Microstructural impact of anodic coatings on the electrochemical chlorine evolution reaction†
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Sol–gel Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 electrode coatings with crack-free and mud-crack surface morphology deposited onto a Ti-substrate are prepared for a comparative investigation of the microstructural effect on the electrochemical activity for Cl2 production and the Cl2 bubble evolution behaviour. For comparison, a state-of-the-art mud-crack commercial Ru0.3Ti0.7O2 coating is used. The compact coating is potentially durable over a long term compared to the mud-crack coating due to the reduced penetration of the electrolyte. Ti L-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy confirms that a TiO2 interlayer is formed between the mud-crack Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating and the underlying Ti-substrate due to the attack of the electrolyte. Meanwhile, the compact coating shows enhanced activity in comparison to the commercial coating, benefiting from the nanoparticle-nanoporosity architecture. The dependence of the overall electrode polarization behaviour on the local activity and the bubble evolution behaviour for the Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings with different surface microstructure are evaluated by means of scanning electrochemical microscopy and microscopic bubble imaging.

1. Introduction

Chlorine is one of the important building blocks for the whole chemical and pharmaceutical industry. The continuous expansion of the demand for Cl2 supply reached about 65 million tonnes in 2010 worldwide.1 In the energy-intensive chlor-alkali process, the most efficient membrane process consumes around 2500 kW h per ton Cl2, accounting for 50% of the manufacture costs.2,3 The industrial energy efficiency has a significant impact on the mitigation of the climate change, security of energy supply and sustainability. Over the past four decades dimensionally stable anodes (DSA4,5) based on RuO2-based mixed oxide catalysts supported onto the titanium substrate played an essential role in the chlor-alkali electrolysis cell.4,6 DSA are also involved in many technological applications, such as water electrolysis, electro-organic synthesis and electrochemical oxidation of pollutants.7,9 Typically, DSA consist of an electrocatalyst coating with a thickness of a few micrometers to meet the industrial demands of durability for years.10 Thermal treatment is necessary for the fabrication of electrode coatings to obtain high crystallinity and mechanical stability for practical applications.11 During the thermal treatment, the cracks (~ 1 μm in crack width, a few μm in crack length) are formed due to the development of tensile stress. Microcracks of the coating surface are commonly found to be prepared by conventional thermal decomposition or by the sol–gel route.12–14 Although the crack cavity may accommodate the electrolyte and therefore may offer a more available inner surface area for electrochemical reactions, the penetration of the electrolyte through the cracks results in the deactivation of electrodes due to the attack to the metallic substrate by the electrolyte under the harsh electrolysis conditions.15 The analysis of the deactivation mechanism for the DSA shows that the Ti-substrate oxidation results in the loss of performance before the complete dissolution of active ruthenium species,16 causing an ineffective use of the precious catalyst materials. This kind of surface structure of anodic coatings is far from satisfactory. Electrode coatings with enhanced catalytic activity and highly available surface area could be fabricated by purposely producing nanoscale catalysts and creating nanoporosity, rather than relying on the microscale cracks. Our previous work has demonstrated that the electrode performance is improved significantly by using nano-catalysts with reduced crystallite size.17

The gas bubble effect at the electrode surface is another practical issue on the electrochemical chlorine generation, and
on other applications as well, such as the H2 and O2 evolution for water electrolysis.18 The evolving bubbles could block the electrode surface and impose effects on the mass-transport, which increases the cell voltage in the chlor-alkali industry.1,6

Hence, it is of the utmost importance both to improve the anodic coating performance by using nanoscale catalysts, and to explore novel coating microstructures with sufficient durability while concomitantly optimizing the gas bubble evolution behaviour. Although considerable efforts have been made to explore a variety of RuO2-based mixed oxides,19 few papers have shed light on the coating surface microstructure, the relative electrode performance and bubble evolution behaviour.20–22 The surface microstructure of oxide coatings could impose significant influence both on the catalytic performance and also on the bubble evolution behaviour. Herein, we demonstrate that the compact, crack-free sol-gel Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings with a nanoparticle-nanoporosity feature can be used as alternative anodic coatings for the energy-intensive industrial electrolytic chlorine production. A detailed and comparative evaluation was carried out to investigate the effect of the microstructure of the oxide coating on the electrode performance, including stability, catalytic activity and bubble evolution behavior.

