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Abstract

Text mining is inherently more computation-intensive than information retrieval on pre-structured data and requires transfer and filtering of huge amounts of data. Grid environments provide a suitable infrastructure for accomplishing these tasks. We present the mapping and implementation of a standard text mining (TM) workflow for analysis of biomedical text data from PubMed to a D-Grid UNICORE environment with multiple PC-clusters. We discuss the gain in applicability, the open issues of our solution and possible future enhancements.

1 Introduction

Parallel data mining strategies have long been established for different classes of data mining problems \cite{23}. An important class of applications that lend themselves to parallelization or task farming are long-running parallel computations with large numbers of independent tasks (Monte Carlo simulations, parameter-space searches, etc.) \cite{2}. Text mining (TM) is one branch of data mining within which a considerable number of applications suitable for task-farming occur, especially for the preprocessing during which texts are structured using various tagging stages (part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition).

Grid-enabled TM data interfaces and services provide mechanisms that allow users to identify (locate), access, integrate and interface distributed text sources and TM programs in a flexible way. This includes seamless access and selection of subsets of text corpora, data transfer, data pre-processing and metadata functionality. TM services themselves are part of the vision of the Knowledge Grid \cite{1}. The need for Grid-based TM solutions has also been recognized by the Datamining Grid \cite{4}. As demonstrated by IBM’s Unstructured Information Management Architecture (UIMA) \cite{8} approach, the analysis of unstructured data like text follows a simple pattern that can be expressed by a sequential or parallel series of TM filters. Optional splitting of input into appropriate chunks...
2 Related work

Certainly, the most outstanding examples of parallel text mining solutions exploiting task farming on clusters can be found in the indexing backends of Web crawlers that provide the basis for today’s Web search engines like Google. Since most of those are proprietary solutions, however, they cannot easily be adopted for general tasks in an open-standards environment. The implementation of TM algorithms to cluster computing using OpenMosix [21], a Linux kernel extension for single-system image clustering, was reported by Collier [3]. Ghanem et al. [10] describes an open TM workflow solution on the basis of Web services in Discovery Net [6]. Web service based frameworks for text mining were also proposed by Grover et al. [13] with a particular emphasis on workflow construction using Taverna [19] and Gaizauskas et al. [9]. Yu et al. [28] report the ongoing development of an Grid- and Web-based TM architecture but without enlarging on implementation details. To our knowledge, we present here the first working Grid-enabled solution based on open software that utilizes multiple Grid clusters.

3 Environment, Tools, and Methods

In this section we present the Grid environment setting, we describe the text mining tools and the methodology we used to implement a distributed TM workflow in the UNICORE environment.

3.1 Grid Environment

D-Grid [5], the German national effort to create a sustainable infrastructure for e-Science, is co-funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and the participating partners from academia, research centers and industry. D-Grid supports and provides bundles for three middleware systems, gLite [11], Globus Toolkit 4 [14], and UNICORE 5 [7]. The resources of the VIOLA [26] testbed (see figure 1) have been made compliant to the D-Grid infrastructure.
requirements and have been made available to D-Grid users autumn 2006. As the testbed was UNICORE-based, the TM implementation for Grid environments was initially done with the UNICORE middleware. The framework, however, is general enough to be ported with reasonable effort to the other middleware environments of D-Grid.

The UNICORE system provides seamless and secure access to distributed resources. Furthermore, UNICORE enables users to access compute systems with different hardware and software platforms in a uniform way, while these systems are potentially located in different organizational environments. Additionally, it supports user-side definition of high-level tasks, in particular workflow composition. The UNICORE version we use is not Web-services compliant, but a redesign towards more open standards is almost completed and will be available mid of 2007 [18].

The workflow described in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 was executed in the VIOLA multi-cluster testbed, using three PC clusters. The clusters are located at the Research Center Jülich, the University of Applied Sciences Bonn-Rhein-Sieg, and the Fraunhofer Institute SCAI. The clusters are interconnected with a 10 Gbit/s optical network. All nodes of the clusters are connected with 1 Gbit/s links to the local switches that are connected to the 10 Gbit/s backbone.
3.2 Text mining Environment

The employed text mining software Inside Discoverer \textsuperscript{TM}extractor (IDE) of TEMIS \cite{25} was developed to extract domain specific informations from arbitrary text sources. Specific knowledge resources, so-called skillCartridges, are plugged in to the IDE to perform domain specific analysis. The BER (Biological Entity Recognition) skillCartridge uses ProMiner \cite{15,16}, an entity recognition system written in ANSI C, to identify gene and protein names as biological entities. The dictionary based ProMiner uses an approximate search algorithm extending the set of given names by different classes of spelling variants. The dictionary we used contains names of 32831 human genes and proteins with a total of 395065 different synonyms. IDE uses a grammar based approach to extract meaningful relations between these entities from the text. It is implemented in Java.

