Abstract

Plant carbon : nitrogen : phosphorus (C:N:P) ratios are powerful indicators of diverse ecological processes. During plant development and growth, plant C:N:P stoichiometry responds to environmental conditions and physiological constraints. However, variations caused by effects of sampling (i.e., sampling date, leaf age and root size) often have been neglected in previous studies. We investigated the relative contributions of sampling date, leaf age, root size and species identity to stoichiometric flexibility in a field mesocosm study and a natural grassland in Inner Mongolia. We found that sampling date, leaf age, root size and species identity all significantly affected C:N:P stoichiometry both in the pot study as well as in the field. Overall, C:N and C:P ratios increased significantly over time and with increasing leaf age and root size, while the dynamics of N:P ratios depended on species identity. Our results suggest that attempts to synthesize C:N:P stoichiometry data across studies that span regional to global scales and include many species need to better account for temporal variation.

Introduction

Ecological stoichiometry, which balances multiple elements and integrates different scales from individuals to ecosystems, has greatly advanced our understanding of ecological dynamics and processes [1,2]. By studying ecological stoichiometry, we can investigate energy flow and material cycling across diverse ecosystems [3]. Recent attention has been given to the strict homeostasis and relative plasticity of plant carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) ratios because of their importance for plant growth and adaption under climate change [4,5]. Stoichiometric homeostasis, the degree to which an organism maintains its C:N:P ratios despite various elemental composition of resources, appears to be a potentially important mechanism responsible for the structure, functioning, and stability of grassland ecosystems [6]. Alternatively, stoichiometric flexibility, which reflects plant intrinsic physiological adjustment of C:N:P ratios could increase performance in response to environmental fluctuations [7]. Therefore, it is important to investigate the patterns of stoichiometric flexibility within and among plant species [5].

Variability in plant C:N:P stoichiometry across diverse habitats emerges from two interacting processes: 1) macro-scale constraints caused by specific geographic environment (i.e., climate and soil), and 2) fundamental physiological constraints resulting from plant growth, development, metabolism, phenological and life history traits [8]. N:P ratios in green foliage and live fine roots tend to be greatest near the equator and decline with latitude, indicating the impact of soil and climate on macro-scale stoichiometric flexibility [4,9], while soil and climate impacted the variations of foliar C:N ratio by changing plant species composition [10]. On the other end of the spectrum, there is a “dilution” effect in N and P concentrations with the growth of plants [11]. Plant size, changing with seasonal development, has an influence on growth rate as indicated by metabolic scaling theory [12,13] which in turn affects the stoichiometric ratios through metabolic changes [14]. Therefore, the C:N:P stoichiometric ratios can vary within species during plant ontogeny [15,16]. Although plant nutrient status and its seasonal and ontogenetic variations have a long history of study within agricultural and plant ecophysiological fields [17–21], current ecological studies mainly focused on development stages (i.e., seeding, mature, fruiting, etc.). However, even within the same growth stage, sampling date and organ size may cause variation of plant stoichiometry [3]. Unfortunately, although sampling times can vary from months to years (or different years at a similar date), sampling date and organ size effects within a growth period (within a year of study) are often not held constant [4,9]. Therefore, the extent to which sampling date and organ size affect plant C:N:P ratios when compared to species identity effects remains unknown.

Here we evaluated how sampling date, leaf age and root size within a growing season influence variation of plant C:N:P stoichiometry for grassland species in Inner Mongolia. Our objectives were to [1] examine how and to what extent the variation of plant C:N:P stoichiometry is affected by different
Materials and Methods

Field Mesocosm Study

This study was conducted in 2006 at the Inner Mongolia Grassland Ecosystem Research Station (IMGERS, 43°26’N, 116°04’E, 1100 m a.s.l). Three species, representing the dominant and subdominant grasses and a minor annual forb in Inner Mongolian grasslands, were selected for the study: *Leymus chinensis* (a perennial C3 rhizomatous grass), *Cleistogenes squarrosa* (a perennial C4 bunchgrass) and *Chenopodium glaucum* (an annual C3 forb). To limit genetic variation, we collected seeds of each species within 1 m² field plots in a grassland dominated by *L. chinensis* that had been fenced since 1999. The seeds were planted in replicate pots (30 cm diameter, 35 cm height) filled with sand on May 1, and the pots were placed in the field and covered when it rained. For additional details about the design, see [22]. Each pot had four holes at the bottom to allow for adequate drainage and received 250-mL solutions every day to prevent water limitation and to maintain a relatively constant macro- and micronutrient concentration. The macroelement composition of the solution was based on the formula developed by Hoagland & Arnon [23] and the microelement composition was followed Jensen & Collins [24]. There were a total of 36 pots for each species; three pots were randomly allocated to a replicate block and three replicate blocks were harvested on each sampling date (4 total). Upon seedling establishment, individuals in pots were thinned to 10–30 individuals, depending on plant size. We note that there was no shading effect in this experiment because the density was controlled to ensure that individuals within each pot did not shade each other.