2. Experimental
2.1 Preparation of coatings
Details of the technique have been described elsewhere.23 Briefly, the Ti substrates (Goodfellow, diameter 15 mm) were sandblasted and then chemically etched in 10 wt% oxalic acid at 80 °C for 2 h, and finally rinsed thoroughly with isopropanol. 0.1125 M Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating solutions were prepared using a sol-gel route. A desired amount of RuCl3·xH2O (36% Ru, ABCR) was dissolved with stirring into isopropanol (solution A). Sn(O’Pr)2·C3H7OH (Alfa Aesar) was added to a mixture of isopropanol and propionic acid (1 : 1 in volume) and then this solution was refluxed at 150 °C for 30 min with vigorous stirring (solution B). After cooling down to room temperature, solution B was mixed with solution A. The precursor solutions (50 µL) were applied onto a Ti-substrate by drop-coating. The wet coatings were air-dried and thermally treated, first at 250 °C for 10 min, and then at 450 °C for 5 min in static air conditions. The procedure was repeated until a final total Ru loading amount of 5.78 g m⁻² (metal basis) was achieved. The coatings were finally sintered at 450 °C for another 1 h to finish the preparation process. To prepare coatings with reduced microcracks, three diluted sol solutions (diluted in 1 : 3, 1 : 7, 1 : 31 ratios in isopropanol) were used and the number of the coating-drying-sintering cycles was increased accordingly. The commercial electrode coatings prepared by a thermal decomposition route were supplied by Bayer MaterialScience, with a nominal composition of Ru0.3Ti0.7O2 and a Ru loading amount of 12.1 g m⁻² (metal basis).

2.2 Structural characterisation
X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) were collected using a PANalytical X’Pert diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu Kα1,2 radiation (λKα1 = 1.5406 Å, λKα2 = 1.5444 Å) operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. The crystal structure parameters and crystallite sizes were refined by the Rietveld method using the TOPAS software (Bruker AXS). Crystallite sizes are specified as the volume averaged column heights. The coating surface morphologies were analysed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM-60 microscope. The surface wettability of the oxide coatings by a water droplet (20 µL) was measured in air by a contact angle device (OCA20, DataPhysics Instruments). Near edge X-ray absorption fine structure measurements were performed at the synchrotron radiation facility BESSY II (Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Germany) using the monochromatic radiation of the ISIS beamline. The experiment was conducted in a high-pressure XPS/XAS setup described elsewhere.24 The soft X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) of the Ti L₂,3 edges were recorded in the total electron yield mode in the presence of 0.1 mbar He to enhance the signal by secondary electrons created by ionization of the gas phase above the sample. Samples were mounted onto a sapphire sample holder and introduced into the spectrometer. The chemical analysis of the coating surface was based on the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) at different information depths with the applied photoelectron kinetic energies of 200, 450 and 700 eV.

2.3 Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM)
A modified Sensolytics (Bochum, Germany) SECM setup was used. The local activity of the prepared electrodes for Cl2 evolution reactions was visualized by performing SECM using a sample generation-tip collection mode, the details for the measurement strategy and its validity have been described previously.25 The sample with a working area of 15 mm in diameter was used for the measurement. The SECM tip current (the reduction current of Cl2) can be deemed as a measure of the catalytic activity of the sample underneath the tip. The tip (Pt microelectrode, 25 µm) was scanned over an area of 300 × 300 µm2 in constant height mode (tip-to-sample distance, 20 µm). The working electrode (sample) was polarized at a potential of 1.4 V vs. Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) for the production of Cl2 by oxidation of Cl⁻. The Pt-tip was polarized at a potential of 0.95 V vs. Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) to detect the produced Cl2. The supporting electrolyte was 5 M NaCl (pH 2). All SECM measurements were carried out at room temperature.

2.4 Electrochemical characterization
Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic polarization measurements were performed in 3.5 M NaCl (pH 3) with forced electrolyte flow (100 mL min⁻¹) in a home-made teflon cell using a Pt coated titanium wire as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl (3.5 M NaCl) as the reference electrode at room temperature and at 80 °C, respectively. The reference electrode was carefully positioned close to the working electrode (with an exposed geometrical area of 1 cm⁻²) by using a Luggin capillary. The measured electrode potential (the average value of the fluctuant potential signal) was corrected for the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte derived by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.

