COMMENTS AND REPLIES

Comment on 'Late-time tails of a self-gravitating massless scalar field revisited'

Nikodem Szpak

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Golm, Germany

Abstract
Bizoń *et al* (2009 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 175006) discuss the power-law tail
in the long-time evolution of a spherically symmetric self-gravitating massless
scalar field in odd spatial dimensions. They derive explicit expressions for
the leading-order asymptotics for solutions with small initial data by using
formal series expansions. Unfortunately, this approach misses an interesting
observation that the actual decay rate is a product of asymptotic cancellations
occurring due to a special structure of the nonlinear terms. Here, we show
that one can calculate the leading asymptotics more directly by recognizing the
special structure and cancellations already on the level of the wave equation.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Nr, 04.25.Nx

Since the works of John [1] and Asakura [2], who studied nonlinear wave equations with power
nonlinearities, it is known that the late-time asymptotics can be ruled by the nonlinearity even
for solutions starting from small initial data (for which one might naively want to ignore
the nonlinear terms as causing only 'higher order' corrections). For wave equations with
nonlinearities containing first derivatives the asymptotics may even depend on the particular
linear combination of the terms. Christodoulou [3] and Klainerman [4] discovered special
null structures, which lead to a faster than generic decay or decide about a global existence of
solutions. The underlying mechanism is based on asymptotic cancellations of the leading-order
terms in these special nonlinear structures (cf [5] for a detailed analysis of such cancellations).
The purpose of this comment is to demonstrate that the same phenomenon occurs here, in the
wave equation for the scalar field, and make use of it to simplify the calculation of the leading
asymptotics at late times.

In the commented article [6], later referred to as BCR, evolution of a self-gravitating real
massless scalar field ϕ is considered. The Einstein equations for a $(d + 1)$-dimensional metric
with odd $d \geqslant 3$ restricted to spherical symmetry,

$$ds^2 = e^{2\alpha(t,r)}(-e^{2\beta(t,r)}\,dt^2 + dr^2) + r^2\,d\Omega_{d-1}^2, \tag{1}$$

are analyzed, where $d\Omega_{d-1}^2$ is the round metric on the unit $(d - 1)$-dimensional sphere. The
scalar field satisfies a (quasilinear) wave equation with smooth, and compactly supported

initial data $(\phi, \dot{\phi})_{t=0} = (\varepsilon f, \varepsilon g)$ where ε is a small number. The functions ϕ, β and $m = (1 - e^{-2\alpha})r^{d-2}$ are formally expanded in the Taylor series in ε about Minkowski spacetime $m_0 = \beta_0 = \phi_0 = 0$ and substituted into the field equations. This gives an infinite hierarchy of equations on $\phi_i, \beta_i, m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ which can be solved recursively.

At the first order $m_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ while

$$\Box \phi_1 = 0, \qquad (\phi_1, \dot{\phi}_1)_{t=0} = (f, g) \tag{2}$$

can be solved explicitly. At the second order $\phi_2 = 0$ and

$$m_2' = \kappa \, r^{d-1}\big(\dot{\phi}_1^2 + \phi_1'^2\big), \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{m}_2 = 2\kappa \, r^{d-1} \dot{\phi}_1 \phi_1', \tag{4}$$

$$\beta_2' = \frac{(d-2)m_2}{r^{d-1}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\kappa = \dfrac{8\pi}{d-1}$. And at the third order

$$\Box \phi_3 = 2\beta_2 \ddot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\beta}_2 \dot{\phi}_1 + \beta_2' \phi_1'. \tag{6}$$

In BCR it is claimed that the late-time asymptotics of ϕ is dominated by that of ϕ_3. In order to calculate it, some of the functions ϕ_i, β_i, m_i must additionally be expanded in powers of r^{-1} and then inserted into (6). Here, cancellations of the leading terms occur since this equation has a very special structure of the nonlinear terms originating from the wave equation for ϕ. Hence, next-to-leading order terms become important in the asymptotics.

