**PRT6/At5g02310 encodes an Arabidopsis ubiquitin ligase of the N-end rule pathway with arginine specificity and is not the CER3 locus**
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Abstract The eukaryotic N-end rule pathway mediates ubiquitin-and proteasome-dependent turnover of proteins with a bulky amino-terminal residue. Arabidopsis locus At5g02310 shows significant similarity to the yeast N-end rule ligase Ubr1. We demonstrate that At5g02310 is a ubiquitin ligase and mediates degradation of proteins with amino-terminal Arg residue. Unlike Ubr1, the Arabidopsis protein does not participate in degradation of proteins with amino-terminal Phe or Leu. This modified target specificity coincides with characteristic differences in domain structure. In contrast to previous publications, our data indicate that At5g02310 is not identical to CER3, a gene involved in establishment of a protective surface wax layer. At5g02310 has therefore been re-designated PROTEOLYSIS 6 (PRT6), in accordance with its ubiquitin ligase function.
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1. Introduction

Ubiquitin, a highly conserved 76 amino acid polypeptide, can be covalently linked to other proteins by enzymatic cascades consisting of ubiquitin activating and conjugating enzymes (UBA or E1, and UBC or E2, respectively), and of ubiquitin protein ligases (E3). Ubiquitin conjugation often leads to rapid degradation of substrate proteins by the large protease complex proteasome. Ubiquitin ligases are instrumental in substrate selection, and usually catalyze the linkage of ubiquitin’s carboxyl-terminal Gly residue to ε-amino groups of internal Lys residues in substrates (for reviews, see [1–7]). Domains within the ligases serve for substrate binding, and therefore display sequence diversity. Similar diversity is found in the domains of substrate proteins that mediate association with ubiquitin ligases. The first degradation signal (degron) identified was a bulky amino-terminal residue of a protein [8]. The resulting set of degrons was termed the N-degrons [9,10]. In contrast, amino acids with small side chains such as Ala or Ser, which are frequently present at the amino-termini of cellular proteins, do not target these proteins for degradation. Substrates of the eukaryotic N-end rule pathway include components of NO signaling in animals [11], and proteins important for pathogen defense and senescence in plants [12,13].

Saccharomyces cerevisiae was found to have a single ubiquitin ligase devoted to the N-end rule, termed Ubr1 [14], whereas mammals have several isoforms encoded by distinct genes [15]. A previous screen in Arabidopsis identified genes PRT1–5 (PROTEOLYSIS 1–5) as potential components of the plant N-end rule pathway [16]. PRT1 was subsequently demonstrated to function as a ubiquitin ligase of the plant N-end rule pathway, but its restricted substrate specificity strongly suggested the existence of additional N-end rule ligases in plants [17,18].

In this work, we investigated the function of locus At5g02310, which encodes the Arabidopsis protein most similar to yeast N-end rule ligase Ubr1. Previous studies annotated this locus as ECERIFERUM 3 (CER3), a gene essential for epicuticular wax biosynthesis [19,20]. cer mutants [21] are most easily identified by the glossy green color of their stems, because they lack the whitish layer of protective surface wax crystals. Numerous genes that are required for wax biosynthesis and transport have been identified (for recent reviews, see [22,23]). Based on these data, it appeared that At5g02310/ CER3 could provide a link between the N-end rule pathway of protein degradation and wax biosynthesis or transport. We show that At5g02310 codes for a functional ubiquitin ligase of the N-end rule pathway of protein degradation. However, in contrast to previous claims, null mutations in this gene have a normal wax layer, implying that it is not the CER3 locus. Consistent with previous designations in the Arabidopsis N-end rule pathway, At5g02310 was renamed PRT6 (PROTEOLYSIS 6).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant growth and genotyping