2.5 Observation of evolving gas bubbles
Video imaging of chlorine gas bubble evolution was carried out at room temperature by using a glass beaker as a reaction
vessel containing 100 mL 3.5 M NaCl (pH 3) as the electrolyte. The working electrode plate with an exposed surface area of 1.76 cm² was immersed vertically in the stagnant electrolyte and polarized galvanostatically at 20 mA cm⁻². A Pt mesh was used as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl (3.5 M NaCl) as the reference electrode. A CCD camera opposite to the electrode surface was used to image the electrolytically generated Cl₂ bubbles. A cold light source was used to illuminate the electrode surface.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Surface morphology and phase analysis

To address the effect of the microstructure of the coating on its properties for the electrochemical chlorine evolution, four sol–gel Ru₀.₃Sn₀.₇O₂ coatings with controlled surface microstructure (from mud-crack to crack-free, Fig. 1) were prepared. The deposition of catalyst particles onto the Ti-substrate with desired catalyst loading was obtained by layer-by-layer drop-coating/drying/annealing cycles. The annealing (at 450°C) was used to crystallise the active phase and to reinforce the adhesion of the catalyst at the substrate. Fig. 1a shows the SEM images of a Ru₀.₃Sn₀.₇O₂ (RSO) coating deposited onto a Ti-substrate with a mud-crack island-gap surface microstructure (denoted as mc-RSO). In contrast, the state-of-the-art Ru₀.₃Ti₀.₇O₂ coating shows a comparable island size (~10 μm) but obviously larger gap width (Fig. S1a, ESI†). Each island consists of close-packed catalyst particles. The commercial coating shows that most of the islands warp. The formation of the crack structure is generally attributed to the thermally induced tensile stresses. The shrinkage of the xerogel body during the thermal processing is restricted by the underlying substrate. Once the developed stresses exceed the tensile strength of the gel body, cracks develop to release the stress.

The tensile stresses can be minimised by reducing the thickness of each single deposited layer in this preparation strategy, with a sacrifice of the preparation time. By using gradually diluted sol solutions, coatings with reduced surface cracks (denoted as rc-RSO, Fig. 1b), less cracks (denoted as lc-RSO, Fig. 1c), and even a crack-free surface (denoted as cf-RSO, Fig. 1d) were obtained. The compact oxide coatings (Fig. 1c and d) are composed of close-packed nanoparticle catalyst particles. Furthermore, high resolution SEM shows that the compact parts of the surface consist of a fine and uniform assembly of nanoparticle-nanopore architecture (Fig. 1e). The design of catalysts with open nanoporosity is of particular interest for electrocatalysis. Coatings with controlled surface microstructures exhibit distinct electrochemical performance, as discussed in the following sections.

The crystal structure and crystallite size of the Ru₀.₃Sn₀.₇O₂/Ti electrodes were analysed by using the Rietveld full-pattern refinement method with the Topas software. Except for the diffraction peaks from the Ti-substrate, all Ru₀.₃Sn₀.₇O₂ coatings (Fig. 2a) consist of a single phase (Sn–Ru)O₂ solid solution structure (space group P₄2/mnm), in which the Ru⁴⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ ions share the same cationic site in the tetragonal rutile sublattice. The rutile lattice parameters (a = 4.6755, c = 3.1742 Å) are quite similar for all coatings and independent of the preparation processes for the coatings with different surface microstructure. The refined x in RuₓSn₁₋ₓO₂ is 0.35 based on the refined unit cell parameters using Vegard’s rule. The slight off-stoichiometry from the nominal composition was caused presumably by the partial volatilization of the Sn precursor salts before conversion into their oxides. Distinct off-stoichiometry was observed commonly for the

Fig. 1 SEM images of sol–gel Ru₀.₃Sn₀.₇O₂ coatings with different surface microstructure. (a) mc-RSO, (b) rc-RSO, (c) lc-RSO, (d) cf-RSO, and (e) enlarged image of (d).