Below, we present a method which makes use of these cancellations already at the level of the wave equation (cancellation of terms before their evaluation) and thus reduces the amount of necessary asymptotic information about the source functions on the right-hand side. In section 1, we regroup the nonlinear terms, eliminate the subdominant ones and calculate the leading asymptotics for ϕ_3 by solely evaluating the dominant nonlinear term. In section 2, we prove estimates which give a rigorous background for the term selection in section 1.

1. Analysis of the leading-order asymptotics

1.1. 3+1 dimensions

For $d = 3$ the solution of the free wave equation (2) can be written as (cf (13)–(14) of BCR with $l = 0$)

$$\phi_1(t, r) = \frac{a(u) - a(v)}{r}, \tag{7}$$

where $u = t - r$, $v = t + r$ and the function a is determined by f and g and has compact support in $[-R, +R]$. The most obvious way of calculating the asymptotics of ϕ is to substitute the above function into (3)–(5), calculate $\dot{\phi}_1, \phi_1', \ddot{\phi}_1, \phi_1'', \dot{\beta}_2, \beta_2'$ and insert into (6) to obtain the desired decay in time for ϕ_3, as was done in section 3 of BCR. However, as follows from the rough estimates (cf those obtained in section 2), the right-hand side of (6) is a function supported in the vicinity of the lightcone $t = r$ and decaying like $1/r^3$. It suggests that ϕ_3 should decay in time like $1/t^2$. As section 3 of BCR shows, the true decay is by one power faster. Indeed, there happens a cancellation of leading terms in the asymptotic expansion. Here, we want to explain the cancellation mechanism already on the level of the differential equation by regrouping terms to form special structures. This transformation also allows for a considerable simplification of the calculations.

By introducing null derivatives $\partial_\pm := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \pm \partial_r)$ and rearranging terms, equation (6) can be rewritten as

$$\Box \phi_3 = -\frac{1}{r}\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1 + 2\partial_+ \beta_2 \partial_+ \phi_1 + \frac{2}{r}\partial_-(r\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1) + \frac{2}{r}\beta_2 \partial_+^2(r\phi_1). \tag{8}$$

The last term is identically zero for $t > R$ because there ϕ_1 is a purely outgoing wave (7). The second last term, in the process of inversion of $\Box = \frac{1}{r}\partial_-\partial_+(r\cdot)$, will turn out to be a complete derivative in the ingoing direction u. Since $r\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1$ has compact support in u this term will vanish after integration. The second term of the above expression, as is explained in section 2, has faster decay in r (by at least one power) than any other combination of null derivatives and together with the compact support in u (localization near to the lightcone) leads to a faster decay in time for ϕ_3. Hence, it is the first term that will determine the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_3 at late times (see section 2 for proof). We denote it symbolically

$$\Box \phi_3 \cong -\frac{1}{r}\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1. \tag{9}$$

Hence, all we need to calculate is the leading-order behavior of β_2. Substituting (7) into (3) and integrating from $r = 0$ we first find for $t > R$

$$m_2(t, r) = 4\pi \left(2\int_{t-r}^{\infty} a'^2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{a^2(t-r)}{r} \right)$$
$$\cong 8\pi F(t-r) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \tag{10}$$

where $F(u) := I_1^0(u)$ is defined in (28) of BCR. For $t > r + R$ we have exactly $m_2(t, r) = 0$. Next, integrating equation (5) from $r = 0$ and using $F(u) = 0$ for $u > R$ we get for $r + R > t > R$

$$\beta_2(t, r) \cong 8\pi \int_{t-r}^{R} \frac{1}{(t-u')^2}[F(u') + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})]\,\mathrm{d}u'$$
$$\cong \frac{8\pi}{t^2} \int_{t-r}^{\infty} F(u')\,\mathrm{d}u' + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}) = \frac{8\pi}{t^2} G(t-r) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3}), \tag{11}$$

where $G(u) := I_1^1(u)$. Otherwise, for $t > r + R$, we have $\beta_2(t, r) = 0$. Substituting this and (7) into (9) and using (21) of BCR (with $l = 0$) we get

$$\phi_3(t, r) = -\frac{2^4\pi}{r} \int_{-R}^{+R} \mathrm{d}\eta \int_{t-r}^{t+r} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{(\xi-\eta)(\xi+\eta)^2} \left[G(\eta)a'(\eta) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\xi+\eta)} \right) \right]. \tag{12}$$