Plants were grown on soil under standard greenhouse long day conditions, with 16 h light/8 h dark cycles. Young plantlets were germinated on agar plates containing MS salts and 1% sucrose. Plant transformation of Col-0 accession was done by the floral dip method. The original cer2 mutant seeds (line N33) were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre. T-DNA insertion allele prt6-1 was a kind gift of Syngenta Inc. (SAIL 1278_H11; Ref. [24]). The prt6-1 insertion was detected by PCR, using oligonucleotides Garlic LB1
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GGC TTT TCA GAA ATG GAT AAA TAG CCT TGC TTC C and ubr3n (GTG TCT TGT TCT GGG GAG GAT GTT TT) and LA Taq polymerase (TaKaRa). Similar PCR conditions, but with primers ubr3n and ubr3p (AGG ACA ATA GGT ACA TAC TCA TTT GTT), served to detect wild-type sequence at the prt6-1/T-DNA insertion site. T-DNA insertion allele prt6-2 was identified in the GABI-KAT collection (GK270G04; Ref. [25]). The insertion was monitored using primers o8760 (GGG CTA CAC TGA ATT GGG AGC T) and CER3up (CAA TGC AAA TCT GCG CAC AGA T). Wild-type sequence at the prt6-2 insertion site was monitored by PCR with oligonucleotides CER3up and CER3dn (TCC CTC TGC CAT GAC CCA GAT TC) and LA Taq polymerase (TaKaRa). Both prt6 alleles were backcrossed several times to wild-type (Col-0). Calculation of map distance between cer3 and prt6-2 used the formulae N(2r − r')/4 for relative frequency of plants with (sulfadiazine resistance) T-DNA insert in PRT6 and cer3 mutant phenotype, and N(3 − 2r − r')/4 to assess sulfadiazine-resistant plants with CER3 (WT) phenotype. r is the frequency of recombination between the two loci, and N the total number of individuals analyzed (see Section 3).

2.2. DNA constructs

A fragment of pUPR vectors [18], containing the Gln5,6-lac exten-
sion, was amplified by PCR and linked to a fragment encoding a triple HA epitope tag. The sequence was cloned into pSK vector and extended by inserting a Gus cassette from vector pRT104GUS (a kind gift of R. Toepfer) to obtain vector pSKlacIHAGUS. The lacIHAGUS insert from this vector was inserted between Bgl II and Xba I sites of vectors pUPR-X (Ref. [18]; X denotes the amino acids Met, Arg, Leu, or Phe) to generate constructs that encode plant specific protein DHFR-HA-ub with the X-lacIHAGUS test proteins (called pUPR-GUS-X). Details of cloning, and sequences of the constructs, can be provided upon request. Xho I Xba I fragments from vectors pUPR-GUS-X were inserted into plant binary vector p3 [26]. The constructs, called p3GUS-X, were used for plant transformation and allow expression of the inserted ORF under control of a triple CaMV 35S promoter. The ensuing protein products are referred to as X-GUS (X being the single letter code for the amino acid exposed after cleavage of the translation product by ubiquitin-specific proteases).

2.3. GUS staining

Staining of plants to visualize GUS activity was carried out as described [27].

2.4. Western blotting

Leaf extracts were prepared and separated on polyacrylamide gels as described [18]. The gel was further processed as recommended for fluorescence detection (Odyssey Li-Cor), starting with protein transfer onto nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman). After saturation of blots with 5% non-fat milk, membrane-bound proteins were detected with rabbit anti-GUS antibodies (Roche) followed by IRdye 800-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (Rockland). The Odyssey Infrared Imager (Li-Cor) was used to band detection and quantification with an excitation wavelength of 780 nm, to map distance between CER3 and PRT6.

2.5. Wax analysis

Procedures used for GC–MS analysis of cuticular wax composition have been described previously [28]. Procedures used for GC–MS analysis of cuticular wax composition have been described previously [28].

2.6. Bioinformatic analysis

Sequence database searches were performed by BLAST [29], and by generalized profile method [30]. Multiple sequence alignments were calculated by the MUSCLE program [31].