Fig. 2 XRD patterns and Rietveld structure refinement results of (a) mc-RSO and (b) commercial Ru₀.₃Ti₀.₇O₂ coatings. It shows the observed (blue), calculated (red) profiles and the difference plots (grey). The vertical bars below the patterns represent the possible Bragg reflections. The quantitative weight percents were given only for the coating phases.
SnO\textsubscript{2}-containing oxide coatings prepared by the conventional thermal decomposition route.\textsuperscript{30}

A common difficulty in the preparation of mixed oxide electrode coatings is to obtain both single phase structure and nanoscale catalysts.\textsuperscript{11,31,32} Owing to the controlled hydrolysis and polycondensation reactions, sol–gel synthesis is superior to other techniques to obtain well-controlled stoichiometric target products and intimate mixing of multicomponents at the atomic level.\textsuperscript{33,34} In contrast to the sol–gel Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} coatings with single rutile phase and nanocrystalline character, the commercial Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Ti\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} coating prepared by the thermal decomposition route consists of a Ru-poor rutile phase (Ru\textsubscript{0.16}Ti\textsubscript{0.84}O\textsubscript{2}, 80.03 wt%), a Ru-rich rutile phase (Ru\textsubscript{0.84}Ti\textsubscript{0.16}O\textsubscript{2}, 13.16 wt%) and a trace amount of inert TiO\textsubscript{2} phase, deduced from the quantitative phase analysis (Fig. 2b). The first two rutile phases are responsible for the catalytic performance, which have a crystallite size of 21 and 10 nm, respectively. The Ru-rich rutile phase (containing about 38 mol% of the total ruthenium species in the commercial coating) showed a drawback in the catalyst selectivity for Cl\textsubscript{2} evolution reactions.\textsuperscript{35,35–37}

Higher fractions of surface atoms can be obtained by using nanocatalysts with reduced crystallite size.\textsuperscript{17,38} The atomic scale surface analysis of RuO\textsubscript{2} revealed that the co-ordinatively unsaturated surface atoms of ruthenium and bridging oxygen atoms determine the catalytic reactivity.\textsuperscript{39} To examine the catalytically functional domain of nanoparticles, the extent of surface chlorination of the Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} nanocatalysts after the chlorine evolution reactions was determined via an XPS depth profile analysis by changing the photon energy. Trace amounts of chlorine species present in the fresh coating may originate from the chloride precursors.\textsuperscript{40} The ratio of Cl/(Ru + Sn) is the highest at the most surface sensitive excitation and decays when deeper layers are also probed (Table S1, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). This indicates that only a sub-nanometer depth of the catalytic particle surface can be utilized, assuming that the detected chlorine is the chemically adsorbed species Cl\textsubscript{ad} at the catalyst surface.\textsuperscript{41,42} Accordingly, the nanocrystalline character of the prepared Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} electrocatalysts (4.8 nm) exhibits superior performance for the catalytic chloride evolution reactions in this work, as discussed in Section 3.3.2.

3.2 Cracks and pores-induced electrolyte penetration

To evaluate the electrochemical penetration of an electrolyte through the micrometer-scale cracks and nanopores for the mud-crack and crack-free coatings, cyclic voltammetry in a potential range avoiding substantial Cl\textsubscript{2} evolution (0.1–1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl) was performed while changing the scan rates from 5 to 200 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} in 3.5 M NaCl, pH 3, at room temperature (Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The voltammetric charge (q\textsubscript{v}) obtained by integrating the anodic branch of the cyclic voltammogram has been used as a measure of the accessible electroactive surface area.\textsuperscript{43,44} The reactant species may diffuse into the micrometer-wide gaps and nanopores during the potential sweep, making full use of the catalyst surface. The diffusion-controlled penetration behavior of the electrolyte during the CV measurements can be evaluated from the dependence of the voltammetric charge on the scan rate. More surface area from the inner cracks and pores can be utilized at lower scan rates, indicating a high value of q\textsubscript{v}, as shown in Fig. 3. This is a common observation for the mud-crack coatings.\textsuperscript{45} The results in Fig. 3 also imply a highly accessible open nanoporosity for the compact lce-RSO and cf-RSO coatings and a higher electroactive surface area of the Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} nanocatalysts as compared with the commercial Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Ti\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2}.

In comparison with the compact coatings (lce-, cf-RSO), the mc-RSO coating shows an increase of q\textsubscript{v} by about 30% due to the contribution of the cross-sectional surface area from the microcracks. Nevertheless, the coating surface with a compact structure is desired to eliminate the straightforward penetration path of the electrolyte and its attack of the underlying Ti-substrate. This could effectively prolong the lifetime under the harsh industrial electrolysis conditions. In addition, this compact coating could resist the mechanical erosion of coating exfoliation caused by the turbulent hydrodynamic conditions of bubble evolution and electrolyte convection.\textsuperscript{10}

