Disrupting Two Arabidopsis thaliana Xylosyltransferase Genes Results in Plants Deficient in Xyloglucan, a Major Primary Cell Wall Component
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Xyloglucans are the main hemicellulosic polysaccharides found in the primary cell walls of dicots and nongraminaceous monocots, where they are thought to interact with cellulose to form a three-dimensional network that functions as the principal load-bearing structure of the primary cell wall. To determine whether two Arabidopsis thaliana genes that encode xylosyltransferases, XXT1 and XXT2, are involved in xyloglucan biosynthesis in vivo and to determine how the plant cell wall is affected by the lack of expression of XXT1, XXT2, or both, we isolated and characterized xxt1 and xxt2 single and xxt1 xxt2 double T-DNA insertion mutants. Although the xxt1 and xxt2 mutants did not have a gross morphological phenotype, they did have a slight decrease in xyloglucan content and showed slightly altered distribution patterns for xyloglucan epitopes. More interestingly, the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant had aberrant root hairs and lacked detectable xyloglucan. The reduction of xyloglucan in the xxt2 mutant and the lack of detectable xyloglucan in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant resulted in significant changes in the mechanical properties of these plants. We conclude that XXT1 and XXT2 encode xylosyltransferases that are required for xyloglucan biosynthesis. Moreover, the lack of detectable xyloglucan in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant challenges conventional models of the plant primary cell wall.

INTRODUCTION

Growing plant cells are surrounded by a primary cell wall that provides mechanical support yet is sufficiently dynamic to allow cells to expand. In some cells, secondary cell walls are constructed between the primary cell wall and the plasma membrane after expansion has ceased, and they often contribute to specialized functions related to a specific cell type, such as xylem fibers, tracheids, and sclereids. The plant cell wall is a complex structure that is composed of cellulose, hemicellulose,pectin, protein, lignin, and various inorganic compounds (Carpita and McCann, 2000). The hemicelluloses are complex polysaccharides that are thought to play an important role in the structure and function of primary and secondary cell walls and include xyloglucan (XyG), xylans, mannans, and mixed-linkage glucans (O’Neill and York, 2003; Obel et al., 2007). The cell walls of all vascular plants analyzed thus far, including lycopodiophytes (extant primitive vascular plants), gymnosperms, and angiosperms, have been found to contain XyG (O’Neill and York, 2003; Popper and Fry, 2004; Hoffman et al., 2005). In the primary cell walls of graminaceous monocots, where xylans and mixed-linkage glucans are the major hemicelluloses, XyG comprises only 1 to 5% of the cell wall dry weight (Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993; O’Neill and York, 2003). By contrast, XyG is the major hemicellulose found in the primary cell walls of dicots and nongraminaceous monocots, where it constitutes 10 to 20% of the cell wall dry weight (Fry, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; O’Neill and York, 2003).

XyG is composed of a β-(1→4)-glucan backbone that is substituted with α-(1→6)-xylosyl residues in a regular pattern. Although there is variation in the xylose substitution patterns among different plant species (Hoffman et al., 2005), most species of plants have either XXXG-type or XXGG-type XyG (Vincken et al., 1997) (see Figure 3 legend for a description of XyG...
Progress has been made in identifying the genes that encode the XyG glycosyltransferases involved in the addition of the various XyG side chains to the β-glucan backbone. Perrin et al. (1999) used traditional biochemical techniques to purify XyG fucosyltransferase activity from pea (Pisum sativum) seedlings. Using the amino acid sequence information derived from the purified protein, genes encoding XyG fucosyltransferases from Arabidopsis (At FUT1) (Perrin et al., 1999) and pea (Ps FUT1) (Faik et al., 2000) were identified. Subsequently, the reduction in fucose content observed in crude cell wall preparations of the murus2 (mur2) mutant (Reiter et al., 1997) was shown to be due to a lesion in At FUT1, which caused a 99% reduction in fucosylated XyG (Vanzin et al., 2002). Furthermore, heterologous expression and genetic studies indicate that At FUT1, which is part of the multigene family of fucosyltransferases (Sarria et al., 2001) that belong to CAZy family GT34, is the only fucosyltransferase gene required for XyG biosynthesis (Vanzin et al., 2002; Perrin et al., 2003).

Madson et al. (2003) showed that the reduction in fucose content of the mur3-1 and mur3-2 mutants (Reiter et al., 1997) was due to lesions in a XyG galactosyltransferase gene. XyG derived from mutant plants lacked significant amounts of XXLG, XXFG, and XLLG and had significant increases in the proportion of XXXG and XLXG subunits (Lerouxel et al., 2002; Madson et al., 2003). Moreover, when incubated with mur3-derived XyG, Pichia-expressed MUR3 only galactosylated the first xylosyl residue from the reducing end of the XXXG repeating subunit to produce XLLG (Madson et al., 2003). Results from this study indicate that in addition to MUR3, which is a member of the large Arabidopsis gene family that belongs to CAZy family GT47, at least one other galactosyltransferase is required for XyG biosynthesis (Madson et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004).

Faik et al. (2002) identified a seven-member family of candidate Arabidopsis XyG XT (XTT) genes that belong to CAZy family GT34. This family also contains the fenugreek galactomannan galactosyltransferase identified by Edwards et al. (1999). To investigate the hypothesis that some or all of the Arabidopsis genes in this family encode XXTs, they were expressed in heterologous systems, and the activity of the resulting proteins was examined. Results from these analyses showed that the closely related XXT7 and XXT2 (formerly At XXT1 and At XXT2, respectively) encode enzymes with XXT activities that are capable of transferring Xyl from UDP-Xyl to an array of acceptor substrates to form nascent XyG oligosaccharides (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006; Fauré et al., 2007). Furthermore, when cellohexaose was used as an acceptor substrate, XXT1 and XXT2 exhibited the same preferences for the location of xylose addition and were capable of catalyzing the addition of multiple xylosyl residues (Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006).

To address whether XXT1 or XXT2 is involved in XyG biosynthesis in vivo and to determine how the plant cell wall was affected by mutations in XXT1, XXT2, or both, we isolated and characterized xxt1 and xxt2 single and xxt1 xxt2 double T-DNA insertion lines. In this report, we present results from our characterization of these mutants. Whereas the xxt1 single mutant had a modest reduction in XyG content, the most surprising finding of this study was that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant lacked detectable XyG. From these results we conclude that XXT1 and XXT2 encode XXTs that are involved in XyG biosynthesis in vivo. Yet, given the
importance of the cellulose-XyG network in many models of the primary cell wall, the lack of detectable XyG in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant plants challenges conventional models for the functional organization of components in primary cell walls.

RESULTS

**xxt1 xxt2 Double Mutants Display a Severe Root Hair Phenotype**

The ability of XXT1 and XXT2 to synthesize XyG-like oligosaccharides when expressed in heterologous systems (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006) led us to predict that disruption of the synthesis of these enzymes in planta would have a measurable impact not only on XyG structure but also on the organization of the primary cell wall. To test this prediction, we isolated and characterized homozygous xxt1 and xxt2 T-DNA insertion lines from the Syngenta and Salk collections, respectively (Figure 1A). RT-PCR analysis confirmed that T-DNA insertion lines lacked transcripts derived from their respective genes (Figure 1B). Yet, these mutants were, for the most part, morphologically indistinguishable from the wild type, which led us to hypothesize that XXT1 and XXT2 are genetically redundant. To test for genetic redundancy, we crossed the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants and used PCR screening to identify xxt1 xxt2 double mutants in the resulting F2 generation. We identified two double mutants out of a total of 218 F2 plants, which is significantly lower ($\chi^2 = 10.58; P < 0.01$) than the $14$ plants that were expected from a Mendelian ratio of 1:16. Although we do not understand why the F2 double mutants were recovered at such a low frequency, we did not encounter any problems with the subsequent propagation of the homozygous xxt1 xxt2 double mutants.

