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Plants protect themselves from pathogen attack by activation of a broad array of responses, which lead to the production of defensive compounds (29). Resistance (R) genes constitute one of the most studied and efficient defense responses to infection by invading microorganisms. R gene-mediated resistance (also termed gene-for-gene resistance) allows the detection of specific pathogen races through perception of pathogen-encoded avirulence proteins (Avr). Many plant R genes conferring resistance to various pathogens have been cloned, and most of them typically encode leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptors, classified in five major subfamilies (14). Pathogen recognition by these proteins activates defense responses via complex and intricate pathways requiring small signaling molecules such as salicylic acid, ethylene, and jasmonic acid (13). Numerous plant mutants, identified mostly in Arabidopsis thaliana, presenting enhanced susceptibility or enhanced resistance to various diseases, have been characterized, and have played a major role in deciphering the signaling events leading to resistance.

Although our knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying disease resistance has increased tremendously in the past few years, little is known about disease development and, in particular, about plant targets of microbial virulence factors. Plant metabolites, such as flavonoids, chemoattractants inducing nitrogen-fixing root nodule formation (31), and acetosyringone, a phe-nolic compound produced by wounded plant cells and involved in crown gall disease development caused by Agrobacterium tumefaciens (23), are among the better-studied examples of host susceptibility factors. Numerous pathogens also possess the ability to detect essential compounds present on leaf surfaces. For example, appressorium formation by Magnaporthe grisea is induced by cutine monomers (17).

Recently, several powdery mildew resistance (pmr) mutants affected in genes required for a compatible interaction between Arabidopsis thaliana and the biotrophic fungal pathogen, Erysiphe cichoracearum, have been identified (39,40). Some of these mutants present characteristics that suggest they may be deficient in host-susceptibility factors. (i) They do not constitutively express either the salicylic acid-associated PR-1 gene (34) or PDF1.2, a marker for the ethylene- and jasmonic acid-dependent pathway (30). These mutants differ, therefore, from the well-characterized lesions-simulating disease (lsd), accelerated cell death (acd), and constitutive expresser of PR genes (cpr) A. thaliana disease-resistance mutants. (ii) Their responses to other pathogens is similar to those of the wild-type plants. (iii) These mutations are pleiotropic, indicating that the corresponding genes play a role in plant growth and development. PMR6, one of the pmr-mutated genes, has been cloned and encodes a pectate lyase-like protein, suggesting a role in pectin degradation (39). The features of the pmr mutants differentiate them from other mutants such as the barley mlo mutants that display durable, broad-spectrum resistance to most races of the biotrophic fungus Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei (6). Mutation-induced recessive mlo alleles confer a leaf-lesion phenotype. MLO, localized in the plasma membrane via seven transmembrane helices, binds calmodulin

ABSTRACT


To characterize host genes required for a compatible interaction, we identified a novel recessive Arabidopsis thaliana mutant, nws1 (no wilt symptoms), that failed to develop wilt symptoms in response to virulent strains of the phytopathogenic bacterium, Ralstonia solanacearum. The absence of wilting in nws1 plants was not correlated with a cell death phenotype or a constitutive expression of salicylic acid-, jasmonic acid- or ethylene-associated genes. In addition, this mutation, which conferred a symptomless phenotype in response to all the R. solanacearum strains tested, was highly specific to this pathogen, because nws1 responses to other plant pathogens, including oomycetes, nematodes, viruses, and other bacteria, were identical to those of wild-type Col-5 plants. Finally, the lack of disease development was shown to be different than RRS1-R-mediated resistance. The identification of mutants such as nws1, that are unable to develop disease, should lead to the isolation of target host factors required for pathogen growth or fitness, or of factors modified by the invading microorganism to avoid or inactivate plant defense mechanisms, and should bring a better understanding of bacterial wilt diseases.
(22) and probably acts as a negative regulator of plant defenses. Interestingly, mlo-mediated resistance is not effective against several other biotrophic and hemibiotrophic fungal pathogens. Another *A. thaliana* mutation for enhanced disease resistance, *edr1*, conferring resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae*, also confers resistance to the fungus *E. cichoracearum*, causes cell death at infection sites, and is associated with a strong induction of several defense responses after pathogen attack. The *EDR1* gene encodes a putative MAP (mitogen-activated protein) kinase that may negatively regulate salicylic acid-inducible defense responses (16).