In order to examine whether the electrolyte can reach and attack the underlying Ti-substrate through the gaps of the mud-crack coating, which may result in the formation of an insulating TiO\textsubscript{2} interlayer, the Ti L-edge XAS spectra were recorded in total electron yield (TEY) mode for a fresh and a used mud-crack Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2} coating deposited onto a Ti-substrate (Fig. 4). The TEY absorption spectrum in the soft X-ray energy range is nearly as surface sensitive as the corresponding XPS investigation, however allowing to probe the surface state in the valley of the cracks. Two sets of peaks (L\textsubscript{1} and L\textsubscript{2} edges) corresponding to the 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and 2p\textsubscript{1/2} excitation of the 2p\textsuperscript{5}3d\textsuperscript{4} to 2p\textsuperscript{6}3d\textsuperscript{3} transition were observed for the sample after the electrochemical measurements (Fig. 4a), revealing the presence of a TiO\textsubscript{2} structure at the Ru\textsubscript{0.3}Sn\textsubscript{0.7}O\textsubscript{2}-Ti interface.\textsuperscript{36,47} It is very unlikely that the TiO\textsubscript{2} is derived from the sintering preparation processes, since the characteristic Ti L-edges were not observed in the fresh sample (Fig. 4b). The formation of a TiO\textsubscript{2} interlayer for the Ru\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-Ti electrode was mainly deduced from the impedance data due to the presence of a new semicircle in the high frequency region of the Nyquist plot from
The formation of a TiO$_x$ interlayer increases the film resistance and results in the electrode deactivation. The present work is a direct experimental evidence showing that the TiO$_x$ interlayer between the Ru$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$O$_2$ layer and the Ti-substrate is formed due to the penetration of the electrolyte through the microcracks and its attack of the metallic substrate.

The compact coatings lc-RSO and cf-RSO behave differently than the previously investigated crack-free Ru$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$O$_2$ coating. The latter is truly dense and without observable nanopores existing at the coating surface (Fig. S1b, ESI†). In comparison to the nanoporous Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating, the structural densification of the Ru$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$O$_2$ coating may be related to the particle growth, agglomeration and the difference in the synthesis chemistry. The Ru$_{0.25}$Ti$_{0.75}$O$_2$ coating is impermeable for the electrolyte and (b) fresh sample.

Despite the fact that compact coatings are promising to assure the long-term durability for industrial applications, its effect on the catalytic performance and the Cl$_2$ bubble evolution behaviour is yet unclear. The formation and the Ti-substrate is formed due to the penetration of the electrolyte through the microcracks and its attack of the metallic substrate.

The local activity visualization of chlorine evolution at the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ anodes by means of SEMC has been reported elsewhere. The distribution of active spots in the case of the lc-RSO coating (Fig. 5c) is more uniform than the one for other coatings. Since the surface roughness (<1 μm) of the prepared electrode coatings is far below the value of the tip-to-sample distance (20 μm) the observed variations in the local activity reflect indeed the features of the coatings. The averaged tip-current over the scanned region is about 11.2 mA for all the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings, which is roughly twice as large as the one for the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating, indicating a significantly improved catalytic activity. Since only ruthenium is the catalytically active component for chlorine evolution in both cases, the enhanced activity of the sol-gel derived Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating seems to be due to the nanoporous microstructure. The similarity in the averaged local activity of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings allows an independent evaluation of the surface microstructure effect on the overall activity and the bubble evolution behavior.

\[ \text{Activity} = \frac{\text{tip current}}{\text{scan area}} \]

The overall catalytic activities for chlorine evolution of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings and a commercial coating are compared in Fig. 6. The galvanostatic polarization potentials, in which the surface coverage problem caused by the bubble formation can be neglected. The location of the more active spots (blue-black region in Fig. 5) over the scanned areas can be easily visualized in the SECM images. For mc-, lc- and cf-RSO coatings, the more active spots show an about two-fold larger tip-current than the one for the less active regions. In contrast, a three-fold difference was observed for the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating (data not shown) indicating a relative heterogeneous microstructure.

