalpies (Sec. III C), and a decomposition of total energies focusing on exchange and correlation energies (Sec. III D). Conclusions and a short perspective on future work are given in Sec. IV.

II. SIMULATION DETAILS

We have computed and analyzed the equilibrium lattice energies, volumes, and enthalpies of several ice phases. This includes the ambient pressure phase of ice, ice Ih, and all the proton ordered high-pressure phases, namely, in order of increasing pressure, ice IX, II, XIII, XIV, XV, and VIII. We have focused on proton ordered phases because they are more straightforward to model than the proton disordered phases. The initial structures used for the proton ordered phases have been obtained from experiment and the unit cells used are shown in Fig. 1. Proton disordered ice Ih is modeled with the 12 water unit cell proposed by Hamann. The results obtained from the 12 molecule cell have also been compared to results from a unit cell of 96 water molecules. These results reveal that the lattice energies obtained from the 12 and 96 water molecule unit cells are within 1 m eV/H$_2$O and the equilibrium volumes differ only by $<0.01$ Å$^3$/H$_2$O with PBE.

The lattice energy per H$_2$O ($\Delta E$) of ice is obtained by subtracting the total energy of $N$ isolated H$_2$O molecules ($E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) from the total energy of the ice unit cell ($E_{\text{Ice}}$).
containing \( N \) molecules of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), i.e.,
\[
\Delta E = \left( E^{\text{ice}} - N \times E^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \right) / N. \tag{1}
\]
At zero pressure the theoretical equilibrium lattice energies and volumes are obtained by varying the lattice parameters isotropically within \( \pm 20\% \) of the experimental values and fitting the resultant energy-volume curves to the Murnaghan equation of state.\(^{105} \) By isotropic variation we mean that the fitting the resultant energy-volume curves to the Murnaghan equation at intermediate and short inter-atomic distances.\(^{76, 93} \) Com-

The properties of the various ice phases have been in-
vestigated with seven functionals, representing a number of different classes of functional. These include, PBE, a widely used GGA functional, and PBE0,\(^{107} \) a hybrid exchange variant of PBE. Neither of these functionals account for vdW forces. We have also considered PBE+vdW\(^{75} \) and PBE0+vdW\(^{73} \), vdW inclusive versions of PBE and PBE0, where the vdW interaction is calculated with the Tkatchenko and Scheffler (TS) scheme.\(^{75} \) The \( x_c \) energy (\( E_{xc} \)) in this scheme takes the form
\[
E_{xc} = E^{\text{GGA/hybrid}}_{\text{GGA}} + (E^{\text{LDA}}_{\text{LDA}} + E^{\text{GGA}}_{\text{GGA}}) + E^{\text{TS}}_{\text{vdW}}, \tag{2}
\]
where \( E^{\text{GGA/hybrid}}_{\text{GGA}} \) is the PBE or PBE0 exchange, \( E^{\text{LDA}}_{\text{LDA}} \) is the local-density approximation (LDA) correlation, \( E^{\text{GGA}}_{\text{GGA}} \) is the PBE semi-local correlation correction, and \( E^{\text{TS}}_{\text{vdW}} \) is the vdW energy in the TS scheme. In addition, we have employed an extension of the vdW\(^{TS} \) approach which takes into account many-body (MB) dispersion and long-range electro-

The calculations with PBE and PBE+vdW\(^{TS} \) were performed with the all electron numeric atom-centered orbital (NAO) basis set code FHI-aims.\(^{111} \) Sufficiently large basis sets ("tier2" for H and "tier3" for O) were employed to calculate total energies and to optimize structures. PBE0, revPBE-\( \text{vdW} \), optPBE-\( \text{vdW} \), and rPW86-\( \text{vdW2} \) calculations were done with the VASP code\(^{112, 113} \) with the hardest projector-

In this section, we report how the above mentioned DFT \( x_c \) functionals describe the different phases of ice by examining equilibrium lattice energies, volumes at zero pressure, and the relative enthalpies of the various phases. Subsequently we report an analysis of the individual contributions from exchange and correlation to the lattice energies.