Now, for $t \pm r \gg R$ elementary integration over ξ and by parts over η yields the asymptotic result (34) of BCR

$$\phi_3(t, r) = \frac{t}{(t^2 - r^2)^2} \left[\Gamma_0 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{t}{t^2 - r^2} \right) \right], \qquad \Gamma_0 := -2^5\pi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(u)a(u)\,\mathrm{d}u. \tag{13}$$

1.2. Higher dimensions

At present, we cannot rigorously prove the leading-order asymptotics due to the lack of an optimal decay estimate for the wave equation in $d + 1$ dimensions, but analogously due to the 3+1 case, we are able to regroup and estimate the right-hand side terms of the wave equation for ϕ_3 and so determine the leading-order source term (see section 2).

We can rearrange the nonlinear terms in equation (6) to write them as

$$\Box \phi_3 = \frac{2}{r^{d-1}}\partial_-(r^{d-1}\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1) + \frac{2}{r^{d-1}}\partial_+(r^{d-1}\beta_2 \partial_+ \phi_1). \tag{14}$$

The second source term of the above expression, as is explained in section 2, has faster decay in r (by at least one power) than any other combination of null derivatives and together with the compact support in u leads to a faster decay in time for ϕ_3. Hence, it is the first term that will determine the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_3 at late times. See section 2 for a quantitative analysis. We denote it symbolically

$$\Box \phi_3 \cong \frac{2}{r^{d-1}} \partial_-(r^{d-1} \beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1). \tag{15}$$

Using (21) of BCR we get

$$\phi_3(t, r) = \frac{1}{2^{l+2} r^{l+1}} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta \frac{P_l(\mu)}{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}} \partial_\eta[(\xi - \eta)^{2l+2} \beta_2 \partial_\eta \phi_1] \tag{16}$$

where $l = (d-3)/2$. The inner integral over η can be integrated by parts (it produces no boundary terms since the integrand has compact support in η)

$$\phi_3(t, r) = -\frac{1}{2^{l+2} r^{l+1}} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta \partial_\eta \left[\frac{P_l(\mu)}{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}} \right] (\xi - \eta)^{2l+2} \beta_2 \partial_\eta \phi_1. \tag{17}$$

Now we only need to find the asymptotic form of the expression $\beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1$. According to (13)–(14) of BCR, we have

$$\phi_1(t, r) \cong \frac{a^{(l)}(u)}{r^{1+l}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-l}), \qquad \dot{\phi}_1(t, r) \cong \frac{a^{(l+1)}(u)}{r^{1+l}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-l}),$$

$$\phi_1'(t, r) \cong -\frac{a^{(l+1)}(u)}{r^{1+l}} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-l}) \tag{18}$$

and

$$m_2(t, r) \overset{t>R}{\cong} 2\kappa \int_{t-r}^{\infty} [a^{(l+1)}(x)]^2 \, dx + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}) =: 2\kappa F_l(t-r) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \tag{19}$$