To study the effects of sampling date, leaf age and root size, 30 individual plants of each species within the 3 pots of a replicate block were harvested at 15-day intervals from 10 July to 25
August, 2006 for a total of 4 sampling dates. From each individual plant we picked two healthy and fully expanded leaves from the mid-point of each plant. To study effect of leaf age, we also sampled young and old leaves on 25 August, 2006. For the young leaves, we sampled the newly-expanded leaves at the top of each plant. For the old leaves, we sampled the fully expanded leaves at the bottom of the stems of each plant [25]. Thus, there were three categories of leaf age evaluated: young, medium (leaves collected from mid-height), and old. Roots of each individual sampled were carefully washed in water and then separated based on size classes of root diameters (small<or = 2 mm, medium between 2–5 mm, and large>or = 5 mm) [9]. For each size class, we collected an average of 10 root segments of about 20.0 mm average length from each individual. Leaves and roots were oven-dried at 60°C, ground, and screened with 0.1-mm mesh for C, N and P content analysis.

Natural Grassland Study

We collected samples in a *L. chinensis* dominated grassland located in Inner Mongolia, in which large mammal grazers had been excluded since 1999. The mean annual temperature of the study area is 0.3°C with mean monthly temperatures ranging from -21.6°C in January to 19.0°C in July. Long term (1980–2006) mean annual precipitation is 346 mm with a range from 166 mm in 2005 to 507 mm in 1998 [22]. During the study period, the annual precipitation was 304 mm in 2006 and 240 mm in 2007. Apart from precipitation, the availability of N rather than P limits ecosystem productivity in this region [6]. Aboveground plant tissue was sampled by clipping all plants at the soil surface within 6 m quadrats on 20 July and 20 August of 2006 and 2007, respectively. All living vascular plants were sorted to species and fifty healthy and fully expanded leaves of each of 13 species for each plot were collected. Tissue was oven-dried at 60°C, ground, and homogenized for C, N and P content analysis.

Chemical Analysis

Total organic carbon concentration (% of dry mass) was determined using the method of Walkley & Black [26]. Briefly, 0.01 g dry samples were digested with 10 mL 0.50 mol·L⁻¹ K₂Cr₂O₇ at 180°C for 5 minutes followed by titration of the digests with standardized FeSO₄. Total N concentration (% of dry mass) was analyzed by the Kjeldahl determination method [27], using H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ for digestion, and NH₃ was captured by H₃BO₃, then titrated by H₂SO₄. P content (% of dry mass) was measured using the same digestion solution for N followed by molybdenum stibium anti - mix reagent colorimetric analysis [28], standardized against known reference material.

Data Analysis

Levene’s test was used to test for normality of all data before statistical analysis. For the field mesocosm study, multivariate ANOVA was used to test the effects of sampling date, leaf age, root size and species identity on plant C:N:P stoichiometry. For the natural grassland study, the same method was used to test for the effects of species, sampling month, sampling year and their possible interactions on plant C:N:P stoichiometry. Significant differences among treatment means were analyzed using Tukey’s multiple comparison post hoc tests. The total variance was partitioned into species, sampling date, leaf age, root size and residual components using the residual maximum likelihood (REML) method [29,30]. All statistical analyses were performed on the R statistical platform [31].

