Density functional theory beyond the linear regime: Validating an adiabatic local density approximation
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We present a local density approximation (LDA) for one-dimensional (1D) systems interacting via the soft-Coulomb interaction based on quantum Monte Carlo calculations. Results for the ground-state energies and ionization potentials of finite 1D systems show excellent agreement with exact calculations obtained by exploiting the mapping of an \( N \)-electron system in \( d \) dimensions onto a single electron in \( N \times d \) dimensions, properly symmetrized by the Young diagrams. We conclude that 1D LDA is of the same quality as its three-dimensional (3D) counterpart, and we infer conclusions about 3D LDA. The linear and nonlinear time-dependent responses of 1D model systems using LDA, exact exchange, and the exact solution are investigated and show very good agreement in both cases, except for the well-known problem of missing double excitations. Consequently, the 3D LDA is expected to be of good quality beyond the linear response. In addition, the 1D LDA should prove useful in modeling the interaction of atoms with strong laser fields, where this specific 1D model is often used.
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Over the last years the theoretical description of optical properties of complex many-electron systems, from molecules to nanostructures and extended systems, has reflourished due to the efficient implementation of time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) [1,2]. The good performance shown by the adiabatic local density approximation (ALDA) for many finite systems has limited the development of exchange-correlation (xc) functionals with a more elaborate time-dependence, which is clearly in its infancy compared to static DFT. However, recently many important deficiencies, especially of the adiabatic approximation, have been identified [3–12].

Ultrafast time-resolved optical spectroscopy has revealed new classes of physical, chemical, and biological reactions, in which directed, deterministic motions of atoms have a key role. The advent of free-electron lasers with attosecond resolution increases the capabilities of present femtosecond pump-probe experiments, allowing for a study of the dynamics of nonequilibrium electronic systems in real time. In addition, systems of all sizes can be investigated, from the atomic scale to the most extended molecules (e.g., DNA, proteins and their complexes) and solids. Despite these tremendous experimental advances, the theoretical description of a real molecular system subject to ultrashort, intense, and/or high-frequency lasers is still in a fledgling state. Several problems need to be addressed, ranging from the nonperturbative nature of the physical processes involved to the simultaneous description of the (interacting) electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom. Therefore, it is of paramount relevance to have a theoretical framework which allows for a nonperturbative description of electrons and, at the same time, is able to tackle electron-ion dynamics in the excited state. TDDFT seems to be the suitable framework to move the realm of density functional methods beyond the linear regime to describe the aforementioned processes. One important advantage is the combined electron and ion dynamics provided by TDDFT [2].

Many physical processes rely on the knowledge of nonlinear response functions. Therefore, it is very timely to provide a systematic study addressing the performance of present functionals in the nonlinear regime. To assess the quality of a functional, we need to have appropriate data for comparison. It can be very difficult to obtain accurate experimental data in the nonlinear regime for real systems due to various limitations, e.g., solvent effects or additional approximations going into the interpretation of the collected data [13,14]. These problems can be avoided by using exactly solvable models, which then allow for a direct comparison between the exact spectrum and an approximate one. Unfortunately, an exact propagation of even small three-dimensional (3D) systems is computationally very demanding and needs further simplification. One possibility is the reduction of dimensionality, i.e., the use of one-dimensional (1D) models where the exact diagonalization is feasible as long as the number of electrons is sufficiently small. In the present paper, we work with systems of interacting electrons in 1D. Having the exact solution allows us to test orbital-dependent functionals, such as exact exchange (EXX), which can be easily transferred to different dimensions. A local density approximation (LDA) is achieved, as in the 3D case, by quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) studies of the homogeneous reference, and by parametrizing the corresponding correlation energy.

The present work, besides adding fundamental information concerning the relevance of spatial and temporal nonlocality in the xc functional, also provides a proper LDA parametrization...
for electrons interacting via the soft-Coulomb interaction in 1D systems. This model description is widely used in the context of high-intensity lasers, where above-threshold ionization and high-order harmonic generation play an important role [15–18]. Also, 1D two-electron systems are employed to gain insight into exact properties of the xc potential and kernel in static and time-dependent density functional theory, since these systems can easily be solved exactly [19–21].