where $F_l(u) := I_{l+1}^1(u)$. Again, for $t > r + R$ we have exactly $m_2(t, r) = 0$. Next, integrating equation (5) from $r = 0$ and using $F_l(u) = 0$ for $u > R$ we get for $r + R > t > R$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(t, r) &\cong (d-2)2\kappa \int_{t-r}^{R} \frac{1}{(t-u')^{2+2l}} [F_l(u') + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})] \, du' \\ &\cong \frac{(2l+1)}{(2l+2)} \frac{16\pi}{t^{2+2l}} \int_u^{\infty} F_l(u') \, du' + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3-2l}) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3-2l}) \\ &= \frac{(2l+1)}{(2l+2)} \frac{16\pi}{t^{2+2l}} G_l(u) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-3-2l}), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $G_l(u) := I_{l+1}^1(u)$, otherwise, for $t > r + R$, we have $\beta_2(t, r) = 0$. Substituting this and (18) into (17) we obtain

$$\phi_3(t, r) = -\frac{(2l+1)}{(2l+2)} \frac{2^{2l+5} \pi}{r^{l+1}} \int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta \partial_\eta \left[\frac{P_l(\mu)}{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}} \right] \frac{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}}{(\xi + \eta)^{2+2l}} G_l(\eta) a^{(l+1)}(\eta). \tag{21}$$

Analogously to (22) or BCR it holds

$$\int_{t-r}^{t+r} d\xi \, \partial_\eta \left[\frac{P_l(\mu)}{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}} \right] \frac{(\xi - \eta)^{l+1}}{(\xi + \eta)^{2+2l}} \cong (-1)^l 2^l \frac{(2l+2)}{(2l+1)} \frac{r^{l+1}}{t^{3+3l}} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right], \tag{22}$$

which applied to the above integral yields the asymptotic result (44) of BCR

$$\phi_3(t, r) = \frac{\Gamma_l}{t^{3l+3}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^{3l+4}}\right), \qquad \Gamma_l := (-1)^{l+1} 2^{3l+5} \pi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F_l(\eta) a^{(l)}(\eta) \, d\eta, \tag{23}$$

for late times ($t \gg r$), where in Γ_l we integrated by parts over η. The asymptotic formula (45) of BCR can be straightforwardly derived from (21) as well, it only requires some other asymptotic expansion of the integral (22).

4

2. Estimates

2.1. 3+1 dimensions

In this section, we will prove decay estimates for m_2, β_2 and ϕ_3. They will lose information about the exact amplitudes but will be helpful in separating the leading asymptotics from the subleading corrections decaying faster.

From (7) we know that ϕ_1 is supported in the strip $0 < t - R \leqslant r \leqslant t + R$ and can estimate there its derivatives

$$|\phi_1(t,r)|, |\dot\phi_1(t,r)|, |\phi_1'(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle}, \qquad |\dot\phi_1 + \phi_1'| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle^2}, \tag{24}$$

where $\langle x \rangle := 1 + |x|$ and '$\lesssim$' means 'less than or equal to' up to some multiplicative constant which we skip for brevity. Observe that for functions supported in the strip $|t - r| < R$ estimates by powers of $\langle r \rangle$ and $\langle t \rangle$ are equivalent, since there exist constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 \langle t \rangle \leqslant \langle r \rangle \leqslant C_2 \langle t \rangle$.

From (3) we have $m_2(t,r) = 0$ for $r < t - R$ and for $0 < t - R \leqslant r \leqslant t + R$ we estimate

$$|m_2(t,r)| \leqslant \int_0^r r'^2 (|\dot\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_1'|^2)\, dr' \lesssim \int_{t-R}^r \frac{r'^2}{\langle r' \rangle^2}\, dr' \leqslant C, \tag{25}$$

where C depends only on R. Actually, there is a universal bound $|m_2(t,r)| \leqslant M$ where M is the total energy (ADM mass) of ϕ_1. Moreover, for small r, say $r < 1$, we immediately see that $|m_2(t,r)| \lesssim r^3$. These two facts can be put together to give

$$|m_2(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{r^3}{\langle r \rangle^3}. \tag{26}$$

