Accurate and Efficient Method for Many-Body van der Waals Interactions
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An efficient method is developed for the microscopic description of the frequency-dependent polarizability of finite-gap molecules and solids. This is achieved by combining the Tkatchenko-Scheffler van der Waals (vdW) method [Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 073005 (2009)] with the self-consistent screening equation of classical electrodynamics. This leads to a seamless description of polarization and depolarization for the polarizability tensor of molecules and solids. The screened long-range many-body vdW energy is obtained from the solution of the Schrödinger equation for a system of coupled oscillators. We show that the screening and the many-body vdW energy play a significant role even for rather small molecules, becoming crucial for an accurate treatment of conformational energies for biomolecules and binding of molecular crystals. The computational cost of the developed theory is negligible compared to the underlying electronic structure calculation.

van der Waals (vdW) interactions are ubiquitous in nature, playing a major role in defining the structure, stability, and function for a wide variety of molecules and materials. Thus, the accurate description of vdW interactions is essential for improving our understanding of many biological, chemical, and (hard and soft) condensed matter. Many encouraging ideas and methods have been proposed in recent years for approximately including the missing long-range vdW interactions in generalized gradient and hybrid density-functional theory (DFT) methods (see, e.g., Refs. [1—4] and references therein). However, the efficiency of popular methods for vdW interactions hinges on two major approximations: (1) the neglect or only effective treatment of electrostatic screening and (2) the neglect of nonadditive many-body vdW energy contributions beyond the pairwise approximation.

The recently developed Tkatchenko-Scheffler (TS) vdW method [5] computes the vdW energy for atoms in molecules using the ground-state electron density and accurately includes hybridization effects for the polarizability. However, the TS-vdW scheme does not include long-range electrostatic screening extending beyond the range of the exponentially decaying atomic densities. Neglecting retardation effects, the two-body vdW energy originates from the electrostatic interaction of “atomic” dipole fluctuations. When an atom is embedded in a condensed phase (or in a large molecule), dipolar fluctuations differ from their free atom counterpart not only because of the local environment but also because a fluctuating dipole interacts electrostatically (via a power law decay) with the more distant fluctuating dipoles. Both short- and long-range effects of the environment act to screen the atomic dipolar fluctuation, and it is important to include these effects in first-principles calculations. In this Letter, we propose to extend the original TS-vdW method for molecules and solids by self-consistently including long-range screening effects in the effective atomic polarizabilities. Even with this improvement, vdW energies beyond two-body interactions are not included, and this Letter proposes a scheme based on the coupled fluctuating dipole model (CFDM) [6] to account for many-body effects. It should be mentioned that in practical applications the treatment of effects beyond two-body has been limited mostly to the three-body Axilrod-Teller formula [7] (a procedure that might even give the wrong sign for the many-body vdW energy) [8,9].

The adiabatic-connection fluctuation-dissipation theorem (ACFDT) is an exact expression for the total electron correlation energy [10]: Thus, it clearly contains the full many-body vdW energy, and the formulas proposed in this Letter can be derived with approximations from the ACFDT formula [11].

We assume that the system (molecule or condensed matter) has a finite electronic (highest occupied molecular orbital-lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) gap and can be divided into effective atomic fragments, for example, by using the Hirshfeld electron-density partitioning scheme. The effective frequency-dependent dipole polarizability of every atom is defined as [12]

$$\alpha_p(i\omega) = \frac{\alpha_p[n(r)]}{1 + \{\omega/\omega_p[n(r)]\}^2},$$

where $\alpha_p[n(r)]$ is the static polarizability of an atom $p$, and $\omega_p[n(r)]$ is the corresponding characteristic excitation frequency [5]. Since both parameters are referenced to highly
accurate free-atom reference data [5], short-range quantum-mechanical exchange-correlation effects are accounted for in $\alpha_p$ and $\alpha_p$ by construction. However, the TS-vdW definition of the effective atomic polarizability lacks the aforementioned long-range electrostatic screening. In fact, atoms located inside molecules and materials experience the dynamic electric field created by the surrounding atoms, which gives rise to polarization and depolarization effects and is largely responsible for the anisotropy in the molecular polarizability [13,14]. These effects can be included microscopically by modeling the environment as a dipole field and solving the resulting classical electrodynamics self-consistent screening (SCS) equation [13–15]

$$\alpha^{SCS}(r; i\omega) = \alpha^{TS}(r; i\omega) + \alpha^{TS}(r; i\omega) \int \frac{d^3r'}{R^3} \mathcal{T}(r - r')\alpha^{SCS}(r'; i\omega),$$