The 1D Hamiltonian for \( N \) particles moving in a general external potential \( v_{\text{ext}} \) reads

\[
H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx_j^2} + v_{\text{ext}}(x_j) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} v_{\text{int}}(x_j,x_k),
\]

where \( v_{\text{int}} \) describes the electron-electron interaction. In order to avoid the singularity of the Coulomb interaction, we instead employ the soft-Coulomb potential

\[
v_{\text{soft,C}}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{q_1 q_2}{\sqrt{a^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2}}.
\]

Here, \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) describe the charges of the particles, while \( a \) is the usual softening parameter [atomic units (a.u.) \( e = m = \hbar = 1 \) are used throughout this paper]. We use \( a = 1 \) for all our calculations. Mathematically, it is straightforward to show that the Hamiltonian \( (1) \) is equivalent to a single particle in \( N \) dimensions, moving in an external potential consisting of all the contributions from \( v_{\text{ext}} \) and \( v_{\text{int}} \). The corresponding Schrödinger equation can, hence, be solved by any code which is able to treat noninteracting particles in the correct number of dimensions in an arbitrary external potential. Due to the Hamiltonian being symmetric under particle interchange, \( x_j \leftrightarrow x_k \), the solutions of the Schrödinger equation can be chosen as symmetric or antisymmetric under such an exchange. For the simplest case of two interacting electrons, both the symmetric and antisymmetric solutions are valid, corresponding to the singlet and triplet spin configurations, respectively. However, for more than two electrons, one needs to separately ensure that the spatial wave function is a solution to the \( N \)-electron problem. For example, a totally symmetric spatial wave function is a correct solution for a single particle in \( N \) dimensions, however, for \( N > 2 \), there is no corresponding spin function such that the total wave function has the required antisymmetry to be a solution of the \( N \)-fermion problem in 1D. We solve this problem by symmetrizing the solutions according to all possible fermionic Young diagrams for the given particle number \( N \) [22].

The solution of higher-dimensional problems within these symmetry restrictions has been implemented into the OCTOPUS computer program [23,24]. Usually, the lowest-energy solution is found to be purely symmetric and is discarded for \( N > 2 \). With an increasing number of electrons, we also observe an increasing number of states which do not satisfy the fermionic symmetry requirements.

As a result of reducing the number of dimensions, we need to use an appropriate functional for performing the DFT calculations. While any orbital functional can easily be transferred between dimensions, those functionals based on specific systems need to be recalculated. This affects the most common functional, i.e., the local density approximation, available only for the normal-Coulomb interaction in two and three dimensions [25,26], an effective Coulomb interaction of a harmonically confined wire [27,28], and some other ad hoc 1D models [29–31]. In this work, we present and use a parametrization of the 1D LDA obtained from quantum Monte Carlo simulations, which are exact in 1D, using the soft-Coulomb interaction in Eq. (2). We assess the quality of the approximation in calculating ground-state properties as well as the linear response for various 1D model systems. We then proceed to calculate the nonlinear response and compare the exact one with the ALDA and adiabatic exact-exchange (AEXX) spectra.

The correlation energy of the LDA is parametrized in terms of \( r_s \) and the spin polarization \( \zeta = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/N \) in the form

\[
\epsilon_c(r_s,\zeta) = \epsilon_c(r_s,\zeta = 0) + \zeta^2 [\epsilon_c(r_s,\zeta = 1) - \epsilon_c(r_s,\zeta = 0)],
\]

with

\[
\epsilon_c(r_s \rightarrow 0,\zeta = 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_s + Er_s^2}{A + Br_s + Cr_s^2 + Dr_s^3} \ln \left( 1 + \alpha r_s + Br_s^2 \right),
\]

which proves to be very accurate in the parametrization for other 1D systems with a different long-range interaction [27]. Note that the additional factor of \( 1/2 \) is due to the use of hartree (Ha) atomic units, as everywhere else in the paper. To obtain the exact high-density result, known from the random-phase approximation [28], i.e.,