A. Lattice energies at zero pressure

The lattice energy is one of the key characteristic quanti-
ties of a solid and we use it here to evaluate the performance and deficiencies of different \( x_c \) functionals in describing ice. Previously most analysis of lattice energies concentrated on ice \( \text{I}_h \)\(^{47, 54, 58} \) however, recently we showed that studying ice \( \text{I}_h \) alone is not enough to establish the general behavior of an \( x_c \) functional over the entire phase diagram of water.\(^{45} \) Here we have calculated the lattice energies of different ice phases using a wide variety of \( x_c \) functionals and made comparisons with experiments\(^{85, 88, 99, 101, 115} \) and diffusion quantum Monte Carlo (DMC)\(^{45} \) whenever possible (Table I). We note that the DFT and DMC lattice energies reported in Table I do not include nuclear zero-point energies (ZPEs) and are directly comparable with the experimental lattice energies provided.

\(^{90} \) We should note that all three \( \text{vdW-DF} \) functionals utilize GGA exchange.
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TABLE I. Equilibrium lattice energies (cf. Eq. (1)) of different ice phases with various methods. The relative lattice energies of the high-pressure ice phases with respect to ice Ih are given in parenthesis. All energies are in meV/H$_2$O.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IX</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>XIII</th>
<th>XIV</th>
<th>XV</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expt.$^a$</td>
<td>-610 (0)</td>
<td>-606 (5)</td>
<td>-609 (1)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-577 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC$^b$</td>
<td>-605</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-609 (-4)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-575 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>-636 (0)</td>
<td>-587 (49)</td>
<td>-567 (69)</td>
<td>-556 (80)</td>
<td>-543 (93)</td>
<td>-526 (110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0</td>
<td>-598 (0)</td>
<td>-557 (41)</td>
<td>-543 (55)</td>
<td>-530 (67)</td>
<td>-518 (80)</td>
<td>-504 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+vdW$^b$TS</td>
<td>-714 (0)</td>
<td>-705 (9)</td>
<td>-698 (16)</td>
<td>-695 (19)</td>
<td>-690 (24)</td>
<td>-678 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0+vdW$^b$TS</td>
<td>-672 (0)</td>
<td>-670 (2)</td>
<td>-666 (6)</td>
<td>-661 (11)</td>
<td>-656 (16)</td>
<td>-646 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0+vdWMB</td>
<td>-672 (0)</td>
<td>-663 (9)</td>
<td>-656 (16)</td>
<td>-648 (22)</td>
<td>-642 (30)</td>
<td>-629 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revPBE-vdW</td>
<td>-559 (0)</td>
<td>-563 (~4)</td>
<td>-556 (3)</td>
<td>-555 (4)</td>
<td>-552 (7)</td>
<td>-545 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optPBE-vdW</td>
<td>-668 (0)</td>
<td>-673 (~5)</td>
<td>-667 (1)</td>
<td>-666 (2)</td>
<td>-664 (4)</td>
<td>-656 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rPW86-vdW2</td>
<td>-619 (0)</td>
<td>-621 (~2)</td>
<td>-618 (1)</td>
<td>-615 (4)</td>
<td>-605 (14)</td>
<td>-605 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 88.
$^b$The DMC statistical error bar is ±5 meV/H$_2$O (Ref. 45).

by Whalley, in which ZPE contributions were removed and the energies were extrapolated to 0 K. In Fig. 2 the differences in the calculated and experimental lattice energies are shown for ice Ih, IX, II, and VIII for all the functionals considered. It can be seen that for the phases for which DMC data are available the agreement between DMC and experiment is excellent, differing only by 5 meV/H$_2$O at most, which is also the size of the DMC statistical errors.