Analysis of the double mutant plants using RT-PCR verified the lack of both XXT1 and XXT2 transcripts (Figure 1B). Compared with wild-type, xxt1, or xxt2 plants, the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant plants grew more slowly, were smaller at maturity (see Supplemental Figures 1A and 1B online), and had a severe root hair phenotype (Figures 2A to 2H). In contrast with either wild-type (Figures 2A, 2E, and 2G) or single mutant plants (Figures 2B and 2C), xxt1 xxt2 seedlings (Figures 2D, 2F, and 2H) had short root hairs with bulging bases. The normal root hair phenotype was restored when the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant was complemented with either 35Spro:XXT1 or 35Spro:XXT2 (Figures 2I and 2J).

Given that plant cell wall models predict that XyG is a major structural component of the primary cell wall, we hypothesized that the deformed root hairs of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant were a result of aberrant XyG biosynthesis that caused the cell walls to be weaker in these specialized cells. To test this hypothesis, we sought to determine whether the mutants had either altered levels of XyG or modified XyG structure in tissues throughout the plants.

**xxt1 xxt2 Seedlings Lack XEG-Susceptible XyG**

Oligosaccharide mass profiling (OLIMP) is a method that employs the sensitivity of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization

![Figure 1. Analysis of xxt1, xxt2, and xxt1 xxt2 T-DNA Insertion Mutants.](image)
Figure 2. The xxt1 xxt2 T-DNA Insertion Mutant Has a Root Hair Phenotype.

(A) to (D) Seven-day-old seedlings of wild-type, xxt1, xxt2, and xxt1 xxt2 grown on vertical agar plates. Bar = 1 mm.
(E) Wild-type root hairs. Bar = 200 μm.
(F) xxt1 xxt2 root hairs. Bar = 200 μm.
(G) Representative example of a wild-type root hair located just above the zone of elongation. Bar = 50 μm.
(H) Representative example of an xxt1 xxt2 double mutant root hair located just above the zone of elongation. Bar = 25 μm.
(I) and (J) Root hairs of xxt1 xxt2 double mutants (T2 generation) complemented with either 35Spro:XXT1 (I) or 35Spro:XXT2 (J). Bars = 200 μm.
time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) and the specificity of XyG-specific endo-β-1,4-glucanase (XEG) to rapidly determine the relative abundance of XyG oligosaccharides released from a variety of cell wall preparations (Pauly et al., 1999b; Lerouxel et al., 2002). We chose to use crude cell wall preparations (alcohol-insoluble residue [AIR]) for OLIMP and other biochemical analyses to minimize the possibility of losing cell wall polysaccharides, which may occur when a more exhaustive cell wall isolation protocol is used.

Results of the OLIMP analysis demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the relative abundance of XyG oligosaccharides released from AIR preparations derived from 4-d-old etiolated seedlings of wild-type and xxt1 or xxt2 plants (Figure 3A). However, XEG-digested AIR from the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant did not produce any characteristic XyG oligosaccharide-specific ions. To confirm this result, high-performance anion-exchange chromatography-pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) analysis was performed on XEG digestions of AIR derived from xxt1 xxt2 and wild-type seedlings. Control samples from wild-type plants showed the expected XyG oligosaccharides (Figure 3B), whereas samples from the double mutant plants lacked XyG oligosaccharides (Figure 3C).

Results of OLIMP analysis indicated that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant did not have detectable XyG. However, there are two issues to consider when interpreting these results: XEG substrate accessibility and substrate specificity. Pauly et al. (1999a)
described the macromolecular organization of the cellulose-XyG network of higher plants based on the sequential extraction of XyG with XEG, KOH, and cellulase. The XEG-susceptible fraction composes ~38% of the total XyG and is thought to represent a domain of XyG that spans adjacent cellulose microfibrils. Therefore, OLIMP analysis provides a measure of the amount of XyG in this domain and only if XEG has access to this domain. As to XEG substrate specificity, XEG has been shown to use only XyG as a substrate Pauly et al. (1999b), so it is possible that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant has structurally aberrant XyG that is not recognized by XEG. To gain further insight into these possibilities and to gain an understanding of the cell-specific distribution of wall components in these mutants, we performed immunohistochemical studies with a suite of monoclonal antibodies directed against cell wall polysaccharides.

**Immunohistochemistry of Wild-Type, xxt1, xxt2, and xxt1 xxt2 Mutants**

The structural defects of xxt1 xxt2 double mutant root hairs led us to probe root sections of 7-d-old seedlings with monoclonal antibodies directed against cell wall polysaccharides, including XyG (CCRC-M1, CCRC-M39, CCRC-M58, CCRC-M87, and CCRC-M89), homogalacturonan (CCRC-M34, CCRC-M38, JIM5, and JIM7), rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I; CCRC-M2), arabinogalactan (JIM13 and JIM19), and xylan (LM10 and LM11). There were significant differences in the labeling patterns and intensities in the mutant lines for antibodies that recognize XyG: CCRC-M1, CCRC-M39, CCRC-M58, CCRC-M87, and CCRC-M89 (Figure 4). Each XyG-directed antibody shows a distinct labeling pattern in wild-type roots (Figures 4A to 4E), with CCRC-M1, CCRC-M39, and CCRC-M87 labeling most walls in the sections, albeit with varying intensities and uniformity, while CCRC-M58 and CCRC-M89 label primarily the root hair walls and, to a lesser extent, phloem. The labeling patterns observed in the roots of the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants were different from wild-type plants, though in distinct ways (Figures 4F to 4O). In the xxt1 single mutant, the labeling patterns of CCRC-M1, CCRC-M39, and CCRC-M87 were very similar to the labeling patterns found in wild-type roots. However, CCRC-M58 and CCRC-M89 showed increased labeling of walls in cell types (e.g., cortex and endodermis) with respect to wild-type roots. Labeling of the xxt2 single mutant with CCRC-M39 and CCRC-M87 was reduced and was less uniform across all cell types in comparison with wild-type roots. CCRC-M58 and CCRC-M89 showed increased labeling of walls in the body of root hair–forming cells, which has not been observed in wild-type roots. We interpreted these results as evidence that XyG content or XyG structure was slightly perturbed in the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants.

Most significant was the absence of labeling with XyG-directed antibodies in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant (Figures 4P to 4T). In addition to the five antibodies shown in Figure 4, 17 other XyG-directed antibodies (see Supplemental Table 1 online) were tested on root sections of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant, and none of them showed any immunofluorescent labeling. This group of antibodies included those against XyG epitopes not detected in wild-type Arabidopsis plants. Thus, the absence of labeling is evidence that the double mutant did not synthesize any altered XyG containing structures recognized by these antibodies. Antibodies directed against epitopes commonly found in other non-XyG polysaccharides in plant cell walls were used to test if the mutations in xxt1, xxt2, or both affected other wall components. No significant differences between wild-type and the mutant lines were detected in either label intensity or labeling patterns of the non-XyG antibodies used (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). In particular, the distribution of the xylan epitopes recognized by LM10 and LM11 was unaffected by the mutations (see Supplemental Figures 2G and 2H online), which is evidence against compensatory upregulation of xylan biosynthesis. Some subtle differences in the distribution patterns and intensities of several pectin-directed antibodies were noted in xxt2, namely, CCRC-M2, JIM5, and CRCC-M34 (see Supplemental Figures 2S, 2U, and 2V online). The fact that non-XyG-directed antibodies labeled the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant is evidence that the absence of labeling using XyG-directed antibodies was unlikely to have been caused by a reduction in epitope access.