The overall catalytic activities for chlorine evolution of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings and a commercial coating are compared in Fig. 6. The galvanostatic polarization potentials, in which the surface coverage problem caused by the bubble formation can be neglected. The location of the more active spots (blue-black region in Fig. 5) over the scanned areas can be easily visualized in the SECM images. For mc-, lc- and cf-RSO coatings, the more active spots show an about two-fold larger tip-current than the one for the less active regions. In contrast, a three-fold difference was observed for the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating (data not shown) indicating a relative heterogeneous microstructure. The overall catalytic activities for chlorine evolution of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings and a commercial coating are compared in Fig. 6. The galvanostatic polarization potentials, in which the surface coverage problem caused by the bubble formation can be neglected. The location of the more active spots (blue-black region in Fig. 5) over the scanned areas can be easily visualized in the SECM images. For mc-, lc- and cf-RSO coatings, the more active spots show an about two-fold larger tip-current than the one for the less active regions. In contrast, a three-fold difference was observed for the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating (data not shown) indicating a relative heterogeneous microstructure. The overall catalytic activities for chlorine evolution of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings and a commercial coating are compared in Fig. 6. The galvanostatic polarization potentials, in which the surface coverage problem caused by the bubble formation can be neglected. The location of the more active spots (blue-black region in Fig. 5) over the scanned areas can be easily visualized in the SECM images. For mc-, lc- and cf-RSO coatings, the more active spots show an about two-fold larger tip-current than the one for the less active regions. In contrast, a three-fold difference was observed for the commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating (data not shown) indicating a relative heterogeneous microstructure.
activity of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings is probably due to the protective character for the Ti-substrate. Besides, the compact coatings could provide an improved long-term durability due to its protective character for the Ti-substrate. A decrease of the cell voltage by tens of millivolts means a considerable reduction of energy consumption for the chlor-alkali industry. The higher activity of the Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings is probably due to the facilitated diffusion and the transport of the reactive species and products through the nanocatalyst-nanopore framework. Interestingly, the compact coatings (lc-, cf-RSO) show comparable activity to the mud-crack coatings (mc-, re-RSO) tested under quasi-practical conditions (400 mA cm$^{-2}$, 80 °C). This reveals that the crack-free coatings can be used as an alternative for the state-of-the-art mud-crack coatings without loss of catalytic activity. Besides, the compact coatings could provide an improved long-term durability due to its protective character for the Ti-substrate.

Although the polarization behavior at high applied current densities (> 260 mA cm$^{-2}$) is similar for all Ru$_{0.3}$Sn$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coatings, the electrode potential in the lower applied current density region (4–100 mA cm$^{-2}$) is rather different (Fig. 6). Considering the similar intrinsic activity for all samples as confirmed by SECM, the difference in electrode potential is supposed to be exclusively caused by the difference in the bubble coverage of the electrode coatings. The polarization curves are depicted in terms of the electrode potential versus the apparent current density (the current divided by the geometric electrode surface). The sample cf-RSO exhibits an earlier change in the shape of the polarization curve. Obviously, this sample suffers earlier from mass transport limitations or changes in the Tafel slope. The Tafel slopes differ in the high current density region. The sample lc-RSO becomes the best at the technically relevant current density. Simultaneously, this is the sample that shows a very uniform distribution in the size of the bubbles (see Fig. 7b) and also the most uniform distribution of catalytic activity in the SECM images (see Fig. 5c). It is assumed that the effective electrode surface area varies with the bubble coverage. If the coating surface is severely shielded by Cl$_2$ bubbles, the effective surface area is decreased dramatically which is equivalent to a polarization of the electrode at a higher current density. Taking the potential values measured at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ as an example, optical observation of evolving bubbles at the electrode surface was carried out (Section 3.4) to elucidate the reasons for the observed difference in potential values in Fig. 6 in the region of lower applied current densities.

### 3.4 Bubble evolution behavior

Cl$_2$ bubbles appear at the electrode surface after a supersaturation of dissolved Cl$_2$ in the vicinity of the electrode–electrolyte interface has been achieved. The coverage of the surface with gas bubbles decreases the available surface area which concomitantly has to provoke an increased current density at the remaining surface. This will increase the overpotential and consequently the current density. The catalyst materials the micrometer-scale surface structure is one of the critical factors influencing the Cl$_2$ bubble evolution behavior.

Fig. 7 shows the evolution of chlorine bubbles at an applied moderate current density of 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ using an optical video microscopy. Intensive bubble evolution at higher current densities (> 50 mA cm$^{-2}$) is characterized by numerous tiny bubbles with fast release from the electrode surface, which makes bubble analysis by direct optical observation difficult. The observation of bubbles was performed using a glass beaker as container for the electrolyte. The working electrode was aligned vertically and polarized to the predefined current density using a galvanostat. Once the step current is applied, the potential for the working electrode jumps sharply and then reaches slowly a plateau corresponding to the steady-state potential of the electroactive species. Accordingly, the Cl$_2$ bubbles emerge at the electrode surface and then grow and shield the electrode surface. Finally, the bubble size and the coverage fraction of the electrode surface approach a steady state in line with the steady-state polarization conditions. The capillary force anchors the bubbles to the nucleation sites, balancing the buoyancy force for bubble detachment. Bubble detachment can be observed with further continuous polarization of the electrode. Representative video frames as shown in Fig. 7 are snapshot records at steady-state conditions.