We begin with the performance of functionals which do not account for vdW (PBE and PBE0) on the absolute values of the lattice energies. The behavior of PBE for ice Ih is well known, it overestimates the lattice energy: overestimations of between 30 and 100 meV/H$_2$O have been reported depending on the computational set-up used (mainly the quality of the basis sets and pseudopotentials). Here, using a full potential all-electron approach and very tightly converged NAO basis sets, we find that PBE overestimates the lattice energy of ice Ih by ~26 meV/H$_2$O (Fig. 2). This is in close agreement with the results from highly converged PAW calculations reported in Ref. 58. Interestingly the established notion that PBE overestimates the lattice energies of ice does not hold for the high-density phases. For example, PBE exhibits a ~125 meV/H$_2$O underestimation for the lattice energy of ice VIII. The story is somewhat similar for PBE0, the hybrid variant of PBE. PBE0 predicts a very good lattice energy for ice Ih (only 15 meV/H$_2$O less than experiment) but simultaneously underestimates the lattice energy of the high-density ice phases. We believe that the behavior seen here for PBE and PBE0 is likely to apply to many other GGA and hybrid xc functionals. For example, our calculations show that BLYP and revPBE GGA functionals underestimate the lattice energy of ice VIII by 246 meV/H$_2$O and 316 meV/H$_2$O, respectively. Similar findings have also been reported for B3LYP.49

In general we find that when vdW is accounted for the differences between the calculated and experimental lattice energies are much less sensitive to the particular phases being examined (Fig. 2). Considering first the vdW$^b$ scheme, with the PBE+vdW$^b$ and PBE0+vdW$^b$ functionals the lattice energies are on average ~100 meV/H$_2$O and ~60 meV/H$_2$O, respectively, too large compared to experiment. The smaller errors obtained from PBE0+vdW$^b$ largely arise from the difference between PBE and PBE0 since the vdW contributions from these functionals obtained with the TS scheme are similar (to within 15 meV/H$_2$O). The contributions from vdW interactions beyond two-body vdW$^b$ to lattice energies are found to be small for the phases considered. Specifically, the PBE0+vdW$^{MB}$ approach reduces the error by 7–17 meV/H$_2$O for the high-density phases compared to the standard PBE0+vdW$^b$. A noticeable exception to the consistent performance of vdW$^b$ found for ice Ih, IX, and II is the highest density ice VIII phase (by 40–50 meV/H$_2$O [Fig. 2]). This inconsistency is largely due to the shortcomings of the damping function used in the vdW$^b$ approach in describing the interpenetrating H bond network in ice VIII which has water molecules that do not form H bonds with each other as close as 2.9 Å apart.

When the vdW-DF functionals are utilized the errors are more consistent for the phases (Fig. 2). However, the magnitude and sign of the error varies considerably from one functional to another, e.g., on average optPBE-vdW produces too large (~60 meV/H$_2$O) and revPBE-vdW produces too small (~50 meV/H$_2$O) lattice energies compared to experiment. The fact that revPBE-vdW underestimates the lattice energy is not a surprise and consistent with results obtained with this functional for small molecules and water clusters. 76, 90, 95, 97, 117 Previously reported lattice energies of ice Ih with revPBE-vdW are 30–35 meV/H$_2$O larger than what we obtain here. This is not a very substantial difference and we suspect it is mostly down to differences...
in pseudopotentials. Here, rPW86-vdW2 provides the best agreement with experimental lattice energies being consistently within \( \sim 15 \text{ meV/H}_2\text{O} \) for all ice phases.

The role played by vdW interactions in the phase diagram of ice is most evident when relative energies between the ice phases are considered. Both the vdWTS and vdW-DF approaches provide results which are in much closer agreement with experiment and DMC than the standard GGA and hybrid functionals in this regard. Table I shows that experimentally the energy difference between ice Ih and the highest density ice VIII phase is 33 meV/H\(_2\)O. Although the relative stabilities of ice XII, XIV, and XV are not known (either from experiment or DMC) they should also fall within the 33 meV/H\(_2\)O window since ice VIII is the least stable phase at zero pressure and 0 K (of all the phases studied here). However, when calculated with PBE and PBE0 the energy difference between ice Ih and ice VIII is far too large (\( > 140 \text{ meV/H}_2\text{O} \)). Likewise, when comparison with experiment is possible the phases between ice Ih and ice VIII are also destabilized too much. All vdW inclusive functionals reduce the energy differences between the phases, bringing them into much closer agreement with experiment. For example, the energy difference between ice Ih and ice VIII comes down to only 76 meV/H\(_2\)O and 33 meV/H\(_2\)O with PBE0+vdWTS and rPW86-vdW2, respectively.