Next, from (5) we get $\beta_2(t,r) = 0$ for $r < t - R$ and for $0 < t - R \leqslant r \leqslant t + R$ we again estimate

$$|\beta_2(t,r)| \leqslant \int_0^r \frac{m_2(t,r')}{r'^2}\, dr' \lesssim \int_{t-R}^{t+R} \frac{1}{\langle r' \rangle^2}\, dr' \leqslant \frac{2R}{\langle t - R \rangle \langle t + R \rangle} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2}. \tag{27}$$

We will also need a similar estimate for the outgoing derivative of β_2. Therefore, we combine equations (3)–(5) to get the identity

$$\partial_+ \beta_2 = \dot\beta_2 + \beta_2' = \int_0^r (\dot\phi_1 + \phi_1')^2\, dr' - 2\int_0^r \frac{m_2}{r'^3}\, dr'. \tag{28}$$

Using the above estimates on $\dot\phi_1 + \phi_1'$ and m_2 we find

$$|\partial_+ \beta_2| \lesssim \int_{t-R}^{t+R} \frac{1}{\langle r' \rangle^4}\, dr' + \int_{t-R}^{t+R} \frac{1}{\langle r' \rangle^3}\, dr' \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^3} \tag{29}$$

for $0 < t - R \leqslant r \leqslant t + R$ as well as $\partial_+ \beta_2(t,r) = 0$ for $r < t - R$.

Finally, we analyze the various source terms in the wave equation (6). Let us split the solution into four components introduced in (8)

$$\Box \phi_{3A} = -\frac{1}{r} \beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1, \qquad \Box \phi_{3B} = 2\partial_+ \beta_2 \partial_+ \phi_1, \tag{30}$$

$$\Box \phi_{3C} = \frac{2}{r} \partial_-(r \beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1), \qquad \Box \phi_{3D} = \frac{2}{r} \beta_2 \partial_+^2 (r \phi_1), \tag{31}$$

with $\phi_3 = \phi_{3A} + \phi_{3B} + \phi_{3C} + \phi_{3D}$. All terms on the right-hand side are supported in $|t - r| < R$. By the above bounds we can estimate the first two components

$$\left| \frac{1}{r} \beta_2 \partial_- \phi_1 \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{r \langle r \rangle \langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{r \langle r \rangle^3}, \qquad |\partial_+ \beta_2 \partial_+ \phi_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^3 \langle r \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle^5}. \tag{32}$$

Now, e.g. from [7], we find

$$|\phi_{3A}(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t+r\rangle\langle t-r\rangle^2}, \qquad |\phi_{3B}(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t+r\rangle\langle t-r\rangle^3}, \qquad (33)$$

so we see that ϕ_{3B} becomes subdominant to ϕ_{3A} regarding the late-time asymptotics.

Next, in spherical symmetry $\Box\phi_{3C} \equiv \frac{4}{r}\partial_-\partial_+(r\phi_{3C})$ and hence

$$\phi_{3C}(t,r) = \frac{1}{4r}\int_{t-r}^{t+r} du \int_{-(t+r)}^{t-r} dv\, \partial_v(r\beta_2\partial_v\phi_1). \qquad (34)$$

The inner integral is an integral of a total derivative of a compactly supported function of v. The integration range is bigger than its support $[-R, R]$ so the integral vanishes. This gives $\phi_{3C} = 0$ for $t > r + R$.

The last component $\phi_{3D} = 0$ for $t > r + R$ because the source vanishes identically in the integration region when inverting the wave operator as we did above.

Finally, for late times, in the region $t > r + R$,

$$|\phi_3(t,r)| \cong |\phi_{3A}(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t+r\rangle\langle t-r\rangle^2} \qquad (35)$$

and other components of ϕ can be *a priori* estimated to be subdominant. Therefore, in the asymptotic analysis, concerned solely with the leading-order behavior, it is sufficient to keep only the first source term in (8).