Results

Mesocosm Study – Leaf Stoichiometry

Species identity, sampling date, leaf age and their interactions (species identity x sampling date, species identity x leaf age) significantly affected C:N:P stoichiometry of leaves (P<0.001, Table 1). C:N, C:P and N:P ratios in leaf tissue increased over time, except for *C. glaucum* (Fig. 1a–c). For *L. chinensis*, C:N, C:P and N:P ratios were highest among the three species and significantly increased over time, except for N:P which did not significantly increase until the last sampling date (P<0.001, Fig. 1c). However, for *C. glaucum*, C:N and C:P ratios increased for the first two sample dates, and then declined after August 10. The N:P ratio consistently decreased over time (Fig. 1c). C:N, C:P and N:P ratios all increased with leaf age (Fig. 2). For *L. chinensis*, C:N, C:P, and N:P ratios were the highest among the three species, and C:N, C:P ratios significantly increased with
Figure 2. Mean C:N, C:P, N:P ratios for foliage of different ages (young = emerging; medium = fully expanded at midpoint of plant; old = fully expanded at base of plant) (left) and roots in different size categories (small = Ø ≤2 mm; medium = 2 mm < Ø < 5 mm; large = Ø ≥5 mm) (right). Error bars are SEM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.g002

Table 2. Partitioning of total variation (%) of C:N, C:P, N:P into species, effects of sampling (sampling date, leaf age, root size) and residual components in the sand culture experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation source</th>
<th>Plant sampling date</th>
<th>Organ age or size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C:N</td>
<td>C:P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:N</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:P</td>
<td>59.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>81.28</td>
<td>17.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>87.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of sampling</td>
<td>81.28</td>
<td>17.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species x Effects of sampling</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>87.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.t002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.t002
leaf age ($P<0.01$, Fig. 2). Similar patterns were observed for C. squarrosa and C. glaucum, while the latter had the lowest values (Fig. 2). As a whole, apart from a strong species × sampling date interaction on C:P ratios, sampling date had a stronger effect than species identity on foliar C:N and C:P ratios, while species identity had a stronger effect on the N:P ratio (Table 2). Similarly, leaf age explained a greater proportion of the variation for C:N than species identity, but in the case of C:P and N:P ratios, species identity explained a greater amount of variation than leaf age (Table 2). Species identity, leaf age, and their interaction significantly affected leaf C:N:P stoichiometry ($P<0.001$, Table 1).

Mesocosm Study – Root Stoichiometry

Species identity, sampling date and root size significantly affected C:N:P stoichiometry of roots ($P<0.001$, Table 1). For C. squarrosa and C. glaucum root C:N and C:P ratios increased with plant sampling date, while the N:P ratio decreased (Fig. 1f). L. chinensis showed no significant differences between the sampling dates for root C:N, while C:P and N:P ratios of roots significantly increased with sampling date ($P<0.01$, Fig. 1). In addition, root size significantly affected C:N and C:P ratios of roots ($P<0.001$, Table 1), with both increasing with increasing root age (Fig 2d–f). There also was significant interaction between species identity and root size on root C:N ratios. Although root C:N increased for all three species, C:N ratios were consistently lower for C. glaucum (Fig. 2). Overall, sampling date had a stronger effect

Figure 3. C:N, C:P, N:P ratios of 13 Inner Mongolia grassland dominate and common species with four sampling dates during two-year field N and P addition experiment. KP Kochia Prostrata, LC Leymus chinensis, SG Stipa grandis, AC Agropyron cristatum, CS Cleistogenes squarrosa, AS Achnatherum sibiricum, KC Koeleria cristata, PS Poa sphondylodes, CK Carex korshinskyi, AR Allium ramosum, AT Allium tenuissimum, AA Axyris amarantoides, CG Chenopodium glaucum. Error bars are SEM.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.g003
### Natural Grassland Study – Leaf Stoichiometry

Both species identity and sampling month (Table 4) strongly influenced C:N, C:P, and N:P ratios of leaves and roots, as a consequence of the phenology (ontogeny) of the plant, age of leaves or size of roots.

In general, both C:N and C:P ratios of leaves and roots increased with the increasing of sampling date within a growing season in this study. The increase in leaf and root C:N and C:P ratios with sampling date was most likely driven by increasing plant size (and thus C content), which leads to a “dilution” of N and P content over time [32,33]. Young plants assimilate and grow simultaneously, so the demand for nutrients is relatively large because these elements are essential for plant growth and play key role in enzyme production [18]. As plants get older, structural material enriched in C accumulates, leading to higher C:N and C:P ratios [18], which may coincide with changing metabolic activity and/or different investment during ontogeny [14,34]. Thus, over time C:N and C:P ratios may increase due to reduced allocation of nutrients to older leaves and to the dilution of nutrients overall as leaf area and root systems increase in size over time.