3. Results

Sequence analysis of potential Arabidopsis ubiquitin ligases revealed that gene At5g02310 shows significant similarity to the yeast ubiquitin ligase gene UBR1. As At5g02310 has been reported earlier to encode ECERIFERUM 3 (CER3), specifying an important function in wax biosynthesis, it appeared interesting to examine the possible ubiquitin ligase activity of the At5g02310 gene product. Revisiting the details of CER3 cloning by Hannoufa et al. [19], we noticed that the available data, for instance regarding chromosomal location of CER3, were not fully consistent. The wash-deficient cer3 mutant was first identified by Koornneef et al. (Ref. [21]; allele cer3-1), and mapped to the bottom arm of chromosome 5. Hannoufa et al. [19] described an allele induced by T-DNA insertion mutagenesis, and reported that the T-DNA insertion was localized in the untranslated 3′ terminal portion of an open reading frame (ORF). A standard set of experiments, including mutant complementation by a fragment of genomic DNA, led the authors to propose that this ORF is the CER3 gene, which was accordingly deposited in the EMBL database (EMBL accession number X95962). Completion of the chromosome 5 sequence revealed that X95962, corresponding to the At5g02310 gene, is in fact located on the top arm of chromosome 5, far away from the previously determined position of the cer3-1 mutation [21,32]. Gene prediction models further suggested that At5g02310, and the adjacent ORF At5g02300, encode a single protein, showing sequence similarity to yeast Ubr1 (Fig. 1). Current annotation (GenBank accession number NP_195851) therefore lists this larger ORF as a single transcription unit, using designation At5g02310. Based on the report of Hannoufa et al. [19], At5g02310 was annotated by genome curators as the ubiquitin-protein ligase CER3 required for wax biosynthesis, notwithstanding the fact that the fragment used in Ref. [19] for the complementation of a cer3 mutant did not contain the complete At5g02310 gene.

Homologous sequence segments between At5g02310 and yeast UBR1 extend across the entire ORF, indicating that the proteins could perform a similar function (Fig. 1B, and Supplementary Figure). For this reason, and for reasons described in detail in the following, we used abbreviation PRT6 (PROTEOLYSIS 6) instead of CER3 to designate At5g02310. Ubr1 is the ubiquitin ligase of the yeast N-end rule pathway of protein degradation [14] (see also Section 1). It was previously shown that the N-end rule pathway exists in plants [13,16,33,34], and a function for PRT6 in the plant N-end rule pathway was tested using loss-of-function mutants, and model substrates.

In view of possible ambiguity regarding the CER3 locus, T-DNA insertion lines with defined sites of integration within At5g02310 were obtained from the SAIL and GABI-KAT collections. Characterization of these T-DNA tagged mutants showed that the SAIL 1278_H11 (prt6-1) mutant carried an insertion in sequences originally annotated as At5g02300, interrupting PRT6 close to the 5′ end (Fig. 1A). In the GABI-KAT GK270G04 (prt6-2) mutant, the T-DNA insertion interrupted the genomic DNA fragment that was originally used for cer3 complementation in Ref. [19]. Much to our surprise, homozygous plants carrying either the prt6-1, or the prt6-2 mutant allele failed to display visually an ecieriferum phenotype.

To further investigate the discrepancy to previously published genetic data of the CER3 locus, we compared the phenotypes of homozygous T-DNA insertion mutants with the original cer3-1 allele. Because cer3-1 was generated in Ler background, whereas the prt6-1 and prt6-2 mutations were in the Col-0 background, we performed prt6-1 × cer3-1 and prt6-2 × cer3-1 crosses along with a control cross Col-0.
(wild-type) × cer3-1. When performing these complementation tests, we also reasoned that, if any of the prt or cer alleles would result in a leaky or semi-dominant phenotype, the hemizygous state would be most sensitive in revealing such more subtle deviations from wild-type. Koornneef et al. [21] demonstrated that the cer3-1 allele is recessive, and as expected, F1 plants from the cross Col-0 × cer3-1 were visually wild-type, and were readily distinguishable by eye from cer3-1. Whereas cer3-1 plants had darker, iridescent green stems and were almost completely sterile under the intermediate humidity conditions of our greenhouses, F1 hybrids were fully fertile and had a whistled stem color due to a wax coating. F1 hybrids from the two other crosses, prt6-1 × cer3-1 and prt6-2 × cer3-1, were indistinguishable from Col-0 or cer3-1 progeny. The presence of these T-DNA insertions in the F1 hybrids was confirmed by PCR (data not shown). We thus conclude that prt6 and cer3-1 are not allelic.