Consistent with the results from OLIMP analysis of crude cell wall preparations, immunohistochemical analysis showed that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant lacked detectable XyG. However, similar to the aforementioned substrate specificity caveat for XEG, the XyG-directed antibodies may recognize only a limited set of XyG epitopes. Thus, it is still possible that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant had XyG that is structurally distinct and was not recognized by these antibodies. Therefore, to further investigate the immunohistochemical and OLIMP results, we performed more detailed biochemical analyses.

**Glycosyl Residue Composition Analysis of AIR Preparations**

Glycosyl residue composition analysis was performed to determine whether there was a significant difference in the monosaccharide content of crude cell wall preparations between the wild type and the mutants. Seven-day-old etiolated seedlings were chosen to minimize the effects of glucose from starch in glycosyl residue composition and glycosyl linkage analyses (see below). Glycosyl residue composition analysis was done by sequential treatment of AIR preparations with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) hydrolysis, followed by Saeman hydrolysis of TFA hydrolysistrsistant material. Treatment of cell wall material by TFA hydrolysis liberates monosaccharides from noncellulosic polysaccharides and amorphous cellulose (Fry, 2000); Saeman hydrolysis releases sugars from crystalline cellulose and any remaining noncellulosic polysaccharides (Selvendran et al., 1979). Analysis of the monosaccharides liberated from crude cell walls of 7-d-old etiolated seedlings by TFA hydrolysis (Table 1) showed that there were no differences between wild-type and mutant lines in the amounts of mannose, glucose, or uronic acids (galacturonic and glucuronic acids). However, significant decreases (unless noted, P < 0.025 was considered statistically significant in all experiments) in rhamnose, fucose, arabinose, xylose, and galactose were observed in all three mutant lines when the results were expressed as amounts of sugar per mass of crude cell wall material. Furthermore, the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant had significantly lower levels of fucose, xylose, and galactose than either the xxt1 or xxt2 single mutants. When the same
data are expressed in terms of mole percent of the recovered sugars rather than micrograms of monosaccharides per milligram of crude cell wall, no differences were noted in the distribution of the relative amount of each monosaccharide in either xxt1 or xxt2 mutant lines, with respect to the wild type (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). However, there were decreases in the mole percents of fucose, xylose, and galactose in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant, with respect to the wild type. The reductions in fucose, galactose, and xylose, whether in terms of either micrograms of monosaccharide per milligram AIR or mole percent, would be expected if the XTs involved in XyG biosynthesis were disrupted.

Composition analysis of Saeman-hydrolyzed TFA-resistant material (Table 1) showed the presence of glucose (>96% of the total monosaccharides present) and trace amounts of other monosaccharides, which indicated that TFA hydrolysis was virtually complete. Although the xxt1 and xxt2 mutants did not have significantly less glucose than the wild type in the TFA-resistant pellet, there was a significant decrease (P values of 0.048 and 0.251 for the xxt1 and xxt2 mutants, respectively) in the amount of glucose in the TFA-resistant material of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant, which led us to conclude that this mutant has less cellulose than the wild-type plants.

**Glycosyl Linkage Analysis**

If XXT1 and XXT2 encode XTs involved in XyG biosynthesis, we would expect glycosyl residues associated with XyG to be affected by disruption of these genes; therefore, we performed linkage analysis via methylation of the polysaccharides followed by hydrolysis and analysis of the resulting partially methylated...
alditol acetates (PMAAs). Because we had significant problems with undermethylation of polysaccharides when crude cell wall preparations were analyzed, we fractionated the crude cell wall preparations into pectic and hemicellulosic fractions by hot ammonium oxalate and 4N KOH, respectively, prior to methylation. Results show that there were few differences in glycosyl linkages found in the ammonium oxalate fraction of the mutants with respect to the wild type (Table 2). However, in the 4N KOH extract of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant, there was a reduction in peak areas of glycosyl residues that can be assigned to XyG.

### Table 2. Glycosyl Residue Linkage Analysis of Fractionated Cell Walls of 7-d-Old Etiolated Seedlings

| Fractions | T-Fucp | 2-Rhap | 2,4-Rhap | T-Araf | 2-Arap | 3-Araf | 5-Araf | T-Xylp | 2-Xylop | 4-Xylop | 2,4-Xylop | T-Galp | 2-Galp | 4-Galp | T-Manp | 4-Manp | 4,6-Manp | T-Glcpc | 3-Glacp | 4-Glacp | 6-Glcpc | 4,6-Glcpc |
|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| Hot Ammonium Oxalate Extraction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Col-0 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 7.6 | ND | 7.5 | 18.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 8.5 | ND | ND | ND | 2.8 | ND | ND | 10.1 | 4.5 | 9.6 |
| xxt1 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 9.7 | 6.9 | ND | ND | ND | 3.1 | ND | ND | 13.3 | 4.0 | 13.2 |
| xxt2 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 14.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 6.9 | ND | ND | ND | 3.1 | ND | ND | 11.2 | 3.8 | 11.4 |
| xxt1 xxt2 | 1.8 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 8.9 | ND | 5.6 | 17.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 10.0 | ND | ND | ND | 2.6 | ND | ND | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 4 N KOH Extraction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Col-0 | 0.9 | ND | ND | ND | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 29.1 |
| xxt1 | 1.3 | ND | ND | ND | 3.2 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 29.5 |
| xxt2 | 1.0 | ND | ND | ND | 3.5 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 13.0 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 13.1 | 2.8 | 24.1 |
| xxt1 xxt2 | 1.0 | ND | ND | ND | 5.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 30.2 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 22.2 |

a Glycosyl residues are expressed as percentage of total peak areas.
b The peak area values for 2-Xyl and 4-Xyl were calculated by multiplying the relative percentage of m/z 190 and m/z 189, respectively, by the total ion count of the peak.
ND, not detected.
including T-Fuc, 2-Gal, 4-Glc, and 4,6-Glc with respect to the wild type and the single mutants (Table 2). However, there are two issues that confound efforts to draw simple conclusions from the results of the linkage analysis. First, some of the glycosyl linkages that are found in XyG, such as T-Fuc, 4-Glc, and 4,6-Glc, are also found in other polysaccharides present in the 4% KOH fraction. Second, the linkage analysis data are presented on a relative percentage basis, which can be problematic to interpret because a decrease in one or more linkage species must be offset by a relative increase in other species. For example, the dramatic reduction of 4,6-Glc in the double mutant is offset by apparent increases in many other linkages.

To overcome these issues, we used 2-Xyl as an indicator of XyG via linkage analysis. However, because PMAA derivatives of 2- and 4-Xyl are symmetrical, they have the same retention time. To differentiate between 2- and 4-Xyl, one must rely upon the difference in the mass of the ions due to the deuterium introduced at the C-1 position during reduction (Figure 5A) (Carpita and Shea, 1989). Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) results (Figure 5B) indicate that there is a >75% reduction in the relative amount of the ion that corresponds to 2-Xyl (mass-to-charge ratio \[m/z\] 190) with respect to the ion assigned to 4-Xyl (\[m/z\] 189) of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant compared with the wild type. The occurrence of \[m/z\] 190 in the 2- and 4-Xyl peak indicates the presence of 2-Xyl. However, due to the presence of \(^{13}\)C, 8.88% of \[m/z\] 189 will be measured at \[m/z\] 190 (Carpita and Shea, 1989). Therefore, we hypothesize that the majority of the \[m/z\] 190 ion counts in the 2- and 4-Xyl peak of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant originated from 4-Xyl and that there was a >90% reduction in 2-Xyl. These results show that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant has a significant reduction in the relative amounts of glycosyl linkages that can be assigned to XyG.