### Discussion

### Sampling Date, Leaf Age and Root Size Determines the Dynamics of C:N and C:P Ratios

Species identity and effects of sampling - sampling date (even within the same growth stage or growing season), leaf age and/or root size - significantly influenced leaf and root stoichiometry of plants in our mesocosm study and in natural grassland. Plant C:N, C:P and N:P all increased significantly with sampling date, leaf age and root size, consistent with previous studies [9,21]. However, sampling date (within season or between years), leaf age and root size explained the greatest amount of variation in leaf and root C:N and C:P ratios, while species identity primarily mediated variation in N:P ratios of leaves and roots. Thus, our study suggests that sampling leaves and roots at different time points can strongly influence plant stoichiometric ratios, particularly C:N and C:P ratios of leaves and roots, as a consequence of the phenology (ontogeny) of the plant, age of leaves or size of roots.

In general, both C:N and C:P ratios of leaves and roots increased with the increasing of sampling date within a growing season in this study. The increase in leaf and root C:N and C:P ratios with sampling date was most likely driven by increasing plant size (and thus C content), which leads to a “dilution” of N and P content over time [32,33]. Young plants assimilate and grow simultaneously, so the demand for nutrients is relatively large because these elements are essential for plant growth and play key role in enzyme production [18]. As plants get older, structural material enriched in C accumulates, leading to higher C:N and C:P ratios [18], which may coincide with changing metabolic activity and/or different investment during ontogeny [14,34]. Thus, over time C:N and C:P ratios may increase due to reduced allocation of nutrients to older leaves and to the dilution of nutrients overall as leaf area and root systems increase in size over time.

Variation in plant C:N and C:P ratios with leaf age appeared to correspond with patterns of plant ontogeny. We were able to separate ontogenetic effects from the effects of time per se, as we compared young and old leaves for a particular time point. Growth is most active in meristems (e.g. young leaves, shoot tips or inflorescences) while older leaves no longer increase in size, even though baseline physiological processes are maintained [33,34]. Thus, as leaves increase in size and/or age, the increased leaf C:N ratios, species identity determined the greatest amount of variation of N:P ratios, while C:P ratios were influenced by both species identity and sampling month (Table 4).

### Table 3. Multivariate ANOVA results for the effects of species, sampling year (Y) and sampling month (M) on leaf C:N, C:P, N:P ratios in the field study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th>Species (df = 12)</th>
<th>Y (df = 1)</th>
<th>M (df = 1)</th>
<th>Species × Y (df = 12)</th>
<th>Species × M (df = 12)</th>
<th>Y × M (df = 1)</th>
<th>Species × Y × M (df = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C:N</td>
<td>F = 112.98</td>
<td>F = 7.63</td>
<td>F = 717.29</td>
<td>F = 25.31</td>
<td>F = 0.07</td>
<td>F = 29.11</td>
<td>F = 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:P</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>F = 524.53</td>
<td>F = 10.91</td>
<td>F = 330.48</td>
<td>F = 0.51</td>
<td>F = 9.05</td>
<td>F = 14.16</td>
<td>F = 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F = 569.98</td>
<td>F = 3.59</td>
<td>F = 35.32</td>
<td>F = 5.56</td>
<td>F = 2.81</td>
<td>F = 1.03</td>
<td>F = 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P = 0.058</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.019</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.094</td>
<td>P = 0.311</td>
<td>P = 0.421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 3. Multivariate ANOVA results for the effects of species, sampling year (Y) and sampling month (M) on leaf C:N, C:P, N:P ratios in the field study.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.t003)

### Table 4. Partitioning of total variation (%) of C:N, C:P, N:P into species, sampling year (Y), sampling month (M) and residual components in the field experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation source</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Species × Y</th>
<th>Species × M</th>
<th>Y × M</th>
<th>Species × Y × M</th>
<th>Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C:N</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>64.40</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>13.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:P</td>
<td>48.97</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>39.06</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>74.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>16.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 4. Partitioning of total variation (%) of C:N, C:P, N:P into species, sampling year (Y), sampling month (M) and residual components in the field experiment.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060360.t004)
leaves to maximize C gain [11,36]. However, for our study, there was almost no shading effect because there is plenty of space among plants, and thus mechanisms other than shading alone were responsible for the increase in C:N and C:P ratios with leaf age. Variation in root C:N and C:P ratios with root size had a similar pattern with leaf age. In this case, distinct functions (i.e., water and nutrient uptake with fine roots, transport and maintenance with coarse roots) may have resulted in variation of C:N and C:P ratios for different root sizes [9].