(2)

where $\alpha^{TS}(r; i\omega)$ is the sum of the TS-vdW effective atomic polarizabilities, and $\mathcal{T}(r - r')$ is the dipole-dipole interaction tensor (Hartree atomic units used throughout). The SCS equation requires the dipole polarizability $\alpha^{TS}(r; i\omega)$ as a continuous function over space, while the TS-vdW method in principle only yields point polarizabilities. To extend this description, we note that Eq. (1) is the frequency-dependent dipole polarizability of the spherical quantum harmonic oscillator (QHO), with the following Gaussian density

$$n_{QHO}(r) = (\pi^{3/2}R^3)^{-1} \exp[-r^2/(2R^2)],$$

(3)

where $R$ is the width of the Gaussian function.

By representing the $N$ atoms in a given molecular system as a collection of QHOs, integration over $r$ leads to the following discretized form of Eq. (2)

$$\alpha_p^{SCS}(i\omega) = \alpha_p^{TS}(i\omega) + \alpha_p^{TS}(i\omega) \sum_{q=1}^{N} T_{pq} \alpha_q^{SCS}(i\omega),$$

(4)

where $T_{pq} = \nabla_{r_p} \cdot \nabla_{r_q} W(r_{pq})$ is the dipole interaction tensor, $r_p$ and $r_q$ are the QHO positions, $r_{pq} = |r_p - r_q|$, and $W(r_{pq}) = \text{erf}[r_{pq}/(\sqrt{2}R)]/r_{pq}$ is the Coulomb potential for the interaction between two spherical Gaussian distributions. The solution of the SCS equation for every frequency of the electric field yields the molecular polarization tensor $\alpha^{SCS}(i\omega)$ and atomic polarization tensors $\alpha_i^{SCS}(i\omega)$, which now contain both short-range (via the TS-vdW scheme) and long-range (via the SCS equation) electrostatic screening. The width $R$ of the Gaussian in Eq. (3) is derived from the dipole self-energy (i.e., the zero-distance limit of the classical dipole-dipole interaction) for a given frequency of the electric field [16,17]: $R_p = (\sqrt{2}/\pi \alpha_p^{TS}/3)^{1/3}$.

As an illustration of including the SCS effects, we begin by considering the static polarizability of the $N_2$ molecule. The $N_2$ polarizability is anisotropic, with experimental values being $\alpha_{||} = 16.1$ and $\alpha_{\perp} = 9.8$ bohr$^3$ [16]. We first extended the TS-vdW scheme to treat atomic anisotropy by integrating the Hirshfeld volume in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, obtaining $\alpha_{||} = 13.7$ and $\alpha_{\perp} = 11.9$ bohr$^3$ ($\alpha_{\text{iso}} = 12.5$ bohr$^3$) for $N_2$. It is clear that the atomic Hirshfeld partition of the molecular electron density is not sufficient to reproduce the anisotropy in the molecular static polarizability. However, the anisotropy is greatly reduced when calculating the $C_6$ coefficients, because the response becomes increasingly isotropic for larger imaginary frequencies. In fact, this is the reason why the TS-vdW scheme yields significantly better results for the $C_6$ coefficients (5.5% error) than for the static polarizability (∼12% error) [5]. The SCS equation with the TS-vdW polarizability as input yields $\alpha_{||} = 16.0$ and $\alpha_{\perp} = 8.7$ bohr$^3$ ($\alpha_{\text{iso}} = 11.2$ bohr$^3$) for $N_2$, significantly increasing the anisotropy. We further calculated the polarizability tensor of 17 small molecules, ranging from $H_2$ to cyclohexane. The error in the fractional polarization anisotropy $(\sqrt{1/2}[(\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{yy})^2 + (\alpha_{yy} - \alpha_{zz})^2 + (\alpha_{zz} - \alpha_{xx})^2]/(\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz}))$ was found to be 23% compared to experimental values [14]. However, because the anisotropy is less important for the integrated vdW coefficients, this error is acceptable, as shown below by the performance of TS-vdW + SCS for intermolecular $C_6$ coefficients. We note that the error in fractional anisotropy at the TS-vdW level is 80%. Thus, the screening clearly improves the physical description of the polarizability anisotropy.