The xxt1 xxt2 Mutant Lacks Driselase-Susceptible XyG

To further investigate the changes in XyG content, we used Driselase to digest crude cell wall preparations of wild-type, xxt1, xxt2, and xxt1 xxt2 7-d-old etiolated seedlings. This commercial enzyme preparation is comprised of a battery of exo- and endoglycosidases that will hydrolyze all major cell wall polysaccharides (Fry, 2000). However, Driselase lacks \(\alpha\)-xylosidase activity; thus, digestion of XyG produces isoprimeverose (IP) [xylose-\(\alpha\)-(1,6)-glucose], a disaccharide that has been used in past studies as a diagnostic indicator of XyG (Hayashi et al., 1981; Hayashi and Matsuda, 1981a, 1981b, 1981c; Hayashi and Maclachlan, 1984; Gordon and Maclachlan, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; Lorences and Fry, 1994; Gardner et al., 2002; Popper and Fry, 2003, 2005).

Figure 5. The xxt1 xxt2 T-DNA Insertion Mutant has a 90% Decrease in 2-Xylose.

(A) A schematic of the primary fragmentation patterns and corresponding \[m/z\] of 2-Xyl and 4-Xyl PMAA derivatives.

(B) Electron-impact mass spectra of the 2-, 4-Xyl peak from wild-type (Col-0) and xxt1 xxt2 PMAA derivatives of crude cell wall preparations. Arrows denote the diagnostic fragmentation ions for 2-Xyl (solid arrows) and 4-Xyl (dashed arrows).
HPAEC-PAD analysis of the Driselase-susceptible fraction indicated that, compared with the wild type, there was significantly less IP liberated from the crude cell wall preparations of all mutant lines. The \( xxt1 \) and \( xxt2 \) single mutants had reductions in IP content of 10.2 and 20.8%, respectively (Table 3). More interestingly, analysis of the products released by Driselase digestion of cell walls from the \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutant indicated that there was no detectable IP released (Figure 6, Table 3). To determine the limit of IP detection by HPAEC-PAD in these assays, 1:10 and 1:20 dilutions of Driselase-digested crude cell walls from wild-type etiolated seedlings were analyzed (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). Based upon the ability to easily detect IP in the 1:20 dilution of Driselase-digested wild-type cell walls and the lack of IP detected in the \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutant, we concluded that the levels of XyG in the \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) mutant were down at least 95%, and probably more, when compared with wild-type plants.

To ensure that XyG from the T-DNA insertion mutants was not simply resistant to Driselase digestion, we subjected the Driselase-resistant material to Saeman hydrolysis, converted it to alditol acetates, and performed GC-MS analysis. We found no significant differences in the amounts of xylose, and most other sugars, present in the Driselase-resistant residues derived from wild-type and the mutant lines (Table 3).

Finally, to determine whether the lack of XyG in the \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutant was due to the lack of \( XXT1 \) and \( XXT2 \) expression, we complemented \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutants with either \( 35Spro:XXT1 \) or \( 35Spro:XXT2 \). Crude cell wall preparations were made from individual complemented seedlings and digested with Driselase. Results from HPAEC-PAD analysis indicated the presence of IP in preparations derived from individual \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutants complemented with either \( 35Spro:XXT1 \) or \( 35Spro:XXT2 \) (see Supplemental Figure 5 online). Combining these results with restoration of normal root hairs shows that disruption of both \( XXT1 \) and \( XXT2 \) expression caused the severe root hair phenotype and lack of detectable XyG in the \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) double mutant.

### Table 3. Analysis of Driselase-Digested AIR Preparations of 7-d-Old Etiolated Seedlings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>IP (μg mg(^{-1}) AIR)</th>
<th>Monosaccharide (μg mg(^{-1}) AIR) Composition of Driselase-Resistant Material(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monosaccharide: Rhamnose, Fucose, Arabinose, Xylose, Mannose, Galactose, Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>14.13 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Rhamnose: 3.38 ± 0.33, Fucose: 0.21 ± 0.05, Arabinose: 7.29 ± 0.35, Xylose: 4.76 ± 0.36, Mannose: 1.32 ± 0.14, Galactose: 8.17 ± 0.71, Glucose: 21.23 ± 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( xxt1 )</td>
<td>12.69 ± 0.15*</td>
<td>Rhamnose: 3.43 ± 1.63, Fucose: 0.09 ± 0.10, Arabinose: 6.58 ± 2.13, Xylose: 4.01 ± 1.27, Mannose: 3.33 ± 0.66, Galactose: 7.73 ± 2.78, Glucose: 19.00 ± 6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( xxt2 )</td>
<td>11.19 ± 0.10*</td>
<td>Rhamnose: 3.47 ± 0.74, Fucose: 0.04 ± 0.05, Arabinose: 6.15 ± 2.11, Xylose: 3.92 ± 1.30, Mannose: 1.42 ± 0.15, Galactose: 6.31 ± 1.88, Glucose: 14.82 ± 6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( xxt1 \ xxt2 )</td>
<td>ND*</td>
<td>Rhamnose: 2.78 ± 0.11, Fucose: ND*, Arabinose: 6.48 ± 0.33, Xylose: 3.91 ± 0.23, Mannose: 1.29 ± 0.09, Galactose: 4.73 ± 0.16*, Glucose: 15.88 ± 1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) HPAEC analysis of Driselase-susceptible AIRs.  
\( ^b \) GC-MS analysis of monosaccharide alditol acetate derivatives released by Saeman hydrolysis of Driselase-resistant AIR.  
Values are derived from \( n = 3 \) biological repetitions ± SD. *Statistically significant difference with respect to the wild type (Col-0; \( P < 0.025 \)). Trace indicates trace amount detected but not quantified. ND, not detected.

The \( xxt2 \) and \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) T-DNA Insertion Mutants Have Reduced Stiffness and Ultimate Stress Compared with the Wild Type

Given that XyG is predicted to cross-link cellulose microfibrils to form a three-dimensional network that functions as the principal load-bearing structure of the plant primary cell wall, we wanted to determine whether there was a difference in the mechanical properties of \( xxt1 \), \( xxt2 \), and \( xxt1 \ xxt2 \) etiolated seedlings with respect to the wild type and the previously characterized mur3-1 mutant (Ryden et al., 2003; Pena et al., 2004; Burgert, 2006). Etiolated seedling hypocotyls were chosen because they are...
composed mainly of expanding cells with primary cell walls and they have been used in past studies to measure the mechanical properties of Arabidopsis cell walls (Gendreau et al., 1997; Ryden et al., 2003; Pena et al., 2004; Burgert, 2006). Two mechanical parameters of the middle portion of the hypocotyls were determined: stiffness, which is defined as the ability of a material to resist elastic deformation; and ultimate stress, which is the measure of the mechanical stress a material can withstand before failure. Measurement of the cross-section area of the portion of each hypocotyl that was subjected to stress prior to mechanical testing indicated that the mutant lines had a significantly larger cross section than did wild-type plants (see Supplemental Figure 6 online). Results show that there were no significant differences in stiffness and ultimate stress between the wild type and xxt1 (Figure 7), whereas xxt2 and xxt1 xxt2 mutants had significant reductions (P < 0.001) in stiffness and ultimate stress. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in these mechanical parameters between the xxt2 single and the xxt1 xxt2 double mutants. These results show that the reduction in XyG content has significant effects on the mechanical properties of xxt2 and xxt1 xxt2 mutant cell walls.

DISCUSSION

The finding that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant plants lacked detectable XyG is supported by the results from four independent strategies used to determine the presence of XyG. First, OLIMP and HPAEC-PAD analyses of crude cell wall preparations digested by XEG did not detect XyG-specific oligosaccharides. Second, there was a lack of labeling from all XyG-directed antibodies tested. Third, glycosyl linkage analysis showed a significant reduction in linkages associated with XyG. And fourth, HPAEC-PAD analysis of crude cell wall preparations digested with Driselase did not detect IP. Therefore, we concluded that the xxt1 xxt2 mutant lacks detectable XyG.