To explain the lack of evidence for direct Avr–R interactions, a model, referred to as the guard model, recently has been proposed. It is based on the assumption that R proteins recognize matching Avr proteins only when the latter are complexed with their plant targets (8,38). The identification of RIN4, a negative regulator of the plant basal immune response, whose binding or modification by two different Avr proteins, AvrB and AvrPpm1, leads to the activation of RPM1, the matching R protein, brings strong support to this model (25). The observation that a given R protein may recognize the activities of multiple effectors by detecting physiological changes in the cell recently has been strengthened by additional studies (2,24). Thus, although our knowledge of the plant targets of pathogen virulence factors is increasing, it still remains rudimentary.

*Ralstonia solanacearum* is a gram-negative, soilborne pathogen that induces wilt disease development on more than 200 plant species (20). Although the complete genome of this important pathogen recently has been sequenced, and although major *R. solanacearum* pathogenicity determinants, the so-called *hrp* genes, have been studied extensively, the molecular mechanisms underlying resistance and susceptibility to wilt disease are still poorly understood. In tomato, resistance to *R. solanacearum* is controlled by several loci (35,36), whereas in *A. thaliana*, it is monogenic and conferred by the *RRS1-R* gene that encodes a novel R protein. This resistance is dependent upon salicylic acid and the NDR1 signaling pathway (10,11). Recently, PopP2, the cognate Avr protein for *RRS1-R*, was identified and shown to interact with the R protein in the yeast two-hybrid system (9).

Although the development of wilt symptoms is independent of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid, *ein2-1* ethylene-insensitive plants, in a susceptible *Col-0* genetic background, present delayed symptoms in response to several virulent *R. solanacearum* strains, indicating that the ethylene signaling pathway plays an important role in the susceptible response of *A. thaliana* to *R. solanacearum* (21).

The objectives of this study were the identification and characterization of *A. thaliana* mutants altered in wilt disease development. The screening of 12,000 fast-neutron mutagenized glabrous *Col-0* plants, normally susceptible to the *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 strain, led to the identification of *nws1* (no wilt symptoms), a mutant resistant to all the strains of the pathogen tested. The genetic, phenotypic, and molecular characterization of this mutant is reported.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and bacterial inoculations.** *A. thaliana* plants were grown and root inoculated with the various *R. solanacearum* strains as previously described (11). Alternatively, plants were infiltrated under vacuo for 2 min by immersing the foliage of plants still in their Jiffy pots (Jiffy France, Lyon, France) in a bacterial suspension containing 107 bacteria ml–1 (11). Needleless syringe leaf inoculations were performed using bacterial suspensions at a concentration of 107 bacteria ml–1. Wilting of the susceptible Col-5 plants (a glabrous derivative of Col-0) was observed 4 to 8 days after inoculation. Pathogenicity tests were conducted until resistant Nd-1 plants presented a yellowing of the older leaves (usually 12 to 16 days after inoculation). Col-0 mutagenized seed (M2 generation) was purchased from Lehle Seeds (Round Rock, TX).

All the *R. solanacearum* strains used in this study were supplied by Christian Boucher and André Trigalet (Table 1). They were grown at 28°C in BGT medium (glucose [5 g/liter], triphenyltetrazolium chloride [50 mg/liter], and bactoagar [15 g/liter]) (4). The GMI1000 strain is a wild-type isolate virulent on tomato, which induces the hypersensitive response on tobacco. Disease symptoms were scored according to Deslandes et al. (11).

Internal bacterial populations in the aerial parts of plants, after root inoculation with a suspension of 106 bacteria ml–1, were estimated in triplicate for each time point, according to the protocol of Deslandes et al. (11). Serial dilutions of bacteria present in the ground extracts of leaves were spread on solid BGT medium containing rifampicin (50 µg ml–1).