\[
\epsilon_c(r_s \rightarrow 0,\zeta = 0) = -\frac{4}{\pi^4 a^2} r_s^2,
\]

\[
\epsilon_c(r_s \rightarrow 0,\zeta = 1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^4 a^2} r_s^2,
\]

to leading order in \( r_s \), we fix the ratio \( \alpha / A \) to be equal to \( 8/\pi^4 a^2 \) and \( 1/\pi^4 a^2 \) for \( \zeta = 0 \) and \( \zeta = 1 \), respectively. In both cases, the exponent \( m \) is limited to values larger than 1. As a result, the number of independent parameters in the function (4) is reduced to 7. In addition, for \( a = 1 \), the denominator can be simplified by setting \( B = 0.0 \), without loss of accuracy. The optimal values of the parameters for \( a = 1 \) are reported in Table I, and implemented in the OCTOPUS program [23,24].

For more details on the 1D QMC methodology and the parametrization procedure, we refer to Refs. [27,28].

As a first test, we calculated the ground-state energies of small atomic systems, for example, a 1D helium atom with \( q = 2 \) in Eq. (2) and two electrons which interact via the soft-Coulomb interaction. The ground-state energies and ionization potentials from the exact and unpolarized LDA calculations are given in Table II. We include all possible systems with one, two, three, and four electrons in our test. For open-shell systems, we additionally performed a spin-LDA ([S]LDA) calculation, where the xc energy was spin dependent, according to Eq. (3). All atomic calculations were performed in a box ranging from \(-8 \) to \( 8 \) bohrs, with a spacing of 0.2 bohr, which ensures the total energy to be converged to the accuracy stated in the table.

As we can see, the LDA total energies for the neutral and positively charged systems agree very well with the exact results. As expected, the spin-resolved calculations further improve the agreement for the open-shell systems. As a result,
TABLE I. Values of the LDA correlation-energy parametrization in Eq. (4) for the most widely used case, \( a = 1 \). The parametrization is reported for both unpolarized (\( \zeta = 0 \)) and fully polarized (\( \zeta = 1 \)) systems. The error on the last digits is given in parentheses, while the average error (in hartree units) in the full-density range is given in the last row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \zeta )</th>
<th>( a = 1.0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta = 0 )</td>
<td>( \zeta = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>18.40(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.50(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.10185(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.012827(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>1.511(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>0.258(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>4.424(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg. error</td>
<td>( 6.7 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest Kohn-Sham (KS) eigenvalue of the highest occupied Kohn-Sham orbital.

As well as the eigenvalues of the highest occupied Kohn-Sham orbital.

The good agreement for the positively charged systems is not reproduced for negatively charged ones. For the small systems investigated here, LDA does not bind an extra electron, i.e., the highest occupied KS orbital has a positive eigenenergy. The exact calculation shows that the negatively charged systems are indeed stable, giving total energies of \(-0.73 \text{ Ha} \), \(-2.35 \text{ Ha} \), and \(-4.17 \text{ Ha} \), for \( \text{H}^- \), \( \text{He}^- \), and \( \text{Li}^- \), respectively. A comparison with the total energies of the neutral systems shows that, in the exact calculation, the additional electron is only very lightly bound in 1D. It is no surprise that the LDA, with its usual wrong asymptotic behavior of the exchange-correlation potential, does not yield stable negatively charged ions.

As a second test of the new functional, we calculate the dissociation curve of the 1D hydrogen molecule. For these calculations, we increased the size of the simulation box to range from \(-20 \) to \( 20 \) bohrs in order to achieve convergence also for the stretched molecule. Figure 1 shows the binding energy per atom as a function of the distance between the two ions; exact and LDA calculations for the singlet ground state and the first triplet state.