In an initialization phase, the IDE server has to be started. When the server is running, client processes can send pieces of text for analysis. The selected skillCartridge is started by the server, which launches the ProMiner for the entity recognition task. Reading the text pieces and writing the result file is handled by the client process. This is the “Document processing” stage within the Node process in figure \ref{fig:nodeprocess}

In our environment a new server is started for every chunk of documents using one node exclusively. One client process running on the same node supports the server with the data. When all abstracts are scanned, the server and all related processes will be terminated.

3.3 Methodology

For the task at hand, we developed a shell-script wrapper solution using the UNICORE command line interface (CLI) developed in the OpenMolGRID project \cite{20}. For management of the cluster resources we used the EASY scheduler \cite{21} and PBS Professional \cite{22}. As mentioned above, our TM filter consisted of a serial combination of the TeMiS tagger and Fraunhofer SCAI’s ProMiner for biological entity recognition. The UNICORE system served as Grid middleware. The workflow was executed at three of the clusters of the VIOLA multi-cluster testbed depicted in figure \ref{fig:viola}

The current production implementation of the standard TM workflow runs on one cluster and uses the local resource management system for submission of new jobs. All data is distributed and stored via the local cluster’s NFS (network file system), which seems to cause sporadic unaccountable errors affecting the integrity of the resulting files. So far, each job runs an error detection and handling routine after the processing of the abstracts is finished. If an error is identified, the amount of documents is split in two parts and two new jobs are scheduled. At best this results in a job trying to process an invalid document. This invalid document is marked as erroneous and is removed from the further processing. For the sake of improved stability for data distribution and retrieval and because of the need for multi-cluster processing, an additional layer was added to this implementation of the workflow. The UNICORE middleware is
used for job submission, status checking, and result fetching and operates on the top of the intra-cluster scheduler. An overview of the workflow is given in Figure 2. The hub host represents a known or discovered host in the Grid, that provides a common temporary file store. When using \texttt{scp} (secure copy) for file staging, this hub host is used by the nodes for retrieving the document packages and committing the result files.

4 Results

Processing of a corpus containing a defined amount of documents can be split into two parts. The first entails retrieval of the corpus from a database, followed by splitting of the corpus to chunks of a defined constant number of documents. The transfer of these chunks to the hub host is followed by scheduling of the node process jobs and finally the retrieval of the results from the hub host. The duration of these pre-and post-processing stages depends on the total number of documents in the corpus and does not vary with the number of available nodes.

The intermediate part, document processing on individual cluster nodes,
depends on the startup and initialization time of the TeMiS tagger and the ProMiner software, and the duration required for processing of the documents in a chunk.

Table 1 shows some experimental measurements, the numbers are plotted in figure 3. The measurements are based on different runs with different combinations of clusters in the VIOLA testbed. The clusters have the following configurations.

1. PK (PACKCS): 14 nodes - 2x P-III processors and 2 Gigabyte RAM per node
2. BR (FH-BRS WR): 6 nodes - 4x Opteron processors and 8 Gigabyte RAM per node
3. CR (CRAY): 60 nodes - 2x Opteron processors per node and 100 Gigabyte shared RAM

![Figure 3: Results from table 1](image)

The workflow itself scales sub-linearly. It is highly dependent on available clusters - or more precisely the number of available nodes - and the number of documents to process. For a small amount of documents, the number of available nodes is not that important, because the initialization and startup of the software packages on each node will limit the minimum processing time. For a large amount of documents, it is important to define an optimal chunk size of documents, since clusters with faster nodes could process more documents with the same initialization overhead.

Based on the number of jobs and properties like the performance of each cluster’s node, the current node workload and/or costs, it is important to favor
clusters providing the desired properties and to allocate the jobs respectively.