**Viruses infection.** *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV; strain Cabb-BII, obtained from P. Yot, IBMP, Strasbourg, France) virulent on Col-5 was propagated in *Brassica rapa*, and *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV; R strain, from M. Jacquemond, INRA, Avignon, France) also virulent on Col-5, in *Nicotiana tabacum*. CaMV inoculum was prepared by grinding infected tissues in water. CMV inoculum was prepared by grinding symptomatic tissue in potassium phosphate buffer (0.03 M, pH 7.0) at a 1:4 (wt/vol) tissue/buffer ratio with 0.2% diethyldithiocarbamate, 80 mg of active charcoal, and 80 mg of carbordum (400 mesh). Rosette leaves of 4- to 5-week-old *A. thaliana* plants were inoculated manually using a cotton-tip applicator. Viral...

---

**TABLE 1.** Responses of Col-5, Nd-1, and *nws1* *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants to inoculation by various *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain of <em>R. solanacearum</em></th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Biivar</th>
<th>Host plant</th>
<th>Geographic origin</th>
<th><em>nws1</em></th>
<th>Nd-1</th>
<th>Col-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8089</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8092</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8083</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>French Guyana</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eggplant</td>
<td>French West Indies</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>French West Indies</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>French West Indies</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rd-15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Radish</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆PopP2 GMI1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>French Guyana</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8129</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8133</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Banana</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8135</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Banana</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8142</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMI 8228</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Mulberry</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Twenty plants of each line were root-inoculated with each strain (108 bacteria ml–1). The experiment was repeated twice and similar results were obtained.
* R = resistance, S = susceptibility.
infection in the nws1 mutant plants (five plants inoculated for each virus strain) was first evaluated for symptom expression by comparing it with the wild-type plants and confirmed by double-antibody sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA) for CMV at 3 weeks postinoculation (wpi), or by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) performed on inflorescence tissues for CaMV, at 4 wpi. RT-PCR was performed as described by Revers et al. (33) from semipurified total RNA preparations (3) with the primers 5′TAGTGAATTTGCCAGGTCGA and 5′GCCGAACCTAAGCTGTAGGTG (RT-PCR conditions: RT at 42°C for 45 min and 95°C for 3 min; and PCR at 92°C for 20 s, 55°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 1 min for 35 cycles).

**Nematode infection.** Seeds of Col-5 and nws1 plants were surface-sterilized and grown on Gamborg B5 medium (Sigma) containing 2% sucrose. Agar plates (0.8%) were inclined at an angle of 60° to allow the roots to grow along the surface. Three-week-old seedlings (30 to 40 plants) were inoculated with 200 surface-sterilized second-stage juveniles of *Meloidogyne incognita*, a nematode virulent on Col-5 plants (Calissane isolate, France) per plant. The plates were kept at 20°C with a cycle of 16 h of light and 8 h of dark. Galls were counted 3 weeks after infection by visual scoring.

**Oomycete infection.** Conidiosporangia of *Peronospora parasitica* strains Noco2 and Emco5 were collected in water from *A. thaliana* cotyledons with profuse sporulation. For inoculations, the suspensions were adjusted to 4 × 10^6 spores ml^-1, and sprayed to saturation onto 8-day-old *A. thaliana* seedlings. Inoculated plants were kept at high humidity at 16°C (photoperiod of 10 h to saturation) compared with Col-5 plants. As an indicator for resistance or susceptibility, sporulation on the cotyledons was examined 1 week after inoculation and the number of conidiophores determined for 10 individual plants. To determine the level of resistance or susceptibility, seedlings were collected individually 1 week after inoculation, placed into 200 µl of water, and vortexed. The number of liberated spores per seedling was determined for five individual plants per treatment, using a hemocytometer.