Here we documented that sampling date, leaf age, and root size explained more variation in C:N and C:P ratios than species identity. However, the effects of sampling are not controlled in most large scale studies. The mean coefficient of variability (CV) of leaf C:N among different sampling dates and leaf ages was 0.23, while CV of leaf C:N across Chinese grassland biomes was 0.32 [10]. The mean CV of root C:N and C:P among different sampling dates and root size was 0.15 and 0.17, while CV of leaf and root C:P on global scale was 1.36 and 1.50 respectively [9]. Although there are limitations to compare CVs from different sample sizes, our results suggest that if effects of sampling were considered in C:N:P stoichiometry studies at large spatial scales, that variation could be reduced greatly and the accuracy could be improved significantly.

**Species Identity Determines N:P Ratios**

Effects of sampling explained a limited amount of variation in leaf and root N:P ratios, and in some cases none of the variation (Table 2 and 4). For the most part, species identity explained a majority of the observed variance of leaf and root N:P ratios. Our results therefore indicated that species identity rather than effects of sampling mediated the variability of N:P stoichiometry at the local scale (i.e. the same environmental background) for grassland species. This finding was consistent with previous studies of vascular plants at larger spatial scales, which showed that species identity and plant classifications explain a large fraction of the observed variation of N:P ratios [37-40]. However, in these cases N and P availability varied with temperature and soil, and thus confounded the effects of species identity [41]. Therefore, it remains unclear to what extent effects of sampling, species identity or environmental constraints determine observed N:P ratios. Our results showed that effects of sampling only weakly impacted the variation of leaf and root N:P ratios, however, this does not mean that effects of sampling should be ignored given that factors such as sampling date, leaf age and root size can affect N:P ratios in specific cases or for particular species (i.e., there were strong interactive effects between species identity and effects of sampling).

**Implications for Future Studies on Plant C:N:P Stoichiometry**

Plant C:N:P stoichiometric flexibility has been intensively studied and shows substantial variation [4,7,8,37], which in general is attributed to environmental constraints and/or species identity. Our study indicated that C:N and C:P ratios were strongly affected by sampling date, leaf age and root size, and therefore also should be taken into account when compiling datasets across large spatial scales (i.e., global pattern analysis). Despite the importance of effects of sampling to C:N:P stoichiometry, information about sampling date, plant and organ developmental state, sampling site of the studied plants, root size, etc. is seldom explicitly provided along with plant trait data [42]. We suggest that protocols for plant trait measurements should include such information. In order to address the variation caused by different plant developmental stages and organ ages, we suggest that additional information about measurement date, plant seasonal developmental and organ age or size should become part of standard measurement protocols of ecological plant stoichiometry.

Trait-based studies have become extremely useful in community assembly ecology [43,44]. However, most approaches evaluate species traits by mean trait values neglecting variations caused by different individuals, sampling date, organ age and size. Our results suggest that the conflicting patterns of C:N:P stoichiometry across the world could be due to this unaccounted variation. Numerous recent studies have suggested that intra-specific trait variability significantly affects various ecological processes [43,46]. Young and old organs within an individual plant might function differently. Instead of sampling only mature leaves, more advanced interpretations may require a more diverse sampling scheme rather than averaging over tissues (leaf and roots) of different sizes and ages [7].

Agrén & Weih [7] propose that stoichiometric variation within individuals (between organs of different ages) or between individuals of different sizes cannot be ignored when considering stoichiometry as a functional trait to describe community structure [43,46]. Our study also suggests that caution should be used when considering C:N and C:P ratios as functional traits because seasonal development and organ age or size strongly mediate C:N and C:P ratios in leaf and root tissues. In contrast, our study shows that N:P ratios, which are determined mainly by species identity, could be used as a novel functional trait to understand plant growth, competition and species coexistence in plant communities [7,47].
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