As noted earlier, ZPE contributions were not considered in the above discussions as ZPE effects do not play a significant role in determining the relative energies of the various ice phases. The main effect from ZPE contributions is to reduce the lattice energies by about 120–110 meV/H\(_2\)O. This applies across the board for all phases and functionals considered, although a small monotonic decrease on the level of 6–10 meV/H\(_2\)O is seen upon going from the low- to the high-density phases. However, as we will see in Sec. III B ZPE effects do influence the equilibrium volumes significantly.

### B. Equilibrium volumes

The equilibrium volume, which is a measure of the density of the phases, is another important quantity used to assess the performance of different theoretical methods. Previous efforts focused mostly on calculating the density of ice Ih, whereas here we seek to understand how functionals perform for a range of phases. Table II and Fig. 3 show comparisons of the calculated and experimental volumes. The equilibrium volumes of ice Ih obtained using both PBE and PBE0 are \( \sim 4\% \) smaller than experiment, which is consistent with previous calculations. Upon going to higher densities, however, contrasting behavior is observed and as one moves to higher densities there is an increasing tendency to overestimate the volume. Indeed for ice VIII the volume is overestimated by \( 4\% \) with both PBE and PBE0. Clearly, the greater underestimation of vdW interactions at higher densities leads to a progressive overestimation of the equilibrium volumes. Overall though, and in contrast to the lattice energies, the performance of PBE and PBE0 for the equilibrium volumes is significantly.
reasonable, differing by <2% from experiment when averaged over all ice phases.

With vdW\textsuperscript{TS} the volumes are decreased by 3%–9% from their parent functionals (PBE and PBE0) and in comparison to experiment the volumes actually become worse. The underestimated volumes obviously correlate with the underestimated lattice energies predicted by these approaches (Fig. 2). Going beyond two-body vdW\textsuperscript{TS} the equilibrium volumes are reduced further and compared to experiment the average difference becomes ~7%. It is noteworthy that vdW\textsuperscript{MB} reduces the volume of ice VIII more than vdW\textsuperscript{TS}, and as a result it improves the relative change in the volume with respect to ice XV, which in turn substantially affects the phase transition pressures (Sec. III C). Contrary to the performance of the vdW\textsuperscript{TS} approaches, the equilibrium volumes obtained from revPBE-vdW are on average ∼10% too large (Fig. 3). Such behavior of revPBE-vdW has been attributed to the overly repulsive revPBE exchange functional and is analogous to what has been found previously with this functional for many other solids.\textsuperscript{93, 95} The rPW86-vdW\textsuperscript{2} functional incorporates improvements in both the exchange and correlation components of the functional compared to revPBE-vdW and we find that this is reflected in improved volumes, being on average ∼5% larger than experiment. However, this performance is still inferior to PBE and PBE0. Of the vdW inclusive functionals optPBE-vdW provides the smallest average error being within 2% of experiment for all the ice phases.

Unlike the lattice energies, the effects of ZPE on the equilibrium volumes cannot be ignored, especially in the higher density phases. The effect of ZPE on the equilibrium volumes is estimated by computing free energy as a function of volume as \( F(V) = E(V) + \frac{1}{2} \sum \omega_i \nu_i \), where \( \omega_i \nu_i \) is the frequency of phonon mode \( \nu_i \) at a given volume. In line with the recent study of Murray and Galli,\textsuperscript{46} we find that with PBE the volumes of ice \( h \) and VIII increase by ∼0.5% and ∼5.5%, respectively, when ZPE effects are accounted for (Fig. 3(b) and Table II). Indeed overall we find that the ZPE effects gradually increase from the low- to high-density phases and depending on the functional the increase in the equilibrium volume for the highest density ice VIII phase is somewhere between 3% and 6%.\textsuperscript{118} Thus, compared to experiments the mean absolute error in predicting volumes of phases increases by ∼2% for vdW-DF and decreases by ∼1.5% for vdW\textsuperscript{TS} when ZPE effects are accounted for. Overall for all the vdW inclusive functionals, when ZPE effects are taken into account optPBE-vdW and PBE0+vdW\textsuperscript{TS} are the two best functionals in terms of predicting volumes (Table II).