**RNA gel blot analysis.** Total RNAs from nws1 plants grown under high and low light conditions and from resistant Nd-1 and susceptible Col-5 plants were isolated at different times after inoculation with strain GMI1000 and with an isogenic strain of GMI1000 deleted in its *hre* gene cluster (32). They were isolated from frozen leaf material using the Extract-All solution, according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (Eurobio, France). For Northern blots, total RNA (20 µg) was separated on 1% agarose gel, according to the protocol of McMaster and Carmichael (26), transferred to Nylon membranes (Hybond N+, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire, England) in a 20× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) blotting solution (3M NaCl, 0.3 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0), and cross-linked to the filters using a UV Stratalinker 2400 (Stratagene, Ozyme, France). Expressed sequence tag (EST) clones 92G1T7 (*PR-3*), 245PST7 (*PR-4*), and 37F1OT7 (*PDF1.2*), used for DNA probe synthesis, were ordered from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. Plasmid DNAs were extracted using the Concert Rapid Plasmid Miniprep System (Invitrogen Life Technologies, San Diego, CA), according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The inserts were obtained after digestion with the appropriate restriction enzymes (*Sal*I/*Not*I). *PR-1* inserts (37) were obtained after digestion with *Xho*I/*Eco*RI. Prior to labeling, DNA inserts were gel purified using the JETSORB Gel Extraction Kit (GENOMED, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany). Labeling of the probes was performed by random priming using the Ready-To-Go kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) with (α-32P) dCTP, according to the instructions of the supplier. Membranes were prehybridized for 3 h, and hybridized overnight at 65°C in 0.5 M NaPO4 (pH 7.2), 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.1% bovine serum albumine, 7% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA at 0.1 mg/ml. The membranes then were washed twice at 65°C in 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS for 10 min, and once at the same temperature in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS, for 5 min. Blots were exposed to autoradiogram film (Fuji Medical X-ray films). Equal loading was checked by RNA visualization of the membranes under UV.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of nws1 mutant.** From a screen of ≈12,000 fast neutron-mutagenized glabrous Col-0 plants performed under high light conditions, normally susceptible to strain GMI1000, only one mutant that did not develop visible wilt symptoms upon root inoculation with this strain (Fig. 1A) was isolated. This mutant, called *nws1*, did not exhibit spontaneous macroscopic lesions.

**Genetic analysis of nws1.** *nws1* was backcrossed to the wild-type Col-5 plant. Plants of the F1 progeny then were self-pollinated. The resulting F2 progeny was inoculated with strain

![nws1, Col-5, and Nd-1 plants, 8 days after root inoculation with strain GMI1000 of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (10^8 bacteria ml^-1). B, nws1 plants grown under high light conditions (240 µE m^-2 s^-1) compared with Col-5 plants. C, Short root phenotype of 8-day-old nws1 plants grown under high light conditions on MS (11), a medium containing 10 g of sucrose, 5 g of Bacto agar, and 4.3 g of MS salts (Sigma Aldrich, France) per liter.**
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and resistant plants were selected for subsequent backcrosses to the wild-type plant. This procedure was repeated three times before further characterization of the mutant.

Twenty-two plants from the F1 progeny obtained from three independent crosses were tested for their response to strain GMI1000. All the tested plants were fully susceptible to the pathogen under high light conditions. The F2 progeny then were tested for their response to strain GMI1000. Resistance to this strain segregated in the F2 progeny in a manner consistent with the existence of a single recessive gene (of 320 tested plants, 82 were resistant and 298 susceptible; \( \chi^2 = 0.817 \) for a 1:3 segregation, 0.5 > \( P > 0.25 \)). These results were confirmed by the analysis of the F2 progeny from 15 resistant F1 plants inoculated with strain GMI1000. All the tested plants were resistant to the pathogen.