The xxt1 xxt2 double mutant produced slightly smaller plants that had abnormal root hairs but normal trichomes. By contrast, the mur2 and mur3 XyG mutants have no defect in root hair morphology, but they do have a relatively subtle collapsed trichome papillae phenotype that is more severe in the mur3 mutants (Vanzin et al., 2002; Madson et al., 2003). The root hair phenotype of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant demonstrates that XyG biosynthesis is important in these specialized cells that are undergoing tip growth, a process that has been shown to be sensitive to both environmental factors (Muller and Schmidt, 2004) and mutations (for examples, see Schiefelbein and Somerville [1990] and Baskin et al. [1992]). Indeed, there are several cell wall metabolism-related mutants that have aberrant root hair phenotypes, including mutations involving putative glycan synthases encoded by CSL genes, such as KOJAK/CSLD3 from Arabidopsis (Favery et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2001) and CSLD1 from rice (Oryza sativa; Kim et al., 2007); an Arabidopsis cell wall leucine-rich repeat extensin encoded by LRX1 (Baumberger et al., 2001); an Arabidopsis UDP-D-Glc-4-epimerase (Seifert et al., 2002) involved in nucleotide sugar metabolism that is encoded by REB1/RHD1 (Schiefelbein and Somerville, 1990; Baskin et al., 1992); and another putative XXT from Arabidopsis encoded by XXT5 (formerly At GT5) (Zabotina et al., 2008).

To ensure that the root hair phenotype and the lack of detectable XyG in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant were the result of the disruption of XXT1 and XXT2 expression, we complemented the double mutant with either 35Spro:XXT1 or 35Spro:XXT2. Results showed that the aberrant root hair phenotype was rescued and XyG content restored, as measured by the presence of IP released by Driselase. Therefore, based on the confluence of evidence from the reverse genetics study presented here and the heterologous

![Figure 7. xxt2 and xxt1 xxt2 T-DNA Insertion Mutants Have a Reduction in Stiffness and Ultimate Stress.](image-url)

Mechanical properties of 4-d-old etiolated hypocotyls of wild-type (Col-0; n = 217), xxt1 (n = 96), xxt2 (n = 51), and xxt1 xxt2 (n = 59) T-DNA insertion mutants. Stiffness (A); ultimate stress (B). Asterisks denote statistically significant difference with respect to the wild type (P < 0.001). Error bars ± sd.
expression studies reported earlier (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006; Fauré et al., 2007), we concluded that XXT1 and XXT2 encode XTs involved in XyG biosynthesis.

Although prior work has shown that XXT1 and XXT2 are closely related and encode proteins that have the same acceptor substrate requirements and produce identical reaction products when expressed in heterologous systems (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006), it was unknown if these genes were genetically redundant. Results from the reverse genetics study presented here provide three lines of evidence to support the hypothesis that XXT1 and XXT2 are partially redundant genes (as defined by Briggs et al. [2006]). First, the modest reductions in XyG content of 10.2 and 20.8% in the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants, respectively, was enhanced in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant. Second, the immunohistochemical analysis showed that there were differences in the distribution and intensity of immunofluorescent labeling with XyG-directed antibodies between the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants. And third, the stiffness and ultimate stress parameters of the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants were significantly different.

The functional genomics approach used here and in earlier studies (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006) led to the identification of two XyG XTs, yet there are two issues regarding the XTs involved in XyG biosynthesis that have not been resolved. First, we do not have a complete understanding of which genes from CAZY family GT34 are required to produce the XXXG-repeating structure of Arabidopsis XyG in vivo. Similar to the requirement that at least two species galactosyltransferases are needed for XyG biosynthesis (Madson et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004), there is evidence to support the hypothesis that two or more XyG XTs with different substrate specificities are required to synthesize the XXXG repeat. Evidence from a reverse genetics study by Zabotina et al. (2008) has shown that the protein encoded by XXT5 is involved in XyG biosynthesis. The xxt5 T-DNA insertion mutant has a decrease in XEG-produced XXXG and XFG and a corresponding increase in XG and XGG XyG oligosaccharides. Even though XXT5 was expressed in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant (Figure 1), the double mutant lacked detectable XyG, which may be due to an epistatic effect whereby the activity of either XXT1 or XXT2 is required before XXT5 can act. Further work needs to be done for a better understanding of which members of CAZY family GT34 are required to produce the XXXG repeat.

The second important issue that needs to be resolved is whether the XTs form complexes with other XyG biosynthetic proteins, specifically XyG glucan synthase. One interpretation of the lack of past success in purifying XyG glucan synthase using conventional biochemical protein purification approaches is that the XyG glucan synthase and XyG XTs form complexes, in which the concomitant activities of these enzymes are needed to synthesize XyG (Ray, 1980; Hayashi and Matsuda, 1981b; Hayashi et al., 1988; Gordon and Maclachlan, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; Brumell et al., 1990; Perrin et al., 2001). However, the development of an acceptor-based XyG XT assay by Faik et al. (2002) showed that it is possible to measure XyG XT activity in detergent-solubilized pea microsomes independent of XyG glucan synthase activity, which was verified by heterologous expression studies of either XXT1 or XXT2 (Faik et al., 2002; Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006). Recently, using a heterologous expression strategy, Cocuron et al. (2007) identified CSLC4 from Arabidopsis as a putative XyG glucan synthase. The coexpression of CSLC4 and XXT1 in Pichia cells did not produce any detectable XyG, which is not surprising because Pichia lacks UDP-Xyl. However, there was a significant increase in the degree of polymerization of β-glucan produced in Pichia lines coexpressing CSLC4 and XXT1 compared with β-glucan produced in Pichia lines expressing CSLC4 alone. One interpretation of this observation is that CSLC4 and XXT1 interact, either directly or indirectly, to modulate the β-glucan length in Pichia cells (Cocuron et al., 2007). Therefore, it is likely that a membrane complex of XyG glucan synthase and XTs would be more efficient than solubilized enzymes at producing the observed XXXG repeating structure in planta. However, further work needs to be done to determine if a complex of β-glucan synthase and XTs is required for XyG biosynthesis.

One significant ramification of the recovery of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant plants is the apparent contradiction between the lack of detectable XYG in this mutant and tether network models of the plant primary cell wall where the cellulose-XyG network is predicted to function as the main load-bearing component of the primary cell wall (Fry and Miller, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; McCann and Roberts, 1991; Passiourea and Fry, 1992; Carpena and Gibeaut, 1993; Veytsman and Cosgrove, 1998; Somerville et al., 2004). These tether network models are exemplified by the sticky network model (Veytsman and Cosgrove, 1998; Cosgrove, 2000, 2001, 2005), in which XyG is predicted to hydrogen bond to cellulose microfibrils either to coat the cellulose microfibrils, preventing their association with nearby microfibrils and thereby forming large crystalline structures, or to cross-link adjacent cellulose microfibrils, thus forming a three-dimensional load-bearing network. In this model, selective modification of the cross-linking XyG primarily by the actions of expansins, or perhaps XyG endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, loosen the wall to allow the cellulose microfibrils to move apart relative to one another during turgor pressure–driven cell enlargement (Cosgrove, 2005). Although tether network models are certainly the most popular models, there is no direct evidence to support these models over others in which XyG is predicted to act as a spacer or an adapter (Cosgrove, 2001; Thompson, 2005; Burgert and Fraitz, 2007).

If the sticky network model can be described as approximating an isostress system (Thompson, 2005), whereby both cellulose and XyG bear the total load during cell wall expansion, then one could expect that a lack of detectable XyG would have catastrophic consequences for the integrity of the primary cell wall. The lack of a severe phenotype in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant can be interpreted as evidence that the primary cell wall cannot be accurately described as an isostress system; however, this observation does not provide any insight into how XyG content, or lack thereof, affects the mechanical properties of the primary cell wall. For that reason, we performed micromechanical stress tests on wild-type and mutant hypocotyls.