C. Enthalpy

Apart from absolute lattice energies and densities, accurate predictions of phase transitions are important if a functional is to be of real value in exploring the phase diagram of water. In Ref. 45 we showed that vdW interactions had a huge impact on the predicted phase transition pressures between the various phases of ice considered. Here we extend this study by calculating the enthalpies of different phases to establish the most stable phases at different pressures predicted by the various \( xc \) functionals. Pressures, \( P(V) \), at different volumes have been calculated from the Murnaghan equation of state,\textsuperscript{105}

\[
P(V) = B_0/B_0^0((V_0/V)^{B_0^0} - 1),
\]

where \( B_0 \), \( B_0^0 \), and \( V_0 \) are the equilibrium bulk modulus, the derivative of the bulk modulus with respect to pressure, and the equilibrium volume at zero pressure, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the enthalpies of the various phases as a function of pressure relative to the enthalpy of ice \( h \) at (0 K and zero pressure). The most stable phase at each pressure is the one with the lowest enthalpy and the crossovers between different phases indicate the pressures at which the phase transitions are predicted to occur. The phase transition pressures predicted from all functionals are also summarized in Table III.

According to the most recent experimental phase diagram of water, upon pressurizing the ambient pressure ice \( h \) phase the high-pressure proton ordered phases are expected to occur in the following sequence: ice IX, II, XIII, XIV, XV, and VIII.\textsuperscript{84–87} However, the exact phase boundaries between these phases have not been determined directly from experiment, especially when considering the low temperature regime.\textsuperscript{84–87} Specifically, between ice \( h \) and IX there is no measured phase boundary available and a reasonable choice is to consider the known phase coexistence line between ice \( h \) and III, the proton disordered counterpart of ice IX, which appears at ∼0.1–0.2 GPa.\textsuperscript{87, 119} The experimental phase boundaries between ice IX, II, and XIII are also unknown, however, they certainly should appear in the pressure window of 0.2–0.8 GPa since at higher pressures (∼1.2–1.4 GPa) ice XIV and XV are found to be stabilized.\textsuperscript{85, 87, 101} The highest density phase, ice VIII, can be found at 1.5 GPa.\textsuperscript{87} Now we will discuss how our calculated phase transition pressures compare with the experimental data.

Table III shows that the phase transition pressures obtained from PBE are much too high compared to experiment; about an order of magnitude too high for ice IX and 3–4 times too high for ice XIV and VIII. Small improvements arise using PBE0 with 20%–30% reductions in the transition pressures. The predicted order in which the ice phases appear (\( h \), IX, XIV, and VIII) with increasing pressure agrees with experiment. However, ice II, XIII, and XV are missing from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V</th>
<th>IX</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>XIII</th>
<th>XIV/XV</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expt.</td>
<td>0.1–0.2\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1.2–1.4\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>1.50\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE+vdWTS</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0+vdWTS</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE0+vdWMB</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revPBE-vdW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optPBE-vdW</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rPW86-vdW2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reference 119.
\textsuperscript{b}Reference 87.
PBE (and PBE0) phase diagram, i.e., at no positive external pressure do these phases have the lowest enthalpy (Fig. 4).

With the vdW inclusive functionals the transition pressures are lowered substantially and are in reasonable agreement with experiment (Table III). For ice IX, XIII, and XIV the transition pressures obtained from PBE0+vdWTS are within the range of experimental values. However, PBE0+vdWTS fails to reduce the transition pressure of ice VIII mainly because the relative lattice energy of ice VIII with respect to ice XV (∼50 meV/H₂O) does not improve with vdWTS (Table I). Inclusion of many-body vdW decreases the energy difference between ice VIII and ice XV by 10 meV/H₂O and brings the relative change in the equilibrium volume (2.2 Å³/H₂O) into better agreement with experiment.