![Fig. 7](https://example.com/fig7.png)

**Fig. 7** Representative frames taken from video imaging of steady state bubble evolution in 3.5 M NaCl, pH 3, at room temperature, $j = 20$ mA cm$^{-2}$ for (a) mc-RSO, (b) lc-RSO, (c) cf-RSO and (d) a commercial Ru$_{0.3}$Ti$_{0.7}$O$_2$ coating.
A distinct bubble evolution behavior was observed for the mc-, lc-, cf-RSO coatings in comparison with the commercial coating. The surface-anchored bubbles shield severely the Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 surface. The apparent water contact angles measured for all Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings indicate a much more hydrophilic surface compared to the commercial coating (Fig. S4, ESI†). Interestingly, the cf-RSO coating shows a super-hydrophilic character. Accordingly, we observed that the bubbles detach easily from the cf-RSO coating leading to a relative low surface coverage compared to the mc- or lc-RSO coatings. A similar observation was reported for surface wetting effects on H2 evolution.22 The large chemical heterogeneity of the coating could result in a broad distribution in the bubble size. Accordingly, we observed that smaller and bigger bubbles coexist at the commercial electrode surface. In contrast, the distribution in the bubble size is comparatively smaller for the Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings, in particular for the lc-RSO coating (Fig. 7b). This is consistent with the uniform distribution of the active spots observed from SECM in Fig. 5c.

The bubble coverage for the mc-, lc-, cf-RSO coatings in Fig. 7 (coverage fraction: lc > mc > cf) could elucidate the observed difference in the polarization potential in Fig. 6 (electrode potential at 20 mA cm−2: lc > mc > cf), since only the exposed electrode surface is available for the adsorption of the approaching Cl− ions. Under these conditions, the polarization behaviour is governed by ohmic effects induced by bubble evolution. At high applied current densities, tiny bubbles evolve at the electrode surface and the influence of bubble coverage on the electrode potential fades away. Hence, in this case the electrode reactions are dominated by the intrinsic catalytic activity of the coating materials along with the mass transfer.

We observed that the bubbles form, grow and then detach at specific sites of the coating. The bubble nucleation obeys a heterogeneous nucleation mechanism at the electrode surface. These specific sites could be identical with the more active spots observed from SECM analysis. Bubble nucleation and growth occur preferably on these spots. The bubbles accumulate at the surface to a much larger size by sacrificing the neighboring small bubbles and by accommodating the newly generated Cl2 gas, which diffuses through the electrolyte–bubble meniscus interface driven by the concentration gradient of the dissolved Cl2 between the surrounding electrolyte and the bubble surface.

The optical observation of the gas bubble formation, growth and release enables us to obtain intuitional information concerning the average bubble size, the size distribution, the population number, etc. under moderate polarization conditions. However, quantitative analysis of the renewal of the exposure of the electrode surface and of the detachment frequency of evolving bubbles are difficult. The statistical character of bubble events occurring at the coating has to be analyzed by performing wavelet transformation of the bubble-induced potential noise signals. This will be discussed in detail in future work.

4. Conclusions

In summary, sol–gel Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings with a novel compact and crack-free microstructure have been synthesized and investigated in comparison with mud-crack Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coatings and a state-of-the-art commercial mud-crack Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating. We have demonstrated that the compact Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating can be used as a candidate for electrochemical chlorine evolution reactions, which shows better activity than the commercial Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating and catalytic properties comparable to the mud-crack Ru0.3Sn0.7O2 coating tested under quasi-practical conditions. The dependence of the Cl2 bubble evolution behaviour on the coating of the microstructure and polarization conditions was evaluated and uniform bubble formation is supposed to be in line with the SECM results visualizing the local electrocatalytic activity of the sample. The combination of the nanocatalysts with an optimized coating microstructure is promising for boosting the energy and resource efficiency in the chlor-alkali industry and consequently reduces the energy-related CO2 emissions.
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