Although decreases in the stiffness and ultimate strength parameters of xxt2 single and xxt1 xxt2 double mutants is evidence that XyG contributes to the mechanical properties of the primary cell wall, we conclude that an isostress system cannot describe the mechanical properties of these mutants. This
conclusion is supported by the observation that the reduction in XyG content in these mutants caused no difference in mechanical parameters between wild-type and the xxt1 single mutant and a modest reduction in these parameters in the xxt2 single and xxt1 xxt2 double mutants. Although the cell walls of these mutants cannot be described as an isostrain system, they are also unlikely to be described as an isostress system (Thompson, 2005; Burgert and Fratzl, 2007). An attractive alternative is an intermediate system where cellulose bears most of the load and XyG acts as a tether in longitudinal direction and a spacer (along with other cell wall components) in the lateral direction during anisotropic cell expansion (Burgert and Fratzl, 2007). However, there is no direct evidence to support the intermediate case, and further research is needed to understand how the composition and arrangement of the cell wall components affect the mechanical properties of the primary cell wall.

There are two confounding points to consider from the results of the micromechanical stress tests. First, while there were no significant differences in stiffness and ultimate stress measurements between wild-type and the xxt1 single mutant hypocotyls, the xxt2 single mutant hypocotyls had significant decreases in both of these mechanical parameters. We interpret these results and the larger decrease in XyG content of the xxt2 single mutant as evidence to support the hypothesis that there exists a threshold level of XyG that is required for normal function. Second, the finding that there were no significant differences in the stiffness and ultimate stress measurements between the xxt2 single and xxt1 xxt2 double mutants should be interpreted with caution because it is unknown how the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant adapts to the lack of detectable XyG. Indeed, several possible scenarios can be offered to explain why the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant is viable without detectable XyG.

First, it is possible that the xxt1 xxt2 mutant has XyG with a significantly lower Xyl/Glc ratio than the characteristic 3:4 found in Arabidopsis XyG. This type of XyG could be formed by the action of another member of CAZy family GT34 that, because of the lack of XXT1 and XXT2 expression, is inefficient in adding a xylosyl residue to the β-glucan backbone. Thus, the abnormal XyG, although not functioning in the same capacity as typical XyG, would allow the plant to survive. This aberrant XyG would unlikely be detected by either OLIMP or immunohistochemical analyses due to the specificity of XEG and the XyG-specific antibodies, respectively. However, the inability to detect even low levels of IP in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant argues against this scenario. A second possibility is that the β-glucan backbone synthesized by XyG glucan synthase is being used as a cell wall cross-linking glucan. Indeed, evidence from glycosyl residue composition analysis of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant provides some support for this hypothesis in that the decrease in TFA-released Xyl was not matched by a corresponding decrease in TFA-released Glc (Table 1). Unfortunately, this hypothesis is difficult to test because we lack the ability to distinguish unsubstituted XyG β-glucan backbone from amorphous cellulose. In addition, it is difficult to understand how the unsubstituted β-glucan chain can be maintained in a soluble form while it is transported from the Golgi to the cell wall. It is also difficult to imagine how the β-glucan chain can be reorganized in the cell wall given that it would not be a substrate for the XET that reorganizes XyG in the wall. Despite these difficulties, we cannot eliminate this interesting possibility. Still a third possibility is that other cell wall components are compensating for the deficiency in XyG. However, immunohistochemical and glycosyl residue linkage analyses did not reveal any obvious candidates, and results from phloroglucinol staining of 7-d-old seedlings did not show any evidence of ectopic lignification.

It is also possible that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant adapts to the lack of detectable XyG, not by increasing the amount of a particular cell wall component, but by modifying how the remaining components are organized within the primary cell wall. This type of compensation mechanism would certainly not be detected by either the biochemical analyses or the limited range of non-XyG directed antibodies used in this study. One potential mechanism is to modulate pectin cross-linking. Perhaps there is a higher degree of either homogalacturonan cross-linking via calcium bridges, which results in more rigid pectic gels that are thought to strengthen the cell wall (Willats et al., 2001), or RG-II dimerization via borate bridges, which has been shown to be an important component of the mechanical properties of the cell wall (Ryden et al., 2003). Finally, it is possible that there is no compensation mechanism and that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant can exist only under a limited set of growth conditions used in the lab. However, further work needs to be done to understand how the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant adjusts to the lack of detectable XyG.

In conclusion, results from this reverse genetics study, in conjunction with results from the heterologous expression studies (Faik et al., 2002; Cavaleri and Kegelastra, 2006), demonstrate that XXT1 and XXT2 encode XyG XTs that are required for XyG biosynthesis. We have also shown that the xxt1 and xxt2 single mutants had a modest reduction in XyG and, surprisingly, that the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant lacks detectable XyG. Yet, all three mutants lacked a significant gross morphological phenotype and were viable under laboratory conditions. Furthermore, we showed that the reduction of XyG content in the xxt2 single mutant and the lack of detectable XyG in the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant caused significant reductions in the stiffness and ultimate strength parameters of these mutants. Although our results presented here provide evidence that challenges the conventional models of the primary cell wall, further work is needed to understand how XyG functions in the primary cell wall. The xxt1 and xxt2 single and the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant plants described here will provide a valuable resource for investigation of the structure-function relationship between components of the plant primary cell wall.

METHODS

Clones, Plant Material, Growth Conditions, and Genetic Analysis

Faik et al. (2002) and Cavaleri and Kegelastra (2006) showed in vitro that XT1 and XT2 from Arabidopsis encode XTs that are postulated to be involved in XyG biosynthesis. In this study, we have provided evidence that XT1 and XT2 from Arabidopsis encode XTs involved in xyloglucan biosynthesis in vivo. Therefore, to indicate that these genes are XyG XTs and to satisfy the gene nomenclature standards adopted by the Arabidopsis community (Meinke and Koornneef, 1997), we have changed the names XT1 and XT2 to xyloglucan xylosyltransferase 1 (XXT1) and XXT2, respectively.
The cDNA clones for \textit{XXT1} and \textit{XXT2} were obtained from the ABRC. \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} (Col-0) T-DNA insertion mutants were obtained from either the Salk collection (Alonso et al., 2003) through the ABRC or the SAIL collection from Syngenta (Sessions et al., 2002) and grown on either soil or agar plates according to the conditions described by Constan et al. (2004). For biochemical analysis, microtensile testing of hypocotyls, and RT-PCR, etiolated seedlings were grown according to Lerouxel et al. (2004). For biochemical analysis, microtensile testing of hypocotyls, and SAIL collection from Syngenta (Sessions et al., 2002) and grown on either the Salk collection (Alonso et al., 2003) through the ABRC or the Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) T-DNA insertion mutants were obtained from the ABRC (seed stock number CS16349).

All T-DNA knockout and Col lines were analyzed by RT-PCR to determine the presence of \textit{XXT7} and \textit{XXT7} transcripts. Ubiquitin (UBQ10) and, in the case of the \textit{xxt1 xxt2} double knockout line, \textit{XXT5}, were used as controls. Total RNA was isolated from three independent pools of 7-d-old seedlings using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) with two sequential DNase controls. Total RNA was isolated from three independent pools of 7-d-old seedlings using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) with two sequential DNase controls. Total RNA was isolated from three independent pools of 7-d-old seedlings using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) with two sequential DNase controls.

Preparation of Cell Wall AIRs

Cell wall AIRs were generated from etiolated seedlings by methods adapted from Fry (2000) and Lerouxel et al. (2002). Briefly, etiolated seedlings were harvested directly into 70% (v/v) ethanol, and the tissue was ground in a Potter homogenizer. The samples were incubated for 1 h at 65°C and centrifuged; the pellet was washed twice with 70% ethanol and extracted with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (1:1). The pellet was suspended in acetone, transferred to a preweighed 1.5-mL screw-cap microtube (Sarstedt), and air-dried overnight. The AIR was weighed, ball-milled (Retsch) for 5 min, and suspended in water to a final concentration of 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\).