FIG. 4. Change in the enthalpies (ΔH) of the ice phases relative to the enthalpy of ice Ih at T = 0 and P = 0 calculated with (a) PBE0, (b) PBE0+vdWTS, (c) PBE0+vdWMB, (d) revPBE-vdW, (e) optPBE-vdW, and (f) rPW86-vdW2. The vertical dotted lines indicate the transition pressures. The most stable ice phases along the pressure axis are indicated at the bottom of each panel. The insets show elaborations of the PBE0+vdWTS and optPBE-vdW plots within the 0.0–0.5 GPA pressure range.

An equivalent definition is used to extract the contribution from the exchange energy (ΔEₓ) to the lattice energy obtained by subtracting the exchange energy of N isolated H₂O molecules (EₓH₂O) from the exchange energy of the ice unit cells (Eₓ) containing N molecules of H₂O and can be defined as

$$\Delta E_x = (E_x^{\text{Ice}} - N \times E_x^{\text{H}_2\text{O}})/N.$$  (5)

An equivalent definition is used to extract the contribution form the correlation energy (ΔEᵣ) to the lattice energy. Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the variations in ΔEₓ and ΔEᵣ for all ice phases at the equilibrium densities obtained from each xc functional. In general we find that upon going from the low to the high density phases the energetically favorable exchange contribution to the lattice energy decreases, just as the lattice energies do. We also find that the
exchange contribution to the lattice energy strongly depends on the equilibrium volumes obtained with the various functionals. Consequently revPBE-vdW predicts the largest volumes and the smallest $\Delta E_c$ and PBE+vdW$^{\text{TS}}$ the smallest volumes and largest $\Delta E_c$ for all phases. Since the hybrid PBE0 exchange yields accurate electrostatic properties (e.g., polarizability, dipole moment, electronic band gap) for the gas and condensed phases of water, it is somewhat useful to consider PBE0 $\Delta E_c$ as a reference against which we compare other exchange functionals. In this regard, PBE and optPBE follow PBE0 fairly closely over the entire pressure range. On the other hand, rPW86-vdW2 $\Delta E_c$ is within 10 meV/H$_2$O of the PBE0 value for ice Ih and IX, it deviates substantially (>70 meV/H$_2$O more negative) for the higher density ice phases, implying that rPW86 exchange is over stabilized compared to PBE0 exchange for the highest pressure phase. Similarly PBE+vdW$^{\text{TS}}$ and PBE0+vdW$^{\text{TS}}$ $\Delta E_c$ are substantially more negative than PBE0 exchange for all phases except ice VIII. However, this is mainly due to the smaller volumes predicted by these approaches compared to PBE0.

The contributions from correlations to the lattice energies, $\Delta E_c$, show why PBE and PBE0 perform so poorly for the high-density phases. Specifically we find that the PBE $\Delta E_c$ is nearly constant for all the ice phases, which is in stark contrast to the predictions from the vdW inclusive xc functionals that $\Delta E_c$ increases from the low- to the high-density phases (Fig. 5(b)). It is interesting to compare the relative contributions of vdW forces coming from the various vdW inclusive functionals. However, this is not straightforward because the correlation energies in vdW$^{\text{TS}}$ and the vdW-DFs contain different terms (cf. Eqs. (2) and (3)). Nonetheless, since in the vdW$^{\text{TS}}$ scheme used here vdW is the correlation energy coming beyond GGA PBE ($E^\text{GGA}_c$), we have computed a similar quantity from the vdW-DFs by subtracting $E^\text{GGA}_c$ (with GGA PBE) from $E^\text{NL}$. The contribution of this modified nonlocal correlation energy to the lattice energy of ice is denoted as $\Delta E'_c$ and is shown in Fig. 5(c). When we examine this term we find that it increases from the low- to the high-density ice phases with all vdW inclusive functionals. However, the magnitude of $\Delta E'_c$ predicted by the different approaches differs significantly. rPW86-vdW2 predicts the smallest $\Delta E'_c$, revPBE-vdW and optPBE-vdW the largest, and PBE/PBE0+vdWTS falls in the middle. Since a major component of $\Delta E'_c$ is nonlocal vdW interactions the magnitude of $\Delta E'_c$ should depend strongly on thevdW $C_6$ coefficients. Indeed, we find that the molecular $C_6$ coefficients calculated on ice (Table IV) with the different functionals correlate well with the relative magnitude of $\Delta E'_c$. Previous work showed that the $C_6$ coefficient of an isolated water molecule is <50% too small with rPW86-vdW2 compared to experiment. Here we find the same behavior for the $C_6$ coefficients of water molecules within all ice phases. Compared to all other vdW functionals the molecular $C_6$ coefficients obtained from rPW86-vdW2 are strikingly smaller, 40%–50% for ice Ih and 35%–45% for ice VIII.