The **nws1** mutation confers a pleiotropic phenotype. Apart from the absence of wilt symptom development, **nws1** plants were smaller and greener than wild-type plants at high light intensities (240 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) (Fig. 1B). At lower light intensities (115 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)), their phenotypes were indistinguishable from Col-5 plants and they became fully susceptible to the pathogen. Mutant plants also had shorter roots than the wild-type plants under both light conditions (Fig. 1C). To eliminate the possibility that the absence of wilt symptom development was due to a poor penetration of the bacteria within the root system, vacuum leaf infiltrations were performed. Eight days after inoculation with strain GMI1000, Col-5 plants were completely wilted, whereas no symptoms were visible on **nws1** and Nd-1 resistant plants. Needleless syringe infiltrations also were performed, leading to the development of hypersensitive response (HR)-like lesions on **nws1** leaves, whereas spreading chlorosis was observed on susceptible Col-5 plants (data not shown). These two observations indicated that the root phenotype of the mutant plants is not responsible for the absence of wilt symptoms. Under high light conditions, the dwarf plant phenotype as well as the short root phenotype co-segregated completely with the lack of wilt symptoms after inoculation with the pathogen through the three backcrosses and among the 320 F2 plants tested. Cell death probably is not the primary mechanism of resistance because no microlesion was visible on uninfected or root-inoculated **nws1** plants.

Response of **nws1** plants to different R. solanacearum strains. To determine whether the resistance caused by the **nws1** mutation is specific to strain GMI1000, different strains, encompassing the five R. solanacearum races and isolated originally from various plant species, were used to inoculate roots of **nws1** plants. None of these strains induced wilt symptom development on **nws1** plants (Table 1). Of particular interest was the observation that some strains, such as Rd-15, as well as GT1, which are highly virulent on Nd-1 plants, did not induce disease development on **nws1** plants. This result suggested that the absence of wilt symptom development in these mutant plants is different than the **RRSI-R**-mediated resistance (10). Inoculation of **nws1** plants with a GMI1000 strain deleted from PopP2, the cognate avirulence gene for **RRSI-R** (9), also was performed and did not lead to any detectable wilt symptom production, confirming these data.

Bacterial multiplication in **nws1** plants. To test whether pathogen growth was affected in **nws1** mutants, bacterial populations were estimated in **nws1** and in resistant and susceptible wild-type plants after inoculation with strain GMI1000, as well as with strain Rd-15. After root inoculation with strain GMI1000, the pathogen multiplied extensively in susceptible Col-5 plants, reaching densities of \( \approx 10^{12} \text{bacteria/g of fresh weight} \), five to six orders of magnitude higher than those found in resistant Nd-1 plants. Bacterial levels in **nws1** plants were comparable with those detected in resistant Nd-1 plants (Fig. 2A). Inoculation with strain Rd-15 led to intense bacterial multiplication in Col-5 andNd-1 plants, whereas the pathogen reached densities lower by five to six orders of magnitude in **nws1** plants (Fig. 2B). These results illustrate that the mutation limits bacterial multiplication in planta.

**nws1** plants respond to other plant pathogens in a manner similar to wild-type plants. To determine whether the mutation is specific to R. solanacearum or whether it affects plant responses to other pathogens, **nws1** and wild-type plants were inoculated with different pathogens, including bacteria (Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris strains 8004 [19] and Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000, the latter either with or without the AvrRpm1 avirulence gene [5]), viruses (CaMV and CMV), a nematode (Meloidogyne incognita), and oomycetes such as Peronospora parasitica (strains Emco5 and Noco2). **nws1** and
Col-5 wild-type plants responded similarly to the HR-inducing X. campestris pv. campestris 147 strain and to the virulent X. campestris pv. campestris 8004 strain (data not shown). Similar results were obtained with the Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato strains DC3000 and DC3000 AvrRpm1 (data not shown). The nws1 mutation had no effect on the plant response either to CaMV and CMV (data not shown) or to M. incognita. In response to this latter pathogen, the average number of galls per plant was comparable in Col-5 and nws1 plants (11.53 ± 0.56 and 9.63 ± 1.28 galls per plant, respectively). Finally, 8 days after inoculation, no significant difference was observed in conidiophore formation between Col-5 and mutant plants upon inoculation with the Peronospora parasitica Emco5 and Noco2 strains (Noco2, 28.1 ± 8.6 and 25.6 ± 10.8 conidiophores per cotyledon; and Emco5, 21.9 ± 7.7 and 24.1 ± 6.8 conidiophores per cotyledon on Col-5 and nws1 plants, respectively). Thus, all of the pathogens tested induced a similar response on wild-type and mutant plants, indicating that the nws1-dependent resistance is specific to R. solanacearum.