OLIMP

AIR was generated from wild-type, \textit{xxt1}, \textit{xxt2}, and \textit{xxt1 xxt2} 4-d-old etiolated seedlings and analyzed by OLIMP according to previously published methods by Lerouxel et al. (2002) and Obel et al. (2006). The AIR from a single hypocotyl (\(\sim 20 \mu g\)) was suspended in 50 \(\mu g\) of 100 mM ammonium formate buffer, pH 4.5, containing 0.02 units of purified recombinant XEG (EC 3.2.1.151) (Pauly et al., 1999b) and incubated at 37°C for 18 h. The reactions were centrifuged to pellet undigested AIR, and the supernatant containing soluble XGOs was removed and dried down. The XGOs were dissolved in 6 \(\mu L\) of water containing \(\sim 10\) beads of Bio-Rex MSZ 501(D) resin (Bio-Rad) to remove buffer salts (Obel et al., 2006). One microliter of the oligosaccharide solution was spotted onto a MALDI-TOF sample plate containing vacuum-dried 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (10 mg mL\(^{-1}\); 1 \(\mu L\) per well) and crystallized under vacuum. Spectra samples were analyzed on a Voyager DE-Pro MALDI-TOF-MS instrument (Applied Biosystems) in positive reflectron mode with an acceleration voltage of 20 kV and an extraction delay time of 350 ns. Custom PERL-based software (Lerouxel et al., 2002) was used to calculate the relative area of each XGO ion peak and to perform pairwise comparisons and Student’s \(t\) tests of the respective XGO peak areas from mutant and wild-type samples.

Cell Wall–Directed Monoclonal Antibodies

All monoclonal antibodies against plant cell wall carbohydrate epitopes were in the form of hybridoma supernatants and were used undiluted. The CCRC and JIM antibodies used in this study were from laboratory stocks and are available from CarboSource (http://cell.crrc.uga.edu/~carbosource/CSS_home.html). Although CCRC-M1 was raised against sycamore maple (\textit{Acer pseudoplatanus}) RG-I, an antigen that it recognizes weakly in vitro, it has been shown to bind strongly to an \(\alpha\)-L-Fuc(p\(\sim\)2)-\(\beta\)-D-Gal epitope found in dicot \textit{XyG} (Puhlinmann et al., 1994). CCRC-M39, CCRC-M58, CCRC-M87, and CCRC-M89 are newly generated monoclonal antibodies that bind to \textit{XyG} epitopes distinct from each other and from the epitope recognized by CCRC-M1 (Z. Popper, T. Bootten, R. Jia, S. Tuominen, A.O. Swennes, W.S. York, and M.G. Hahn, unpublished data). CCRC-M2 binds to a developmentally regulated RG-I epitope (Puhlinmann et al., 1994; Freshour et al., 1996). CCRC-M41 and CCRC-M38 were generated from mice immunized with \textit{Arabidopsis} seed mucilage (T. Bootten, Z. Popper, and M.G. Hahn, unpublished data). CCRC-M34 appears to bind to an as yet uncharacterized (probably methylsterified) epitope in pectins, and CCRC-M38 binds to unesterified homogalacturonan (R. Jia, C. Deng, T. Bootten, Z. Popper, W.S. York, M.A. O’Neill, and M.G. Hahn, unpublished data). JIM5 and JIM7 bind to homogalacturonan epitopes containing different densities and patterns of methylsterification (Knox et al., 1990; Willats et al., 2000; Clausen et al., 2003). JIM3 binds to a GlcA-containing epitope present in arabinogalactan structures (Yates et al., 1996). JIM19 was generated against \textit{Psidium saturnium} guard cell protoplasts (Knox et al., 1995) and binds to various exudate gums, seed mucilages, and RG-I preparations (A.O. Swennes and M.G. Hahn, unpublished data). The xylan-directed antibodies LM10 and LM11 (McCartney et al., 2005) were obtained from PlantProbes.

Tissue Fixation

Four-day-old seedlings, with roots 10 to 12 mm in length, were fixed for 2.5 h in fixing solution composed of 1.6% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 0.2% (w/v) glutaraldehyde in 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.1. Tissue was rinsed with buffer twice for 15 min each, with water twice for 15 min each, and dehydrated at room temp through a graded ethanol series (20-35-50-62-75-85-95-100-100% [v/v] ethanol) for 30 min at each step. The dehydrated tissue was moved to 4°C and gradually infiltrated with a graded series of cold LR White embedding resin (Ted Pella) (33 and 66% resin in 100% ethanol, 24 h each, followed by three changes of 100% resin, also 24 h each). The infiltrated tissue was transferred to gelatin capsules containing 100% resin for embedding, and resin was polymerized by exposing the capsules to 365-nm UV light at 4°C for 48 h.

Immunohistochemistry

Semithin sections (250 nm) were cut with a Reichert-Jung Ultracut E ultramicrotome and mounted on glass microslides previously coated with 0.5% (w/v) gelatin and 0.05% (w/v) chromium potassium sulfate (chromium alum; Fisher). Immunolabeling was performed at room temperature, applying (and removing) a series of \(\sim 10\)-\(\mu L\) droplets of the appropriate reagents to the sections described as follows: Nonspecific antibody binding sites on the sections were blocked by incubating the sections for 75 min with 3% (w/v) nonfat dry skim milk in 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.1, containing 0.5 M NaCl (potassium phosphate buffered saline, KBPS). The sections were then rinsed with KBPS for 5 min and incubated with undiluted hybridoma supernatant for 120 to 150 min. Sections were then washed with KBPS three times each, 5 min.
followed by secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa-fluor 488; Invitrogen A11001) diluted 1:100 in KPBS for 90 to 120 min. Sections were then washed with KPBS for 5 min, then with distilled water for 5 min. Prior to applying a cover slip, PPD mounting media (90% [v/v] glycerol, containing 0.1% [v/v] paraphenylenediamine, 0.01 M potassium phosphate, pH 9.0, and 0.15 M NaCl) was applied. Cover slips were sealed to the glass slides with nail polish. Root sections were examined by light and immunofluorescence microscopy on an Axioscop microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with differential interference contrast and epifluorescence optics. Images were captured with a Nikon DS-L1 camera control unit with a DS-5M camera head and processed using Photoshop.

**Glycosyl Residue Composition Analysis of Crude Cell Wall Preparations**

Alditol acetate derivatives of neutral sugars from TFA-hydrolyzed AIR were produced as described by York et al. (1985). Approximately 1 mg of AIR from either 7-d-old etiolated seedlings or suspension-cultured cells and 10 μg of myo-inositol (internal standard) were hydrolyzed with 250 μL of 2.0 N TFA at 121°C for 90 min. After the reaction vessels were allowed to cool, the tubes were centrifuged to pellet TFA-resistant material. The supernatant containing TFA-hydrolyzed material was removed to a new tube, and the TFA-resistant material was twice suspended in 500 μL of water and centrifuged to remove residual TFA-hydrolyzed material.

The TFA-resistant material was lyophilized and further hydrolyzed by the Saeman method according to Selvendran et al. (1979) and neutralized according to Hough et al. (1972), with modifications. TFA-resistant material was suspended in 72% sulfuric acid containing 10 μg myo-inositol and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with intermittent vortexing. The samples were diluted with water to 1 M sulfuric acid and incubated at 100°C for 3 h. After the samples were allowed to cool, they were neutralized and extracted with 1 mL of 20% (v/v) dictyolamine in chloroform. The samples were vortexed, the organic and water phases were separated by centrifugation, and the organic phase was removed.

The aqueous phase was extracted with 1 mL of 20% (v/v) dictyolamine in chloroform for a total of four times followed by four extractions with 1 mL of chloroform. One hundred microliters of the aqueous phase was used in the preparation of alditol acetates.