As cer3 mutants accumulate significantly lower levels of wax in both stems and leaves [35, the cer3-1, prt6-1 and prt6-2 mutants, and all F1 hybrids were subjected to leaf wax analysis (Table 1). The amount of compounds from the sterol family (cholesterol, campesterol) was similar in all genotypes, and served as a control. Likewise, C26 alcohol and C28 alcohol content was not decreased in cer3-1 mutants compared to wild-type and the prt6 mutants. In contrast, the amounts of alkanes with chain length 29 or higher, alcohols with chain length 30 or 32, and fatty acids with chain length 30 or 32 were at least 10-fold reduced in the cer3-1 mutant compared to prt6 mutants. The observed values of wax constituents in cer3-1 and prt6 mutants were in good agreement with published data for cer3 and wild-type plants, respectively [35,36]. Our conclusion that PRT6 is not allelic to CER3 was also supported by the fact that all F1 plants had comparable lipid content (Table 1). In all those cases where the abundance of a lipid constituent was significantly reduced in the cer3-1 mutant, we observed a reduction of up to 50% in the F1 hybrids compared to prt6 mutant lines. This finding indicated a gene dose effect, i.e. 50% CER3 gene dose in the F1 plants resulted in approximately 50% abundance of those lipid compounds that depended on CER3 gene activity. Because all F1 plants had the same Ler–Col-0 genetic background, we concluded that prt6 mutant plants contained an intact copy of the CER3 gene.

To determine the genetic distance between CER3 and the At5g02310 locus, we analyzed F2 selfed progeny of the cer3-1 × prt6-2 cross. For linkage analysis, we took advantage of the sul gene encoded by the prt6-2 T-DNA insertion. This allowed for efficient selection of sulfadiazine-resistant plants. If CER3 and At5g02310 were closely linked, no cer3 plants resistant to the antibiotic would be expected in the F2 generation. Altogether, 313 plants survived sulfadiazine selection, and 62 of these displayed the cer3 phenotype, while 251 were wild-type. As detailed in 2.1, recombination between loci At5g02310 and CER3 occurred with frequency 33% (r = 0.330), suggesting that CER3 is on chromosome 5, but maps at quite a distance from At5g02310. This result is in good agreement with the original mapping by Koornneef et al. [21], who determined that cer3 maps to the bottom arm of chromosome 5. To further substantiate the conclusion that PRT6 (At5g02310) and CER3 genes are therefore not allelic, we resequenced the PRT6 gene in the cer3-1 mutant N33 line and found no mutations compared to the Ler parent (data not shown).

To determine the possible involvement of PRT6 in the Arabidopsis N-end rule pathway, we used model ubiquitylation substrates. Table 2 summarizes the known enzymology of the plant N-end rule pathway, including data presented below. Our assays were based on the ubiquitin fusion degradation technique. This method allows assessment of the metabolic stability of a test protein by comparison to a metabolically stable reference protein that is co-translated with, but cleaved from the test protein after synthesis (Fig. 2). Cleavage is carried out by ubiquitin-specific intracellular proteases that recognize the ubiquitin moiety present at the carboxyl end of the reference protein. This method, developed by Varshavsky and co-workers [37,38], was previously applied in different variations in plants [18,39]. prt6-1 plants were crossed to transgenic lines harboring N-end rule test substrates (Fig. 2). As a control, we included the previously characterized prtl mutation in these experiments. As indicated in Table 2, our previous studies suggested that ubiquitin ligase PRT6 would not mediate turnover of N-end rule substrates with an aromatic first amino acid residue, because these are substrates of PRT1 [18]. We expected that if PRT6 functions as ubiquitin ligase, the substrates should either start with basic amino termini (represented by Arg in this investigation) or with aliphatic hydrophobic residues such as Leu. In contrast to that, the yeast PRT6 sequelog, Ubr1, has two distinct binding sites for destabilizing amino termini, one for basic residues and one for (aliphatic and

Fig. 1. The gene PRT6/At5g02310 (formerly CER3). (A) Structure of the transcribed region. Blocks represent parts of the open reading frame. Arrowheads indicate positions of T-DNA insertions in mutant alleles prt6-1 and prt6-2. (B) Schematic alignment of protein domains of PRT6 and its yeast sequelog, Ubr1. Sequence blocks with particularly high conservation are indicated as thick bars. UBR, UBR domain; ClpS, region with similarity to Escherichia coli ClpS; RF, region containing a RING finger motif; C-term, carboxyl-terminal similarity region. A sequence alignment that formed the basis for these assignments is available as Supplementary material.
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indicated are mean values of samples from three (in rare cases two) plants, ± standard error of the mean.

The cer3-1 allele was in Ler background. All other mutations were in Col-0 background. The F1 hybrids therefore represent isogenic comparisons, devoid of variations due to different genetic background.

BIndicated are mean values of samples from three (in rare cases two) plants, ± standard error of the mean.