The absence of wilt symptoms in nws1 plants is not associated with an increased level of defense gene expression. To determine if the absence of disease symptom production in nws1 plants upon inoculation with R. solanacearum strains may be caused by the overproduction of defense-related proteins, as already reported for many A. thaliana mutants altered in their defense resistance response, transcript levels of different genes associated with defense responses were determined. The PR-1 gene was used as a marker for salicylic acid-dependent signaling pathways (34), and the PDF1.2, PR-3, and PR-4 genes were chosen as markers of the ethylene- and jasmonic acid-dependent pathways (30). As shown previously (21), 4 days after inoculation, PR-3 transcripts started to accumulate in Col-5 plants and reached a high level, which was maintained afterward; PR-4 transcripts exhibited a similar pattern of expression, although their steady-state levels were lower than those detected with the PR-3 gene. Comparable results also were obtained in the case of nws1 plants grown under low light, which developed wilt symptoms. Very low levels of these two mRNAs were detected in resistant Nds1 plants grown under low light, which developed wilt symptoms. Although steady-state levels of this mRNA were stronger in nws1 plants grown under low light than in Col-5 plants, for which the highest mRNA accumulation was observed 4 days after inoculation, and then decreased afterward (Fig. 3). The transcript steady-state levels of these three defense-related genes were low and comparable in noninfected Col-5 and nws1 plants. PR-1 mRNAs were not detected (data not shown), suggesting that this gene is involved in neither disease development nor the establishment of resistance. The possibility that PR-1 mRNAs are expressed transiently and have not been detected in our experiment cannot be excluded. However, the observation that, in noninfected nws1 plants, transcripts corresponding to this gene are undetectable, indicates that the absence of symptom development is not caused by a constitutive expression of PR-1. No reproducible signal was visible in Col-5 and nws1 plants inoculated with the isogenic strain of GMI1000 deleted in its hrp gene cluster (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Bacterial wilt caused by the phytopathogenic bacterium R. solanacearum is one of the most important plant diseases worldwide. Recently detected in Europe, this disease is endemic to all tropical and subtropical areas. Although our understanding of the role of R. solanacearum gene products involved in pathogenicity has increased tremendously in the past few years, very little is known about the plant gene products involved in the host responses to infection by this pathogen. In addition, most studies of plant–pathogen interactions have focused on resistance mechanisms.

Studies on disease, long considered to be a passive process, during which the plant was almost regarded as a substrate for the microorganism, were neglected completely. Recent findings, mostly in A. thaliana, demonstrated that an active metabolism of the plant is necessary for the appearance of symptoms. It is now well established, for instance, that ethylene insensitivity alters the susceptible response of various plants to different pathogens (7,12), including R. solanacearum (21). In fact, plant responses to virulent pathogens appear to be a composite of multiple signaling pathways (27,28). However, the nature of the host components required for disease development, including susceptibility factors required for pathogen growth or fitness, targets of virulence factors, and negative regulators of defense responses, remains largely elusive. Most of the A. thaliana mutations that confer enhanced resistance to virulent strains of different pathogens, such as the acd, lsd, cpr, eds, and edr1 mutations (18), are affected in components of the host defense response. This is in contrast to the pmr mutants, required for a compatible interaction between A. thaliana and the powdery mildew pathogen, E. cichoracearum. The pmr mutants exhibit an enhanced resistance that is not associated with a constitutive expression of known defense responses (40). The PMR6 gene has been cloned, and it encodes a pectate lyase-like protein, but its function in preventing pathogen development is still hypothetical (39). The observation that PMR6...
is required for diseases caused by powdery mildews, but not by unrelated pathogens, strongly suggests that it is a susceptibility factor. We report the identification of a novel *A. thaliana* mutant that is unable to develop wilt symptoms in response to several strains of *R. solanacearum*. This pathogen is hemibiotrophic, and several bacterial effectors have been shown to be injected into plant cells via the type III secretion system. These effectors probably interact with host factors and may modify or suppress their activities. Considering these observations, we hypothesized that mutants exhibiting a reduced susceptibility could be identified. The screen used to isolate such mutants is destructive: plants presenting wilt symptoms die. This may explain, in part, the very low number of mutants which were isolated. In addition, several mutants which did not develop disease symptoms did not produce seed. We also observed some mutants in which wilting was strongly delayed, but which could not be further characterized because they eventually died without producing seed.