The TS-vdW method has been shown to yield accurate isotropic $C_6$ coefficients (mean absolute error of 5.5%) for a large database of 1225 atomic and molecular dimers [5]. The TS-vdW + SCS method slightly increases the error to 6.3% due to linear alkane chains, where the anisotropy along the chain is overestimated.

The importance of long-range screening grows with the system size, becoming the greatest for solids. We illustrate this effect with the example of hydrogen-saturated silicon clusters of increasing size and also with the silicon bulk. The results are shown in Fig. 1 and compared to the time-dependent local density approximation (TDLDA) calculations. We measured the accuracy of TDLDA using the experimentally derived dipole-oscillator strength $C_6$ coefficient for the $SiH_4$ molecule [18] (13% error) and the $C_{Si-Si}^6$ coefficient in the silicon bulk (3% error) using the Clausius-Mossotti equation with the experimental dielectric function [19]. Therefore, we deem the TDLDA $C_6$ coefficients as accurate references for the largest silicon clusters. For smaller clusters, the TS-vdW values are in good agreement with TDLDA and experiment. However, the error in the TS-vdW $C_6$ coefficients increases progressively with the cluster size, with an error of 27% for the largest cluster, $Si_{172}H_{120}$. The inclusion of SCS effects
First, the fully screened static polarizability with the fully nonadditive many-body vdW energy. For a system with experiment, with an error of just 8%.

The CFDM Hamiltonian can be computed using the coupled fluctuating dipole model (CFDM) [6,21] for a collection of coupled isotropic three-dimensional QHOs that represent the atoms in the molecular system of interest. The CFDM Hamiltonian can be written as [21]

\[ H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \nabla_{x_p}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \omega_p \lambda_p^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{p>q}^{N} \omega_p \omega_q \sqrt{\alpha_p \alpha_q} \chi_p \mathcal{T}_{pq}^l \chi_q, \]

where \( \chi_p = \sqrt{m_p} \mu_p \), with \( \mu_p \) being the displacement of oscillator \( p \) from equilibrium, and \( m_p = (\alpha_p \omega_p^2)^{-1} \). In the above equation, the first two terms correspond to the kinetic and potential energy for an individual QHO, while the third term corresponds to the dipole-dipole interaction between QHOs [\( \mathcal{T}_{pq}^l \equiv \nabla_{r_p} \cdot \nabla_{r_q} W_l(r_{pq}) \), where \( W_l(r_{pq}) \) will be defined below]. The SCS superscript is omitted in the notation, but assumed for all \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \). The bilinear form of the interaction term in the CFDM Hamiltonian allows for a numerically exact solution of the Schrödinger equation by diagonalizing the \( 3N \times 3N \) interaction matrix. The vdW interaction energy between the QHOs is then computed as the difference between the zero-point energies of the coupled and uncoupled QHOs

\[ E_{\text{vdW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{3N} \sqrt{\lambda_p} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \omega_p^{\text{SCS}}, \]

where \( \lambda_p \) are the Hamiltonian eigenvalues. The above expression for the vdW energy can be derived from ACFD, since in our case the polarizability is an even function of \( \omega \) and has only simple poles on or below the real \( \omega \) axis. Under these circumstances the ACFD correlation energy is given as the difference of zero-point energies [22,23].