The accumulation of R-GUS protein in prt6-1 mutants is genetically linked to the T-DNA insertion in PRT6. Ninety-one plantlets from an F2 population segregating for the prt6-1 mutation and containing the R-GUS transgene were analyzed by GUS staining of leaves, and PCR-based genotyping. We found 100% co-segregation of the trait “GUS-positive staining” with homozygous T-DNA insertion at the PRT6 locus. 26 of the 91 plants were GUS-positive and homoyzogous for the prt6-1 T-DNA insertion, whereas the remaining 65 plants were GUS-negative and harbored at least one wild-type allele of the PRT6 locus. From this result we concluded that the prt6-1 allele is recessive and directly responsible for stabilization of the R-GUS test protein.

Table 1 (continued)

Lipid constituent | Genotypea | Quantityb (µg/cm² leaf area)

| C32 acid | cer3-1 | 0.005 ± 0.001 |
| pry6-1 | 0.090 ± 0.008 |
| pry6-2 | 0.082 ± 0.017 |
| F1 Col-0 × cer3-1 | 0.022 ± 0.003 |
| F1 pry6-1 × cer3-1 | 0.020 ± 0.001 |
| F1 pry6-2 × cer3-1 | 0.032 ± 0.004 |

*The cer3-1 allele was in Ler background. All other mutations were in Col-0 background. The F1 hybrids therefore represent isogenic comparisons, devoid of variations due to different genetic background.

**Indicated are mean values of samples from three (in rare cases two) plants, ± standard error of the mean.

The accumulation of R-GUS protein in prt6-1 mutants is genetically linked to the T-DNA insertion in PRT6. Ninety-one plantlets from an F2 population segregating for the prt6-1 mutation and containing the R-GUS transgene were analyzed by GUS staining of leaves, and PCR-based genotyping. We found 100% co-segregation of the trait “GUS-positive staining” with homozygous T-DNA insertion at the PRT6 locus. 26 of the 91 plants were GUS-positive and homoyzogous for the prt6-1 T-DNA insertion, whereas the remaining 65 plants were GUS-negative and harbored at least one wild-type allele of the PRT6 locus. From this result we concluded that the prt6-1 allele is recessive and directly responsible for stabilization of the R-GUS test protein.

In order to obtain quantitative confirmation of the above described qualitative data (Fig. 3), another property of the N-end rule test constructs was exploited. The presence of influenza hemagglutinin (HA) tags allows immunological detection of both the GUS test protein and the co-translated, metabolically stable reference protein (Fig. 2). Protein extracts from different mutants were prepared, separated by SDS-PAGE, and subjected to Western blotting with anti-HA primary, and fluorescent dye-coupled secondary antibody. The dye conjugate used in these experiments permitted quantification of the HA epitope-containing proteins (Fig. 4A). Whereas extract from non-transformed plants gave a background of bands due to non-specific binding of the secondary antibody (or due to auto-fluorescence of some plant proteins), the gel regions containing reference protein (one asterisk) or the test protein (two asterisks) were almost devoid of such non-specific bands. Band intensity was quantitatively assessed by excitation and fluorescence detection. Fig. 4B shows that the measured values confirm the qualitative assays of Fig. 3. R-GUS protein
accumulated at least 10-fold higher in prt6 plants compared to wild-type or prt1 plants, and R-GUS levels approached the value obtained for the stable M-GUS construct. Because relative R-GUS abundance in the prt6 mutant was still about 3-fold lower than M-GUS abundance in the wild-type, we could not rule out that another Arabidopsis ubiquitin ligase contributes to R-GUS turnover. In contrast, abundance of L-GUS substrate was not changed by the prt6 mutation. Therefore, we concluded that Arabidopsis contains at least one more component of the N-end rule pathway, which is responsible for turnover of proteins with hydrophobic aliphatic amino termini, represented by L-GUS substrate in our assays.

4. Discussion

The N-end rule regulates a protein’s half life according to its amino-terminal residue. Proteins with bulky first amino acids and unmodified amino-terminal amino groups are channeled into degradation pathways. In eukaryotes, degradation occurs via the ubiquitin proteasome system. In this work, we show that the gene product of At5g02310 is a sequelog of yeast N-end rule ligase Ubr1, and operates in degradation of N-end rule substrates with basic amino termini. We also show that previous reports stating that At5g02310 is the CER3 gene are unsubstantiated. We therefore re-named the locus At5g02310 as PRT6 (PROTEOLYSIS 6).
proteins with aromatic amino termini (Ref. [18]; Table 2). As a test protein with the aliphatic hydrophobic amino acid Leu at its amino terminus is metabolically unstable in both single prt1 and prt6 mutants, and in the prt1 prt6 double mutant (Figs. 3 and 4), we postulate the existence of at least one additional ubiquitin ligase in the plant N-end rule pathway.