To sum up, this brief analysis of the exchange and correlation contributions to the lattice energies has revealed that the large reduction in the exchange contribution to the lattice energy upon going from the low- to the high-density phases is compensated for by a growing correlation contribution to the lattice energy from the beyond GGA correlation ($\Delta E'_c$). This compensation is obviously found for the vdW inclusive methods but not found for PBE and PBE0 and as a result the lattice energies are underestimated with PBE and PBE0 for the high pressure phases.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a detailed study on a selection of different ice phases with a range of $xc$ functionals, including some of the recently developed functionals which account for...
vdW dispersion forces. Whilst we know a lot about the performance of these functionals in the gas phase (in particular on gas phase data sets such as the S22\textsuperscript{109,122}) much less is known about how these functionals perform in the condensed phases, which was one of the key motivations for this study. As seen before in the gas phase the vdW inclusive functionals do offer some improvement in performance. This is particularly true for the relative energies of the different phases and as a result the phase transition pressures. However, the functionals tested are far from perfect and none simultaneously yields excellent lattice energies and lattice constants for all phases. Of the schemes considered PBE0+vdW\textsuperscript{75} consistently overestimates lattice energies by $\sim$50 meV/H\textsubscript{2}O and equilibrium densities by $\sim$5%. optPBE-vdW produces densities of ice that are in best agreement ($\sim$3%) with experiment but the lattice energies are $\sim$50 meV/H\textsubscript{2}O too large. revPBE-vdW underestimates densities by $\sim$10% and lattice energies by $\sim$50 meV/H\textsubscript{2}O. rPW86-vdW\textsubscript{2} gives very accurate lattice energies but the densities are underestimated by $\sim$8%.

The improved agreement between the experimental and calculated phase transition pressures when using the vdW functionals clearly highlights the importance of accounting for vdW in ice. However, even with vdW inclusive functionals, capturing all of the experimentally characterized ice phases on the water phase diagram is clearly still a major challenge and beyond the capabilities of the methods considered here. Water is well known to provide a stern challenge for DFT, be it water clusters, liquid water, and now ice. The fact that several phases of ice are missing from the phase diagram of water is somewhat of a blow to the true predictive ability of the methods considered here, but also a true challenge and opportunity for developing and testing new methods.

From this study it is evident that the ice phases considered here are extremely useful in providing a challenging “data set” against which new methods can be tested and proved. It would of course be interesting to see how some of the other vdW inclusive DFT methods developed recently perform on the ice phases. In this respect the already available experimental lattice energies and the matching DMC numbers are valuable references. However, additional DMC data on other phases of ice would certainly be of value as would other vdW inclusive methods, e.g., random-phase approximation\textsuperscript{123,124} and second order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory.\textsuperscript{125} Finally, we note that the difficulty in predicting ice phases up to only the pressure range 1–2 GPa using GGA, hybrid, and vdW inclusive DFT approaches suggests that caution must be exercised when searching for and predicting new phases of water at yet higher pressures using such functionals.\textsuperscript{63,126–129}
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densities were calculated using a so-called long-range corrected hybrid functional which is expected to give densities similar to those given by Hartree-Fock.
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