FIG. 1. (Color online) Binding energy per atom of the one-dimensional hydrogen molecule as a function of the distance between the two ions: exact and LDA calculations for the singlet ground state and the first triplet state.
an EXX calculation, which show a slightly better agreement than LDA for the first three excitations but, more importantly, reproduce the Rydberg series due to the correct asymptotic behavior of the corresponding exchange potential. The quality of the EXX results also implies that correlation is of secondary importance in the system for $a = 1$. The nonlinear spectrum shows the same excitations as the linear spectrum and three additional peaks for the exact and the EXX calculation as well as two additional peaks in the LDA spectrum. Their energies are also listed in Table III. Due to the spatial symmetry of the system, all even-order responses are zero and the first nonvanishing higher-order response is of the third order. The $\Omega_1 = 0.28$ Ha corresponds to an excitation from the second to the third excited state, where the transition from the ground to the second excited state is dipole forbidden and, hence, can only be reached in a two-photon process. The other two frequencies, $\Omega_2 = 0.42$ Ha and $\Omega_2 = 0.54$ Ha, correspond to the transitions from the first to second excited state, and second to fifth excited state, respectively. Again, both the EXX and the LDA calculations yield a good description of the low-lying excitations; only the third peak cannot be resolved in the LDA spectrum.

One feature of the exact spectrum that is missing from both the LDA and the EXX spectra is the small dip at 2.8 Ha; see inset in Fig. 2. It results from a Fano resonance due to a Fano resonance at 2.8 Ha; see inset in Fig. 2.

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Linear (top) and nonlinear (bottom) spectra of Be$^+$ comparing the exact and the 1D LDA calculation. The inset in the bottom figure shows a zoom into the region from 2.7 to 3.0 Ha.](image)

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Linear (top) and nonlinear (bottom) spectra of Be$^+$ comparing the exact and the 1D LDA calculation. The inset in the bottom figure shows a zoom into the region from 2.7 to 3.0 Ha.](image)

TABLE III. Excitation energies from the linear and nonlinear response of the 1D Be$^+$ atom corresponding to the spectra in Fig. 2. Excitations from the linear response are denoted as $\omega$ while those from the nonlinear spectrum are denoted with $\Omega$. All numbers are given in hartree units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation</th>
<th>LDA 1</th>
<th>1.74</th>
<th>1.90</th>
<th>1.96</th>
<th>2.00</th>
<th>0.22</th>
<th>0.40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_1$</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXX 1.13</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exact 1.12</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[33,34], i.e., the decay of an excited state into continuum states. It is missing from both approximate spectra due to the double-excitation character of the involved excited state. Double excitations can only be described in TDDFT if a frequency-dependent xc kernel is employed [5]. Any adiabatic approximation, however, leads to a frequency-independent kernel. Hence, double excitations, as well as any resulting features, are missing from both the ALDA and the AEXX calculations. Apart from the well-known shortcomings of not including double excitations and not giving the correct Rydberg series, the 1D ALDA reproduces both the linear and the nonlinear exact spectra quite well.

We have introduced a one-dimensional LDA suitable for the description of systems interacting via the commonly used soft-Coulomb interaction. We have shown that the one-dimensional functional is of the same quality as its three-dimensional counterpart in the calculation of ground-state energies of atomic systems and the dissociation of small molecules. Also, the linear spectra show the same quality known from 3D calculations with low-energy excitations being well described, while Rydberg and double excitations are missing. Generally, for the 1D LDA, one can expect the same success and failure in applications that are known from 3D calculations, i.e., the quality of the LDA results appears to be independent of the dimensionality. We emphasize that the 1D LDA yields a good description not only in the linear response but also in the nonlinear case. Consequently, one can expect 3D LDA calculations to perform well for the calculation of the nonlinear response, where the experimental data is often difficult to interpret. The quality of the LDA for softening parameters $a \neq 1$ will be investigated in the future. Choosing values smaller than one is of special interest as this corresponds to an increasing correlation in the system.

The reduced dimensionality of the model systems treated in this work allows for a direct solution of the interacting problem for a small number of particles. The comparison between the DFT and an exact calculation allows for an assessment of the quality of approximations beyond what is possible in three-dimensional systems. One-dimensional model systems can provide useful insight, which hopefully will allow for the construction of new functionals in the future.
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