It has been previously shown that basic and hydrophobic amino termini bind to different pockets on Ubr1 [40,41]. The so-called UBR domain is hypothesized to mediate interaction with basic amino termini. The proposed binding site for hydrophobic amino termini contains a region with similarity to bacterial ClpS protein. *Escherichia coli* ClpS can bind to proteins with hydrophobic amino-terminal residues and mediates their turnover in the (ubiquitin-independent) bacterial N-end rule pathway [42]. Interestingly, the inability of PRT6 to mediate degradation of proteins with hydrophobic amino termini correlates with poor conservation of this ClpS homology region (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Figure).

A recent report from Tasaki et al. [15] lists two additional proteins in *Arabidopsis* with UBR domain, At3g02260 and At4g23860. The former protein, designated BIG (big protein), is a regulator of auxin transport [43]. The latter, a sequelog of mammalian Ubr7, has no currently assigned name or function. The data presented in this work do not answer the question as to whether either of these two proteins operates in turnover of proteins with basic amino termini. We observed that the model substrate R-GUS (first amino acid Arg, see Fig. 2) is not completely stabilized in prt6 mutants (Fig. 4). Residual instability in comparison to the proteolytically stable M-GUS may be due to conformational differences that make R-GUS a better substrate for other protein turnover pathways. Alternatively, the residual instability of R-GUS in prt6 could be caused by active participation of BIG or At4g23860 in the plant N-end rule. The presence of a UBR domain in these two proteins certainly suggests that both can bind peptides with basic amino termini, but this does not necessarily imply that ubiquitylation is a consequence of binding. Yeast Ubr1, the founding member of UBR domain proteins, can bind large proteins with easily accessible basic amino-terminal residue, but the same pocket can bind short peptides as allosteric regulators [40,44]. This precedent leaves open the additional possibility that the function of UBR domains in either BIG or At4g23860 is the binding of regulatory peptides.

Our genetic and biochemical data clearly show that PRT6 is not identical with the previously described CER3 gene. Han-noufa et al. [19] presented the sequence X95962 (corresponding to the carboxyl-terminal half of PRT6) as the CER3 gene, and work from the same group confirmed in a follow-up study that sequences of the 3’ half of the PRT6 gene can complement a cer3 mutation [20]. However, the cer3-1 mutation was mapped to the bottom arm of chromosome 5 [21], whereas PRT6 is located at the top of this chromosome. CER3 gene location was therefore an inconsistency between the genetic map and the genome sequencing data [32]. This inconsistency is now resolved, as CER3 was incorrectly assigned to the At5g02310 locus. Simple visual inspection of homozygous prt6 mutants indicated that mutant stems were covered by a normal wax layer, whereas the cer3-1 mutant had drastically reduced surface wax. In addition, complementation tests between prt6 and cer3 mutations, as well as direct quantitative measurements of wax components, demonstrated that mutations in the At5g02310 (PRT6) gene cause no alteration in leaf wax composition. As interesting result related to regulation of wax production, we found an intermediate amount of long
chain wax constituents when there was heterozygosity at the CER3 locus (Table 1). The biochemical data suggested that CER3 is necessary to produce significant amounts of primary alcohols of chain length C30 or higher (but not for those with chain length C26 or C28), and to produce alkanes of chain length C29 or higher. Similarly, long chain fatty acids of chain length C30 and C32 were reduced more than 10-fold in cer3-1 mutants.

In summary, our data exclude CER3 as the Arabidopsis gene At5g02310, which instead encodes PRT6, a ubiquitin ligase of the N-end rule pathway. In confirmation of this result, work by Rowland et al. (unpublished) positively identifies CER3 as a gene distinct from PRT6. We further demonstrate the specificity of PRT6 for proteins with basic amino-terminal residues and show that the Arabidopsis N-end rule pathway encompasses at least one additional ubiquitin ligase, which controls the proteolysis of proteins carrying aliphatic hydrophobic amino acids at their amino termini.
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