*NWS1* presents features expected for genes encoding susceptibility factors. (i) The *nws1* mutation is recessive, suggesting that the altered gene is a host factor required for disease development. (ii) The *nws1* mutation appears to be highly specific to *R. solanacearum*. Although it hinders disease development in response to all the strains of the bacterium tested, the response of the *nws1* plants to various other pathogens (oomycetes, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes) is comparable with that of the wild type. This feature is expected for a susceptibility factor, which theoretically should be a specific target of a given pathogen virulence effector, although the possibility that a host component is manipulated by several pathogens cannot be eliminated. (iii) The absence of disease development on *nws1* plants is not correlated with an increased expression of defense genes. In noninfected plants, the transcript levels of molecular markers of the salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid- or ethylene-dependent pathways are identical to those of wild-type plants. Upon inoculation with the pathogen, *PR-1, PR-3, PR-4*, and *PDF1.2* mRNAs do not accumulate at higher levels in *nws1* plants than in Col-5 plants. Additionally, no cell death lesions are visible at infection sites as occurs, for instance, for the *edr1 A. thaliana* mutant (15).

The inability of *nws1* plants to develop wilt symptoms is different than the resistance conferred by *RRS1-R*, as demonstrated by two lines of evidence: these plants do not wilt upon inoculation either with strain Rd-15, a strain virulent on *Nd-1*, the *A. thaliana* ecotype carrying *RRS1-R* (10), or with the GMI1000 strain deleted of *PopP2*, the avirulence protein that matches the *RRS1-R* protein (9).

The *nws1* mutant displays phenotypic alterations such as conditional dwarfism and a short root system. This mutation is probably light-regulated because the phenotype of plants grown under low light is identical to that of wild-type plants and, under such conditions, their inability to develop disease symptoms is lost. The *pmr3* mutant (40) also presents a dwarf phenotype that is not affected by light conditions although, under low light conditions, *pmr3* plants are fully susceptible to powdery mildew pathogen. *nws1* probably is not allelic to *pmr3* because its dwarfism and its resistance to *R. solanacearum* are observed only under high light.

The *NWS1* gene appears to be required for symptom development in response to all the strains tested, which include the five *R. solanacearum* races. This indicates that all these strains, whatever their origin and the plant from which they were isolated, require a common host component for full virulence. This factor may be involved in the binding of the bacteria to the plant cell wall. It has been demonstrated that expression of the *R. solanacearum hrp* genes, required both for causing disease symptoms on host plants and for eliciting the hypersensitive response on resistant and non-host plants (4), is under the control of the *hrpB* regulatory gene, whose expression is induced upon co-cultivation of bacteria and plant cell suspensions (1). The induction of the *hrpB* gene requires a physical contact between the bacterium and its target cell. Furthermore, the plant signal that triggers *hrp* gene expression upon *R. solanacearum*–plant cell contact is a nondiffusible component of the *A. thaliana* plant cell wall. *NWS1* somehow may affect the synthesis of this signal, the attachment of bacteria to plant cells, or the injection of pathogenicity factors. Alternatively, different strains of the pathogen may have developed different strategies of plant colonization but may share an essential component for nutrition or fitness. Finally, the possibility that *NWS1* is involved in the activation of novel and highly specific defense mechanisms, although rather improbable, cannot be completely ruled out.

The mapping and positional cloning of *NWS1* should shed some light on the nature of this novel gene. The identification of susceptibility genes should bring some insights into the complex interplay between the plant and *R. solanacearum*, and eventually may lead to the elaboration of novel strategies to control wilt disease.
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