5 Discussion

During the measurements two new aspects emerged, which will need further attention. The first issue is related to the number of submitted jobs. Since each one is created and submitted for every single chunk of documents, the Grid middleware has to handle quite a lot of jobs. As UNICORE has to track and trace the state of each job, the amount of jobs creates a considerable load on the Grid, in particular the UNICORE frontend server (USite). A better solution would be to create and schedule one job per cluster based on the current load. This job would reserve all required nodes for a specific time and execute a generic master script. Because the nodes are reserved, a script could start and control the processing of all documents destined for the cluster, in turn decreasing the load in the UNICORE infrastructure.

A second limitation occurred during the data distribution. UNICORE 5 uses its own Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)-based protocol which provides a reliable mechanism for transmission of all needed files. However, this data transfer mechanism has a quite limited transfer rate. Using the entire data stored in a database, the data transmission would already last several days. To circumvent this problem, we used scp as an alternative file staging mechanism. In real world systems this approach is not applicable, since it bypasses the authentication and authorization mechanisms provided by the Grid middleware. However, this approach was good enough for a proof of principle.

In the current case we are dealing with a special kind of TM workflow that can be implemented in an “embarrassingly parallel” way straightforwardly, because the processing of the individual data chunks does not require any communication between Grid nodes. The results presented here might change significantly when
the goal of the workflow becomes overall indexing of a corpus using, e.g. TF-IDF [9], where summary statistics are part of the computation. Still, entity recognition in huge numbers of documents is an extremely relevant application in TM [17] and so we do see our architecture fit for mainstream applications.

6 Outlook

As already discussed in this paper, efficient approaches to distribute text mining applications to available systems in the D-Grid are needed. Users should simply submit a TM job to the Grid, and the infrastructure services (the Grid scheduler) distribute the load to the available compute systems according to the user requirements. This implies that these services must be able to discover (new) resources in the Grid, select suitable systems for the execution, transfer the input data to the selected systems, plan and execute the jobs, and finally collect the application results. Our goal is to extend the Metascheduling Service (MSS) [27] developed in the VIOLA project to provide these features for TM applications at the D-Grid.

To discover resources in the D-Grid environment standard UNICORE mechanisms can be used. Therefore, the MSS queries the D-Grid UNICORE gateway for all registered VSites. A VSite is a UNICORE virtual site, which represents a real computing system. In a second step the MSS determines which VSites are practically available for a user (authorization filtering). This can be done by simply querying the resource properties of the discovered systems. Only systems that a user can access to will return a valid response.

In a next step, the MSS needs to select suitable systems for execution of the TM application. Since compute systems in a Grid environment are heterogeneous by nature, a mechanism for predicting the runtime of an TM application on the different target systems is required. Using statistical methods on historical data (past application runs) seems to be a promising approach to estimate the processing time of the application per unit of work, where a unit of work is one document or a set of documents. This data can be used by the MSS in conjunction with information on the current load of Grid systems to e.g. minimize the turnaround time of an application or to optimize the usage of the available compute resources.

Another major challenge is the distribution of the workload to the subsystems. The input files of the TM application must be staged into the target systems and the results must be collected after the job execution. Since TM applications usually deal with huge amounts of data (e.g. entire date stored in scientific databases) the time needed to distribute the data becomes a crucial factor for the total execution time of the application (turnaround time), from submission to results. To minimize this turnaround time on the one hand efficient file transfer protocols (e.g. GridFTP [12]) for data distribution must be used, and on the other hand the data transfers should be optimized. Furthermore, guarantees for network resources (e.g. the advance reservation of bandwidth), are an important factor. A Grid scheduling service can use such guarantees to
assure that input data is available at the target systems at a specific time. This information can also be used for system selection, load distribution and resource reservation.

With the advent of Web-Service conformant UNICORE [18], it is expected that our system will combine the openness of previous approaches with the power of multi-cluster computing.

For a better structured approach to the node document processing subworkflow we are currently evaluating the use of UIMA [8] which would allow more failure-robust plugging of TM filters than the file-based approach we showed here.

7 Summary

We present the architectural outline and implementation of a standard text mining workflow for analysis of biomedical text data to a UNICORE environment with multiple PC-clusters. The implementation is general enough to accommodate all kinds of unstructured data filters that can work in parallel without inter-node communication. This is the first implementation of text mining on a standard Grid middleware that clearly demonstrates the huge performance speedup potential in distributed computing.
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