The TFA- and Saeman-hydrolyzed materials were dried down under a stream of nitrogen. Samples were suspended in 300 μL of 2-propanol and dried under a stream of nitrogen followed by an additional evaporation with 300 μL of 2-propanol. For the reduction of glycoses to corresponding alditols, each sample was suspended in 100 μL of 1 M NH₄OH by sonication followed by the addition of 100 μL of NaBH₄ solution (20 mg mL⁻¹ dissolved in 1 M NH₄OH). Samples were vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 90 min. Reduction was terminated by the addition of 30 μL of glacial acetic acid, and the samples were vortexed and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. The reduced samples were suspended with 200 μL of methanol/glacial acetic acid (9:1) and dried under a stream of nitrogen. Two additional evaporations with methanol/glacial acetic acid (9:1) followed by four evaporations with 200 μL of methanol were performed. For acetylation, the reduced samples were suspended in 100 μL of acetic anhydride and 100 μL of pyridine and incubated at 121°C for 20 min. Acetylation reactions evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen at room temperature, followed by two additional evaporations with 200 μL of toluene. The samples were suspended in 4 mL of water and 1 mL of methylenechloride, vortexed, and centrifuged.

The water phase was removed and the organic phase was extracted a second time with 4 mL of water, as described above. The methylenechloride phase containing the per-O-acetylated alditols was dried under a stream of nitrogen at room temperature, suspended in acetone, and analyzed using an Agilent 6890 Series GC system equipped with a 5975B inert XL MSD and an SP-2380 fused silica capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 20 μm film thickness; Supelco).

For determining galacturonic and glucuronic acid content, TFA hydrolyzed material was suspended in water and analyzed by HPAEC (for instrumentation, see below) under conditions described by Obro et al. (2004).

**Glycosyl Residue Linkage Analysis**

For the production of PMMA derivatives for glycosyl residue linkage analysis, 0.50 to 0.75 mg of AIR was methylated according to the NaOH method developed by Ciucanu and Kerek (1984) with modifications by Ciucanu et al. (2006). Methylated samples were hydrolyzed with TFA, reduced with NaBD₃, and acetylated as described above. The PMMA derivatives were analyzed on an Agilent 6890 Series GC system equipped with a 5975B inert XL MSD and an SP-2380 fused silica capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 20 μm film thickness; Supelco). Glycosyl residue linkages were assigned based on the mass spectra and retention times of known standards. Although unambiguously assigned glycosyl linkages were reported, there were no apparent differences in unassigned glycosyl linkages between Col-0 and the mutants. With the exception of the 2-Xyl and 4-Xyl, the glycosyl residues are expressed as a percentage of the total peak areas. The 2-Xyl peak percentage was calculated by multiplying the peak area by the ion count of m/z 190 divided by the total ion counts of m/z 189 and m/z 190. The 4-Xyl peak percentage was calculated by multiplying the peak area by the ion count of m/z 189 divided by the total ion counts of m/z 189 and m/z 190. Finally, see Carpita and Shea (1989) for a discussion about the caveats of interpreting data derived from linkage analysis.

**Driselase Digestion of Crude Cell Wall Preparations and HPAEC Analysis**

Driselase (Sigma-Aldrich) was partially purified according to Fry (2000). One milligram of AIR from either 7-d-old etiolated seedlings or suspension-cultured cell lines of wild-type, xxt1, xxt2, and xxt1 xxt2 was digested with Driselase and processed according to Gardner et al. (2002). For HPAEC analysis, each sample was suspended in water, passed through a 22-μm syringe filter, and analyzed on an ICS-3000 ion chromatography machine (Dionex) equipped with a CarboPac PA20 anion exchange column and electrochemical detector. Mono- and disaccharides were eluted from the column at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ from 0 to 20 min under 125 mM NaOH isocratic conditions. The column was washed and reequilibrated under the following conditions: 20 to 35 min, 125 to 800 mM NaOH gradient; 35 to 40 min, 800 mM NaOH; 40 to 60 min, 125 mM NaOH. Sugars were detected with pulsed amperometric detection. Under these conditions Driselase-released neutral monosaccharides, IP, and xylose were eluted in the first 10 min; therefore only the first 10 min of each HPAEC run is shown. There were no differences between the chromatograms of Col-0 and mutants over the remaining 50 min of the HPAEC run.

**Microtensile Testing of Hypocotyls**

Four-day-old etiolated Arabidopsis hypocotyls were tested in a microtensile apparatus equipped with a sensitive load cell of 500 mN maximum capacity, originally designed to test individual wood fibers (Burgert et al., 2003). Custom-designed foliar frames were mounted onto a microtensile apparatus via a pinhole assembly. Individual hypocotyls were glued onto a foliar frame with a span length of ~2.5 mm in a stepwise combination of rapid cyanoacrylate adhesive and ESPE Ketac Cem Aplicap glass ionomer luting cement (3M). Video extensometry was used to measure the displacement of black lines drawn on the foliar frame to get an
accurate measurement of hypocotyl elongation. For further details about the microtensile testing instrumentation, see Burgert et al. (2003).

Hypocotyls were tested at room temperature at a strain rate of 15 μm s⁻¹. To avoid drying while testing, water vapor was constantly applied to the specimens. Stress-strain curves were calculated from force and elongation measurements. Strain is defined as the elongation of the hypocotyl divided by its initial span length. Stress is defined as the applied force divided by the area of the loaded cross section, which was determined by measuring the diameter of the hypocotyls (assuming the hypocotyls were cylindrical) under a microscope prior to testing. To compare the mechanical performance of the wild-type and the mutant hypocotyls directly, we calculated stiffness (slope of the stress-strain curve after initial adjustment of the hypocotyl) and ultimate stress. Stiffness is a measure of the ability of the material to resist elastic deformation, and ultimate stress is a measure of the mechanical stress a material can withstand before failure.

**Complementation of the xxt1 xxt2 Double Mutant**

Gateway technology (Invitrogen) was used to make 3S_pro:XXT1 and 3S_pro:XXT2 constructs. Coding sequences for XXT1 and XXT2 were directionally cloned (using primers listed in Supplemental Table 2 online) into pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cavalier and Keegstra, 2006). These constructs were moved into the pH2GW7 plant transformation vector (Karimi et al., 2002) via 18-h Clonase reactions. Plants were transformed by the vacuum infiltration method described by Bechtold and Bouchez (1994), with modifications by Hoof and Green (1996). Complementation was verified by the lack of the xxt1 xxt2 double mutant root hair phenotype and the presence of IP in Driselase-digested crude cell wall preparations, as described above.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: At3g28180 (CSLC4); At3g62720 (XXT7); U14458 (XXT1 full-length cDNA clone); At4g02500 (XXT2); U25215 (XXT2 full-length cDNA clone); At1g74380 (XXT5); and At4g05320 (UBQ10).

**Supplemental Data**

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure 1.** Wild-Type and xxt1 xxt2 Plants.

**Supplemental Figure 2.** Immunofluorescent Labeling of Wild-Type and Mutant Roots Using Non-XyG-Directed Antibodies.

**Supplemental Figure 3.** Sugar Composition Analysis of T-DNA Insertion Mutants.

**Supplemental Figure 4.** HPAEC-PAD Analysis of Dilutions of Wild-Type Driselase-Digested Crude Cell Wall Preparations.

**Supplemental Figure 5.** HPAEC-PAD Analysis of Driselase-Digested Crude Cell Wall Preparations from Wild-Type and xxt1 xxt2 Double Mutant Plants Complemented with Either 3S_pro:XXT1 or 3S_pro:XXT2.

**Supplemental Figure 6.** Hypocotyl Cross-Section Area of T-DNA Insertion Mutants.

**Supplemental Table 1.** Xyloglucan-Directed Antibodies Used in This Study.

**Supplemental Table 2.** Primer Sequences Used for Genetic Analysis and Complementation.
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