The many-body vdW energy is part of the long-range correlation energy, but in general the correlation energy includes other contributions. In order to have an electronic structure method that treats the full range of exchange and correlation effects, we need to couple the vdW energy to an approximate DFT functional. This coupling typically introduces empiricism into the approach. However, instead of the \( \text{ad hoc} \) damping functions used in interatomic pairwise-dispersion-correction approaches, here the coupling is more naturally introduced through a modified Coulomb potential [24]. We take an arbitrary, albeit one of the simplest, forms for the Coulomb potential

\[ W_l(r_{pq}) = \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[\left(-r_{pq} / R_{pq}^{\text{vdW}}\right)^{\beta}\right]\right\}/r_{pq}, \]

where \( \beta \) is a range-separation parameter and \( R_{pq}^{\text{vdW}} \) is the sum of the vdW radii for a pair of atoms \( p \) and \( q \). The parameter \( \beta \) controls how quickly the Coulomb potential reaches the \( 1/r_{pq} \) asymptote in the long range. Physically, the dispersion energy is finite in the zero-distance limit [25]; however, approximate DFT functionals should already capture part of this energy. Thus we choose \( \beta \approx 2 \), which ensures that \( W(r_{pq}) \to 0 \) when \( r_{pq} \to 0 \). In Eq. (7) the vdW radius of an atom is defined as in the TS-vdW scheme, \( R_{p}^{\text{vdW}} = (\alpha_p / \alpha_p)^{1/3} R_{p}^{\text{vdW,free}} \), where the free atom vdW radius is obtained from the coupled-cluster electron density. This accurate reference electron density is required for a consistent definition of the vdW radius with the polarizability [5].

The combination of the TS-vdW scheme with SCS and CFDM gives rise to an efficient method for obtaining the vdW energy, wherein short- and long-range screening effects are seamlessly included and the many-body vdW energy is computed to infinite order in the dipole approximation. In what follows we call this new scheme DFA + MBD, where DFA is substituted by the name of the functional used to approximate the DFT energy, and MBD means \textit{many-body dispersion}. We benchmarked the performance of DFA + MBD on the S22 database of intermolecular interactions [26,27]. All DFA calculations employ the FHI-AIMS code [28]. The \( \beta \) parameter in
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The screening effects and the many-body vDW energy become even more pronounced for extended systems. Here we use the benzene molecular crystal as an example, since the crystal geometry and the cohesive energy have been accurately measured [33,34], as well as recently computed using the exact-exchange plus RPA correlation energy method [35]. We computed the cohesive energy using the PBE + TS-vdW and PBE + MBD methods at the experimental geometry. At the PBE + TS-vdW level, the cohesive energy was computed as 690 meV/molecule, which is a significant overestimation compared to the experimental values of 518–560 meV/molecule (extrapolated to 0 K and with zero-point vibrational energy subtracted) [35]. PBE + MBD significantly improves the prediction, yielding 565 meV/molecule. Notice the large reduction of the binding energy that comes from the collective inclusion of both SCS and MB effects.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient method for obtaining an accurate description of vDW interactions that includes both screening effects and treatment of the many-body vDW energy to infinite order. This method has a single physically motivated range-separation parameter for a given DFT functional and is applicable to finite-gap molecules and condensed matter systems. The calculation of the MBD energy takes \( \approx 3 \text{ min} \) for a system of 1000 atoms on a single processor (DFT calculation time not included). Our method significantly improves the binding energies for small molecules compared to high-level quantum chemical methods, with pronounced improvements found for larger, more complex systems. The efficiency of our method paves the way to molecular dynamics simulations with the full many-body treatment of vDW interactions. Work to derive the self-consistent MBD potential and forces is in progress.
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[12] The employed expression for the frequency-dependent polarizability [Eq. (1)] does not satisfy the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule, since the TS-vdW model only accounts for dipole fluctuations of the valence electrons. The calculation of the vDW energy requires evaluating integrals over products of atomic frequency-dependent polarizabilities, which are shown to be accurate in our work. We note that the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule might become important for computing the vDW interaction between higher-order multipoles.


[20] We first obtain $\alpha^{\text{SCS}}(i\omega)$ by partially contracting the inverse of the SCS interaction matrix. The frequency $\omega^{\text{SCS}}$ is defined to reproduce the $C_6^{\text{SCS}}$ coefficient calculated from the Casimir-Polder integral with $\alpha^{\text{SCS}}(i\omega)$ (single Padé approximant). This leads to a small error for the higher-order vDW coefficients.