2.2. Higher dimensions

Here, we want to show that the second source term in (14) is asymptotically subdominant with respect to the first one. In dimension $d + 1$, the estimates for ϕ_1, m_2 and β_2 and their derivatives can be obtained analogously to the 3+1 case and read for $0 < t - R \leqslant r \leqslant t + R$

$$|\phi_1(t,r)|, |\dot\phi_1(t,r)|, |\phi_1'(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r\rangle^{1+l}}, \qquad |\partial_+^k\phi_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r\rangle^{1+l+k}}, \qquad |\partial_-^k\phi_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r\rangle^{1+l}}, \qquad (36)$$

$$|m_2(t,r)| \lesssim C\frac{r^d}{\langle r\rangle^d}, \qquad |\beta_2(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^{d-1}}, \qquad |\partial_+\beta_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^d}, \qquad |\partial_-\beta_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^{d-1}} \qquad (37)$$

while all these functions vanish for $r < t - R$. It allows us to control both source terms in (14)

$$\left|\frac{1}{r^{d-1}}\partial_-(r^{d-1}\beta_2\partial_-\phi_1)\right| \leqslant \left|\partial_-\beta_2\partial_-\phi_1\right| + \left|\beta_2\partial_-^2\phi_1\right| + \left|\frac{(d-1)}{r}\beta_2\partial_-\phi_1\right|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^{d-1}\langle r\rangle^{1+l}} + \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^{d-1}\langle r\rangle^{1+l}} + \frac{1}{r\langle t\rangle^{d-1}\langle r\rangle^{1+l}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r\rangle^{3+3l}} \qquad (38)$$

$$\left|\frac{1}{r^{d-1}}\partial_+(r^{d-1}\beta_2\partial_+\phi_1)\right| \leqslant \left|\partial_+\beta_2\partial_+\phi_1\right| + \left|\beta_2\partial_+^2\phi_1\right| + \left|\frac{(d-1)}{r}\beta_2\partial_+\phi_1\right|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^d\langle r\rangle^{2+l}} + \frac{1}{\langle t\rangle^{d-1}\langle r\rangle^{3+l}} + \frac{1}{r\langle t\rangle^{d-1}\langle r\rangle^{2+l}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r\rangle^{5+3l}}. \qquad (39)$$

Both estimates are optimal (cf the explicit asymptotic expressions in section 4 of BCR), hence the second term is indeed subdominant which justifies neglecting it in the leading-order calculations.

However, there is a problem in $d+1$ dimensions which is absent in 3+1. We lack an optimal decay estimate for the wave equation in $d+1$ dimensions, given a source with prescribed decay.

The presently best known estimates (cf [8, 9]) lose l powers in the late-time decay relative to what is optimal. Therefore, for the above sources we are able to show rigorously only the decay

$$|\phi_3(t,r)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t+r \rangle \langle t-r \rangle^{2+2l}}, \tag{40}$$

while $1/t^{3+3l}$ is optimal for late times. However, it does not change the fact that in the asymptotic analysis it is the first source term in (14) that dominates the asymptotics of ϕ_3 at late times.

References

[1] John F 1979 Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions *Manuscr. Math.* **28** 235–68
[2] Asakura F 1986 Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with slowly decreasing initial data in three space dimensions *Commun. Part. Diff. Eqns* **13** 1459–87
[3] Christodoulou D 1986 Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** 267–83
[4] Klainerman S 1986 The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations *Lect. Appl. Math.* **23** 293–326
[5] Szpak N 2009 Pointwise decay estimates for semilinear wave equations containing first derivatives (in preparation)
[6] Bizon P, Chmaj T and Rostworowski A 2009 Late-time tails of a self-gravitating scalar field revisited *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 175006 (arXiv:0812.4333v3)
[7] Szpak N 2007 Simple proof of a useful pointwise estimate for the wave equation, arXiv:0708.2801
[8] Karageorgis P 2005 Small-data scattering for nonlinear waves with potential and initial data of critical decay, arXiv:math.AP/0503208
[9] Kubo H 1997 Slowly decaying solutions for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **28** 327–57